

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 50
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 4/90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 105

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P. L. M. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>3320.</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : <u>13-12-1980</u>		<u>1980</u>

மடிக்கவேண்டிய கையாளவோ கூடாது

[Handwritten signature]

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers:—

From Major D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Periyár Project, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Periyár, 11th October 1890, No. 1776 P.R.

I have the honor to forward herewith a letter from the Executive Engineer, No. 1 Periyár Division, asking for assistance in the Clerical and Accounts branch of his office.

2. In paras. 2 to 7 the Executive Engineer brings to notice the difficulties which attend the carrying out of the clerical work of his office. He shows that from the 1st July to 18th September 1890 there were nearly always two clerks absent from sickness, and that besides those others had to be absent for a day or two at a time; this proves that the two extra clerks sanctioned are only just sufficient to keep the office up to its average strength, and that extra assistance is required, and I therefore request that the accompanying proposition statement may be approved.

3. This statement shows an increase of cost of Rs. 156 per month; it allows for one experienced clerk on Rs. 60 to take the place of the head clerk or cashier when sick; it provides also for one extra storekeeper on Rs. 60 to have charge of both stores with the present storekeepers under him, this I think will be a better arrangement than at present; it also includes a better class of clerks for the sub-divisions, and I consider this is very advisable as a very large quantity of materials, stores, &c., have to be accounted for.

4. From paras. 9 to 12 the Executive Engineer brings to notice how he has been persistently asking for assistance in the Accounts branch of his office, and how his appeals have all been ignored. You are well aware of the fact represented by the Executive Engineer, and I need only add that since I have been in charge here the Examiner on the report of this office states that if the Executive Engineer wants assistance he should apply for it, and on his doing so replies that an accountant, 5th grade, having been attached to the office, he does not see what further can be wanted; but as pointed out by the Executive Engineer this accountant was only a temporary man sent to learn his works.

5. I do not think the Examiner has met the appeals of the Executive Engineer in the spirit he should have, and under the circumstances the Executive Engineer can hardly be blamed for the accounts being in arrears. I consider that if a really good and experienced accountant is not at once sent to this division not only will the arrears never be cleared off, but the current accounts are likely to get into a state of hopeless confusion.

6. I do not think the suggestion in para. 12 of the Executive Engineer's letter can be acted on.

7. In para. 6 the Executive Engineer suggests that the examination rules may be relaxed as regards the appointments of clerks. I think that this matter deserves some consideration as the circumstances of this work are special and the young passed men almost invariably get sick after a few months, while if older and more experienced (though unpassed) men could be appointed it would no doubt be a great advantage to the work; but there are other questions involved in relaxing this rule, and it must be left to Government to decide whether it is advisable or not.

ENCLOSURE.
PERIYAR PROJECT.

STATEMENT of Proposition for Temporary Establishment required for the Periyar Project during the year 1890-91.

13TH DECEMBER 1890, No. 3320 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

ORDER
SANCTIONING
PRESENT ESTAB-
LISHMENT.
GOVERNMENT
OF MADRAS,
PUBLIC WORKS
DEPARTMENT.

23rd August 1890.

Division.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office to which the proposition refers.

No.

Date.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

NATURE OF CHANGE.

PROPOSITION.

Remarks by Local Government.

Office

G.O., No. 287 W.

23rd August 1890.

13TH DECEMBER 1890, No. 3320 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

3

No. I Periyar Division.	No. II Periyar Division.	Designation.	Number.	Pay.			Designation.	Number.	Pay.			Remarks by Local Government.
				Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.			Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.	
1	1	Do. 3rd "	1	..	..	..	Do. 3rd "	1	..	..	..	
6	6	Surveyor Messengers at Rs. 10	6	100	..	50	Surveyor Messengers at Rs. 10	6	100	..	50	
		Petty Establishment.	..	..	..	..	Petty Establishment.	..	..	..	..	
25	25	Storekeepers at Rs. 48	25	96	..	..	Storekeepers at Rs. 48	25	96	..	..	
1	1	Lascars at Rs. 9	1	225	..	..	Lascars at Rs. 9	1	225	..	..	
4	4	Watchman, divisional office.	4	9	..	..	Watchman, divisional office.	4	9	..	..	
		Store Watchmen for stores at Thekady and Periyar at Rs. 9.	..	36	..	..	Store Watchmen for stores at Thekady and Periyar at Rs. 9.	..	36	..	..	
		Medical Establishment.	..	..	..	..	Medical Establishment.	..	..	..	..	
1	1	Civil Apothecary	1	50	..	..	Civil Apothecary	1	50	..	..	
1	1	Hospital Assistant, Thekady.	1	35	..	..	Hospital Assistant, Thekady.	1	35	..	..	
2	2	Peons for Periyar Hospital.	2	18	..	..	Peons for Periyar Hospital.	2	18	..	..	
1	1	Peon, Thekady Hospital.	1	9	..	..	Peon, Thekady Hospital.	1	9	..	..	
		Total ..	..	1,578	..	..	Total ..	..	1,734	..	..	
		No. II PERIYAR DIVISION.	..	..	..	..	No. II PERIYAR DIVISION.	..	..	..	..	
		No. II Periyar Division ..	..	955 1/2	..	..	No. II Periyar Division ..	..	955 1/2	..	..	
		Total ..	..	955 1/2	..	..	Total ..	..	955 1/2	..	..	
		Grand Total ..	..	2,976 1/2	..	..	Grand Total ..	..	3,131 1/2	..	..	

PERIYAR,
11th October 1890.

(Signed) D. McN. CAMPBELL, Major, R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, Periyar Project.

3320
2

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 29th October 1890, No. 6406.

[Your office note No. 4188 C., dated 15th October 1890.]

Entries in column "Present scale" of the proposal statement have been checked and found correct.

2. *Para. 7 of Executive Engineer's letter.*—An additional accountant, 5th grade, has been posted to No. I Periyár division from 14th June 1890. This man should be a valuable assistant to the accountant as he was a sub-divisional clerk before he was appointed to the Accounts branch, has performed the duties of a divisional accountant independently, and has had some training in this office as well.

3. *Para. 8.*—Please see my office note, No. 5763, dated 26th September 1890, para. 2.

4. *Para. 9.*—No additional accountant was sanctioned by the Government of India for No. I Periyár division. The Government of India in their letter No. 5178 C., dated 8th November 1889, required the Examiner to provide an additional accountant from his existing staff which has been done.

5. *Para. 12.*—There are two accountants (1 accountant, 4th grade, and 1 accountant, 5th grade) attached to the division, and it would be out of question to have a third accountant in the Central office to compile accounts—*vide* Code para. 1834. The work in the division is concentrated and therefore the accounts can be much more easily managed than those of the more scattered divisions. If, however, Government consider that the circumstances of the division are such that the Executive Engineer is really unable to compile the accounts with the assistance of the two trained accountants he has at present, endeavours will be made, if possible, to send a third accountant to remain until arrears are cleared off.

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 2nd December 1890, No. 7121.

The explanation is very simple. The accountant in question, V. K. Ratna Mudaliyar, had previously been for two-and-a-half months in independent charge of the accounts of a division, and this with the additional experience mentioned in para. 2 of Mr. Morrison's office note, No. 6406, should have made him a valuable assistant. At the same time he had not had anything like the two years' training in a divisional office that is to be required in the future; and he was not, as you seem to suppose, already a trained accountant. There was therefore no impropriety in sending him out as a young accountant for further training.

ORDER—dated 13th December 1890, No. 3320 W., Public Works.

The revised statement of temporary establishment required for the Periyár Project during 1890-91, is sanctioned.

2. The Government considers the Examiner's explanation of his action regarding the posting of accountants to No. I Periyár division to be unsatisfactory, and that he has failed adequately to appreciate the need for additional accountants in the No. I Periyár Division office.

3. He will now make such arrangements as will provide for the posting of two experienced and qualified accountants to the division in question, reporting his measures for the information of Government, and submitting, if necessary, for transmission to the Government of India a proposition statement for any extra establishment that may be desired.

4. The Government is not prepared to grant the general power of exemption from the examination rules asked for by the Executive Engineer. The Superintending Engineer already has the power of appointing unpassed men for three months,

and special cases calling for such appointments for longer periods will always receive consideration.

5. Consideration of the Executive Engineer's proposal that the ordinary accounts shall be compiled in the Examiner's office will be deferred until the effect of the instructions contained in para. 3 of this order is known.

6. The sanction accorded in para. 1 of these Proceedings has been registered as Provincial No. 74 W. of 1890-91, and classified as Establishment, Temporary.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintendent of Works, No. I Periyár Division.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. J. Moses.
Spare Copies

GENERAL
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated December, 13th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 13th Dec. 1890, No. 3320 W.

DECEMBER.

Temporary Establishment

Revised statement of — required for the
Periyár Project in 1890-91, sanctioned with
remarks.

251 S.E

3320
4

Executive Engineer

Mr. J. P. S. S. S.

Superintending Engineer

Periyár Project

Sir,

Referring to para 2 of your
letter of 14th Dec. 1890 of 15th instant
and previous correspondence I beg to
bring the state of my office to your
kind consideration and to appeal for
assistance both in the amount and in the
mode of payment.

The register of outgoings to the
Periyár Project is as follows:
the following figures.

As the register cannot have any
amount of outgoings.

Absentees on long leave on medical
certificates for whom there have been } 60.
no substitutes. } 75

Total 135

for 30 days or a daily average of 1 1/2.
These figures show the absolute necessity
for the two relieving clerks already
sanctioned. They also show that these
two clerks are only just sufficient to
keep the leave average strength up to
scale. They do not show the number
of days when the Head Accountant
or the Head Clerk have been absent
themselves or have only been assisted by
perhaps one inexperienced clerk, or when
the Cashier has been away meaning
further complications.

My office as at present constituted
consists of the Accountant, Head Clerk,
and Cashier all experienced men, and a
number of vacancies, literally speaking,
which are filled up by a constantly changing
flow of lads straight from school who

have no stamina and have to leave on
account of illness, just as they are
becoming useful.

3320/1

At the moment I am writing, the
Head Clerk is ill, and the corresponding
branch is represented by one temporary
man whom I am not allowed to supervise
as he has not passed the examinations.
The Accountant himself is unwell but
manages to attend office and is assisted
by one clerk, who fortunately is an
exception in being an older and more
useful man than the rest.

Under such circumstances which are
liable to constant recurrence, my official
correspondence must fall into arrears, the
records fall into confusion, in fact the whole
office must be thrown out of gear.

To mitigate these evils resulting from
the climate, I am of opinion that the
whole standard of the clerks should be
raised, or that ^{at} any rate one experienced
man

man on at least Rs 50 should be added,
so that there may be some chance of
carrying on routine in order, when the
Head Clerk is obliged to be absent. Also
I again request that the examination rules
may be relaxed so that I can have the
power to employ more experienced and
acknowledged men when opportunity occurs.
The last remarks and recommendation
are with regard to the clerical branch only.
With regards to the accounts branch I
have almost exhausted all my powers of
argument in repeated representations and
appeals for assistance. In the face of
these, it is surprising to read in the Examiner's
Letter No. 5580 dated 31-3-90 to you
that an accountant 5th grade has
been attached to the division and that
the Examiner therefore does not see
what more is wanted.

I request your attention to the
Examiner's letter No. 2692 dated 23 May 90
with G.O. No. 1480 dated 23 May 90.

1/10/90.

3320
6

Now it is as plain as possible that the
appointment of Patna Mudaliar, which
must be that referred to in the Examiner's
letter to you, was the carrying out of his
proposal of sending young accountants
from his office to divisions for training
as divisional accountants, for two or
three years. Patna Mudaliar is only a
temporary 5th grade accountant drawing
Rs 30 plus Periyar allowance, and I main-
tain that his appointment to this
Division to learn his work, can in no
sense be termed a posting of a 5th grade
accountant for the purpose of relief to
Mr. Jackson.

In order to show how my requests
for relief have been practically ignored
by the Examiner I have only to bring
to your recollection how more than a
year ago I applied for assistance in
the accounts branch, the Government
accepted the grounds for my application
and the Government of India was advised
for

for sanction for an extra accountant; how
in the meanwhile an additional clerk
was appointed to assist the accountant
who was very soon absorbed in the
establishment, how Mr. Kern who had
been accountant from the beginning was
taken away and two temporary accountants
were sent presumably to be trained as
divisional accountants, but actually to
take charge of an office already accepted
to be too much for an experienced man
although thoroughly acquainted with its
details - how the valuable months of
slack current work were thus utterly
thrown away in spite of my protest, ^{Vide my No. 200}
how in face of all this ^{the} Examiner makes ^{dated 7 May}
a remark upon the report of my office
that if the Executive Engineer requires
assistance he should ask for it! and
lastly how the Examiner writes to the
Superintending Engineer and says that an
Accountant 5th grade (really a temporary
accountant sent to learn his work) having

been

been attached to the office he does not see
what further can be wanted.

330/7

10. I beg again to appeal against this
treatment and to represent the hardship
of being held responsible for exceptionally
large transactions in stocks, tools & plant,
machinery &c. without adequate assistance
in the accounts branch, also that the
time has surely come when the claims of
this Division cannot, in the interests of
Government be any longer ignored.

11. I submit that the consideration
should not be whether this Division is
a first or a second or a third class, but
that its staff should be made up
according to its requirements, and after
the experience of three years I maintain
that this can only be met by raising the
standard of the clerks all through, and by
sending one more clerk of a higher grade
and another experienced accountant. There
is plenty of current work for such an
establishment, and the chances of

office work and accounts falling into
hopeless arrears on account of the frequent
absences from illness would be minimised.

12. If it is quite impossible to send another
accountant of a superior grade I submit
for consideration whether it would be
feasible to arrange for me to send my
cash book to the Examiner's Office, there
to be put into all the different forms known
as the "monthly accounts" and to leave
the accountant and his assistant on
the spot to deal with the materials,
stock, tools and plant &c the burden of
which, with my inadequate establishment
has become intolerable.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

H. Taylor
Executive Engineer
No. 1. P. & S. Division.

3320

8



1886.P.R
1-9-90

From

H. S. Taylor Esq.
Executive Engineer

To

M. I. Periyar Esq.

The Supg. Engineer
Periyar Project.

Station. Periyar.

Dated 1st
Despd 2nd } October 1890
Recd.

No. 254, S.E.

Assistance letter
in the Clerical and
Accounts branch of
the office — Brings
to notice the difficulties
experienced from want
of adequate establish-
ment, and submits
proposals for more —

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
PERIYAR PROJECT.

No. 1776.P.R

Periyar, 11th October 1890
17-12

From

Major D. Maclell Campbell Esq.

Superintending Engineer,

Periyar Project.

3320
9

To

The Chief Engineer
P. Fr. D.

Sir,

ENCLOSURES. 2-

I have the honor to forward
herewith a letter from the Exec-
utive Engineer M. I. Periyar
Division asking for assistance
in the clerical and accounts
branch of his office

2. In paras 2 to 7. the Exec-
Engr brings to notice the difficulties
which attend the carrying out
of the clerical work of his
office. He shows that from
the

the 1st July to 18 September 1900
there were nearly always two
clerks absent from sickness
and ^{that} besides those others had
to be absent for a day or
two at a time, this proves that
the two extra clerks sanctioned
are only just sufficient to
keep the office up to its average
strength, and that extra assistance
^{is} required, and I therefore
request that the accompany-
ing proposition statement
may be approved.

3. This statement shows an
increase of cost of Rs 156
per month, it allows for
one experienced clerk on
Rs 60 to take the place of
the

2320
10

the Head Clerk or Cashier
when sick, it provides also
for one Extra Store-keeper
on Rs 60 to have charge of
both stores with the present
Storekeepers under him this
I think will be a better
arrangement than at present
it also includes a better class
of clerks for the Sub-Divisions
and I consider this is very
advisable as a very large
quantity of materials, stores
etc have to be accounted
for.

4. From paras 9 to 12, the
Executive Engineer brings to
notice how he has been persistently
asking for assistance in the
Accounts

+ Rs 50
Rs 1166/7-7 10
75178.0 of 8 11 59

Accounts branch of his office
and how his appeals have
all been ignored. You are
well aware of the fact repre-
sented by the Executive
Engineer and I need only
add that since I have been
in charge here the Examiner
on the report of this office states
that if the Executive Engineer
wants assistance he should
apply for it and on his
doing so replies that an
accountant 5th Grade having
been attached to the office
he does not see what further
can be wanted; but as
pointed out by the Executive

Engineer

3370
1

Engineer This accountant^{3P} was
only a temporary man -
sent to leave his work
5 I do not think the
Examiner has met the appeal
of the Executive Engineer
in the spirit he should have
and under the circumstances
the Executive Engineer can
hardly be blamed for
the accounts being in arrears.
I consider that if a really
good and experienced sub
is met at once sent to this
Division not only will
the arrears never be cleared
off but the current accounts
are likely to get into a state
of

of hopeless confusion

6- I do not think the suggestion in para 12 of the Executive Engineer's letter can be acted on.

7- In para 6 the Executive Engineer suggests that the examination rules may be relaxed as regards the appointments of Clerks. I think that this matter deserves some consideration as the circumstances of this work are special and the young passed men almost invariably get sick after a few months while if older and more experienced (though unpassed)

men

^{3320/12}
men could be appointed ^{HP}
it would no doubt be a great advantage to the work; but there are other questions involved in relaxing this rule and it must be left to Government to decide whether it is ^{advisable} ~~admissible~~ or not.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Yours most obedt
J. H. Campbell Major R
Superintendent Engineer
Periyar Project

Kyl

P. W. D. Periyar Project.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
PERIYAR PROJECT.

To

The

Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No. 1776.P.R.

Dated

11/2

October 1890

Received

1/5

Enclosure

2.

Assistance in the clerical
& accounts branch of the
office of P.W.D. Periyar
Honourable letter from the
Executive Engineer asking for
the — together with a
proposition statement &
requests that the same
may be approved for the
reasons assigned —

W. J. J.

No 4188C of 15 October

1890

To C

In favour of
remarks with special
reference to paras 7,
8, 9 & 12 of the E.S. letter

2. The proposition
statement should at
the same time be
checked as regards
present scale

P
W

Temporarily
during the year 1890/91

Establishment required for the *Perijar Project*
1890/91.

3320
189

PERIYAR PROJECT.

Statement of proposition for

Temporary
during the year 1890/91.

Establishment required for the

Periyar Project

3320
16

Order sanctioning
present establish-
ment
Government
of Madras
P. W. Department.

Office to which the proposition refers

NATURE OF CHANGE

PROPOSITION.

PRESENT SCALE

PROPOSED SCALE.

No. Date.

No.

Designation.

Pay.

Minimum.
Increment.
Maximum.
Average or
Fixed.

No.

Designation.

Pay.

Minimum.
Increment.
Maximum.
Average or
Fixed.

Permanent.
Increase
per month.
Decrease
per month.

Temporary.
Increase
per month.
Decrease
per month.

Remarks by Local
Government.

5

Brought forward " " 398

No. I. Periyar Div. (Combined)

10 Clerks 4th Clasp 3rd grade " " 300

1 Cashier " " 60

3 Clerks 4th Clasp 3rd grade " " 90

1 Draftsman 1st Clasp " " 72

1 Do 3rd Clasp " " 30

1 Surveyor " " 100

5 Messengers at Rs 10 " " 50

Petty Establishment

2 Storekeepers at Rs 48 " " 96

25 Lascars at Rs 9 " " 225

1 Watchman S. C. Office " " 9

4 Store Watchmen for stores at S. C. Office & Periyar at Rs 9 " " 36

Carried over " " 1466

Brought forward " " 464

No. I. Periyar Div. (Combined)

3 Clerks 4th Clasp 2nd grade " " 120

7 Clerks 4th Clasp 3rd grade " " 210

1 Cashier " " 60

3 Clerks 4th Clasp 3rd grade " " 90

1 Draftsman 1st Clasp " " 72

1 Do 3rd Clasp " " 30

1 Surveyor " " 100

5 Messengers at Rs 10 " " 50

Petty Establishment

2 Storekeepers at Rs 48 " " 96

1 Storekeeper " " 60

25 Lascars at Rs 9 " " 225

1 Watchman S. C. Office " " 9

4 Store Watchmen for stores at S. C. Office & Periyar at Rs 9 " " 36

Carried over " " 1622

For 3. Sub-Divisions

A. 3. S. C. Office

1. For S. C. Office

2. For S. C. Office

1. For S. C. Office

2

B. 3. For overcosts.

C. 2. For S. C. Office

3. For S. C. Office

5

D. 1. For S. C. Office

2. For S. C. Office

3. For S. C. Office

4. For S. C. Office

5. For S. C. Office

25

3320
15

6

L. O. No. 2287/90

23rd August 1890.

No. I. Periyar Division.

PERIYAR PROJECT.

Statement of proposition for

Temporarily during the year

Establishment required for the
1890/91.

Perijar. Rogeriz

3320
16

Superintending Engineer's Office
Perryman Project
11th October 1903

4c

(C. No. 1201/8.)

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1890.

P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

dated

189 .

Madras, 29 October 1890.

OFFICE NOTE No. 6406.

To

W.

Your office note No. 4188 C. dated 15th
October 1890.

Entries in column "Present Scale"
of the proposal statement have been
checked and found correct.

2. Para 7 of Executive Engineer's let-
ter.

An additional accountant 5th Grade
has been posted to No. I Periyar Divi-
sion from 14th June 1890. This man
should be a valuable assistant to the
Accountant as he was a sub-divisional
clerk before he was appointed to the
Accounts Branch, has performed the du-
ties of a divisional accountant inde-
pendently and has had some training
in this office as well.

3. Para 8.

Please see my office note No. 5783
dated 23th September 1890 para 2.

4. Para 9.

No additional accountant was sanc-
tioned by the Government of India in

Periyar Project

*Statement of proposition
for Sanctioning the request
for the Periyar Project during
the year 1890/91—*

*+ vide Memo No. 9906
in the file.*

for No. I Periyar Division. The Government of India in their letter No. 5178 C dated 8th. November 1889 required the Examiner to provide an additional accountant from his existing staff which has been done.

5. Para 12.


There are two accountants (I Accountant 4th. Grade and I Accountant 5th. Grade) attached to the division, & it would be out of question to have a third accountant in the central office to compile accounts vide code para 1834. The work in the division is concentrated and therefore the accounts can be much more easily managed than those of the more scattered divisions. If however, Government consider that the circumstances of the division are such that the Executive Engineer is really unable to compile the accounts with the assistance of the two trained accountants he has at present, endeavours will be made, if possible, to send a third accountant to remain until arrears are cleared off.

[Signature]
24.10
Offg. C.

[Signature]
(Enc 3)

PWC No 10338

E 30.10.90



4183C d/ 15.10.90
1061M d/ 7.7.90
1400-81 M d/ 28.5.90
400-01 M d/ 14.2.90
060 W d/ 15.3.90
780 M d/ 10.3.90
5178 C d/ 8.11.89
2608-7 W d/ 20.9.89
647 M d/ 5.3.89
572 W d/ 27.2.89
355 M d/ 8.2.89

Put up with C. No 8559 1891

No. 4464C

with encl 12.7 sent to B 24.11.90

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 1st Nov 1890.

OFFICE NOTE.

3320/18

From

W

To

C

Your office note of 29th instant No. 6406. para 2 -

How do you reconcile this statement with that contained in your note No. 2692 of 10 May 1890 (Encl. No. 1480W of 28th idem) that the accountant there mentioned was being sent to the Periyar for training as a divisional accountant.

2. Not a word was said in this note as to either of the men

mentioned

named being already
a trained accountant,
if he was, it was ob-
viously wrong to an-
nounce his posting
as part of a scheme
for sending young
accountants to divisions
for training as di-
visional accountants.

L.P.

No. 7121 of 2-12-20 W

To W.

The explanation is very simple.
The accountant in question V.K. Ratna
Mudaliyar, had previously been for two
and a half months in independent charge
of the accounts of a division, and this
with the additional experience mention-
ed in para 2 of Mr. Morrison's office
note No. 6403, should have made him a
valuable assistant. At the same time
he had not had anything like the two
years' training in a divisional office
that is to be required in the future, &
he was not, as you seem to suppose, al-
ready a trained accountant. There was
therefore no impropriety in sending
him out as a young accountant for fur-
ther training.

H.P.

W

3320
18

Submitted
with reference
to the alteration
made by A.S.
The Governor.

Cu

13/12

W

Good home class. 1-11-11 11:57

Case 11570

of O. number;
extra office 2320
at the time - 2320

2. The front
concludes the former
explanation of his
action. The
writing of account to
W. H. I. Dinsmore, re-
sulting, and
that he has failed
adequately to appreciate
the fact in front
of the time I have
of the Col-
... He will make
at one of
... arguments as
will provide for
the writing of the
explanation and
qualified accounts,
reporting his reasons
for the information of
front, and sub-

in this case to
imply, if necessary
judgment statement
my entire satisfaction
that my to climb -

D

The point is not
apparent as just the
point of view from the
examination into which for
by the Senator Ginn;
the Secretary Ginn
along for the reason
of officers' complaint
in these matters, and
specific cases falling to
such officers to be
large Jewish will always
receive consideration -

Consideration of the
Senator Ginn's protest
that the ruling accounts
shall be compiled in the
senior's office will be
deposited until the effect
of the instructions contained
in June 2 of this order is
known -

D 2/16

Impress 3320
21

The undersigned can
testify from personal
experience I have seen the
statements contained
in pages 7 to 10 of the
Taylor's letter are not in
the least over colored; the
senior's action in the
matter is in complete
accord with the decision
he offers to have positively
replied to give my help
to the S. E. and B
I have complied completely
with the decision
contained in J. O. to
2461 W. of 5th St 1889,
and with the present
provision of June 27
of the Code -

Senior's attention is
directed to this office
order No 4464 of 10th
Nov 1890, and the
senior's reply that
dated March one day

Letter - The complaint
from is attached herewith
and the in coming
noted I this this
remains unexplained -

S
3/12

3320
W

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Read the following:-

From the S. E. Pearyer Project no 1776 P.R. d 11th Oct '90.
Office note from the E. P. W. deats no 6406, d 29th Oct '90.
— do — do — do no 7121, d 2nd Dec '90

FOR ORDERS.

The undersigned
can testify from
personal knowledge
that the statements
contained in paras
7 to 10 of Mr Taylor's
letter are as in the
last overcoloured;
the Examiner's action
in the matter is
incomprehensible
he appears to have
persistently refused
to give any help to
the Executive Engineer
on

and to have ceased
compliance with
the spirit of the
decision contained
in G.O. no 2461 W.
of 5th September 1889,
and with the general
provision of para
371 of the Code.

Special attention
is invited to this
office note no 4464 C.
of 1st November 1890,
and the Examiner's
reply thereto dated
31 days later. The
explanation given
is altogether inadequate
and the inconsistency
noticed by this office
remains unexplained.

L

W

8/12

8/12

ther training.

No. 3320 W 4/13 Decr 1890

Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the ^{Sanjay} Sanjay Project no 1776 PR, dated 1st Oct 90
Office note from the
Ex: P. W. Accts no 6406, dated 29th Oct '90
Office note from the
Ex P. W. accts no 7121, dated 2nd Decr 1890

The revised
Statement of
Temporary establishment
required for the
Sanjay Project
during 1890-91, is
sanctioned.

2. The Government
considers the Examiner's
explanation of his
action regarding the
posting

posting of accounts.
ants to not Senior
Division to be un-
satisfactory, and
that he has failed
adequately to appreciate
the ~~importance of~~ ^{additional}
~~amounts in the Senior Division for~~
~~of him by~~
left of the case.

2. He will ^{now} make
~~at once~~ such arrange-
ments as will pro-
vide for the posting
of two experienced
and qualified account-
ants ^{to the Division in question} reporting
his measures for
the information of
Government, and
submitting, if necessary,
for transmission
to the Govt of India
a proposition state-
ment for any
extra

3320
24
150

extra establishment
that may be desired.

4. The Government
is not prepared to
grant the general
power of exemption
from the examination
rules asked for by
the Executive Engineer.
The Supt Engineer
already has the
power of appointing
unpassed men for
three months, and
Special cases calling
for such appointments
for longer periods
will always receive
Consideration.

5. Consideration
of the Executive Eng's
proposal that the
ordinary accounts
shall be compiled
in the Examiner's office
will

will be deferred
until the effect
of the instructions
contained in para
3 of this order is
known.

6. The sanction
accorded in para
1 of these Instructions
has been requested
as Provincial No
74 W of 1890-91, and
classified as Extra,
Temporary.

S
8.14

Superintendent of Works
Division
not Pending
Ex: P. W. Accts

2
5/1/91

Prof H.E. 8.XII
R. 13.XII 90

Chief Engineer.

The Hon. Secy to the Govt

Brig. Genl

3320
25

10338.

Govt. of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. 13th Dec 1890. No 332043.

Leinykshab R.

Revised statement
of — Regd for the
Pump Project in
1890-91 sanctioned
with extra work.

60
/X

9/15/12

25