(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 4

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 3/90

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொது துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 3279

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 9. 12. 1890

198 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

அவண, எ-1—18,008—15-6-87.

3229/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 1st November 1890, No. 6991, Mis. :—

MR. H. W. BLISS.

Read—the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 5th October 1890, No. 7303 :—

With reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 1209, dated 24th February 1890, and No. 2350, dated 17th April 1890, I have the honor to forward a report of the Executive Engineer forwarded by the Superintending Engineer together with a plan, draft estimate, &c. (to be returned) of the proposed alterations.

2. It will be noted that the alterations and additions as proposed by the Board are laid down with slight modification in the plan. If the alterations were carried out, the Sub-Magistrate's private room would have to be shifted from its present position.

3. It will be seen, however, that the Executive Engineer condemns the proposed additions and alterations on the ground that the original building is not worth it, nor indeed strong enough to stand it, and that the Superintending Engineer concurs with him.

4. It was only after much discussion and alteration that the Superintending Engineer was able to approve my first proposals which the Board pointed out to be inadequate, and it seems clear that the enlargement of the building on the lines now proposed must be abandoned.

5. As mentioned in previous correspondence, it was originally intended to have a new Taluk cutcherry at Narsaraopet, and I only abstained from urging the same view with the object of saving the outlay of public money, more especially as several other new cutcherries were absolutely required in the district.

6. Now, however, it is reported that the original cutcherry building (apart from the Police wards) is valued at only Rs. 2,000. The new additions—even if they could be safely made—would cost Rs. 12,426, and I therefore beg leave to recommend that the proposal to patch up and extend the old building be abandoned and that a new Taluk cutcherry be built as proposed by the Superintending Engineer.

7. An excellent site is available for a new cutcherry in the new pettah between the town and the Railway Station. A proposal is before the High Court from the District Judge to transfer the Karempudi District Munsif's Court from Karempudi to Narsaraopet. If this is done, a new court-house could be built in the vicinity of the old cutcherry which would then be found of use for miscellaneous purposes in connection with the court.

Resolution—dated 1st November 1890, No. 6991, Mis.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. The Board entirely concurs with the Collector and the Superintending and Executive Engineers that it will be far better to build a new office than to spend a large sum of money on additions and alterations which can never make the building other than an unsatisfactory one for the purposes of a Taluk office.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. SIM,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with letter and 8 enclosures, in original, to be returned.
Copy to the Collector of the Kistna District.

ORDER—dated 13th November 1890, No. 909, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The Government agrees with the Board in considering that it will be better to build a new office than to spend a large amount of money in improving the existing building.

2. The necessary plans and estimates should be submitted for the approval of Government.

(True Extract.)

Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue, with the Collector's
" the Public Works Department, letter and enclosures returned.

Exd. A. Aubert.

50 Copies.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.

REVENUE.

Issued

Read. Encl.

G.O., 13th November 1890, No. 909.

NOVEMBER.

Taluk Cutcherry.

Sanctioning the construction of a new — at Narsaraopet, Kistna district, and calling for the necessary plans and estimates.