(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 36

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 3/90

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் கருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 3911B

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 9.12.1990

198 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண. எ-1—18,000—15-6-87.

3296
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

No. 28.

READ—the following memorandum from Lieutenant-Colonel J. O. HASTED, R.E., Acting Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Chepauk, 24th March 1882, No. 12 E.W:—

Before Colonel Mullins left the office there was some discussion regarding office arrangements in the Finance Branch. Colonel Mullins remarks:—"The work of this Branch has very greatly increased since the time when it was constituted, and the importance of the many statements which have to be prepared to meet the requirements of the Government of India makes it very desirable that the working strength of the Branch should be increased at an early date."

2. The present Head of the Branch has, Colonel Mullins says, done so well, and is so specially qualified for the work, that he is fully deserving of promotion. If we are unable to increase his salary he will of course seek to better his prospects elsewhere.

3. The work is heavier than one man can satisfactorily manage, and th[illegible] requires an additional man of superior qualifications, who would be capable, a[illegible] a time, of undertaking the duties of Head of the Branch on the occasion of the present Head being temporarily absent. Such a man is now available, and Colonel Mullins suggests that his services should be secured.

4. The Establishment of the Branch is now composed of the following clerks:—

1 Clerk, II Class, 2nd Grade.
1 Do. III „ 2nd „
1 Do. IV „ 1st „
1 Do. IV „ 2nd „

and its present cost is therefore Rs. 280 a month, the average cost being Rs. 290.

The proposed establishment would be as follows:—

1 Clerk, I Class, 3rd Grade
1 Do. III „ 1st „
1 Do. III „ 2nd „
1 Do. IV „ 1st „
1 Do. IV „ 2nd „

present cost would be Rs. 424, average cost Rs. 465.

ENCLOSURE.

TABULAR Statement showing the Present and Proposed Scale of Establishment for the Office of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

No. and Date of Order.	Office to which the proposition refers.	Number.	Present Scale. Designation.	Present Scale. Average Pay.	Proposed Scale. Designation.	Proposed Scale. Number.	Proposed Scale. Average Pay.	Proposed Scale. Permanent. Increase per Month.	Proposed Scale. Permanent. Decrease per Month.	Proposed Scale. Temporary. Period.	Proposed Scale. Temporary. Increase per Month.	Proposed Scale. Temporary. Decrease per Month.	Grounds of Proposition.	Local Government's Recommendation.	Orders of Government of India. P. W. Dept. Remarks.	Orders of Government of India. Financial Department. Remarks.	Orders.
G. O., No. 491 W., dated 3rd March 1880.	Office of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.			Rs.			Rs.	Rs.									
		1	*Manager.* Clerk, I Class, 3rd Grade,	225		1	225										
			Correspondence Branch.														
		1	Clerk, III Class, 1st Grade,	90		1	90										
		1	Do. III ,, 2nd ,,	55		1	55										
		1	Do. IV ,, 1st ,,	45		1	45										
		1	Do. IV ,, 2nd ,,	35		1	35										
		1	Do. IV ,, 3rd ,,	25		1	25										
		1	Do. IV ,, 2nd ,,	35		1	35										
		1	Do. IV ,, 3rd ,,	25		1	25										
		1	Reference Picker	10		1	10										
			Total, Correspondence Branch ..	298			295										
			Finance Branch.														
		1	Clerk, II Class 2nd Grade,	140		1	225	85									
		1	Do. III ,, 2nd ,,	70		1	90	20									
		1	Do. IV ,, 1st ,,	45		1	70	25									
		1	Do. IV ,, 2nd ,,	35		1	45	10									
			Total, Finance Branch ..	290			465	175									
			Construction Branch.														
		1	Clerk, II Class, 3rd Grade,	110		1	110										
		1	Draughtsman, 1st Grade,	60		1	60										
		1	Do. 2nd ,,	42½		1	42½										
		1	Do. 2nd ,,	42½		1	42½										
		1	Do. 3rd ,,	25		1	25										
		1	Do. 3rd ,,	25		1	25										
			Total, Construction Branch ..	305			305										
			General Branch.														
		1	Mochi	10		1	10										
		1	Peon	6		1	6										
		2	Do.	14		2	14										
		3	Lascars	12		3	12										
				21			21										
			Total, General Branch ..	65			65										
			Grand Total ..	1,180			1,305	175									

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

3276/2

No. 29.

ORDER—dated 12th April 1882, No. 918 W., Public Works.

The proposals of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation are sanctioned and will have effect from the 1st April. The immediate additional cost will be Rs. 144* per mensem, or Rs. 1,584 for the current official year. A transfer of the latter amount is now made from the Provincial Reserve to "Establishment" to meet the charge.

* Rs. 200 — 136 = 64
80
144

2. This sanction has been registered as No. 2 W. of 1882-83 and classified as "Establishment."

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

CHIEF ENGINEER
27APP
1882
FOR IRRIGATION

GENERAL
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of 1882 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.

APRIL.

Finance Branch of the Office of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.—The proposals of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for increasing the strength of the —, approved with remarks.

3276
3

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.

READ—the following letter from Colonel R. C. B. PEMBERTON, R.E., Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (Civil Works—Irrigation), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Calcutta, 22nd February 1888, No. 40 I.:—

It is believed that a register of estimates for individual works sanctioned against the general estimate for a Major Irrigation project is usually maintained, but it appears desirable to formally recognise this record. In the case of Major Irrigation works and works for which Capital and Revenue Accounts are kept, on receipt of sanction to the project a register of sanctions should be opened for each sub-division of the project with an abstract for the whole. It should be maintained in the office of the Chief Engineer, but whenever Superintending Engineers are empowered to sanction estimates up to Rs. 10,000 or any lower limit they must keep up a similar record for each work or part of a work with regard to which they exercise powers of sanction. The register should show the provision in the project estimate extended across the top, and from this, as estimates for individual works or services are sanctioned, the amounts so appropriated should, as sanctions are recorded, be deducted. Excesses sanctioned on completion reports should be similarly recorded, and savings on completion reports may be likewise added. The register should be in the following form:—

Branch of —— *Canal.*

—	Preliminary expenses.	Land.	Works.	Regulators.			Total.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Provision in Project Estimate							
Estimate for works sanctioned in No. , dated							
Balance available ...							
Excess sanctioned completion reports							
Balance available ...							
(and so on)							

ORDER—dated 9th March 1888, No. 179 I., Public Works.

Communicated to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for information and guidance, and to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts and all Superintending Engineers for information.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. R. MEAD, Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
To all Superintending Engineers.
To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. J. Moses.
Spare Copies

3276/4

IRRIGATION.

Govt. of {1888} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 9th.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., 9th March 1888, No. 179 I.

MARCH.

Register of Estimates

Letter from the Government of India forwarding for adoption a form of — for individual works sanctioned against the general estimate for a Major Irrigation project, communicated to officers concerned.

3226/5

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

READ—the following paper :—

No. 71 I.

Note by the Acting Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

In letter No. 40 I., dated 22nd February 1888, printed with G.O., No. 179 I., dated 9th March 1888, the Government of India prescribed a form of register of estimates for individual works sanctioned against the general estimates for a project. This form does not, however, admit of the total provision under each sub-head as modified from time to time being shown. It is considered desirable that the register should show this information, as the sums provided for works under the several sub-heads are frequently modified and the modified figures are required for comparison with expenditure. The accompanying five forms marked A, B, C, D and E have, therefore, been drawn up and are recommended for approval and communication to the officers concerned for adoption in lieu of the form prescribed by the Government of India.

2. Form *A* is a general abstract by departmental heads in which all reductions in the estimates should be distinguished by *minus* sign.

3. Form *B* shows the distribution, by sections, of the amount sanctioned for works. The lump sums reserved for unforeseen works in this office and those placed at the disposal of Superintending Engineers should be shown in separate columns. In these columns the amounts of estimates sanctioned from the lump sum provisions should be shown as deductions, corresponding sums being shown in the column appertaining to the section to which the estimates refer.

4. Form *C* shows the distribution, by canals, of the amount sanctioned for each section. For systems like the Pennér and Sangam anicut projects, which are not divided into sections, form B will not be required, as form C, with the addition of two columns to show the lump sums reserved for unforeseen works in this office and those at the Superintending Engineer's disposal, will admit of all the information needed being recorded.

5. *Form D.*—This form shows by main and sub-heads (*a*) the total amount sanctioned, (*b*) the total of the detailed estimates sanctioned, and (*c*) the difference between (*a*) and (*b*) representing the balance available to cover future sanctions.

6. *Form E.*—This form is intended to detail the sanctions given under tools and plant.

OOTACAMUND,
6th July 1889.

(Signed) G. T. WALCH,
Ag. Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

To the Acting Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

ORDER—dated 13th July 1889, No. 340 I., Public Works.

The forms proposed by the Acting Chief Engineer for Irrigation for registers of sanctions to individual estimates against a general estimate for a project are approved and will be communicated to the officers concerned for adoption in lieu of the one prescribed in G.O., No. 179 I., dated 9th March 1888.

2. Ordered that a copy of these Proceedings be forwarded to the Government of India for information.

(Here enter No. 341 I., dated 13th July 1889.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. T. WALCH,
Ag. Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
„ all Superintending Engineers.
„ the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
„ the Revenue Department.

ENDORSEMENT—dated 13th July 1889, No. 341 I., Public Works.

Forwarded.

(Signed) G. T. WALCH,
Ag. Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

Exd. J. Henricus.
Spare Copies

IRRIGATION.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1889} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated July, 13th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 13th July 1889, Nos. 340 I., 341 I.

JULY.

Estimates

Forwarding copy of orders approving forms proposed by the Acting Chief Engineer for Irrigation of sanctions to individual — against a general estimate for a project.

4TH JUNE 1889, No. 1472 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

3226/7

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers:—

From Colonel J. PENNYCUICK, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Periyár Project Works, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Kodaikánal, 19th April 1889, No. 632 P.R.

With reference to G.O., No. 861 W., of 22nd March 1889, I have the honor to observe that there appears to be some misapprehension as to the state of affairs regarding the grant of Periyár allowances to members of the temporary establishment.

2. The letter from the Government of India printed with the Government Order quoted refers to "the sanction accorded by the Government of Madras" to the disbursement of these allowances to "the whole of the establishment, permanent or temporary," employed above the ghâts.

3. I am not aware of any such order; G.O., No. 3152 W., of 8th October 1888, merely stated that the question would be referred to the Government of India, and as a fact the allowances in question have not yet been drawn by any member of the temporary establishment.

4. I presume, however, that the order under reply may be taken as sanctioning the payment of the extra allowances up to the date of its receipt, which for convenience sake may be taken as the 31st March (it was actually received on the 4th April), and have therefore instructed the Executive Engineer to claim them up to the end of March.

5. Inasmuch as the men interested have already suffered severely from the long delay in the settlement of the question, and as the claims now to be submitted could probably not be audited much before the end of May, I have authorized the Executive Engineer (in anticipation of the sanction of Government) to disburse at once 90 per cent. of all claims, of the propriety of which he is satisfied.

6. As it has now been definitely decided that in future the salaries of temporary employés should be fixed with reference to local disadvantages, and that such men should not receive local allowances, it becomes necessary to consider what increase of pay should be given on this account.

7. The enclosed list includes all temporary employés sanctioned up to date with their present salaries and the additions recommended with effect from the 1st April.

8. No additions are proposed in the cases of certain men whose salaries were either distinctly stated on appointment to include Periyár allowances or were specially fixed with regard thereto. The salaries of all the others are simply those drawn by men performing similar duties elsewhere, and were fixed in the full belief that the local allowances would be granted in addition.

9. To these an increase is proposed equal to two-thirds of the sums sanctioned in G.O., No. 2180 W., of 18th July 1888, this proportion being adopted because the extra pay will be drawn all the year round and while on privilege leave, while the local allowances will only be drawn for an average of nine months in the year and will be forfeited during leave.

10. Of course in the event of transfer from one division to the other the salaries of individuals will be revised or exchanged, but each such case can be dealt with on its own merits.

ENCLOSURE.

PERIYAR PROJECT.

LIST of temporary establishment sanctioned for employment on Periyár head works showing increase of salary in lieu of Periyár allowances.

Item No.	Nature of office.	Salary. Sanctioned.	Salary. Proposed.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5
	UPPER SUBORDINATES.	RS.	RS.	
1	Supervisor (Sub-Conductor Fryer) *	200	200	No increase is proposed to the salaries of the officers marked * as these were stated in the original orders of appointment to include Periyár allowances.
1	Overseer (S. V. Ramasawmy Iyengar)	60	86	
1	Superintendent of Workshops *	150	150	
1	Rock-drilling machineman and tunneller * ..	300	300	
	LOWER SUBORDINATES.			
2	Sub-Overseers *	70	70	
2	Do.*	45	45	
	SURVEY ESTABLISHMENT.			
1	Surveyor (Mr. E. J. Rosemeyer) *	80	80	
	OFFICE ESTABLISHMENT.			
	Superintending Engineer's office.			
1	Head Clerk, Rs. 100—120	104	125	
1	Clerk, Rs. 60—80	64	75	
1	Do. Rs. 40—50	42	50	
1	Draftsman (special) (a)	80	96	(a) Pay according to actual sanction is Rs. 60, but application for its increase to Rs. 80 has been made in this office No. 507 P.R. of 22nd March 1889.
	No. 1, Periyár Division office.			
1	Head Clerk, Rs. 60—80	70	84	
2	Clerks, Rs. 30—40	35	42	
10	Do. Rs. 20—30	25	30 †	† *Vide* Superintending Engineer's letter No. 728 P.R., dated 2nd May 1889.
1	Cashier	50	60	
1	Draftsman, 1st class, Rs. 50—70	60	72	
1	Do. 3rd class, Rs. 20—30	25	30 †	
2	Storekeepers, at Rs. 40 each	40	48	

KODAIKANAL,
19th April 1889.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Col., R.E.,
Supg. Engineer, Periyár Project Works.

From Colonel J. PENNYCUICK, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Periyár Project Works, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Kodaikánal, 2nd May 1889, No. 728 P.R.

I have the honor to bring to notice that in the list of temporary establishment which accompanied my No. 632 P.R., dated 19th April 1889, the proposed salary of clerks and draftsman who are now in receipt of Rs. 25 per mensem is entered at Rs. 33.

2. This was entered under the impression that the Periyár allowance for men on the permanent establishment drawing the same rate of pay would be Rs. 12-8-0, but I overlooked the limitation to a maximum of Rs. 8. The proper increase to the pay of temporary men would therefore be Rs. 5, and I request that the entries of Rs. 33 in the column for proposed rate of pay may be altered to Rs. 30.

3276/8

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 8th May 1889, No. 611.

The letter of the Government of India communicated with G.O., No. 861 W., dated 22nd March 1889, was, I think, evidently not intended to do more than confine action already taken by the Madras Government, and gave no authority for any payments not previously authorized by that Government. The Superintending Engineer's action in authorizing payments which are not authorized by the Government of India seems unfortunate and is likely to lead to inconvenient complications, especially as there is nothing to show that he has informed the Executive Engineer of his proposals to Government, and he has authorized him to pay 90 per cent. of claims, whereas he does not recommend to Government to grant more than 66·6 per cent. in any case, and only that much in certain cases. It seems desirable, therefore, that the Superintending Engineer should be requested by wire to allow no further payments pending final orders of Government.

2. The scale on which the salaries of temporary employés should be increased is entirely a matter for Government, but it may be well to consider whether, when work on the plains is further advanced, the Superintending Engineer's office will remain at Thekady during the whole of the working season above the ghâts. If it does not remain there for the whole of that time, the additions to the pay of the members of the Superintending Engineer's office should not perhaps be fixed on considerations properly applicable only to establishments which, like the Executive Engineer's, will remain at Thekady throughout the season.

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 18th May 1889, No. 682.

I do not think the supposition would be a reasonable one. The question is not one which need be affected one way or the other by the expiry of financial year, and if the Government of India had meant that the allowances should be paid up to the end of financial year and then cease, I think they would have said so. As it is, they seem to say very plainly that they confine the action which they mistakenly suppose the Madras Government to have authorized, but that now, *i.e.*, now that they have communicated their decision, the payments supposed to have been authorised must be *discontinued*.

ORDER—dated 4th June 1889, No. 1472 W., Public Works.

In their letter, dated 9th March 1889, recorded with G.O., No. 861 W., dated 22nd March 1889, the Government of India in sanctioning the disbursement of special allowances to the staff of the Periyár project for periods spent above ghâts, intimated that the grant of the allowances to members of the temporary establishment "should now be discontinued." The Government of India were aware that the Madras Government had previously authorised the disbursement of the allowances to the temporary establishments; and, in ordering that the allowances to these establishments "should now be discontinued," it is clear that the intention was that they should be discontinued from date of receipt of the orders withdrawing them. These orders reached the Superintending Engineer on the 4th April 1889. There thus seems no objection to the Superintending Engineer's proposal to stop the allowances from the preceding 31st March, the last day of the official year.

2. As it would appear that certain of the members of the temporary establishment were engaged on the understanding that they would receive the special allowances now withdrawn, it is only just that these men should be compensated by increase of pay. The proposed increases are therefore sanctioned with effect from

1st April 1889. Temporary establishments engaged in future should be distinctly informed that they will be entitled to no local allowances.

3. These Proceedings will be forwarded for the information of the Government of India.

(Here enter No. 1473 W., dated 4th June 1889.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Periyár Project Works.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. J. Henricus.
Spare Copies

2276/89

3296/10

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1889} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated June, 4th.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., 4th June 1889, No. 1472 W.

JUNE.

Periyar Allowances

Orders on letters from the Superintending Engineer, Periyár Project, reporting in connection with the stoppage of — to the temporary employés and recommending the grant of increased salaries.

REGISTER OF SANCTIONS FOR THE GODAVARI DELTA

UNDER

49. CAPITAL EXPENDITURE
NOT CHARGED TO REVENUE.

3276
11

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
General abstract by departmental heads	
Abstract for works by sections	
Do. for head works	
Do. for Eastern section by canals	
Do. for Central do.	
Do. for Western do.	
Do. by main and sub heads for head works	
Eastern section—Main canal	
Do. Bank canal	
Do. Cocanada canal	
Do. Tooliabaga drain	
Central section—Main canal	
Do. Gunnaram canal	
Do. Kowsika drain	
Western section—Main canal	
Do. Kokaraparru canal	
Do. Nakkola drain	
Abstract for tools and plant	

A.—General Abstract Register of Sanctions by Departmental Heads.

Number and date of Proceedings of Madras Government with which estimates were submitted.		Works.	Establishment.	Tools and plant.	Loss by exchange.	Total.	Less receipts on Capital account.	Net Total.	Indirect charges.				Grand Total.	Number and date of Proceedings of Madras Government containing the sanction by the Secretary of State or the Government of India.		Remarks.
Number.	Date.								Capitalised abatement of land revenue.	Leave and pension allowances.	Loss by exchange.	Total.		Number.	Date.	
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14		15
	1882.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		1882.	
														514 I.	4th July ..	Provisional sanction by Government of India.
		81,75,372	20,11,645	9,01,678	..	110,88,695	..	110,88,695	15,36,364	4,06,957	637	19,43,958	130,32,653	854 I.	23rd October.	Sanction by the Secretary of State.
259 I.	21st March.														1883.	
		— 56,335	..	56,335	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	194 I.	2nd March.	
		81,19,037	20,11,645	9,58,013	..	110,88,695	..	110,88,695	15,36,364	4,06,957	637	19,43,958	130,32,653		1886.	
	Total ..	..	..	..	637	637	..	637	..	..	— 637	— 637	..	963 W.	8th April.	
	Total ..	81,19,037	20,11,645	9,58,013	637	110,89,332	..	110,89,332	15,36,364	4,06,957	..	19,43,321	130,32,653			
	1888.														1888.	
63 I.	26th Jan.	42,474	— 13,849	— 33,500	4,875	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	511 I.	29th August.	
		534	..	..	— 534	..	..	..	..	— 8,457	..	— 8,457	— 8,457			
	Total ..	81,62,045	19,97,796	9,24,513	4,978	110,89,332	..	110,89,332	15,36,364	3,98,500	..	19,34,864	130,24,196			
	1889.															
129 I.	11th March ..	..	..	343	534	877	877	..	..	..	..	..	..			

3226/12/

B.—Abstract Register of Sanctions for Works in each Section.

Number of Proceedings of Madras Government.	Date of Proceedings of Madras Government.	Old outlay.	Head works.	Eastern section.	Central section.	Western section.	Unforeseen works.		Total.
							Irrigation Chief Engineer's disposal.	Superintending Engineer's disposal.	
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
129 I.	11th March 1889. ..	42,99,072	72,615	13,57,087	9,38,884	14,58,374	29,143	6,970	81,62,045

C.—Abstract Register of Sanctions for Works on each Canal in the Eastern Section.

Number of Proceedings of Madras Government.	Date of Proceedings of Madras Government.	Main canal.	Bank canal to 30th mile.	Bank canal extension.	Cocanada canal.	Kovur-Munjer canal.	Sámalkót canal.	Mundapet canal.	Coringa canal.	Injeram canal.	Toolla-baga drain.	Biccavole drain.	Takeo drain.	Bhima-krosupalem drain.	Total.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
129 I. ..	11th Mar. 1889.	39,179	2,29,689	79,032	2,19,551	18,290	1,53,704	62,609	84,876	44,126	1,34,891	71,162	2,17,708	2,270	13,57,087

[Similar form for Central and Western sections.]

D.—Abstract Register of Sanctions by Main and Sub-Heads for Works on Cocanada Canal, Eastern Section.

(a) Total provision. (b) Detailed estimates sanctioned. (c) Balance.

Number of Proceedings of Madras Government.	Date of Proceedings of Madras Government.	(2) Main Canals and Branches.												(3) Distributaries.						(4) Drainage and Protective Works.						Total.		
		B. Land.			F (1). Other cross drainage works.			I. Navigation works.			And so on for each other sub-head.			C. Works.			L. Earthwork (a separate column for each other sub-head).			C. Works.			L. Earthwork (a separate column for each other sub-head).					
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(a)	(b)	(c)
	1889.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
129 I. ..	11th March ..	2,000	2,000	..	6,666	6,666	..	1,25,355	1,25,355	..	..	..	..	25,638	25,638	..	13,739	13,739	..	..	..	..	3,340	3,340	..	2,19,551	2,19,551	..

[Similar form for each canal and also for head works.]

13/2296

E.—Abstract Register of Sanctions for Tools and Plant.

Number of Proceedings of Madras Government.	Date of Proceedings of Madras Government.	Amount of estimates sanctioned.	Lump sum at			Total.	Remarks.
			Irrigation Chief Engineer's disposal.	Superintending Engineer's disposal.	Executive Engineer's disposal.		
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
129 I.	11th March 1889..	8,90,703	29,153	5,000	..	9,24,856	

6th July 1889.

(Signed) G. T. WALCH,
Ag. Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

3226/14

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
PERIYAR PROJECT.

No. 2124 PR

29th November 1890

From

Major D'Arcy Campbell RE
Superintending Engineer,
Periyar Project.

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.—

Sir,

ENCLOSURES

With reference to para 4 of G. O. No. 3024 W. dated 13th Decbr. I have the honor to report after consultation with the Executive Engineer Do I Periyar Division that I consider it advisable to retain the whole of the Existing Establishment of Clerks in the Superintending Engineer's office for employment in that of the Superintendent of works till 1st February next with the exception of the Draftsman, one Accountant and Lascar who

who can be given one months notice

2. My reason is that owing to sickness amongst the Clerks the records of the Division office are somewhat in confusion and it would be well if the whole establishment were retained to get them in order as well as to decide and arrange the records of No I and No II Divisions.

3. The Draftsmen will not be required as almost all the works he has now only appertains to No II Division. One of the Messengers and none of the Lascars will be required as there are already two of the former and 4 of the latter attached to Executive Engineer's office.

One

3226/15

One Messenger is required to accompany the treasury cart to and from Periakulam.

4. After the 1st February I would propose to retain the Head Clerk and one other Clerk on Rs 50, the other two being dispensed with.

5. This office Draftsman D. John Pillay can revert to his permanent post of Head-Draftsman in the Negapatam Division.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedt. servt.

A. T. Boyton
for
Superintending Engineer
Periyar Project.

P. W. D. Periyar Project.

From

The Superintending Engineer,

Periyar Project.

To

The Chief Engineer

P. W. D

Madras

No. 2124 PR

Dated 29 / Received 30 } November 1890.

Enclosure.

Office and Petty Establishments of the Supdg Engrs office Periyar Project:-

Reports the disposal of the—

No 3024-25 dy 13.11.90 Original
" 105 dy 6.5.90
" 1673 dy 16.6.90
" 2743-46 dy 16.10.90
" 919 dy 21.3.90
" 2868 dy 20.8.88
" 2946 dy 18.10.89
" 556 dy 22-7-89
" 543 dy 19-7-89
" 1201 dy 6-5-89
" 453 dy 15-2-89
" 3925 dy 15-12-88 Diff
" 4013 dy 20-10-88
" 2860 dy 13-9-88
" 3245 dy 20.8.88
" 2568 dy 20.8.88
" 2400 dy 8-8-88
" 1129-30 dy 16.4-88
" 411-12 dy 6-2-88
" 457 dy 3-2-88
" 3389 dy 12-12-87

Rec'd No 4444/91

No 3226 dy 19 Dec 1890

3226/16

I respectfully submit except that I do not think it desirable to dispense with the services of the clerks now attached to this office. There is a large amount of drawing in connection with the Division, when the plans of the various works have to be revised, and the services of an intelligent draughtsman are essential.

The services of the draughtsman should therefore be retained, but he can be attached to the office of the S.E. and as he will not have to live at the

3226/16 P.W. Department

P. W. D. Periyar Project.

Reg on the subject
can be received from
Rs 96 to Rs 80
from date of leaving
the title Jotter -

S
S.O.12

Note for order I also
L.O. in accordance with
the above -

3226/17 P. W. Department

Note

The undersigned does not think it desirable to dispense with the services of the draftsman now attached to Supdg: Engineer's Office. There is a large amount of drawing in connection with No: II Division, where the plans of almost every masonry work has to be revised, and the services of an intelligent draftsman are essential.

The services of the draftsman should therefore, it is thought, be retained, but he can be attached to the office of the Supdg: Engineer VI circle, and as he will not have to live at the Periyar his salary can be reduced from Rs 96 to Rs 80 from date of leaving the

the latter place.

Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

No. 3276 W.S./9th Oct. 1890.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

3276/1890

READ the following

Letter from the Supty: Engineer Periyar Project No: 2124 P.R. d/29th Nov: 90

The Government approves the proposals of the Supty: Engineer Periyar Project, except with regard to the draftsman, who will be transferred to the office of the Supty: Engineer VI circle for special duty in connection with the revision of the plans of masonry works in No: II Periyar Division.

2. From the date of his transfer to the plains the draftsman's pay will be reduced to Rs 80 per mensem.

H.S. 8/12/90

Supt: of Works etc: 1st P. Division.
Supty: Engineer VI circle.
Examiner, P.W. accounts.

4.a

No. 3276 Wd 79 - 8 - [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]