17 ✓

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 34
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் January .90
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2931 W
அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 5-11-1890. ~~198~~.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண, கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

No. 2431 / 10-8-90.

His No. 943 / 9-8-90. 2931 W / 1

The S. D. O is not entitled to the correspondence. It should be sufficient for him to know that th medical officer has certified that on medical grounds he should retire after 4th September when he will be 56 years of age. Had he been sent up for Examination on the last occasion, he would not, in all probability have had any Extensio

Camp. Trivalur
10-8-90

(Signed)
C. H. T. Norfor
Executive Engine

Wd 11th

True copy

S. Subramanian

Statement Shewing the Expenditure incurred in M[illegible]margoody Sub Division.

2931 W
1/2

Months	Years														
	1886			1887			1888			1889			1890		
April	467	15	4	922	8	2	2130	3	7	933	8	2	6175	10	"
May	1736	15	10	1914	"	"	3429	4	4	2977	3	3	4675	9	3
June	2082	15	4	4931	7	5	3870	5	6	3070	3	11	4807	"	1
July	768	2	8	2843	5	4	3476	12	7	4076	3	"	4503	8	8
August	1633	11	4	1834	13	10	3058	8	9	2677	5	7			
September	900	4	11	1999	11	5	3558	10	10	3149	6	9			
October	794	10	1	2950	8	6	2573	5	7	2647	13	3			
November	1419	1	5	2272	2	10	2125	7	6	3193	1	10			
December	1089	"	"	2276	3	2	1372	4	6	3953	0	7			
January	765	8	"	3224	4	2	2382	4	9	2744	2	7			
February	1008	"	"	2804	"	"	2015	14	9	5091	11	6			
March	5709	10	5	5264	4	11	4957	9	"	9155	11	9			

Madras.
10th October 1890

2931/3

From

Rai Bahadur T. Subramania Pillay
Late Assistant Engineer
Mannargudi Sub Division

To.

Colonel J. Pennycuick R.E.
Chief Engineer and Secretary
to Government. P.W. Dept.
Madras

Sir,

I beg leave to state that my Telegram to you of the 30th August 1890 was returned to me through the Superintending Engineer VI Circle with your memorandum No. 722 & dated the 1st September 1890 purporting that my Telegram was not only irregular and improper but perfectly useless as regards my own interests and that my "case will be dealt with in accordance with the medical evidence and the rules of the Department."

2. On the 30th August 1890 the Executive Engineer, Negapatam, who came to Mannargudi to inspect the Sub Division Office told me personally that arrangements had already been made to relieve, or in other words, to retire me in

a

a day or two, even though I had previously protested to him that no case for compulsory retirement could be made out on the Medical opinion, communicated to me by him in his correspondence as first pronounced by the Tanjore Surgeon.

3. The first opinion of the District Surgeon as communicated by the Executive Engineer is that I am "unfit for extension of Service beyond the period already granted." The Second opinion of the District Surgeon announced to me by the Executive Engineer in person on the 30th August as having been required by the Superintending Engineer VI Circle — to whom it was communicated by the Medical Officer — declares me as suffering from incipient leprosy!

4. But neither the first nor the Second opinion has been communicated to me in the very words of the Medical Officer, even though I had applied to Mr. Norfor for a copy of the Doctor's first opinion, to which Mr. Norfor replied I was not entitled. Copy of his refusal is enclosed.

5. If I am to accept Mr. Norfor's account about the first medical opinion, and his personal statement concerning the Second opinion, I find myself involved in a maze

maze of inconsistencies, since it is highly improbable that a District Surgeon, who discovers a Government Officer examined by him to be a leper, will sanction his continuing to discharge his duties for a time i.e. up to 4th September 1890, on which date the sanction given by the Chief Engineer for the retention of the Officers Services according to the interpretation of the Executive Engineer was to end and then to invalidate his fitness for further Service. To specify exact dates, I was examined on the 31st July and allowed to remain in Service till the 4th September by a Medical Officer of the position of the District Surgeon, who certainly must have known the stringent rules framed by Government in connection with lepers. This is not all. The serious charge that I am suffering from leprosy personally announced to me by Mr. Norfor as contained in the 2d or Supplemental report of the Surgeon to the Superintending Engineer has followed many days after the first opinion which purports, according to Mr. Norfor's statement, that I am simply not fit for further extension.

2931
4

6. That two opinions so different in themselves should be the outcome of one

and

and the same Examination (for I was never examined a 2nd time) were apt to create in my mind grave suspicion as to the legitimacy of at least one of the opinions, if not both of them. I was all the more confirmed in my suspicions by the circumstance that I was kept entirely in the dark by Mr. Norfor as to the exact wording of the first opinion, which I have strong reasons to presume is more favorable than what Mr. Norfor has, in writing, communicated to me to be. I have further to state that the Chief Engineer, in his memo No. 1453C dated 17th March 1890, ordered that the orders of the Chief Engineer on the 55 years statement "will have effect for the current year", and that I am under the above order entitled to remain in service till the end of December 1890. This order has also been ignored. I beg however to state here that for some time past there has been between Mr. Norfor and myself some misunderstanding initiated in the affair of a certain contractor which I tried my best to conciliate by my submission and forbearance. The Contractor had threatened the Executive Engineer with a law suit and when his case was referred to me for report, I said that the Contractor was not wholly to blame, and accordingly made my report,

which

2931 W
5

which unfortunately did not coincide with the opinion entertained by the Executive Engineer and resulted in his passing cutting remarks against me and my way of doing business. Though I assured Mr. Norfor both in person and in writing that I never meant to displease him in any way, he had evidently taken a dislike towards me and I regret to say treated me in a way quite derogatory to my position and different from that adopted by other Executive Engineers under whom I have hitherto served.

7. It may be asked why I could not seek help from the Superintending Engineer before I sought your help. I did ~~not~~ indeed seek his help after Mr. Norfor had refused me a copy of the District Surgeon's first opinion, and I submitted to him that Mr. Norfor wished to retire me compulsorily without legitimate grounds. He replied to me in writing that he "perfectly agreed with the Executive Engineer and even if the Surgeon had not pronounced me unfit he (the Superinten Engineer) should not have recommended my extension on public grounds".

8. It was about 20 days after this that Mr. Norfor met me at Mannargudi on the 30th August and announced to me the news of my proposed retirement in a day

or

or two on the ground of leprosy. In such
circumstances I could expect no help from
the Executive Engineer or Superintending Engineer
and was compelled through sheer necessity
to lay my case before you before it was
too late, so that, you might graciously
take some steps towards rendering me justice.
But the interval between the 30th August,
the day on which Mr. Norfor spoke of my
retirement and the actual day on which
I expected the order for retirement, (in fact
it reached me the very next day) was so short
that I had no course open to me but to
wire to you about my difficulties if I hoped
for help before it was too late.

9. Of course the beneficial results
I expected from my telegram I have not
unfortunately realized, since, in the absence
of the necessary antecedents herein dwelt
upon, which I can substantiate fully and
to your satisfaction if you should only
kindly require me to do so, the course
adopted by me in addressing you by wire
struck you as irregular and improper.
Further in the telegram itself owing to some
mistake on the part of the Signallers,
"compulsorily reducing me 4th September"
has been misplaced for "compulsorily retiring
me 4th September.

10. If

2931 W
6

10. If in consideration of the reasons I
have assigned, you should graciously be
pleased to have my fitness tested by a
Medical Board properly constituted and
order my retirement or retension according
to the results of such examination, I should
only feel too happy, though my object in
making to you this appeal is not so much
as to regain my footing as to bring to
your notice that my compulsory retirement
has been the result of the animus which
Mr. Norfor bore against me, and that sometimes
Officers influenced by their personal and
private feelings do not take into their
consideration the efficiency and meritorious
services of their subordinates as required
by Civil Service Regulations Article 503 a
and Foot note (1). If I was retired under
the 55 years rule, after the expiration of
my term of further retention in Service as
sanctioned in Chief Engineer's Memo No. 1453 C
dated 17th March 1890 I should have had
no ground for complaint, but I find that I
am retired on the ground of being afflicted
with incipient leprosy which I question and
appeal to submit myself to an Examination
by

by a Medical Board as, if this stigma is allowed to remain, and the public made to believe that leprosy was the cause of my retirement, it will result in my being excluded from the Society as being subject to a loathsome complaint from which as I have ascertained from other Medical Officers I am not suffering.

Under these circumstances I request that you will kindly be pleased to call for the correspondence that has taken place between Mr. Norfor and the District Surgeon and the Superintending Engineer and reconsider my case in the light of the information furnished in this appeal.

In conclusion I beg leave to state that I am as energetic now as ever as will be seen from the appended statement which will shew that the amount of Expenditure as representing progress of works so long as I was in charge of my subdivision is far in excess of that incurred by any of my predecessors during the past 4 years.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

L. Soobramaniam
Rai Bahadur

Address
Manjacuppam
Cuddalore New Town

2931 w
7

189 .

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. [illegible] ✓

From

Rai Bahadur T. Subramania Pillay - late Asst. Engr. P.W.D.

ENCLOS.— 2

No.

Dated 10-10-90.

Received 11-10-90

SUBJECT.

Appealing against his retirement —

G.O. 2676W d/25.9.87
" 2279W d/24.8.87
" 1395W d/23.5.89
" 1453 C d/17.3.90
" 661 D d/24.8.90
" 722 D d/1.9.90
" 760 D d/6.9.90
" 3810 C d/19.9.90

DISPOSAL.

No. 2931W dated 5-XI-90

2931 W / 9

(C. No. $\frac{1201}{8}$.)

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } **1890.** { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

KEEP-WITH CURRENT No.

Madras, 23 October 1890.

OFFICE NOTE No. 6319.

dated 189

No. 166 2931 W / 8 6ctz

TANJORE, 28th Aug. 1890

Certified that I have carefully examined M. R. Ry. T. Subramania Pillai, Honry. Asst. Engineer (son of Ramalingam Pillai) in the Engineers Dept. Tanjore District. His age is by his own statement 56 years, by appearance about 56 years. I consider T. Subramania Pillai to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further — service of any kind — in consequence of — — — Incipient Leprosy. His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits,

C. Minton

H. A. Trailer, MD.
~~Surgeon-Major,~~
District Surgeon,
Tanjore

---

cal officer should be accepted does not appear advisable or convenient for a leper to go to Madras to appear before the standing Medical Committee, nor does it appear easy or necessary to assemble an Invaliding Committee.

3. Please obtain at your earliest convenience, the orders of Government as

1,000—13-5-90.

189 .

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. [illegible]

From

NEGAPATAM DIVISION
Regd No. 11/2142
3 SEP. 90
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE

EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS
No. 6319
OCT 90

SUPG: ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No.
26 SEP. 90
P.W.D.
SIXTH CIRCLE.

SUPG: ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No.
29 AUG. 90
P.W.D.
SIXTH CIRCLE.

2931 W
9

(C. No. 1201/8.)

GOVT. OF MADRAS. 1890. P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

KEEP-WITH CURRENT No.

dated 189 .

Madras, 23 October 1890.

OFFICE NOTE No. 6319.

Note Connected papers are with C No 9687 in circulation 6 P.M. 24/10.

Subject. Appeal of the Assist. Engr. against his retirement.

To

W.

I beg to submit a medical certificate (to be returned) from the District Surgeon, Tanjore, incapacitating Hony. Assistant Engineer T. Subramania Pillay for further service in consequence of Incipient Leprosy, and to point out that this certificate is the only evidence of the Engineer's incapacity.

2. The pension applied for on behalf of T. Subramania Pillay exceeds Rs. 100, and the procedure laid down in article 486(e) of the Civil Service Regulations is not followed by the Superintending Engineer 6th. Circle, who explains that "In this case it is considered that a certificate by a single medical officer should be accepted as it does not appear advisable or convenient for a leper to go to Madras to appear before the standing Medical Committee, nor does it appear easy or necessary to assemble an Invaliding Committee".

3. Please obtain at your earliest convenience, the orders of Government as

1,000—19-5-90.

P.W.C. No 10114S

to whether, on the Superintending Engineer's explanation, the medical certificate submitted in para I above may be accepted as sufficient evidence of T. Subramania Pillay's incapacity for further service, and his pension application reported upon accordingly.

S 23.10

Offg. C.

23/10

(Enc: one)

Rd 24-10-90

P. W. Department.

2931 W / 10

Read the following:—

Appeal from Hony. Assistant Engineer T. Subrahmanya Pillai d/ 10th Octr 1890

FOR ORDERS.

The petitioner attained the age of 55 years on the 5th Septr 1889 but being considered fit for further service was retained in employment under the provisions of article 503 of the Civil Service Regulations.

2. In August last the Superintending Engineer reported that he did not, nor did the District Surgeon, consider him fit for any

further

further extension beyond the 1st Septr 1890, and orders were given by the Chief Engineer for his being medically examined, and a certificate obtained of his fitness for further service or otherwise.

3. The certificate received was to the effect that he was "completely and permanently incapacitated for further "service of any kind "in consequence of "incipient leprosy". Orders were then issued for his + immediate relief and submission of his pension papers.

+ Vide para 681A, P.W. Code

4 He now denies absolutely that he

is

is suffering from leprosy, incipient or otherwise, and demands examination by a Medical Board.

5 He is a subordinate of long standing, who has done unusually good service, and the charge of leprosy is so serious that it should not be recorded without very decided evidence; under the circumstances, and in accordance with the spirit of article 486 of the Civil Service Regulations, the undersigned considers that his request for examination by a Medical Board should be complied with, but before issuing orders on the subject it might

be

be as well to ask the opinion of the Surgeon General.

S

W

I don't see what is to be asked of the Surg. Genl. — If it is an act of justice to give the Petr. a Medl. Bd. let it be given him. If the S.G. be asked whether a Bd. sd. be given or not? I don't think it is for him to decide ~~that~~ question

JHG
20/10/90

FOR ORDERS.

Mo 16.x.90
R 23/-

Read 9687

Mo 25.1

2931 W
12

Dept. J. O.

The Government considers that the claim of the petitioner to examination by a Medical Board is sound —

2 He will accordingly be instructed to appear before the Standing Medical Board at Madras —

3 A copy of the certificate given by the District Surgeon will be communicated to the Surgeon General for the information of the Board —

S

To Mil R Dy 22.4

T. [illegible] Pillai

be as well to ask the opinion of the Surgeon General.

S

W

I don't see what is to be asked of the Surg. Genl. - If it is an act of justice to give the Petr. a Med. Bd. let it be given him. - If the S. G. is to be asked whether a Bd. sd. be given or not - I don't think it is for him to decide ~~that~~ question

JHG
20/10/90

Rec. 16.x.90
R 23/-

Cus. 9687

2931 W / 13

W/

For orders with refce. to the Hon's note.

The Army Asst. Surg. might perhaps be directed to appear before the Standing Medical Board at Madras. He dates his petition from Madras & gives his address as Cuddalore - He will probably therefore be able to appear before the Board at Madras without inconvenience.

The question to be asked of the Surgeon Genl. is apparently whether it would be advisable to bring the petitioner before a medical Bd. in the face of the Dis. Surgeon's certificate -

If however this need not be done (i.e asking the Surgn. Genl's opinion) it is a question whether a copy of the Dist. Surgeon certificate should be sent to the Surgeon Genl. for the information of the Board.

Cr
23-10-90

For orders –

2931 W
14

The petitioner attained the age of 55 years on the 5 Sept 1889 but being considered fit for further service was retained in employment under the provisions of article 50 d of the C.S.R.

In August last the Superintending Engineer reported that he did not, nor did the District Surgeon, consider him fit for any further service beyond the 30 September 1890, and orders were given by the Ch. Eng. for his being medically examined, and a certificate obtained of his fitness for further service or otherwise –

The certificate, receiving to the effect that he was "completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind in consequence of incipient lesions" - Besides now there is need for his immediate relief and ~~the~~ submission of his papers -

He now denies absolutely that he is suffering from lesions, incipient or otherwise, and demands examination by a Medical Board -

He is a subordinate of long standing, who has done remarkably good service, and the charge of lesions is so serious that it should not be recorded without any decided

2931W
15

evidence; under the circumstances, and in accordance with the spirit of Article 486 of the C.S.R., the undersigned considers that his request for examination by a Medical Board should be complied with, but before issuing orders on the subject it might be as well to ask the opinion of the Surgeon General -

14.10

2931 W / 16

5 Nov 1890

Cn. 9687

Ho 16.x

Appeal from Hony Asst Engr. T. Subrahmanya Pillai.

Para 681 A.P.W. Code is absolute.

With reference to W's pencil remark in the margin of para 10 of the petition, please see Article 486 (c) C.S. Regns. In ordinary cases it is open to the Govt. to require an officer to appear before a medical Board for retirement.

Cs
15.11.90

W

R 23/-

Cov. 9687

I think this is a question on which the opinion of the Surgeon General is required. Govt. can order a Committee to examine the officer, but a senior Medical officer has already given a certificate that Mr. Subramania Pillai is suffering from incipient leprosy, & under 406 (d) this is sufficient. A note for orders had better be put up.

15.10.90

16.X.90

R 33/-

No. 2931 W/ 5 Nov. 1890

2931 W / 17

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Petition from M.R.Ry. T. Subrahmanya Pillai, Rai Bahadur, Honorary Assistant Engineer, P.W.D. d/ 10th October 1890.
From the Exr. P.W.A. No 6319 d/ 23-10-90.

The Govt. considers that the claim of the petitioner to examination by a Medical Board is sound.

2. He will accordingly be instructed to appear before the Standing Medical Board at Madras.

3. A copy of the certificate given by the District Surgeon will be communicated to the Surgeon General for the information of the Board.

J.A.S. 1/11/90

27.10

To M.R.Ry. T. Subrahmanya Pillai
Rai Bahadur
Hony. Asstt. Engineer.
The Surgeon General with the Govt. of Madras. with copy of certificate
" S. E. VI Circle
" Exr. P.W.A.

5/11

6.290 lwd/5 No 18

R 3.XI.
ED 7.XI.90