

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 12

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 6/89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/9

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

வெங்கடசி துறை

அரசாணை எண். 289W அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். பலுவகை

நாள் : 28-1-1890 198.

⑥

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

Benny

From Major L. Langley ^{Deputy} Engineer, Krishna Eastern Division to
the Suptg Engineer 2nd Circle dated
22nd November 1889, No 3991.

With reference to Item No 28 in
Examiner's Summary of Inspection Notes
and in Continuation of this office No
3004 dated 26th September 1889, I have
the honor to inform you that the discre-
pancy in the number of Tools is as follows.

Total number as per Consolidated
general return for the Division for the
half year ended 30th September/89
is 588 -

This number is arrived at as shown
below.

Northern Sub Division -	312
Southern - do -	86
Workshops -	18
Stores -	151

Total 567 or

21 less. This deficiency was owing to
a mistake on the part of the
Northern

Comptroller

Northern Sah Division officer in
omitting to include in his return
a fresh supply of 26 mamoties
transferred to that Sah Division
from the Divisional stores. This mistake
has been subsequently rectified
and correct balances shown in
the return for the half year ended
31st March 1889. From the above
you will further observe that if the
26 are added to 567 the total
number would be 593, or 5 in
excess of the actual number. This
excess was owing to the officer in charge
Southern Sah Division having
brought into his return for the
half year ended 30th September/88,
5 unserviceable mamoties which
were subsequently written off the
return.

True Copy

W. M. M. M.
Supt. Engineer
H. C. W. C.

Reply from Major L. Langley R.E.
Executive Engineer Krishna Eastern
Division to Superintending Engineer's office
requesting to report the result of enquiry
regarding item No 17 of Dy. Examiner's
Inspection Notes - Discrepancies in the
Accounts of materials at site, dated
27th November 1889, No 4066.

The late accountant, K. Jagannadham Pantulu should have drafted
the necessary letters about this. It was
discovered after the Dy. Examiner's
Inspection Notes had been returned
to the Superintending Engineer that
he had not done so. The Examiner has
consequently been requested to furnish
this office with the required extract
from the Dy. Examiner's Notes. On
its receipt action will be taken.

True Copy

W. M. M. M.
Supt. Engineer
H. C. W. C.
Completely

Northern Sah Division officer in
omitting to include in his return
a fresh supply of 26 mamoties
transferred to that Sah Division
from the Divisional stores. This mistake
has been subsequently rectified
and correct balance shown in
the return for the half year ended
31st March 1889. From the above
you will further observe that if the
26 are added to 567 the total
number would be 593, or 5 in
excess of the actual number. This
excess was owing to the officer in charge
Southern Sah Division having
brought into his return for the
half year ended 30th September/88,
5 unserviceable mamoties which
were subsequently written off the
return.

True Copy

W. M. M. M.
Supt. Engineer
Civil

Reply from Major L. Langley R.E.
Executive Engineer Krishna Eastern
Division to Superintending Engineer's promise
requesting to report the result of enquiry
regarding item 1317 of Dy Examiner's
Inspection Notes - Discrepancies in the
Accounts of materials at site, dated
27th November 1889, No 4066.

The late accountant, K. Jagan-
nadhram Panthulu should have drafted
the necessary letters about this. It was
discovered after the Dy Examiner's
Inspection Notes had been returned
to the Superintending Engineer that
he had not done so. The Examiner has
consequently been requested to furnish
this office with the required extract
from the Dy Examiner's Notes. On
its receipt action will be taken.

True Copy

W. M. M. M.
Supt. Engineer
Civil
Comptroller

No. 1938 KS

2/2

Promised replies to certain items of the Notes of Inspection of the Deputy Engineer on the Eastern Division Krishna inspected on the 22nd and 23rd February 1889 forwarded in continuation of this office No 1514 KS of the 10th October 1889.

Item	Irregularity noticed	Supl ^y Engineer's remarks
------	----------------------	--------------------------------------

4.	Payment made for labor without name of Payee being entered in Nominal Muster Roll	The amount of the payment is quite insignificant. No further action is necessary. Please see also reply to Item 3 in this office No 1514 KS of the 10 th October 1889.
----	---	---

6	Delay in paying for daily labor in 3 cases.	Delay was unavoidable under the circumstances - explained by the Supervisor in charge of those works.
---	---	---

17	Discrepancies in the accounts of materials at Site of 2 works.	Copy of Executive Engineer's reply No 4066 of the 27 th November 1889 is herewith sent.
----	--	--

25.	Delay in billing for measured work in 17 cases.	The delay is due to piece workers non attendance in time to sign their bills and receive payment.
-----	---	---

28.	Discrepancy in the number of manholes	Copy of Executive Engineer's letter No 3991 of the 22 nd Nov/89 is herewith sent.
-----	---------------------------------------	--

Completely

as shown in the
Divisional Return

is herewith sent.

Capt. Engis Office
II Colo
3rd December 1889

J. H. Amman
Superintendent
II Colo

289
4

From

The Suptg Engineer
II Circle

173
No 5856.C d/ 13 Decr/89

To C

8

The Chf of Engineer
P.W. Department
Madras

In continuation
of this office No 4697.C
d/ 16 October/89. For
perusal and favour of
remarks if considered
necessary =

Dated 5th December/89

No 1938K

Encl 2

By Examiner's Inspection
Notes on Ristna Eastern
Division Office - Furnishing
the promised replies to
certain items in the

To

Letter from Major L. Langley R.E.
Executive Engineer, Ristna Eastern
Division, to the Suptg. Engineer II Circle,
dated 18th December 1889 No 4456.

In continuation of my reply to
item 17 in my letter No 3004 of
26th September last, I have the honor
to report that the discrepancies
noted by the Examiner between
the balances as shewn in the
Work Register for January 1889
and those shewn in the Subordi-
nates' statements in respect of
materials at site of the two works
therein referred to, have been since
rectified, and perfect agreement
has been secured in July last.

The discrepancies were due
to certain omissions on the part
of the Subordinates concerned to
bring into their statements of
materials at site certain material
bought, but corrections as made
by this Office have been since
accepted. The Examiner's Summary
of Inspection Notes has now been
completely

completely replied to.

N^o 2111 KJ

Copy submitted
to the Chief Engineer, P. W.
Department, in continuation
of this Office N^o 1938 KJ of
the 5th Instant regarding
Item N^o 17.

Supltg Engr's Office,
2nd Circle,
4th December 1889

Superintending Engineer,
2nd Circle.

20/12/89

287
6

From

The Supt. Engineer
2nd Circle

To The Chief Engineer,
P.W. Department
Madras

Dated 11th January 1890
No 2111 R.P.

By Examiner's Inspec-
-tion of Krishna Eastern
Division office - Forward
to Engineer's reply
to item 17 of the Summary
of -

4069e - 8.1.90
5856e - 15.12.89
5531e - 11.12.89

No 69. C of 8 Jan 190
To C

For perusal in con-
-tinuation of No 5856. C
of 13-12-89.

W.W.
7.1.90
A.W.

No 374 of 24th January 1890

To W.

The items require no further
remarks.

W.W.

Chief
Eng.
(Enc 3)

No 289 Wd of 28th January 1890

Recorded.

C.
10.1.90

Recd
15.1.90

No 3011 P.W.