

7 ✓

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 14
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் January 90
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/2

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P. M. S. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	2898 W	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 3-11-1890		198.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

20/11/90

2898W

Exhibit A

Tril. Insects. Quarters

dicta 1^{te} Februarij 1786.

Respected Sir,

I beg to bring to your kind notice that Karayana Maistri has brought only 4 or 5 men to do the concrete work, although he was ordered to bring some more men and finish the work by this day. It is better to send one Veeradu and his brother-in-law who were employed lately in Post and Telegraph Office works, and are now employed in Chepank works.

I request you will kindly send them now
on this work, if not the work will be delayed.

I beg to remain,

Respectfully Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

/Sd/ A. Gumbundam.

Go and get the men you want, yourselves. If you are not capable of collecting men for your work then you are not fit for your places. When I am compelled to collect work people for you then I will remove you altogether.

J. M. Stephen

11/2/86.

(True Copy)

1898 'W

To

H. E. the Right Honourable the Governor of Madras.

CHIEF SECRETARY

The humble petition of N. Sambundar Mudali
residing at No. 9, Muthu Mudali Street,
Vellala Janampett, Madras.

May it please your Excellency.

I most humbly beg
to state that I was employed as Store-keeper on the
temporary establishment in the Consulting Architect's
Division. D. P. W. for nearly seven years in various works
(viz., Lying-in Hospital, Government Brick Field,
Mules' Stable, Post and Telegraph Offices, Ophthalmic
Hospital and Mail Overseer's Quarters) on salaries ranging
from Rs 10 to Rs 22½ and was expecting to be provided
with a permanent footing, when unjustly my services were
suddenly dispensed with by Mr. J. H. Stephens, Honorary
Assistant Engineer, after treating me outrageously.

During my seven years
service in the Department I worked under several gentlemen,
both European and Native, and have borne a good character,
besides Mr. C. Vincent, while Officiating Consulting Architect,
being well satisfied with my qualifications, applied to
Government in June 1883 for a Store-keeper on the permanent
establishment which appointment, if sanctioned, that gentleman
wrote to Mr. Lennon, then my immediate superior, to say would
be conferred on me. Mr. R. F. Chisholm, who knows me
personally, also promised to assist me with a permanent

disappointed by his premature retirement from service.

2398^W
3 I submitted appeals to all the acting and permanent Consulting Architects, praying for an enquiry into the unbecoming conduct of Mr. Stephens and the cause of my summary removal from service, and received a reply only from Col. Ross. Thompson, to the effect that I was a temporary hand paid out of the estimate for the Ophthalmic Hospital; that work having been completed, my services were no longer required; that I could appeal to Law against Mr. Stephens and that I need not address him again on the subject. The allegations as regards my services in the Ophthalmic Hospital is not at all correct, for, at the time of my dismissal I had no connection whatever with it, having been actually employed in the Mail Overseer's Quarters, Beach; a reference to the monthly nominal pay rolls of the said Mail Overseer's Quarters and the accompanying copy of remarks by Mr. Stephens (Exhibit A) will testify to the facts of my employment at the Mail Overseer's Quarters. The original of Exhibit A will be submitted for your Excellency's perusal, if required. I beg to bring this matter specially to your Excellency's kind notice.

Failing to obtain justice from the Consulting Architects, I had to appeal to the Chief Engineer, who altogether declined to interfere in the matter.

Thereupon I ventured to lay my pitiable case at the feet of Your Excellency and received G. O. No 1702 N. dated 24th June 1887, stating that I appeared to have been a temporary hand and no pay was charged.

in my former capacity and that ²³⁹⁸⁰as regards the matter of assault I should make a complaint to a Court of Law. As my sole object in reporting about the assault was to get back the situation which I was unjustly deprived of, I did not take further steps about it, but only submitted an application for employment to Mr. Bracington, then Consulting Architect, who, in Memo No 744, dated 17th October 1889, stated that there was then no vacancy and I have subsequently sent in two more petitions to which no reply was received. I beg to mention here that there are always several works under construction in the Consulting Architects Division, where Clerks, Store-keepers and Concoffillings are constantly entertained; such being the case, it is my humble opinion that if the Consulting Architect had any intention of employing me, he could, at any moment, have given me a temporary situation suitable to my qualifications and that his endorsement "no vacancy" on my applications is but an evasive reply. That there must be some counteracting influence underlying the whole affair has all along been my surmise but this has since become a certainty as will be seen from what follows.

As a last chance I petitioned for employment on the 4th March 1890 to the present Consulting Architect Mr. H. Irwin who willingly ordered me to come to his Office the next day, and when I went there, the Manager of that Office, Mr. Lewis, asked me why I had come and on my telling him the purpose of my presence there, said "Did you not appeal to the Chief Engineer and the Government against my dismissal and the punishment?"

4
2898 5
how can you hope to get a place here?" and so forth. I replied that Government have granted us all the privilege of appealing to a higher authority against the injustice done to us by subordinate officers. I then submitted a note to the Consulting Architect stating that I had come to the office as directed and that gentleman being then a new officer in the Department wanted to consult with the Manager and so sent for Mr Lewis and spoke to him for a few minutes at the expiration of which I received an endorsement "Consulting Architect has no employment to give applicant" signed by the Manager, Mr Lewis, by order, on the 5th March 1890.

From the nature of questions put to me by Mr Lewis, the Manager, I believe that he has made some malicious reports to Mr Irwin to prejudice him against me and to have been so reporting to all the Consulting Architects since my dismissal; he seems thus to have been the indirect cause of all the troubles and difficulties I and my family have undergone for over four years that I have been out of employ, for Mr Irwin seemed prepared to give me an appointment.

Under these circumstances I most earnestly pray that Your Excellency will be graciously pleased to have the matter enquired into and grant me justice by issuing an order to the Consulting Architect to confer on me either my former post as store-keeper or clerk in the establishment under him.

Should your Excellency be not

be so good as to let me know what you think of this

in that Department and much less elsewhere being an
unpassed candidate. I therefore humbly beg that your
Excellency will be so good as to grant my prayer.

For which act of charity I shall
ever feel truly grateful to your Excellency.

I beg to remain,

Your Excellency's most obedient and
humble servant.

A. Humbard am

28.11.10 c 8082
28.11.10 c 101
28.11.10 c 101

10. 3868 c af 4.11.87
 .. 1911 c af 13.4.87
 .. 1702w af 22.6.87

2398w

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Petition from A. Sumbanda Brudale No: — dated 18th October 1890.

The petitioner is

referred to S. C. No: 1702 W d/

24th June 1887.

2nd 2
1/1/45

The Government declines.

to interfere in the distribution
of appointments which are
within the powers
~~in the gift~~ of the Consulting
Architect.

kurz
23.10.90

The Petitioners.

L 22.4

Cm

1898/12/20

1898/12/20
R3x1

1898/12/20