(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 28

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 8-91

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P&D துறை

அரசாணை எண். —
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2795

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 7-10-1892 ~~198~~.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

14 Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

1/Dec

Note by the Chief Engineer P.W. Department.

—

The accompanying two statements which compare the budget and final grants for 1891–92 with the actual expenditure during that year under all funds pertaining to the Buildings and Roads Branch are submitted for the information of Government. The statement marked A exhibits the total grants and expenditure under each fund arranged by Departmental heads while that marked B shows by Divisions the grants for, and the

expenditure on "Original Works" and "Repairs" under each fund exclusive of "Establishment" and "Tools and Plant" charges. The figures of expenditure which have been checked by the Examiner of P. W. Accounts include the outlay shown in the supplemental accounts for 1891-92 and are therefore final.

2. The total expenditure under all funds in the year under review — amounted to Rs. 30,66,041 against the budget grant of Rs 38,24,169 and the final grant of Rs 32,91,262 The differences between the original and the final grants and the causes

V/
295

for lapse under each head are explained in the following paragraphs

3. 44 Imperial Military Works. The original grant under this head was Rs 89,000, but additional allotments of Rs 3092 and Rs 3,850 having subsequently been sanctioned by the Govt. of India for renewing the hospital wards of the 1st Pioneers lines at Trichinopoly and purchasing a building for the accommodation of the Sergeant Instructor attached to the Yeo and Volunteer Rifles respectively, the grant was raised to Rs 95,942. Down this sum, Rs 12,450 were

surrendered from the allotment for the New Bannacres at Calicut, the progress on which was ordered to be stopped pending sanction to the revised plan and estimate. This surrender reduced the total assignment for Military Works to Rs 83,492 or Rs 83,500 in round figures. The total expenditure during the year amounted Rs 78,6$\frac{23}{100}$ resulting in a lapse of Rs 4,877. The short outlay of Rs 3157 on the "Works grant" is due to the following causes:-

(a). The balance of the reserve under Repairs amounting to Rs 2,574 was not allotted to Divisions, the demands of which

* Works — Rs 3157
Establishment — " 594
Tools and Plant — " 126
Rs 4877

3/2795

were curtailed owing to the payment, by the Military Works Department, of the compensation for gratuities for Military subordinates formerly paid by the P. W. Dept.

(b). The further lapse of Rs 583 is chiefly due to short outlay in the Coimbatore and West Coast Divisions. The lapse in the West Coast Division is attributed to the delay in handing over the site selected for the Bellooles and huts sheds at Kalapuram. The short outlay in the Coimbatore Division is under "Repairs" and is perhaps due to the same causes that led to the non-utilisation of the reserve with the Govt. under Repairs.

the short outlay on the "works grant" has resulted in a proportionate lapse on the grants for Establishment and Tools and Plant.

4 - 45 Imperial Civil Works.

The budget grant under this head was ₹ 47,600 and was raised to ₹ 53,600 by additional allotments for Postal and Telegraph minor works subsequently sanctioned by the Directors-General concerned. The total expenditure during the year amounted to ₹ 39,013, the lapse being ₹ 14,587 which is distributed in the margin under Departmental heads. The short outlay of ₹ 468 under original works is made up of several inappreciable variations. Of the saving of ₹ 11,274

Original works	₹ 468
Repairs	" 11,274
Establishment	" 2601
Tools and Plant	" 215
Expenditure in England and Loss by exchange	" 29
	₹ 14,587

4/279

under Repairs, a sum of ₹ 8,203 being the outlay incurred during 1889-90 and 1890-91 on the salt factory at Voyalur in the Chingleput District was written back to the debit of the Civil Deptt. in the accounts for 1891-92 and a further sum of ₹ 742 being the balance of the provision with the Govt. under Repairs was not allotted to Divisions. The remainder viz. ₹ 2,329 is made up of several small differences, the chief of which occurs in the Consulting Architects' Division and is explained to be due to bills not having been received in time. The lapses of grants under

Establishment and Tools and Plant are mainly due to the write-back of the percentages on the sum of Rs 8,203 above referred to.

5. 45 Provincial Civil Works.

The original assignment for expenditure on Provincial Civil Works during 1891-92 was Rs 35,00,000 and this sum having been supplemented by a further grant of Rs 23,000 for Coal Agency Works in Sanjan, the final issue of the budget was founded for Rs 35,23,000. The allotment for the Agency works was subsequently replaced at the disposal of the Agent to the Governor, Sanjan, and the budget grant was then reduced to the original amount viz Rs 35,00,000. Additional allotments of Rs 50,000 and Rs 2060 were since sanctioned for the Agency works in Sanjan and the diversion of the municipal drain south of the jetty at Negapatam respectively, and these allotments increased the budget grant to Rs 35,52,060. In distributing this sum among works, provision was made for 25 new (a) Buildings and 58 works (b) pertaining to other Departments, the estimates for all of which were sanctioned when the final budget was issued. The revision of standard designs for Record building was for a long time under discussion in other Departments and it was

(a) Rs 238,690

(b) Rs 610,520

× New Women and Children's hospital. (The question of site was under reference to India) — Rs 150,000

New High Court (The stones indented for from England were not received in time) — 118,000

Technical Institute and public library (The delay in manufacture of Lemnos Cotta.) — 50,000

Establishment — 20,000

Rs 338,000

This grant remained unaffected by several other surrenders (the largest of which aggregating Rs 338,000 are shown in the margin) as the sums so surrendered were all reappropriated to other works. When the officers of the Department were working with this reduced grant of Rs 3270044 the Financial Department restricted the expenditure on Provincial Works to 28 lakhs at most — to 5.0 No. 57 Financial of 19-1-92. Supdg Engineers and the Consulting Architect were therefore informed that no outlay should be incurred on unsanctioned works and directed to report very possible surrender. Notwithstanding

cont the close of the official year that the designs were lithographed and circulated to officers of the Department. In consequence of this delay a sum of Rs 1,14,690 had to be surrendered from the grants for the Revenue Buildings, reserving the balance for the collection of materials. A portion of the official ... expired by the time estimates could be prepared and sanctioned for the works of other Departments, Supdg Engineers and Consulting Architect surrendered Rs 217,329 from the allotments for those works. These two surrenders which amount to Rs 332019 reduced the budget grant to Rs 3228044.

about the close of the official year that the designs were lithographed and circulated to officers of the Department. In consequence of this delay the sum of Rs 1,14,690 had to be surrendered from the grants for the Revenue Buildings, reserving the balance for the collection of materials. A portion of the official year having expired by the time estimates could be prepared and sanctioned for the works of other Departments, Supdg. Engineers and Consulting Architect surrendered Rs 2,17,329 from the allotments for those works. These two surrenders which amount to Rs 3,32,019 reduced the budget grant to Rs 3,220,041.

6/50.

This grant remained unaffected by several other surrenders (the largest of which aggregating Rs 3,33,000 are shown in the margin) as the sums so surrendered were all reappropriated to other works. When the Officers of the Department were working with this reduced grant of Rs 3,220,041, the Financial Department the Supreme Government on Provincial Govt sanctioned to 28 lakhs at most — to be No. 57 Financial of 19-1-92. Supdg Engineers and the Consulting Architect were therefore informed that no outlay should be incurred on unsanctioned works and directed to report every possible surrender. Notwithstanding

×

New Women and Children's hospital. (The question of site was under reference to Govt)	Rs 150,000
New High Court (The stones intended for from England were not received in time)	118,000
Technical Institute and public Library (The delay in manufacture of Terracotta.)	50,000
Establishment	20,000
	Rs 338,000

all the efforts made to restrict the outlay to 28 lakhs, it was not found possible to reduce the amount to less than 29 lakhs, for which sum the revised estimate for 1891–92 was prepared. The expenditure during the year amounted to Rs 27,16,758, the lapse being Rs 193,242. The stated outlay on works amounts to Rs 11,761 and is due to the lapse of small sums from the grants for most of the Divisions, the causes being as follows:—

(1) Bills for works done not having been received in time for payment

(2). Savings on completed works

(3). Prevalence of cholera & fever in the West Coast and Trichinopoly Divisions

(4). Failure of contractors to complete the works within the time agreed upon.

(5). Unexpected difficulty in procuring labour in the Tanjore Division

(6) Stoppage of work under instructions orders.

The lapse under Establishment is attributable to the total contributions from other funds having exceeded that provided in the revised estimate. The stock outlay on tools and plant may, as usual, be low the most part due to the non-payment in full for the stores indented for from England. The Accounts of P.W. Accounts will be separately asked to explain the exceptionally large lapse in the year under review. The difference between the

Original Work Rs 16,772
Repairs . . . " — -5014
Establishment . . 10,983
Tools & Plant . . 123,593
Suspense 38,050
Profit and Loss — " -1145
193,242

1/
279

revised estimate and the actual expenditure under "Suspense" is very large and is due to the charge under that head having been overestimated by the Examiner of P.W. Accounts. When application was made for a grant of Rs 86,219 under "Suspense", the Examiner of P.W. Accounts was asked to report whether a specific appropriation of funds to that extent was necessary. The reply having been in the affirmative, the grant applied for was provided vide B.O No. 729 W/S 5-3-92. The Examiner of P.W. Accounts will be informed that a more accurate forecast of requirements under "Suspense" should be framed in future. The lapse on the "works grant" for which the Executive officers of the Department are responsible is only Rs 11,761 against the final grant of Rs 22,18,193 and is only .5 percent. The result is therefore not discreditable to the officers concerned.

8/DO

6. 45 Incorporated Local Civil Works. The budget grant under this head was Rs 85,000 and was raised to Rs 170,000 by additional allotments sanctioned during the course of the year. The total expenditure was Rs 150,743 resulting in a lapse of Rs 19,257 which is distributed in the

margin under Departmental heads. The short outlay of Rs 3148 under original works is due to saving on the estimate for restoring the Shahiatope Ancient Bridge in the South Arcot District and to savings on several minor works. The charge under Establishment fell short of the final grant by Rs 13888 and this is principally due to the percentage charges on account of scanting of Local Fund estimates having been overestimated by the Examiner of P.W. Accounts. The lapse under Tools and Plant is attributable to the Marine Department not having submitted for adjustment in time the

×

Original works	Rs 3,148
Repairs	-107
Establishment	13,888
Tools & Plant	2,328
	Rs 19,257

bill for constructing a steam launch for the Nausapan ferry" undertaken by that Department.

7. 45 Excluded Local Fund Works. The original assignment under this fund was Rs 22,780 and was subsequently reduced to Rs 18,187 by the surrender of the grant for constructing groynes to prevent the erosion of the sea at Vyperi and Cochin. The total expenditure amounted to Rs 15,693 and the lapse to Rs 2,504. The short outlay of Rs 2,006 under original works and repairs occurred in the Vizag and West Coast Divisions. The Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam Division surrendered a sum of

×

Original works	Rs 1079
Repairs	927
Establishment	467
Tools and Plant	31
	2,504

Rs 600 from the grant to his Division on the ground that the work was to be carried out by the Civil Department, but the explanation for the surrender having been furnished too late, it was not accepted. As regards the lapse of Rs 1400 in the West Coast Division the Executive Engineer explains that the provision of lightning conductor to the Light House at Calicut was not completed owing to the non-receipt of a wire from the stores, and that the Presidency Port officer failed to supply teak wood stake for the flag staff at Mangalore.

10/2745

8. *Works in Estates under the Court of Wards.*

The budget estimate for Estate works amounted to Rs 56,789 and was increased to Rs 65,975 by additional allotments since sanctioned. The expenditure amounted to Rs 66,221, the lapse being Rs 754. The charged outlay on "Works" alone amounts to Rs 434.

9. *General Remarks.*

The total expenditure on "Works" in all the Divisions amounts to Rs 24,84,796 × against the budget grant of Rs 29,41,787. Of this unavoidable surrenders under Provincial Civil Works and the restriction of expenditure under those heads reduced to in para 5

× Vide statement B

of the note are taken into consideration, there will appear to have been no lapse in the Buildings and Roads Branch. A comparison of the final grant of Rs 25,11,779 with the actual expenditure of Rs 24,84,796. shows that there has been a lapse of Rs 26,483 or 1·05 percent. The results are on the whole satisfactory and the statements received from Superintending Engineers and the Consulting Architect may therefore be recorded.

E. W

No. 3434 C

Government of Madras.

EXAMINED 14 C

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 8 July 1892

OFFICE NOTE.

From W 12/2795

To C

Please verify the figures contained in the accompanying statement comparing the budget and final grants for works and Repairs in each Division for 1891-92 with the actual expenditure during that year.

S

87 W

P. W. CURRENT No. 8091

No. 624-C dy 9-8-92.

To W.

Herewith a fresh statement as prepared in this office comparing the original and final grants for works & repairs in each division for 1891-92, with the actual expenditure during that year.

C.

Encl 61

Rec'd: 10-8-92

(Encls)

No. 1208 Wdy 30-4-91
433 C dy 29-1-92
729 Wdy 5-3-92
2cb3c dy 26-6-92
3434 C dy 8-7-92

13-14
278

B

Statement shewing the Budget grants, final grants and actuals (by Divisions) (on original and supplementary grants) under all funds in the Buildings and Roads Branch for 1891-92

No.	Divisions	44 Military Works			45 Civil works Imperial			45 Civil works Provincial			45 Civil works Local			Excluded Local			Court of Wards			Grand Total			Short or excess outlay as compared with Budget Estimate	Short or excess outlay as compared with Revised Estimate
		Budget Estimate	Revised Estimate	Outlay	Budget Estimate	Revised Estimate	Outlay	Budget Estimate	Revised Estimate	Outlay	Budget Estimate	Revised Estimate	Outlay	Budget Estimate	Revised Estimate	Outlay	Budget Estimate	Revised Estimate	Outlay	Budget Estimate	Revised Estimate	Outlay		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
1	Ganjam	1800	1080	1000	-	600	258	105,350	97,750	90,238	-	-	-	560	890	804	42,000	45,046	44,582	152,413	145,186	136,932	15,481	8,254
2	Vizagapatam	2500	2500	2,717	800	1,250	1,251	74,010	116,201	113,802	-	-	-	600	870	51	4,170	8,121	804	82,080	128,742	130,867	-48,787	-2,125
3	Godavari Eastern	1000	535	555	4,100	1,300	1,108	32,630	32,950	32,946	17,600	11,160	12,276	200	1,200	1,363	"	"	"	55,430	54,095	54,338	1,092	-243
4	do Western	-	-	-	-	-	-	39,153	31,838	33,866	-	6,588	5,360	-	-	-	-	471	679	39,153	38,897	39,595	-442	-698
5	Buckingham Canal	-	-	-	-	480	484	-	12	-	-	"	"	-	1042	1,367	-	-	-	-	1,534	1,821	-1,821	-287
6	Kistna Eastern	-	130	190	700	546	555	71,910	37,499	39,132	1,250	15,351	17,023	1090	340	516	-	-	-	74,930	53,866	57,416	17,564	-3550
7	do Western	-	-	-	200	200	190	10,500	4,402	2,653	1,225	4,265	3,969	-	-	-	-	-	-	12,025	8,867	6,712	5,313	2,155
8	Godavada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	-13	-13
9	Nellore	-	-	38	21	141	137	26,580	18,720	16,327	600	600	464	-	-	-	-	-	-	27,201	19,521	16,948	10,253	2,573
10	Bellary	-	-	-	13,900	5,967	5,931	58,074	40,824	39,324	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	72,974	46,881	45,255	27,719	1,626
11	Cuddapah	780	91	46	200	355	348	48,911	36,216	36,814	-	-	133	-	-	-	-	-	-	49,891	36,662	36,541	13,350	121
12	Kurnool	360	-	-	200	200	-	46,587	34,359	35,186	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47,149	34,559	35,186	11,963	-627
13	Coimbatore	6,500	6,500	5,163	1,800	2,240	1,847	146,981	137,619	137,525	-	3,750	4,202	-	-	-	-	-	-	154,481	150,309	149,037	5,444	1,272
14	Salem	-	3,850	3,850	-	124	217	-	13,765	13,542	-	49	266	-	-	-	-	-	-3	154,481	150,809	149,037	5,444	1,272
15	West Coast	43,560	39,602	37,317	600	7,580	7,071	426,745	401,418	395,105	-	-	-	5,216	4,596	3,057	-	-	-	476,121	453,196	448,747	33,374	13,449
16	North Arcot	-	-	-	500	730	632	52,995	49,247	48,773	-	804	47,757 47,756	-	-	-	-	-	-	53,495	50,681	92,162	-43,667	-4,481
17	P.W. Stores (Presidency)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,000	1,000	1,128	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,000	1,000	1,128	-128	-128
18	P.W. Workshops (do)	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,500	1,150	840	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,500	1,150	840	1,660	310
19	Shingleput	-	-	-	-	730	7,518	32,925	58,456	61,482	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32,925	57,186	63,964	-31,039	-6,778
20	South Arcot	180	180	165	160	5	5	62,320	60,511	53,870	2,790	15,881	13,185	4,200	845	826	-	-	-	69,700	76,422	67,051	2,649	9,371
21	Nagapatam	-	250	260	180	460	366	4,575	6,783	6,385	4,600	10,079	921	300	140	140	-	-	-	7,555	17,712	8,072	963	9,640
22	Tanjore	600	67	67	300	180	83	53,886	16,354	16,465	5,695	5,695	5,657	-	-	-	-	-	-	60,481	22,216	22,277	38,204	-61
23	Trichinopoly	5,000	10,275	12,829	-	904	1,128	21,500	26,225	25,234	1,000	68	1,480	-	-	-	-	-	-	27,500	38,672	40,671	-13,171	-1,999
24	Madura	420	130	130	300	134	162	91,992	80,377	78,642	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	92,712	80,581	79,029	13,683	1,552
25	Tinnevelly	540	150	150	1540	3040	2919	20,230	28,548	25,760	-	910	886	950	1171	1026	-	-	-	23,260	33,819	30,771	-7,511	3,048
26	Architect	-	-	-	11,800	12,457	12,583	12,11,131	3,77,448	2,93,029	-	-	-	2,350	3,712	3,311	-	-	-	1,25,281	2,93,617	2,92,923	37,358	-14,306
27	Surveyor No 1	-	-	-	-	88	83	-	109	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	188	144	-144	44
28	Anantapur	-	-	-	-	2,843	1544	-	2,787	1606	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,830	3,150	-3,150	2,680
29	Rushikulya	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	80	378	-	-	-	-	-	-	400	400	375	25	25
30	Receipts with Government	8,860	2,574	-	1009	742	-	2,87,270	1,748	-	-	-	-	2,830	-	-	-	-	-	30,567	5,984	-	-	-
31	Journal with Military Works Department	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	595	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	575	-	-	-
	Total	71,300	67,634	64,477	38,500	43,166	31,364	29,33,000	22,21,954	22,10,192	35,695	56,500	112,967	18,276	14,606	12,800	46,170	53,638	53,206	34,42,356	29,64,928	24,54,776	4,57,660	32,142

Madras
August 1892

(a) The lapse is under Civil Works Imperial in general Superintendence and Establishment

(b) There has been a lapse of Rs. 9,11 under Repairs and Extraordinary Repairs under original works

(a) This figure is not included in the total

J. S. Sherlock
Examiner of Accounts,
Madras

Bh 2795 W dt 7.10.92

E.1
PW 3091/92

No. 2795 W dt 7 October 1892 — PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT

11/995

READ the following

From the S.E. I circle No. 115 G.L dt 19 May 1892
— Do — No. 256 G.N dt. 25 — do —
— Do — No. 356 G.N. — 21 June 1892
— Do — — — dt 14 May 1892
From the S.E. II circle No. — — 18 — do —
— Do — — — 18 — do —
— Do — — — 18 — do —
— Do — — — 18 — do —
— Do — — — 18 — do —
— Do — — — 20 — do —
— Do — — — 20 — do —
— Do — — — 23 — do —
— Do — — — 26 — do —
— Do — — — 25 — do —
— Do — — — 25 — do —
— Do — — — 28 — do —
— Do — — — 3 June 1892
— Do — — — 20 — do —
From the S.E. III circle No. 710 — 4 — do —
From the S.E. IV — — 284 W — 4 — do —
From the S.E. V — — 263 W — 4 — do —
— Do — — 264 W — 4 — do —
— Do — — 262 W — 4 — do —
— Do — — 261 W — 2 May 1892
— Do — — No. 162 J.C — 2 — do —
From the S.E. VI circle — 163 J.C — 2 — do —
— Do — — 164 J.C — 2 — do —
— Do — — 165 J.C — 2 — do —
From the Comp. Architect. No. 341 dt 16 May 1892
From the Examiner, P.W. Accts. No. 624C dt 9 Augt. 1892
Note by the Chief Engineer, P.W.D dt 29 Sept. 1892

Recorded.