(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 28

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் பிற்குவரி 90

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் கருக்கொப்பம் [illegible]

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொதுப்பணித் துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2696, 2697

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 14 - 10 - 1890 19 .

14 கே.ரு

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

2696,2697

1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following papers:—

From J. HANNAN, Esq., Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Bezváda, 16th August 1890, No. 1263 K.S.

I have the honor to bring to your notice that I have been paying rent for my bungalow at Bezváda since April 1889 at Rs. 88 per mensem, *i.e.*, 8 per cent. of my salary, in accordance with Code para. 985 as modified by Standing Order No. 611, dated 7th February 1889.

2. In the subsidiary instructions issued by the Chief Engineer and embodied in G.O., No. 248 W., dated 30th January 1889, it was laid down that the rent should not exceed 8 per cent. of the salary of the proposed occupant.

3. From para. 3 of the letter from the Under-Secretary to Government of India embodied in G.O., No. 3076 W., dated 31st October 1889, you will observe that in accepting the modifications it was ruled that, when houses are to be built, arrangements should be made so that the rent chargeable should be 8 per cent. of the salary of the official who would occupy the house.

4. I am of opinion that the 8 per cent. rule is applicable for the buildings already constructed and occupied by the officers, as also for the buildings for which estimates will in future be sanctioned.

5. But the Examiner now points out that the rent of my bungalow should be Rs. 97 per mensem under Standing Order No. 613 on para. 1041 of the Public Works Code fixing the limit for the rent of a residence to a maximum of 10 per cent. on the salary of the officer.

6. I am willing to pay the rent at Rs. 97 per mensem as decided now by the Examiner, but I think it very hard that it should be raised with retrospective effect.

7. I therefore request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government to the revised rent of Rs. 97 per mensem being recovered from me with effect from the month of June 1890, and not retrospectively.

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 2nd September 1890, No. 5252.

[Your office note No. 3478 C., dated 22nd August 1890.]

The rule quoted by the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, under which he states he has been paying only 8 per cent. of his salary, refers solely to the construction of buildings as residences for public officers. Under this rule (Code para. 985) the estimated cost of such a building should be so regulated that its rent, calculated under the rules in Code para. 1041, should not exceed 8 per cent. of the proposed occupant's salary, and when this condition cannot be fulfilled, the sanction of the Government of India has to be obtained before the construction of the building is commenced.

2. When the normal rent of an existing building exceeds 10 per cent. of the occupant's salary, he should pay 10 per cent. of his salary—*vide* Code para. 1041, Rule I (5)—and under the note to the rules in Code para. 1041 introduced by Standing Order No. 613, dated 7th February 1889, this rule has effect from 1st April 1889.

Order—dated 14th October 1890, No. 2696 W., Public Works.

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed.

(Here enter No. 2697 W., dated 14th October 1890.)

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.
Spare Copies

2696, 2697

2

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated October, 14th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 14th Oct. 1890, No. 2696 W.

OCTOBER.

House-rent

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed on the subject of a claim against Mr. J. Hannan, Superintending Engineer, II Circle, for arrears of —.

2696, 2697
3

14TH OCTOBER 1890, No. 2697 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

LETTER—from

COLONEL J. PENNYCUICK, R.E.,
Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
Public Works Department.

SIR,

I am directed to forward, for the consideration of the Government of India, the accompanying copy of Proceedings of this Government, No. 2696 W., dated 14th October 1890, having reference to a claim against Mr. J. Hannan, Superintending Engineer, II Circle, for arrears of house-rent from the 1st April 1889 to the present date.

2. Under a misapprehension of the rules issued with Government of India Resolutions Nos. 479 and 481 B., dated 7th September 1888, Mr. Hannan paid rent for his residence at the rate of 8 per cent. of his salary, or Rs. 88 per mensem, instead of at 10 per cent., or Rs. 97, and while now accepting without demur the latter rate, he asks that the increased rent may be charged from June 1890 instead of from April 1889; on this point I am to observe that although the new rules on which the increased rental is based were issued in 1888, and ordered to take effect from 1st April 1889, correspondence on the subject between the Governments of Madras and of India was going on up to October 1889, *vide* your letter No. 394 B., dated 14th October 1889.

3. In these circumstances, I am directed to recommend that where the application of the new rules involves an increase of rent, such increase should take effect from the 1st January 1890 instead of from 1st April 1889. There does not seem to be sufficient ground for adopting the later date contended for by Mr. Hannan.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
14th October 1890.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated October, 14th.

Read.

Encl.

14th Oct. 1890, No. 2697 W.

OCTOBER.

House-rent

Forwarding, for consideration, Proceedings referring to a claim against Mr. J. Hannan, Superintending Engineer, II Circle, for arrears of —, and recommending that the new rules fixing the rent at 10 per cent. of salary take effect from 1st January 1890 instead of from 1st April 1889.

Supdg. Engr's Office,
2d. Circle, Bezwada.
16th August 1890

No. 1263/S

From,

J. Hannan Esquire,
Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that I have been paying rent for my Bungalow at Bezwada since April 1889 at Rs. 88 per mensem i.e. 8 per cent. of my salary in accordance with Code para 985 as modified by Standing Order No. 611 dated 7-2-87.

2. In the Subsidiary instructions issued by the Chief Engineer and embodied in G.O. No. 248 S. dated 30th January 1889, it was laid down that the rent should not exceed 8 per cent. of the salary of the proposed occupant

3. From para 3 of the letter from the Under Secretary to Government of India embodied in G.O. No. 3076 W. dated 31st October 1889, you will observe that in accepting the modifications it was ruled that when houses are to be built, arrangements should be made so that the rent chargeable should be 8 per cent. of the salary of the official who would occupy the house.

4. I am of opinion that the 8 per cent. rule is applicable for the buildings already constructed and occupied by the Officers as also for the buildings for which Estimates will in future be sanctioned.

5. But the Examiner now points out that the rent of my Bungalow should be Rs. 97 per mensem under Standing Order No. 613 on para 1041 of the P.W. Code fixing the limit for the rent of a residence to a maximum of 10 per cent. on the salary of the Officer. 2696, 2697

6. I am willing to pay the rent at Rs. 97 per mensem as decided now by the Examiner, but I think it very hard that it should be raised with retrospective effect.

7. I, therefore, request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government to the revised rent of Rs. 97 per mensem being recovered from me with effect from the month of June 1890, and not retrospectively.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedt Servt

W Hammick
Superintending Engineer
Ist Circle

156

P.W. Cir. 7765

No. 3478. C dy 22 Augt 190

To C

From

The Supt Engineer
II Circle

For favor of remarks.

0013
21.8.90
DK

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W. Dept
Madras

dated 16th August/90

Rec'd 19 ~~August~~ 90

No 1263 KS

Supt Engr's presidency
Bezwada - Reports
to obtain sanction
to the revised rent
viz Rs 97 p.m being
recovered from June/90

S.W.F.

(C. No. 1201/8.)

2696, 2697
1

GOVT. OF MADRAS. 189 . P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

3P

KEEP-WITH CURRENT No.

Madras, 2 September 1890.

189 .

OFFICE NOTE No. 5252 -

To W.

Your office note No.3478 C.dated 22nd August 1890.

The rule quoted by the Superintending Engineer 2nd.Circle under which he states he has been paying only 8 per cent of his salary before solely to the construction of buildings as residences for public officers. Under this rule (Code para 985) the estimated cost of such a building should be so regulated that its rent, calculated under the rules in code para 1041 should not exceed per cent of the proposed occupant's salary, and when this condition cannot be fulfilled, the sanction of the Government of India has to be obtained before the construction of the building is commenced.

2. When the normal rent of an existing building exceeds 10 per cent of the occupant's salary he should pay 10 per cent of his salary (vide code para 10 Rule 1(a)) and under the note to the rules in code para 1041 introduced

standing order No.813 dated 7th.February 1880 this rule has effect from 1st. April 1889.

2.9.90
Offg.C.

Memo No.3470 C dt 22.8.90
Fr. Nos 2076-77 W dt 31.10.89
" " 2079-20 W dt 26.7.89
" " 248 W dt 30.1.89
" " 2981 W dt 22.9.88
" B " 1104 W dt 1.6.86
" A " 2322 W dt 7.10.88
" " " 1252 W dt 29.6.85
" " " 861 W dt 9.4.85
" B " 2347 W dt 20.8.83
" A " 3185 W dt 4.12.82
" B " 2022 W dt 13.8.86
" " " 1961 W dt 7.8.86
Memo No 3758 D dt 10.7.86
Fr. B Nos 2944 W dt 26.11.84
" A " 2137 W dt 29.8.84
" " " 2012 W dt 13.8.84
" " " 2011 W dt 15.8.84

Plus 45 ff

P. W. Case: 8298

Rec'd 3.9.90

S.W.D.

Circular No. 1263 K.S. dt 16.VIII 90
" " 5252 dt 2.IX 90

Case 8298

To W 26.9.90

Draft submitted in accordance with the proposal in the note for orders, approved by the Hon.

On looking through the papers again however I find that the application of the new rules in Mr Hannah's case involved a reduction, not an increase, of pay. Prior to the issue of the rules Mr Hannah paid rent for his house at Rs 100 per mensem. The 10 per cent limit reduced this rate to Rs 97, but under misapprehension he paid rent at 8 per cent of his salary or at Rs 88 per m.

standing order No.813 dated 7th.Febru
ary 1880 this rule has effect from Is

S.L.D.

No S.E. II Cir. No. 1263 K.S. of 16.VIII.90
" " " SW. 5252 of 2.IX.90

Cir.

Note 2696, 2697

In the note to standing Order No. 613 of 7th Febry 1879 it was ruled that the rent of all houses belonging to Govt. were to be recalculated and the increased rents charged from 1st April 1879. This duty by Act. No. 2 of 30th January 1890 devolved on S.Cs. but S.Cs not having taken any action in the matter, the Commissioner issued a Circular on 1st July 1889 asking S.Cs to submit the data regarding such buildings and to fix their rents by the new rules.

Be Cr. Inspr Kistna Eastern in his statement of the 28 July 1889 entered the rent of the S.C's house as Rs 97.

This put the Hammam in June 1890 was called on to beg from 1st April 1889 but he disputed its correctness and was advised to refer the matter to Govt for orders.

The Hammam was paying Rs 100 per annum for the house but reduced it to 88 on the receipt of S.O. No. 248 dt 30th January 1889.

I think the Hammam should pay Rs 97 from 1st April 90 - with 5% increase.

W.
5

standing order No.813 dated 7th.Febr
ary 1889 this rule has effect from I

To W

The Govt. of India rule
distinctly say that all rent
should be revised from
1st April 1889. It will
require the sanction of the
Govt. to remit the arrear
from 1-4-89 to 31-3-90.
vide Dir's instn.

The only plea that
can be put forward in
Mr Hannan's case is that
the rules

The Cir instn appears
to correctly explain the
intention of the rules - the
8 per cent limit applies
only in cases in which
the capital cost admissible
in the case of a particular
new residence has not
been calculated.

CE
8-9-90

S.C.S.

From the S.E. II Circle No. 1263 K.S. dt 16.VIII 90
Examiner No. 5252 dt 2.IX 90

FOR ORDERS.

2696 2698
10

Mr Hannan while
accepting without demur
the increase of rental
of the bungalow occupied
by him from Rs 88 to Rs 97,
asks that the increased
rent may be charged from
June 1890 instead of from
April 1889.

2. It will be observed
that although the new
rules, on which the
increased rental is
based were issued in
1888 and ordered to
take effect from
April 1889, correspondence
on the subject between
the Governments of
Madras and of India
was going on upto
October 89, and no

the Chief Engineer's instructions, approved by G.O. No. 248W. of 30th January 1889, the 8 per cent limit was alluded to, (though not very clearly) while the 10 per cent limit was not mentioned.

3. Under these circumstances there seems ground for asking the Govt of India that where the application of the new rules involves an increase of rent such increase should take effect from the 1st January 1890 instead of from the 1st April 89. There does not seem sufficient ground for adopting the later date contended for by Mr Hannay

Approved
13/9/90

Nos 2696 W of 14th October 1890 (encls 4 Secy)

A/ Encl 0

2696 / 2697 / 11

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following:

From the Secy Engrs II Circle (No. No. 1263 K.S. dt 16. VIII. 90)
" Dr Examiner P.W. Accts (" " 5252 dt 2. IX. 90)

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed.

13/10/90

(No. No. 2697 W dt 14 Oct 1890)

To the Secretary to the Government of India P.W.D.

Sir,

I am directed to forward for the consideration of the Government of India the accompanying copy of Proceedings of this Government No. 2696 W dated 14th October 1890

SE II Circle ✓
Ex P.W. Accts ✓

Sent
23/10

13/10/90

having reference to
a claim against
Mr J. Hannan, Supt
Engineer II Circle, for
arrears of house-rent
from the 1st April 1889
to the present date.

2. Under a misapprehension
of the rules issued
with Government of
India Resolutions Nos
479 & 481 B dated
7th September 1888, Mr
Hannan paid rent
for his residence at
the rate of 8 per cent
of his salary, or Rs 88
per mensem, instead of
at 10 per cent or Rs 97,
and while now accepting
without demur the
latter rate, he asks

2696/2697

that the increased
rent may be charged
from June 1890 instead
of from April 1889.
on this point I am
to observe that
although the new
rules on which the
increased rental
is based were issued
in 1888, and ordered
to take effect from
1st April 1889, corres-
pondence on the
subject between the
Government of Madras
and of India was
going on up to October
1889 - vide your letter
No 394 B dated 14th

October 1889.

3. In these circumstances, I am directed to recommend that where the application of the new rules involves an increase of rent, such increase should take effect from the 1st January 1890 instead of from 1st April 1889. There does not seem to be sufficient ground for adopting the later date contended for by Mr Hannan.

dissd with
26 5 W 23/10 L 6/10

Cr

2696 2697

13

2696, 2697

14

8298.

Govt. of 18 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Rect.

Encl. 2696 to 2874

G.O. 14 Apr 90 No. ~~2697~~ ~~2698~~.

House Rent.

Orders that the Govt
be addressed on
the subject of a Claim
preferred by Mr. C. Hannan
for House Rent for arrears
of ——.

——

House Rent

Papers for consideration
Proposal opening to a Claim
preferred by Mr. C. Hannan
for House Rent for ~~the~~ arrears
of —— ~~& recommending~~ that the
new rules fixing the
rent allowance of salary
take effect from 1st January 1875
instead of from 1st April 1887.

SRC 7/4/18

J 15/10