

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 22  
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் செப்டம்பர் 90  
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் மு

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

ஏபெது பணித் துறை

|                                       |           |                   |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| அரசாணை எண்.<br>மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். | 2606 (XX) | அச்சகம்<br>பலன்கை |
| நாள் :                                | 6-10-1890 | 198 .             |

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

66.6

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.  
Civil Works.

READ—the following papers:—

From J. W. MARTIN, Esq., Superintending Engineer, I Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Tanuku, 15th July 1890, No. 729 G.D.

In forwarding copy of letter, No. 239 S., dated 1st July 1890, from the Executive Engineer, Eastern Division, Godavari, I have the honor to bring to your notice that all the walls of the church at Dowlaishweram are seriously cracked. The building runs from west to east. I have myself carefully examined it applying the plumb line to the walls. The main wall on the right side leans inwards about an inch, and the wall on left side leans outwards by nearly the same amount. There is a crack along the bottom of the left wall between the floor and wall. This seems to confirm what the plumb line indicates, viz., that the main wall on each side is moving towards the left out of the perpendicular. The large arch over the entrance to the chancel has opened at the top half an inch where the keystone should be, and there are cracks in the right haunch evidently the result of the arch opening at the crown. There are numerous other cracks in the walls running irregularly which apparently are not due to bad work, or to any other specific cause, except faulty foundations. The cut stone arches of the porch, which showed signs of falling down, were removed down to springing line and rebuilt about six months ago, but one of them is already cracked. Under these circumstances I have considered it my duty to inform the Lay Trustee that I cannot guarantee the church is safe, and I advised him not to hold service in it any longer and remove the furniture to some other building.

2. Cracked buildings I know often stand for many years, and, therefore, possibly the church might stand safely for several years, but as there are several cracks, some of which look serious, I consider it is my duty to condemn the building and not run any risk in the matter. Moreover I think that for many reasons a church should be a building above suspicion as regards stability, and, at all events, there ought not to be numerous cracks in the walls.

3. With regard to whether it is possible to strengthen the church, I regret I do not see my way to making any suggestion that I think would give satisfaction. It was constructed in 1884 and cracks seem to have appeared about three years afterwards. The soil under the foundations is black cotton soil for apparently a considerable depth. The foundations are four feet deep, the bottom being a bed of concrete two feet thick and four feet wide. So far as I can judge the cracks are the result of the shrinking and swelling of the black cotton soil brought about annually by the hot and wet weather respectively. Buttresses have been tried two on each side, one being at each corner, but these have not apparently done any good; in fact, the buttresses against the right wall are falling away from it, one being now two inches off it. When the cracks first appeared if a trench two yards wide had been excavated outside round the church down to the foundations and filled with sand it might have stopped the walls cracking any further, but it is now too late to try

this plan. Under these circumstances I cannot recommend anything except to dismantle the church and rebuild it, but in this case, I think, it is a matter for consideration, if there is no ecclesiastical objection to abandoning the site, whether it should not be built on a new site where there is suitable soil, for black soil is exceedingly treacherous to build on.

## ENCLOSURE.

From C. M. SMYTH, Esq., Executive Engineer, Godavari Eastern Division, to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, dated Dowlaishweram, 1st July 1890, No. 239 S.

I have the honor to report as follows on the condition of the church at this place. You have seen the building and therefore I need not say more in regard to its appearance than that it has cracked in all directions, the more serious cracks being at the east end. I have had the four walls plumbed with the result that the north and south walls have been found to lean towards the north, being about one inch out of the perpendicular and the east and west walls towards the west being three-quarter inch out of the perpendicular.

2. I do not apprehend any immediate danger though I think that it would be better to declare the building unsafe, remove the furniture and fittings together with the stained glass memorial windows and the woodwork of windows, doors, and roof, which could all be used again when the church is rebuilt.

3. I estimate that the cost of removing and rebuilding the church would amount to Rs 1,500 in round figures. It would be necessary to take the foundations\* down about 2 feet deeper than at present at this level. I believe a good foundation could be given on sand.

\* They are 4 feet below natural ground level at present.

Since writing the above I have learnt from the Anicut Superintendent that sand has not been found, as I had been informed, at the depth of 2 feet below the foundations. I have directed him to make further borings and report the result at once.

From the Venerable J. F. BROWNE, B.D., Archdeacon of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 4th September 1890, No. 417.

With reference to your endorsement, dated 19th of August 1890, on memo. No. 571 D., from the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, dated 15th July 1890, I have the honor to state that there appears to me no ecclesiastical objection to abandoning the site and rebuilding the church at Dowlaishweram on more solid ground.

2. It appears from the letter of the Executive Engineer that the furniture and fittings would be carefully stored and all materials used again when the church is rebuilt.

Transferred, and the referred papers returned to the Public Works Department. The proposal to rebuild the church on a fresh site has the approval of the Ecclesiastical Department.

16th September 1890.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,  
Chief Secretary.

ORDER—dated 6th October 1890, No. 2606 W., Public Works.

The Superintending Engineer, I Circle, will submit a plan and estimate for reconstructing the church at Dowlaishweram on a fresh site, provision being made for utilising as far as possible the materials of the existing building. It should be

ascertained and reported at the same time what private subscriptions will be forthcoming towards the cost of the work with reference to rule XI, section IV, appendix, Public Works Department Code.

2. The attention of the Superintending Engineer is drawn to para. 2 of the Venerable the Archdeacon's letter above recorded.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,  
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.  
" Ecclesiastical Department.

Exd. J. Moses.  
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.  
CIVIL WORKS.  
Govt. of Madras.  
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated October, 6th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 6th Oct. 1890, No. 2606 W.

OCTOBER.

Church at Dowlaishweram

Passing orders regarding the reconstruction of the — on a fresh site.

260  
3  
S. W. Department.  
4<sup>th</sup> August 1890  
No. 571 D

Memorandum

From the Superintending Engineer,  
I Circle, No. 729 G.D., dated 15<sup>th</sup> July 1890.

Referred to the Ecclesiastical  
Department with advertence to the last  
sentence of the Superintending Engineer's letter.

2. If approved in the Ecclesiastical Dept.,  
it is proposed to call for a plan and  
estimate for a new building on a fresh  
site, and to enquire what private sub-  
scriptions will be forthcoming towards  
its erection.

3. The estimate for the present building  
amounted to Rs 3200, and its construction  
was sanctioned in G.O. No. 3116 W dated 3<sup>rd</sup>  
November 1883.

To the Ecclesiastical Dept.  
with original papers.

For Secy. to Govt. P. W. D.  
Secy. to Govt. P. W. D.

No. 729 G.D.

FROM,

S. W. Martin Esqre

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

P. W. Department  
FOR IRRIGATION.

Camp Tanakur  
DOWLAISHWERAM,

15<sup>th</sup> July

1890

Desd 17

SIR,

Enclo

1 Copy of letter  
No 2595  
1-7-90

In forwarding copy of letter No. 2395 dated 1<sup>st</sup> July 1890 from the Ec. Engineer Eastern Division Godavari, I have the honor to bring to your notice that all the walls of the Church at Dowlaishwaram are seriously cracked. The building runs from west to East. I have myself carefully examined it applying the plumb line to the walls. The main wall on the right side leans inwards about an inch, and the wall on left side leans outwards by nearly the same amount. There is a crack along the bottom of the left wall between the floor and wall. This seems to confirm what the plumb line indicates, namely that the main wall on each side is moving towards the left of the perpendicular. The large arch over the entrance to the chancel has opened at the

P. W. D.

No 571 D of 4<sup>th</sup> August 1890

Church at Dowlaishwaram

letter from the Sup. Engineer

2 miles, reporting on the

and recommending

its reconstruction on another site.

Referring

55 AUG 90

1000

193-12-12-07  
166-2-11-07  
26-22-12-06  
175-1-9-05  
1927-2-0-01  
80-11-5-01  
1035-2-5-01  
30-16-2-01  
105-15-5-04  
112-1-5-04  
4-9-1-04  
220-12-16-03  
116-0-11-03  
200-22-10-03  
262-10-9-03

TO BE FORWARDED TO  
WITH COPY

19 August 1890

Referred to  
the Venerable  
the Archbishop  
for early remarks.

Respectfully  
Chief Secy

1578

260/4

top half an inch where the keystone should be, and there are cracks in the right haunch evidently the result of the arch opening at the crown. There are numerous other cracks in the walls running irregularly which apparently are not due to bad work, or to any other specific cause, except faulty foundations.

The cut stone arches of the porch which showed signs of falling down, were removed down to springing line and rebuilt about six months ago, but one of them is already cracked. Under these circumstances I have considered it my duty to inform the Lay Trustee that I cannot guarantee the Church is safe, & I advised him not to hold service in it any longer and remove the furniture to some other building.

2. Cracked buildings I know often stand for many years, and, therefore, possibly the Church might stand safely for several years, but as there are several cracks, some of which look serious, I consider it is my duty to condemn the building and not run any risk in the matter. Moreover I think that for many reasons a Church should be a building above suspicion as regards stability, and, at all events, there ought not to be numerous cracks in the walls.

3. With regard to whether it is possible to strengthen the Church, I regret I do not see my way to making any suggestion

2006/11/10  
that I think would give satisfaction. It was constructed in 1884 and cracks seem to have appeared about three years afterwards. The soil under the foundations is black cotton soil for apparently a considerable depth. The foundations are four feet deep the bottom being a bed of concrete two feet thick and <sup>four</sup> feet wide. So far as I can judge the cracks are the result of the shrinking and swelling of the black cotton soil brought about annually by the hot & wet weather respectively. Buttresses have been tried two on each side, one being at each corner, but these have not apparently done any good, in fact, the buttresses against the right wall are falling away from it one being now two inches off it. When the cracks first appeared if a trench two yards wide had been excavated outside round the Church down to the foundations & filled with sand it might have stopped the walls cracking any further, but it is now too late to try this plan. Under these circumstances I cannot recommend anything except to dismantle the Church &

top half an inch where the keystone should be, and there are cracks in the right haunch evidently the result of the arch opening at the crown. There are numerous other cracks in the walls running irregularly which apparently are not due to bad work, or to any other specific cause, except faulty foundations. The cut stone arches of the porch which showed signs of falling down, were removed down to springing line and rebuilt about six months ago, but one of them is already cracked. Under these circumstances I have considered it my duty to inform the Lay Trustee that I cannot guarantee the Church is safe, & I advised him not to hold service in it any longer and remove the furniture to some other building.

2. Cracked buildings I know often stand for many years, and, therefore, possibly the Church might stand safely for several years, but as there are several cracks, some of which look serious, I consider it is my duty to condemn the building and not run any risk in the matter. Moreover I think that for many reasons a Church should be a building above suspicion as regards stability, and, at all events, there ought not to be numerous cracks in the walls.

3. With regard to whether it is possible to strengthen the Church, I regret I do not see my way to making any suggestion

2606/11 P  
that I think would give satisfaction. It was constructed in 1884 and cracks seem to have appeared about three years afterwards. The soil under the foundations is black cotton soil for apparently a considerable depth. The foundations are four feet deep the bottom being a bed of concrete two feet thick and <sup>four</sup> feet wide. So far as I can judge the cracks are the result of the shrinking and swelling of the black cotton soil brought about annually by the hot & wet weather respectively. Buttresses have been tried two on each side, one being at each corner, but these have not apparently done any good, in fact, the buttresses against the right wall are falling away from it one being now two inches off it. When the cracks first appeared if a trench two yards wide had been excavated outside round the Church down to the foundations & filled with sand it might have stopped the walls cracking any further, but it is now too late to try this plan. Under these circumstances I cannot recommend anything except to dismantle the Church &

rebuild it, but in this case, I think,  
it is a matter for consideration, if  
there is no ecclesiastical objection to  
abandoning the site, whether it should  
not be built on a new site where there  
is suitable soil for black soil is exceed-  
ingly treacherous to build on.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient St.

Wm acton  
Sup<sup>t</sup> Engineer 1<sup>st</sup> Circle.

2606  
6

FROM,

J. W. Martin Esq.  
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

P. W. Department  
FOR IRRIGATION.

DATED

DESPATCHED

RECEIVED

1690

No. 729 G.D.

ENCLOSURES /

SUBJECT.

Church at Ambalavaram

Report on the condition

of the — and recommendations  
its reconstruction on  
a new site where there  
is suitable soil

Fr. Am. 2675w 310.53

1035w 2.5.88

B. 3693w 3.22.12.88

A. 3116w 3.11.83

8589w 30.10.83

No. 239 S.

Godavari Eastern Division Office

Dowlaisheram, 14<sup>th</sup> July 1900.

From H. Mitford Smith Esq.

Executive Engineer

Godavari Eastern Division.

To

The Superintending Engineer

1st. Circle.

Sir,

I have the honor to report, as follows  
on the condition of the church at this place. You  
have seen the building and therefore I need not  
say more in regard to its appearance than that  
it has cracked in all directions: the more seri-  
ous cracks being at the East end. I have had  
the 4 walls plumbed with the result that the  
North & South walls have been found to  
lean towards the North, being about 1 inch  
out of the perpendicular and the East and  
West walls towards the West being  $\frac{3}{4}$  in out  
of the perpendicular.

I do not apprehend any immediate  
danger though I think that it would be  
better to declare the building unsafe, remove  
the furniture & fittings together with the  
stained glass memorial windows and the  
wood

24/7

wood work of windows, doors, and roof: which could all be used again when the church is rebuilt.

I estimate that the cost of removing and rebuilding the church would amount to Rs 1500 in round figures. It would be necessary to take the foundations down about 2 feet deeper than at present at this level. I believe a good foundation could be given on sand.

I have re.

(sd) C. Whitford Smith.

Es. Engineer

Godavary Eastern Dy.

Since writing the above I have learnt from the ancient dipt that sand has not been found, as I had been informed, at the depth of 2 feet below the foundations.

I have directed him to make further borings and report the result at once.

(True copy).

J. F. Browne

JK

Whitford

Supg. Engineer First Circle

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT,

Madras, 4<sup>th</sup> Sept. 1890.

No. 417.

From

THE VENERABLE J. F. BROWNE, B.D.,

ARCHDEACON OF MADRAS.

To

The Honorable  
The Chief Secretary to Government.  
Orissamund.

Sir,

With reference to your Endorsement dated 19<sup>th</sup> of August 1890 in Memorandum No. 571 B, from the Superintending Engineer, First Circle, dated 15<sup>th</sup> July, 1890, I have the honor to state that there appears to me no Ecclesiastical objection to abandoning the site and rebuilding the Church at Bowlaishweram on more solid ground.

2. It appears from the letter of the Executive Engineer that the furniture & fittings would be carefully stored and all materials used again when the Church is rebuilt.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Yours Most Obedt. Servt.,

J. F. Browne

ARCHDEACON OF MADRAS

Eccl. Ch. 208  
G. W. C. No. 9012

From

Secretary to the Government

27th Sept 1900 28/15/19

Subscribed to the Architectural  
Department

Transferred - and the  
referred papers returned  
to the Public Works  
Department. The  
proposal to rebuild  
the church on a fresh  
site has the approval  
of the Ecclesiastical  
Department.

Resubmitted  
Chief Secretary

20th  
11.9.90.

5710 of 4.8.90 + file  
No 1035-W 2.5.88

No 417  
27th Sept 1890 2.4  
No 6

Ch. 190120  
Recd 17. 11.90

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the E. I. Code H.C. No. 729 G.D. of 15-7-90  
From the Venerable the  
Archdeacon } H.C. No. 417 of 4-9-90.  
Encl: in the Ecclesiastical Dept. — of 16-9-90.

The Supp. Engineer I Code  
will submit a plan and  
estimate for reconstructing  
the church at Dowlaisheer  
on a fresh site, provision  
being made for utilizing  
as far as possible the materials of the existing  
building. It should be  
ascertained and reported  
at the same time what  
private subscriptions  
will be forthcoming towards  
the cost of the work with  
reference to Rule XI Sec. IV  
Appendix G. P. W. Code.

28/8  
4/10/90

2. The attention of the Supg  
Engineer is drawn to para  
2 of the Venerable the  
Archdeacon's letter above  
recorded.

Wm  
22.9.90

S 299

C. P.  
5.10.90

To Mr

To  
The S. C. I. Co  
Examination

23/9/90  
Cm 22/9  
M 9/9

H. W. 2-X-90 R 6-X-90

Obs 11.11 22.9.90

Obs 27  
in 19.11

H. W. 2-X-90 R 6-X-90

2606  
11

9012.

3-5-11  
21/1/11 ✓

Govt. of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS

21/10/90

Dated

By

By

G.O. 6<sup>th</sup> Oct 1890 No. 2606W.

Church at Bowlaichewaram

Passing orders regarding  
the reconstruction  
of the — on a fresh  
site.

60  
4

69C 7/10  
27/10