(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 12

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 1-90.

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொ.

துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2545 ம.	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 25.9.1890 ~~1987~~.	

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண, கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

Exr. Engrs Office
Bijapur 6th August/90

To Joseph. Esq
Exr Engineer Kistna Eastern Divn.

Sir.

With reference to the Superintg Engineers letter No 1110 K. Sy/30 July/90 forwarded to me for information, I beg to state that a Clerk was hitherto solely devoted to the maintenance of Work Registers and this Clerk though assisted by another Clerk, was not able to complete the work Abstracts and the posting of Work Registers in time to enable you to send in the monthly Certificate of Examination before several reminders for the documents were received from the Examiner. The delay as found by my reference of the Office for the past 8 months was not due to any dilatoriness on the part of the posting Clerk but the work was so heavy that the Clerk could not go through it in the required time.

2. The divisional Accountant with his very best efforts was not able to submit

submit his monthly accounts in due date. The Cry that the work in this office is very heavy and the assistance to the Accountant is not adequate has been Chronic.

While such unsatisfactory State of affairs Continues, which should advocate more an increase than any decrease in the working staff of the Accounts branch, the services of the Clerk in charge of work Registers have been dispensed with and the divisional Accountant has been deprived of the assistance that he had from the Cashier viz Compiling monthly Accounts for submission to the Examiner.

The Superintendent Enquires in his letter 4.
quoted above remarks that the Govt. time stated that "the necessity for the extra hand has not been proved". The Clerk for the maintenance of Work Registers was not an extra hand. His services were found absolutely necessary and so year after year a formal sanction was accorded in the usual manner, Current year was applied for but not given.

Whatever might have been 5
the

the Considerations which must have led the Examiner and the Govt. to discontinue the services of the Clerk in charge of Work Registers, the inevitable result of the reduction of establishment without Corresponding reduction of work is that Work Registers will fall into hopeless arrears.

As overwhelmed as I am with the multifarious duties of this very heavy division and as crippled as I find myself in the discharge of those duties, I Consider that I shall be simply failing in my duty if I do not repeat once more that it is utterly impossible for me to arrange for the upkeep of these Registers, not to speak of the greater delay there will be in the submission of monthly Accounts henceforward.

I beg to remain
Sir.-
Your most obed. Servt.
M. A. Jeyaram Pillai
Divisional Accountant -
Kistna Eastern Division.

Establishment

No. 2323

SUPTG ENGINEER'S OFFICE
13 AUG 90
M.W.D.
SECOND CIR.

FROM,

Executive Engineer,
Eastern Division Kistna.

To,

The Superintending Engineer
II Circle

Dated 10th
Despatched 12th } August 1890.
Received

Enclosures. 1 Ltr

I have the honour to reply to your letter No 1110 Ks. of 30-7-90 to inform you that notice of no further need of his services was served on Clerk Ramulu naidu on the 2th July and on temporary Clerk G Ramayya through the Sub Divl officer on the 22nd July: he will probably have received it on the 24th or 25th July

2. Referring to para 2 of your 2/2/45 letter on the G.A. ordering the reduction of establishment it is remarked that the necessity for the accountants assistant has not been proved: and it would appear that the Examiner's opinion has been taken as proof of the establishment being unnecessarily large and of the advisability of reducing it.

3. I respectfully beg to submit that the necessity for retaining the assistant to the accountant should rest on the proof adduced by the Executive Engineer, modified by you from your experience of the work required of the staff and the punctuality and efficiency with which it has hitherto been performed.

4. The Examiner of Accounts has not yet specified the precise duties of an accountant - but in addition to his actual details of accounts it is admitted that he or his assistants should perform such other duties, which although not legitimately accounts, are closely connected therewith. The Examiner cannot I think be in a position to know how much labor those duties involve. I beg to submit therefore that the Examiner's

2 2/2/45

views alone should not be taken as proof for the necessity of reduction or otherwise of establishment if the accounts branch is reduced and ordered to do purely account work much inconvenience and delay will be felt and the Correspondence branch will have to be increased to meet the increased demand made on it.

5. I have already in my letter no 1976 of 21-7-90 laid my views before you and I would now ask your Consideration of the advisability of addressing Government recommending that the assistant to the accountant be retained and the strength be further increased by one additional hand on Rs 20 to replace the Cashier who is now doing this legitimate work.

My experience is, that the office then only be equal to the duties required of it.

6. In Conclusion I have the honor to draw your attention to the letter from the division accountant

to my address enclosed with this in which he thanks his
seniors of the Cadre. The head and other clerks in the
office have also expressed their desire and intention to
do their best to help me, but the utter impossibility of keeping
days especially when the new Taluk division is formed, as it
will increase the clerical work.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obdt. Servant
Executive Engr. Kistna

Sub. No 1187

No 1237 KL
14.8.90

Submitted in original, through the Examiner, P.W. Accounts, to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D. with a view to his re-considering the order (G.O. No 1778 W. dated 27th June 1890) to dispense with Assistant to the Accountant Kistna Eastern Division

W. Hannam
Superintending Engineer
H Circle

No. 5265 dy 3.9.90.

To P.W.

Forwarded for disposal with the remark that the Executive Engineer Kistna Eastern Division is in error in supposing that the reduction establishment of

ordered by Government in G.O.No.1778 W
dated 27th.June 1890 was based on any
representation of the Examiner vide al-
so Examiner's office note No.3328 of
7th.June 1890.

3-9-90

Offg.C.

(Sucv)

R. Ans 1778 W § 27. 6-90

Judicial

1132/90

1378 & 8364

From,

The Executive Enginee
Kistna Eastern

To,

The Supdg. Enginee
II Circle

Dated 10th August 189

No. 2323.

Enclos: 1. 2

Lo 17820-27-8-90.

Assistant to the
Accountant, Kistna
Eastern Division, &c
Requesting the penusta
= ment of —

1237/KS
11. 8.

No 2545 dt 25 Septr 1890

B

6/3545

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the S. E. II Circle No 1237/KS dt 14th Augt 1890
Enclo by the Ex P. W. Accts No 5268 dt 3d Septr 1890.

In the circumstances explained, sanction is accorded to the entertainment, till the end of the current official year, of a temporary Clerk on Rs 40 per mensem to assist the Examiner in the Kistna Eastern Division.

2. The reduction of establishment ordered in G.O. No 1778 W dated 27th June 1890, was not based on any representation of the Examiner of P. W. Accts, but on the fact that

that the necessity
for the increase
applied for, had
not been proved.

J.. This sanction
has been registered
as Govt. No. 52 W
of 1890-91, & classified
as Estate Temporary.

11.9.90

15 9

11.9