

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 68
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 12-89
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் [Signature]

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W துறை
S/e

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	2526 W (SC)	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	23.9.1890	198

படிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

[Signature]

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 8th July 1890,
No. 1616:—

Resolution—dated 8th July 1890, No. 1616.

The Court of Wards resolves to submit to Government its report on the administration of the estates under its management during fasli 1298 ending 30th June 1889.

2. *Number of estates under management.*—There were 50 estates in its charge at the beginning of the year. Three, viz., Mádugula and Merangi in Vizagapatam and Nadavapalle in Godávári, were handed over, the last two on their owners coming of age and the first on the Court being satisfied of the means, ability and discretion of its proprietrix to manage her estate. The five estates noted on the margin were taken under management during the year. The total number of estates at the end of the fesi was thus raised to 52.

3. *Estates handed over.*—The subjoined statement shows the condition of the three estates above referred to at the time they came under the Court and at the time they were handed back :—

4. *The Mádugula estate.*—The Mádugula estate was under management for 11 years and 6 months, during which period debts, amounting to Rs. 6,946, were collected and Rs. 39,221 paid off. The bulk of the estate having been in the possession of a usufructuary mortgagee, the Court had under its direct management only some seri lands and detached inams and topes. The decrease in the current demand is attributed to the fluctuating nature of the rents, which appear to have been enhanced without any principle during the time of the late zemindar and to have gradually fallen off owing to bad seasons and to the consequent absence of competition at the time of selling annually the usufruct of certain topes. The very small expenditure on irrigation works and other improvements is due to the fact that under the deed the mortgagee had to execute such works. The ward enjoyed good health during the period she was under the care of the Court.

5. *The Merangi estate.*—The Merangi estate was under wardship for over 19 years. During this period the current demand rose considerably. Debts, amounting to Rs. 59,170, were recovered and Rs. 25,506 were spent on irrigation works and other permanent improvements. The balance in favor of the estate on the date of notes against Rs. 14,446 in cash and Rs. 1,09,900 in Government Promissory time the estate came under the Court. The saving by the Court was not large, but it is observed that the peshkash is over Rs. 20,000 and this with other charges leaves only a small balance at the close of each year. Moreover, the Court has paid Rs. 23,038 for law charges, Rs. 21,120 to the former renter of the estate under an award of Court, Rs. 15,000 for the minor's marriage, and Rs. 68,333 to certain members of the family as their share of the family property. It is to be noticed with regret that the minor made but little progress in education, though his wardship was a long one.

6. *The Nadavapalle estate.*—The Nadavapalle estate was under management for only three years and nine months. The current demand shows an increase, but there is a slight falling off in the percentage of the collections to the demand at the end of the term of management as compared with the beginning. The Collector is requested to explain this. Debts to the extent of Rs. 1,535 were recovered and Rs. 5,543 were paid off while Rs. 954 were spent on irrigation works. At the time of restoration there was a cash balance of Rs. 1,894 at the credit of the estate against Rs. 126 at the beginning. The minor's progress in education was not as satisfactory as could be wished. Though at the time he came under wardship he was fit for the Lower Fourth class, he did not get beyond the Upper Fourth in three years. He is reported to possess ordinary intelligence, but he acquired very little knowledge of English.

7. No report has been received from the Collector of Vizagapatam regarding the Belgám estate, which was taken under the management of the Court towards the close of the fasli under report. As in previous years the Vadimitta estate was in the possession of the lessees and consequently no mention is made of it in the ensuing paragraphs or in statements II to V.

8. *Statement No. I showing the names, &c., of estates under wardship.*—Statement No. I appended to the report shows the names of the estates, the authority for the Court's interference, the sexes of the wards and their disabilities, the periods during which their estates have been under management, the ages of the wards at the end of the fasli and the dates on which such of them as are minors will attain their majority. Of the fifty-two wards, forty-one were minors (two being females), five were incapacitated by sex alone, and the remaining six, two of whom were females, were either lunatic or imbecile.

9. *Season.*—The season was unfavorable in the majority of the estates, particularly so in Ganjam where the crops to a great extent failed and severe distress was felt. Towards the end of the year 1883, the Court considered it necessary to undertake relief works and later to grant gratuitous relief on a large scale. The rainfall was generally deficient or unseasonable in the estates situated in the northern and central districts of the Presidency as well as in Trichinopoly, Coimbatore and Salem.

The rainfall registered at Parlákimedi was 42.87 inches against 39.1 in the previous year, but though the amount received here was not small, it fell at unseasonal

periods and consequently did little service. In Mailavaram wet cultivation was affected at the beginning of the year by excess, and at the end by want of rain. In Gandharvakóta in Tanjore much the same state of things is reported to have occurred, while in Ramnad the yield of the wet crops was considerably affected by failure of water-supply.

10. *Number of villages.*—Statement II-A shows the number and tenures of the villages in proprietary estates and the area of the cultivation and holdings in all estates as compared with the previous year. Thirty-four estates as against thirty-five in the previous year were held on fixed tenure, while the rest consisted of ryotwari lands. The total number of villages in the permanently-settled estates was 5,316, of which 3 belonged to estates newly taken up. Deducting these as well as the villages in Meduru, the return relating to which was left blank in the previous year, the total number of villages in the estates which were under management in both faslis was 5,311 against

Chinna Kimedi ...	...	...	...	+ 1
Bodogoda ...	...	...	...	+ 1
Kallikót ...	...	...	...	+ 3
Panaiyur ...	...	...	...	+ 3

5,303 in the previous year, an increase of eight which occurred in the marginally-noted estates. The increase in Chinna Kimedi is due to one deserted village (not shown in the previous year's return) having been re-occupied during the fasli under report. The increase in Bodogoda is the result of two deserted villages (not

shown in previous year's return) having been re-occupied and of one small village having been deserted. The apparent increase in Kallikót is due to an error in the previous year's return. The increase in Panaiyur is due to three villages forming the joint property of the minors and of other individuals having been brought to account during the year. Of the 5,375½ villages above referred to, 1,622 villages were held on ryotwari or joint-rent tenure, 868¼ were leased out to middlemen, 1,040½ paid rent in kind, and the remaining 1,844½ villages were held on favorable assessment or in some cases free of assessment. The changes in the tenure of villages were mainly between money-rent and joint rent.

11. *Holdings.*—The total area of holdings in all the estates, except Ramnad and Vadagarai, for which figures are not available, was 463,748 acres, of which 367,074 acres were cultivated. The extent of holdings in the estates which were under management during fasli 1298 as well as in fasli 1297 and for which figures were

Increase.				
Parlákimedi ...	...	...	...	481
Chinna Kimedi ...	...	...	...	6.6
Bodogoda ...	...	...	...	2,278
Kallikót ...	...	...	...	12,586
Kangundi ...	...	...	...	10,202
Timmajamma's estate ...	...	...	...	964
Marungápurí ...	...	...	...	426
Sáptúr ...	...	...	...	293
Shulagiri ...	...	...	...	550

Decrease.				
Dhárakót ...	...	...	...	305
Lakkavaram ...	...	...	...	164

available was 423,361 acres in fasli 1298 against 395,188 in fasli 1297, showing an increase of 28,173 acres. This is the result of an increase of 28,937 acres in 17 estates counterbalanced by a decrease of 764 acres in 10 others. The most important variations occurred in the marginally-noted estates. No cause is assigned for the increase in Parlákimedi beyond the fact that the extent of land taken up for cultivation was larger than that relinquished, while in Sáptúr it is ascribed to the favorable character of the season. In most of the other cases the changes seem to be mainly nominal. In Bodogoda the figure shown in last year's report is said to have been based on the old accounts, which understated the area, and the approximate extent has now been shown. The increase in Kallikót is said to be due to the transfer of a net number of 68 villages from "mustajari," i.e., villages leased to middlemen to the heading "Ryotwar and joint-rent," and the increase in Kangundi, Timmajamma's estate and Shulagiri is similarly due to the transfer of *izara* (rented) villages to ryotwari. No explanation has been given as regards the variations in Chinna Kimedi, Dhárakót, Lakkavaram and Marungápurí.

12. *Cultivation*.—The total area under cultivation, excluding Ramnad, Vadagarai and Mambarai, was 367,074 acres or 79.9 per cent. of the holdings against 363,736 acres or 92 per cent. in the previous year. Including Ramnad, the area cultivated was 687,060 acres against 684,579 acres in the previous year. More than the whole decrease in percentage is accounted for by the falling off in cultivation in the Parlākimedi estate, viz., from 112,792 acres in fasli 1297 to 71,482 in that under report, due to the very unfavorable character of the season in Ganjam—a cause which also affected the Jarada estate. The

* Parlākimedi	...	...	62.8	percentage of cultivation to holdings is
Jarada	...	...	66.3	low in the marginally-noted * estates. In
Chitticherla	...	...	57.9	Chitticherla this fact is said to be due to
Kangundi	...	...	68.4	the non-repair of two big tanks which
Singavanam	...	...	43.3	breached some three years back and to
Neliyalam	...	...	19.6	one-third of the dry lands being of poor
Bimbala	...	...	35.1	quality. The Collector will be desired to

referred to was not undertaken sooner. In Kangundi the fall in percentage from 91.3 to 68.4 is mainly nominal, caused by the transfer to ryotwari of a number of rent villages. In Singavanam the proportion borne by cultivation to holdings has been

1293	...	...	...	73.8	low for the past 5 faslis, as noted in the
1294	...	...	...	36.6	margin, and shows a marked falling off
1295	...	...	...	48.9	from the first year that the estate was
1296	...	...	...	50.6	under management. This has not been
1297	...	...	...	50.6	adequately explained by the Collector,
1298	...	...	...	43.3	who will be requested to submit further

information on the subject, stating whether he considers that the rents are excessive. Steps are, it is reported, being taken to grant out the waste lands of the estates at favorable rates. In Neliyalam it is stated that the lessees of extensive holdings from the late proprietor possess sufficient lands under cultivation and that it is not likely that the rest will be opened up for a long time to come. In Bimbala the lands left waste consist of jungle, the whole estate being in the holding of a single tenant, the ward's cousin.

In certain other estates besides those already referred to, viz., Kallikót, Timmajamma's estate and Erumaipati, the percentage of cultivation to holdings shows a marked decline, as compared with that of the preceding year. The decrease in Kallikót is due to the unfavorable character of the season. In Erumaipati it is partly due to the same cause and partly to lands having been left waste for grazing purposes. No explanation has been given for the falling off in Timmajamma's estate.

13. *Demand of estates*.—Statement II-B shows the settled demand of the estates, the remission granted, and the net demand. The gross demand of the year amounted to Rs. 27,84,025, of which Rs. 2,76,650 were remitted, leaving a net demand of Rs. 25,07,375. The following abstract compares the figures under these heads as regards the estates which were under management both in faslis 1297 and 1298—

Fasli.	Gross demand.	Remission.	Net demand.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1297	26,53,299	85,314	25,67,985
1298	25,95,839	2,71,693	23,24,146

14. *Remissions*.—As above stated, the remissions granted during the year amounted to Rs. 2,76,650 against Rs. 85,516 in the previous year, the large increase being due to the unfavorable character of the season. The important items of remission unconnected with the season are noticed below. In Pulicherla, Rs. 1,527 was the usual "Riyayati" remission, i.e., remission at 2 annas in the rupee granted from time immemorial on account of heavy assessment. In Panaiyur also the remission consisted of deductions on account of heaviness of assessment. In Ramnad it is due

mostly to deductions on account of emoluments of village officers. In Marungápur and Saptúr it consists of amounts due on *pannai* lands, while in Erumaipati and Yelagiri the remissions were granted under cowle.

15. *Net demand*.—As compared with the previous year, the net demand shows a decrease of Rs. 2,46,839, which is the result of an increase of Rs. 462,08 in 18 estates and a decrease of Rs. 2,88,907 in 27 estates. The important variations are explained below:—

Name of estate.	Fasli 1297.	Fasli 1298.	Difference.	Explanation of increase or decrease.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Parlākimedi	3,85,319	3,03,793	— 81,526	Decrease due to remissions.
Chinna Kimedi	73,181	36,724	— 36,457	Do. do.
Jarada	22,658	11,942	— 10,716	Do. do.
Dhárakót	85,412	49,717	— 35,695	Decrease due to reduction of rents and large remissions.
Bodogoda	24,416	17,207	— 7,149	Decrease due to large remissions.
Kurla	12,966	9,395	— 3,551	Decrease due to reduction of rents and large remissions.
Aala	12,793	8,425	— 4,368	Do. do. do.
Kallikót	2,40,952	1,83,072	— 52,880	Decrease due to reduction of rents.
Chidikada	22,724	11,784	— 10,940	Decrease due to large remissions.
Sripuram	19,228	17,178	— 2,050	Decrease due to no second crop having been raised for want of water.
Lakkavaram	12,774	10,555	— 2,239	Decrease due to unfavorable season.
Kapileswarapuram	1,17,860	1,08,969	— 8,891	Decrease due to removal of unauthorized enhancements and to larger remissions.
Kangundi	74,294	82,772	+ 8,478	Increase due to increase in cultivation and better management.
Panaiyur	16,416	24,735	+ 8,319	Increase due apparently to the transactions of certain joint villages newly brought to account.
Ukkadai	17,820	23,354	+ 5,534	Increase due to higher prices of grain and increase in the lease amounts of certain lands.
Vadapathimangalam	65,320	45,060	— 10,260	Decrease due to the excessive rain and cyclone in December 1888 and to the loss incurred on account of the drying up of grain not being shown in the accounts as in last year.
Singavanam	12,277	9,563	— 2,711	Due to the unfavorable character of the season.
Ramasetuswamiyar's estate	33,344	39,730	+ 6,386	Increase due to the large amount expected from Kadiramangalam which had hitherto been leased out, but which was under amari during the year.
Marungápur	68,534	59,103	— 7,431	Decrease is only apparent and due to inclusion in last year's figures of sale proceeds of horses, brass vessels, &c.
Saptúr	48,753	56,733	+ 7,980	Increase due to large tracts having been brought under the plough owing to the exertions of the Deputy Collector and the manager of the estate.
Yelagiri	26,948	15,618	— 11,330	Decrease due to last year's figures including sale-proceeds of cattle, &c.

The net demand was more than 8½ lakhs in Ramnad and over 3 lakhs in Parlākimedi. It was nearly 2 lakhs in Kallikót and exceeded one lakh in Meduru and Kapileswarapuram; it was over ½ lakh in Kangundi, ¼ lakh in Marungápur and Saptúr, ¼ lakh in Chinna Kimedi, Utlam, Dhárakót, Gandharvakóta, Vadapathimangalam, Ramasetuswamiyar's estate, Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate and Kavalpara and Rs. 10,000 in 16 other estates.

16. *Demand, Collection and Balance*.—Statement III shows the demand, collection and balance of the estates during the year. The difference between the closing balance of fasli 1297 and the opening arrear demand of fasli 1298 is explained at the foot of the statement. The arrear demand was Rs. 14,45,822, of which Rs. 4,28,987 were collected and Rs. 1,16,909 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 8,99,926 or 62.2 per cent. against 65.3 per cent. in the previous year. The cause of this reduction in the percentage of arrear balance to arrear demand is to be found, not in larger arrear collections which amounted to only 29.6 per cent. of the demand against 31.6 per cent. in the previous year, but to the large amount written off as irrecoverable. Details of the greater part of this sum were given in paragraph 20 of the previous

tor of Tanjore for the balances in Ramasetuswamiyar's estate. In the other

	rs.	estates the high percentages are due to the unfavorable character of the season. The Court trusts that the Collectors concerned will take steps to collect without delay such amounts as are recoverable.
* Meduru	41	
Kapileswarapuram	48,519	
Mailavaram	309	
Panaiyur	1,554	
Kangundi	2,487	
Pulicherla	507	
Mathur	75	
Singavanam	48	
Edamandal	27	
Peramur	62	
Nangavaram Duraiswami Aiyar's estate	47	
Marungapuri	1,726	
Saptur	15,777	
Shulagiri	1,615	
Kavalpara	77	
Total	72,871	19. Irrecoverable arrears.—Of the balance (current and arrears) at the close of the fasli, amounting to Rs 14,83,313, sanction has been accorded by the Court since the close of the year for writing off Rs. 72,871 in the marginally-noted estates, while the sum of Rs. 42,679-1-9 has been recommended to be written off in the reports now under review for the reasons stated below:—

Name of estate.	Erroneous demand.	Barred by limitation.	Rent of land taken for public purposes.	Poverty, death or desertion of ryots.
1	2	3	4	5
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Jarada		4 10 0		
Kurla				262 6 2
Chidikada				525 11 6
Sripuram				11 3 4
Chipurupalle				52 13 8
Lakkavaram	(a) 23 4 6		4 8 10	(b) 38 3 5
Meduru				
Mailavaram	12 0 0			
Kangundi	609 10 7	2,633 7 4	89 5 11	1,102 14 2
Timmajamma's estate				
Pulicherla	108 13 6			908 11 4
Ramnad	2,248 15 11			7,874 15 6
Saptur	485 14 6			64 0 0
Neliyalam				(c) 36 0 0
Yelagiri		606 10 6		105 13 4
Total	3,498 11 0	3,244 11 10	93 14 9	11,073 1 3

Name of estate.	Rent of lands washed by river, &c., or in the zemindar's occupation.	Rent disallowed by Courts.	Rent paid to the zemindar.	Other causes.	Total.
	6	7	8	9	10
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Jarada					
Kurla		62 6 6		129 8 2	134 2 2
Chidikada					324 12 8
Sripuram					525 11 6
Chipurupalle					11 3 4
Lakkavaram				118 11 0	52 13 8
Meduru				195 0 7	8,185 0 0
Mailavaram				8,185 0 0	274 8 7
Kangundi	1,230 7 1	483 2 4	22 10 4	2,085 15 3	309 2 11
Timmajamma's estate					8,424 14 8
Pulicherla	321 10 9			28 7 3	28 7 3
Ramnad				5,458 9 1	6,797 12 8
Saptur				4,684 2 6	14,808 1 11
Neliyalam					549 14 6
Yelagiri	7 2 10	240 0 10		(d) 1,216 0 0	1,492 0 0
Total	1,859 4 8	897 7 1	22 10 4	22,189 4 10	42,679 1 9

(a) Current. (b) Current, Rs. 14-7-10. (c) Current, Rs. 6. (d) Current, Rs. 452.

As regards the amounts included in this statement, the Court observes that the manager of the Mailavaram estate recommends the sum of Rs. 309-2-11 to be written

off the accounts; but neither the Divisional officer nor the Collector has noticed the recommendation. It is observed, however, that in his letter disposed of in Court's Proceedings, No. 2738, of the 15th November last, the Collector recommended Rs. 309-2-11 to be written off the accounts; and he is now requested to explain whether the items dealt with in the two cases are identical. In regard to the irrecoverable arrears of the Ramnad estate no orders are now required as it has been handed over to the Zemindar. Court's Proceedings, dated 27th September 1888, No. 1904, quoted as the authority for remitting Rs. 118-11-0 in Lakkavaram, being the rent on Asalminha topes, relates to a different subject altogether. The Collector is requested to quote the correct authority, if any. If none exists, a full report as to the circumstances necessitating the grant of the remission should be submitted. Sanction is accorded for writing off the balance, viz., Rs. 27,443-1-11, subject to the following remarks. The Jarada estate having been under management for nearly nine years, it should have been explained how the sum of Rs. 4-10-0 was allowed to become time-barred. The recovery of a sum of Rs. 2,633-7-4 in Kangundi is reported to have been barred by limitation. The amount relates to faslis 1289 to 1294. A portion of this appears to have been time-barred prior to the Court's assumption of management, while the other portion was allowed to become barred apparently owing to the laches of the late manager, Narayanasami Mudali. The sum of Rs. 606-10-6 in Yelagiri was barred prior to the Court's assumption of management of the estate. The items shown in column 9 of the above statement are explained below. In Jarada the arrear consists of cart-hire for paddy transported to the estate godowns by the ryots which the tenants have deducted from their rents. In Meduru suits are said to have been filed or decrees obtained for the item which has on that account been transferred to the debt list. In Kangundi and Pulicherla it consists mainly of sums irrecoverable through omission to exchange pattas and muchilkas, of jodi on inams belonging to temples, &c. In Timmajamma's estate it is said to be the rent on lands granted for building houses by the previous holders of the estate. In Neliyalam the rent was due on lands which have been declared to be escheats at the Revenue settlement. The question regarding the rents up to fasli 1295 of lands in Neliyalam declared to be escheats by the Settlement Department which is referred to in paragraph 6 of the Collector's report will be separately disposed of. In Yelagiri the arrear represents the remission usually granted to toties in lieu of cash payments. This practice has, it is reported, been recently changed.

20. Financial condition of estates.—Statement No. IV shows the financial condition of the several estates. The difference between the closing balance of the previous fasli and the opening balance of the fasli under report has been explained at the foot of the statement. The more important sources under "other items" of receipts have in some cases been indicated at the foot of the statement. In the Kallikot estate this head consists mainly of deposits and of sale-proceeds of horses. In Kangundi it represents mostly income from forests. In Ramasetuswamiyar's estate it consists partly of value of lands sold and partly of deposits, while in the other Tanjore estates it consists mostly of deposits. The total cash receipts of the year amounted to Rs. 30,11,170. Adding to this the cash balance at the beginning of the fasli, the total available assets were Rs. 41,39,760, of which Rs. 28,02,288 were expended and Rs. 491 invested in Promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 500, leaving a cash balance of Rs. 13,36,981 at the end of the year. Adding to this the value of grain on hand and of Government securities, the balance at the credit of the estates was Rs. 54,24,458. The charges entered in column 23 of the statement include the following unusual items:—

Parlakimedi—	RS.
Accouchment ceremony of the Zemindar's daughter	6,000
Construction of the Devi Matt	30,000
Purchase of a pearl necklace	1,700
Fees to M.R.Ry. V. Bashyam Aiyangar Avergal for the "Friendly Suit"	15,000
Other law charges	27,074
Total	79,774

Chinna Kimedi—		RS.
Purchase of guns	...	885
Subscription to the Berhampore College	...	200
Gratuitous relief	...	449
Minor's marriage	...	2,000
Total	...	3,534
Jarada—		
Purchase of a buggy	...	1,104
Cost of a pony	...	768
Total	...	1,872
Utlam—		
Casuarina plantation	...	1,578
Purchase of mango trees	...	300
Subscription to the Berhampore College	...	200
Total	...	2,078
Dharakot—		
Minor's trip to Parlakimedi	...	1,175
Subscription to the Berhampore College	...	200
Gratuitous relief	...	200
Minor's Upanayanam	...	5,000
Total	...	6,575
Bodogoda—		
Pilgrimage, &c., charges	...	3,000
Subscription to the Berhampore College	...	100
Charges on account of the funeral ceremonies of the Minor's grandmother	...	1,000
Total	...	4,100
Kurla—		
Sridhanam to the daughters of the late Proprietor	...	6,000
Shaving ceremony of the widow of the late Proprietor	...	237
Subscription to the Berhampore College	...	192
Total	...	6,529
Aska—		
Sridhanam	...	5,000
Subscription to the Berhampore College	...	100
Total	...	5,100

6
2526

Kallikot—		RS.
Minor's trip to Parlakimedi	...	2,423
Purchase of ornaments	...	5,313
Seed-grain advances to ryots	...	4,739
Subscription to the Berhampore College	...	800
Cost of a coach	...	3,748
Cost of horses	...	400
Minor's Upanayanam	...	8,000
Gratuitous relief	...	563
Total	...	25,986
Lakkavaram—		
Value of the site purchased for the Bezvada Choultry	...	1,900
Meduru—		
Law charges	...	14,775
Kangundi—		
Fees to Government Pleader	...	2,598
Cost of carriage	...	2,200
Cost of horses, saddle and harness	...	3,090
Total	...	7,938
Pulicherla—		
Amount paid to Chendrasekaramma for her daughter's marriage	...	500
Gandharvakota—		
Nuptials of Subbammal Ayiyar	...	490
Value of lands purchased	...	468
Pilgrimage expenses	...	200
Total	...	1,158
Vadapathimangalam—		
Expenses on account of the ward's sister attaining puberty	...	695
Ramnad—		
Amount paid for the purchase of jewels and marriage expenses of the minor Zemindar	...	24,578
Horses	...	1,330
Darbar suits	...	2,166
Total	...	28,074
Saptar—		
Golden bangles and waist cord for the minor	...	600
Mambarai—		
Ear-boring ceremony of the minor	...	400
Metrathi—		
Cost of demarcation stones	...	229
Netiyalam—		
Bangles for the ward	...	240
Bimbalan—		
Law charges inclusive of damages awarded in a suit	...	213

21. *Comparative statement of total charges.*—The total charges of the year are compared below with those of the preceding fasli in the case of those estates which were under management in both the years:—

Estates.	Fasli 1297.	Fasli 1298.	Remarks.
Parlakimedi	Rs. 6,22,919	Rs. 4,25,835	Decrease is only apparent and due to the purchase last year of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 1,55,000.
Chiana Kimedi	86,388	56,820	Decrease due to the non-adjustment of Government dues for want of funds and to the purchase during the previous fasli of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 17,100.
Jarada	25,435	21,813	Decrease due to non-payment of Government dues for want of funds and to the payment last year of arrears of maintenance allowances to certain relations of the minor.
Urlam	85,342	48,711	Decrease due to the purchase in the previous year of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 38,000.
Dhárakót	77,154	53,396	Decrease due to non-payment of Government dues in full for want of funds and to the payment of a smaller sum for debts.
Bodogoda	37,580	18,830	Decrease due to non-payment of Government dues for want of funds and to the purchase in the previous year of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 10,000.
Kurla	26,558	16,876	Decrease due to non-adjustment of Government dues in full for want of funds and to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 14,700 in the previous fasli.
Aska	41,877	20,003	Decrease due to the purchase in the previous year of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 28,300.
Kallikót	1,33,759	3,10,084	Increase due to large expenditure on public works and payment of debts.
Chidikada	62,115	14,876	Decrease due to a very large expenditure on public works and to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 30,400 in the previous year.
Sripuram	18,640	15,694	Decrease due mainly to a large expenditure under law charges in the previous year.
Chipurupallo	12,294	6,946	Decrease due partly to the non-adjustment of the entire Government demand for want of funds and partly to the last year's figures having included payment of arrears of Government demand.
Appikonda	57,724	16,687	Decrease due to the payment of a large sum in repayment of debts in the previous fasli and to the grant of a loan to the Pasurla family.
Lakkavaram	22,033	13,997	Decrease due to smaller expenditure on public works during the year.
Mailavaram	36,335	24,506	Decrease due to smaller expenditure under "other miscellaneous disbursements."
Kapilavarapuram	1,32,441	1,50,469	Increase due to the remittance of Rs. 35,000 to the Accountant-General for the purchase of promissory notes.
Chitticherla	6,912	6,012	Decrease is only apparent and due to the purchase in the previous year of promissory notes for Rs. 3,000.
Kangundi	89,994	1,09,496	Increase due to increased expenditure mainly under maramut, maintenance and education of the wards, the latter being due to the miners' removal to Madras.
Timmajamma's estate	1,819	2,692	Increase mainly due to payment of assessment and land-cess.
Pulicherla	15,807	44,045	Increase due to the payment of a larger sum in repayment of debt by the sale of a portion of the estate.
Panaiyur	18,766	36,849	Increase due to the payment of a larger sum in repayment of debt.
Ukkadai	45,594	17,858	Decrease due to the payment of a smaller amount under "assessment and cess" and "repayment of deposits."
Gandharvakóta	70,266	37,382	Decrease due to a large repayment of debts and heavy law charges in the previous year.
Vadapathimangalam	1,26,266	55,466	Decrease due to payment of arrears of kist and to the large amount of deposits adjusted in the previous fasli.
Mathur	8,437	9,546	Increase due to a larger payment on account of kist during the year.
Singavanam	18,405	22,656	Increase due to a larger payment on account of peshkash during the year.
Tiruvalanjuli	7,511	8,627	Increase due to a larger payment on account of kist during the year.
Travunpudram	10,424	12,739	Increase due to a larger adjustment under deposits.
Ramadaswamiyar's estate	43,107	1,17,612	Increase due to a larger amount repaid under debts and to a larger adjustment of deposits.
Palayavanam	4,064	10,361	Increase due to a larger payment on account of peshkash and to a larger adjustment under deposits.
Paramur	17,687	8,852	Decrease is only apparent, being due to the purchase in the previous year of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 8,500.
N. Duraiswami Aiyar's estate	18,789	12,765	Decrease is due to a large repayment of debt in the previous fasli.
Marungupuri	27,872	62,026	Increase due mainly to a large repayment of debt.
Ramnád	8,36,447	6,38,381	Decrease due mainly to a large repayment of debt in the previous fasli.
Saptur	1,23,326	46,635	Decrease due to a larger expenditure under public works and to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 67,000 in the previous fasli.
Vadagur	9,989	5,940	Decrease is only apparent, being due to the purchase in the previous fasli of promissory notes of the value of Rs. 5,000.

Estates.	Fasli 1297.	Fasli 1298.	Remarks.
Metralai	Rs. 4,771	Rs. 4,763	Decrease is very small and does not call for explanation.
Neliyalam	3,932	3,526	Increase due to large expenditure under "law charges" and on plantations.
Erumaipati	14,471	15,955	Increase mainly due to a larger repayment of loan.
Shulagiri	16,978	18,603	Increase due to payment of arrears of Government commission and to increased expenditure under "law charges."
Yelagiri	16,204	23,614	Increase due to a larger repayment of debt.
Sarvothama Rao's estate	3,755	4,199	Increase due to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 500.
Puttamma's estate	11,930	20,443	Increase due to a larger repayment of debts and to larger expenditure under "law charges."
Kavalpara	67,806	32,876	Decrease due to discontinuance of temporary establishment and to purchase in the previous year of promissory notes for Rs. 32,000.
Bimbahan	453	410	Decrease due to the charge for the maintenance of the lunatic not having been paid during the year.

22. *Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to receipts.*—The most marked fluctuations in the percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the total receipts are shown and explained in the subjoined statement:—

Estates.	PERCENTAGE OF ESTABLISHMENT CHARGES AND COMMISSION TO RECEIPTS.		Remarks.
	Fasli 1297.	Fasli 1298.	
Dhárakót	10.8	17.1	Increase due to smaller collections on account of unfavorable season.
Kurla	10.1	13.2	Increase due to smaller collections on account of unfavorable season.
Aska	3.3	11.2	Increase mainly due to short collections on account of unfavorable season and to a smaller recovery of debts.
Kallikót	4.8	10.0	Increase due to payment of the establishment for a whole year and to the payment of commission for the first time during the year under report.
Sripuram	11.1	7.0	Decrease due to the payment of commission for two years in the previous fasli and to the sale-proceeds of jewels having been included in the receipts of the fasli under report.
Appikonda	6.3	16.0	Increase is only apparent and due to last year's receipts including the sale-proceeds of promissory notes.
Mailavaram	3.1	6.4	Increase due to increased expenditure under establishment and to payment of commission for the first time during the year under report.
Pulicherla	9.1	4.8	Decrease mainly due to the sale-proceeds of two payacuts having been included under receipts.
Panaiyur	13.8	7.5	Decrease mainly due to a loan of Rs. 14,400 being included under receipts.
Ukkadai	2.4	10.8	The increase is due to smaller collections under "Land Revenue" and "deposits" and to payment of commission for two years.
Gandharvakóta	9.6	13.4	Increase due to smaller receipts under deposits and to the payment of Government commission in the fasli under report for the first time.
Vadapathimangalam	4.7	8.1	Increase due to smaller collections under Land Revenue.
Tiruvalanjuli	10.3	13.2	Increase mainly due to payment of commission for two years.
Palayavanam	11.8	7.1	Decrease due to larger collections under "Land Revenue" and deposits.
Marungupuri	1.3	5.0	Increase due to the entertainment of a forest establishment and to the payment of Government commission for the first time.
Saptur	7.7	18.6	Increase is only apparent and is due mainly to a large debt recovered in the previous year having reduced the percentage of that year.
Erumaipati	11.2	6.9	Decrease due to the large amount realised by the sale of home-farm lands in the fasli under report having raised the receipts.
Shulagiri	6.2	9.0	Increase due to payment of arrears of Government commission.
Yelagiri	5.0	12.8	Increase due to payment of Government commission for the first time in the fasli under report and to large arrears collections in the previous year having reduced the percentage.
Puttamma's estate	7.1	13.1	Increase due mainly to a large recovery of debt in the previous year having reduced the percentage.
Kavalpara	15.4	10.6	Decrease due to the large collections under renewal fees in the year.
Bimbahan	26.1	15.9	Decrease due to a large collection under "Government commission" in the previous year having raised the percentage.

Owing to the changes that are constantly going on in the number and extent of

Fasli.	Establishment and contingent charges.	Government Commission.	Percentage of total of columns 2 and 3 to total receipts.
1	2	3	4
1293	Rs. 1,46,020	Rs. 28,315	8.1
1294	1,52,762	25,418	7.8
1295	1,72,666	23,313	8.2
1296	1,89,841	25,139	8.4
1297	2,11,361	26,160	7.4
Comp. 1298 ..	2,35,636	31,427	8.9

by 8 per cent., which shows that in the main the larger figures of contingent and establishment charges have been caused by the greater extent and revenue of the estates now under management. At the same time it is evident that there has been some increase in the proportionate cost of management and it is to be feared that when the two largest estates now under the Court (Parlakimedi and Ramnad) disappear, as they will in another year, from its returns, the percentage will show a large increase unless steps are taken to reduce it, for in both these estates the establishment charges have been low and the revenues large. The highest percentages of establishment charges *plus* commission are found in Saptar, Dharakot, Lakkavaram, Appikonda, Bodogoda and Bimalan, all above 15 per cent., and all but the last estates of considerable size, and the attention of the Collectors concerned will be drawn to the necessity of effecting some reduction.

The charges on account of Government commission cannot be controlled, being regulated by the amount of the net receipts of the previous fasli and the increase in the period under report is due to the abnormally large receipts of fasli 1297. It would indeed have been much larger, but for the omission in five Ganjam estates and in several others to make any credit to Government on this account, the cause in Ganjam being want of funds caused by the scarcity and consequent bad collections. As was reported by the Court in its Proceedings, dated 8th February 1890, No. 332, the subject of the recovery of Government commission has been engaging attention since 1888, and in the case of Vadapathimangalam and other estates arrears of commission have been credited during fasli 1299. In future the prompt recovery of the Government dues will be made the object of special attention when the individual budgets of the estates are passed by the Court, that being the most convenient time for scrutiny; and Collectors have already been directed in Proceedings, dated 18th June 1888, No. 1280, to give full information on the subject in their administration reports. Their attention is again drawn to those instructions.

23. *Public Works.*—Statement IV-A shows the amounts sanctioned for and expended on works in the several estates. The total allotment, excluding the five Ganjam estates for which no budgets were received, was Rs. 3,97,516, of which Rs. 2,56,838 or 64.6 per cent. were expended, against 62.1 per cent. in the previous year. Adding the amount actually spent in the five Ganjam estates referred to, the total outlay on public works was Rs. 2,89,718 which is classified below.

of the estates under the Court's charge, it is almost impossible to make any useful comparison for a series of years of the charges on account of establishment and contingencies and on account of Government commission. The figures for the preceding 5 faslis are compared with those of that now under report in the statement given in the margin, and it will be seen that as between fasli 1293 and fasli 1298 the establishment and contingent charges have risen by Rs. 79,616 or just over 50 per cent. The proportion borne by these charges *plus* commission to total receipts has, however, only advanced

8/286

	Fasli 1297.	Fasli 1298.
	Rs.	Rs.
Irrigation works	1,60,463	92,579
Buildings	33,914	33,120
Communications	2,640	2,886
Total	1,97,017	1,28,585
Cost of supervision	17,175	21,424
Add expenditure for which no details have been furnished	2,14,192	1,50,009
	5,370	1,30,709
Total	2,19,562	2,80,718

The explanation of the apparent increase in cost of supervision is that the figure Rs. 21,424 relates only to the eight estates noted below. The total expenditure on works in these estates was Rs. 1,08,780 against Rs. 74,289 in the previous year, and the establishment charges show an increase:—

Estate.	Fasli 1297.		Fasli 1298.	
	Expenditure on works.	Establishment charges.	Expenditure on works.	Establishment charges.
Lakkavaram	Rs. 5,979	Rs. 1,194	Rs. 1,161	Rs. 120
Kangundi	8,110	1,935	11,684	2,013
Ramnad	51,640	11,840	76,145	17,267
Saptar	7,876	2,296	4,182	1,030
Mallavaram	Nil.		3,683	240
Kapileswarapuram	Particulars not given.		9,426	298
Chitticherla	464	..	3,458	447
Shulagiri	200	..	142	9
Total	74,289	17,175	1,08,780	21,424

The expenditure on public works equalled 10 per cent. of the total charges of the estates for the year, against 6.9 per cent. in the previous year and 6 per cent.

in fasli 1296, thus showing a slight advance; but if the charges of the three large estates noted in the margin are deducted, the rate is reduced to 5.6 per cent., and as all these estates have now been handed over, a lower percentage will probably appear in future years. As was observed in last year's report, many of the estates being either small or involved in debt cannot afford to spend much on improvements of this description. In addition to Belgam, which was taken under management late in the fasli, and Vadimitta, which was under a lessee, no budget provision for works was made in Edamanal,

Manbarai and Bimalan and no expenditure thereon occurred in the six estates marginally noted. In Chipurupalle, Appikonda and Tyagasamudram this was due to actual outlay not having been adjusted, and Singam Aiyangar's estate only came under management during the fasli. The Collector of North Arcot has not explained the failure to spend any portion of the budget allotment in Timmajamma's estates and he will now be requested to do so.

Chipurupalle.	Pulicherla.
Appikonda.	Tyagasamudram.
Timmajamma's estate.	Singam Aiyangar's estate.

The proportion of expenditure to allotment on the whole number of estates, excluding those for which no budgets were submitted, was 64.6 per cent. In the 15 estates noted in the margin it was below 50 per cent., while it ranged between 50 and 75 per cent. in 13 estates and exceeded 75 per cent. in eight estates, in two of which the entire allotment was utilized. The causes of the short expenditure assigned in the estates other than the two last mentioned is briefly given below:—

Meduru	1.2	Marungápurí	36.1
Yelagiri	9.6	Mathur	39.3
Panaiyur	14.8	Tiruvalanjuli	40.0
Shulagiri	20.9	Utlám	42.0
Sáptúr	24.8	Palayavanam	42.8
Sripuram	28.6	Vadagarai	45.2
Jarada	30.5	Ukkadai	45.4
Lakkavaram	33.0		

In Meduru, Yelagiri, Panaiyur, Sripuram, Lakkavaram and Ukkadai a portion of the allotment was utilized; but the amount was not brought to account within the fasli. In Shulagiri the short expenditure is said to have been due to the difficulty of procuring labor and in Sáptúr to the want of proper supervising agency, a want which has since been supplied by the appointment of a fresh overseer. Work to the value of nearly Rs. 3,000 was also done, but not paid for within the fasli. In Marungápurí it is said to have been due to certain repairs originally provided for in the budget having since become unnecessary. In Mathur and Palayavanam it was mainly due to the postponement of works for want of funds. No explanation has been given as regards the short expenditure in Jarada, Tiruvalanjuli, Utlám and Vadagarai, and such omissions should be avoided in future. The attention of all Collectors will be again drawn to the desirability of utilising the entire allotments for public works.

In the Ganjam estates and in Lakkavaram and Erumaipati the more important works were entrusted to the Public Works Department, while in Ramnad all the works were executed by that agency. The subjoined statement shows the allotments applied for by the Public Works Department at the beginning of the year and the amounts actually adjusted within the fasli under report:—

Name of estates.	Allotments including the usual percentage for establishment charges.	Expenditure.	Percentage of allotment to expenditure.
	RS.	RS.	
Parlakimidi	92,260	58,682	58.1
Chinna Kimedi	18,450	9,144	49.5
Jarada	7,386	3,827	51.8
Utlám	24,231	3,916	16.1
Dhárakót	4,920	1,779	36.1
Bodogoda	7,380	4,721	63.9
Kurla and Tarlipeta	968	2,753	69.9
Aska and Chakipalli	968	3,389	11.2
Kallikót and Atagadá	30,000	1,018	27.6
Lakkavaram	3,690	615	16.4
Erumaipati	615	304	49.2
Ramnad	1,13,160	75,145	66.4
Total	3,06,012	1,64,718	53.8

* Figures for Tarlipeta and Chakipalli have not been separately given.

From these figures it will be seen that the total allotments applied for by the Public Works Department reached an aggregate of Rs. 3,06,012, of which only Rs. 1,64,718 or 53.8 per cent. were spent. Owing to the non-submission of budgets for five estates in Ganjam, it cannot be said exactly what proportion of the shortfall in the Court's total expenditure on works is attributable to the Public Works Department; but it is evident that the share is very large. The amount by which that total

expenditure fell short of the budget estimates was Rs. 1,40,678, and even if the estates noted in the margin are left out of account, the share of the shortfall which is contributed by the Public Works Department is Rs. 1,07,680. The Court observes that the failure of Public Works officers to secure a fair degree of expenditure on estate works in Ganjam has already attracted the attention of Government, and forms the subject of the Proceedings of the Public Works Department communicated by Revenue G.O., dated 10th May 1890, No. 2881. The results shown in Ramnad were also poor.

It is observed that the necessary particulars for filling in Statement IV-A have not been furnished by the Collector of Ganjam on the ground that the Accountant-General's order directing the adjustment of the amounts did not give any. In future all Collectors are requested to report particulars regarding expenditure on public works in the form printed at page 40 of these proceedings, together with explanation of short outlay, the requisite information being, when necessary, obtained from the Public Works Department.

24. *Debts due to Estates.*—Statement V-A shows the debts due to the several estates under management. The differences between the figures showing the balance of debt due at the close of the previous fasli and the opening balance as shown in this statement are explained at the foot of the statement. The total debts outstanding at the beginning of the fasli amounted to Rs. 9,02,459. Including those newly contracted or brought to account, as also interest which fell due during the year, the total sum due was Rs. 10,31,556, of which Rs. 1,01,300 were collected within the year and Rs. 25,643 written off, having been disallowed by Courts, or barred by limitation or due by paupers. There was thus a balance of debts due at the end of the year, amounting to Rs. 9,04,613, distributed among 33 estates. The Collector of Ganjam has promised a further report as to the debts due to Kurla, Aska and Kallikót. Of the amount outstanding at the close of the fasli, Rs. 15,354* have been ordered to be written off since its close, Rs. 7,014 are now reported to be irrecoverable, as noticed in the next paragraph, and Rs. 8,81,945 remain. The outstanding balances were large in the estates noted below:—

	RS.
* Lakkavaram	2,625
Kapileswarapuram	11,453
Edamanal	100
Marungápurí	469
N. Rangaswami Aiyar's estate	1,007
Total	15,654

	RS.
Appikonda	52,215
Meduru	75,053
Kapileswarapuram	80,724
Kangundi	41,883
Vadapathimangalam	1,01,705
Tiruvalanjuli	54,556
Tyagasamudram	62,139
Singam Aiyangar's estate	58,209
Puttamma's estate	1,99,860

In Appikonda the debts are said to be covered by mortgage of lands and other property and to be payable, in some cases, by instalments. Decrees are said to have been obtained for some items which have fallen due. In Meduru, a portion has been collected since the close of the fasli; another portion is covered by decrees and steps have been taken to recover the remainder. In Kapileswarapuram, Rs. 11,451 have been written off since the close of the fasli, and the balance is covered partly by bonds and partly by decrees. The greater portion of the balance in Kangundi relates to villages mortgaged with possession to the zemindar or is covered by decrees of Courts which are under execution. The major portion of the amount outstanding in Vadapathimangalam is said to be due on account of advances for seed and cultivation expenses by Porakudies who are tenants-at-will, entirely dependent on the estate and unable to repay the amounts advanced to them. Of the balance, Rs. 62,372 are said

to be apparently irrecoverable, but the matter is being inquired into. Steps have been taken to recover the amounts due to Singam Aiyangar's estate. It is stated that of the amount due in Tyagasamudram, Rs. 18,855 are covered by mortgage bonds or decrees, Rs. 30,766 by hypothecation and Rs. 9,912 by simple bonds, and that the requisite action has been ordered to be taken in the matter. In the case of Konde Puttamma's estate, the Collector states that he had just received a special report from the Manager on the subject of the debts due, and as this needed careful examination, he promises to deal with it in a separate communication which has since been received. Of the Rs. 54,556 due to the Tiruvalanjuli estate, about Rs. 45,000 are payable by a single debtor and arrangements have been made to file a suit against him. The necessary steps for the recovery of the debts due appear to have been taken in most of the other estates. Some of the Collectors have, however, not given full information under this head. This should invariably be done in future. The Collector of Tanjore has given no information as to the steps being taken to recover the large sums, Rs. 26,392, Rs. 17,892 and Rs. 11,097 owing, respectively, to the Gundharvakōta, Ramasetu-swamiyar's and Palayavanam estates, and he will now be desired to supply the omission.

25. *Irrecoverable Debts.*—The following items of debts, amounting to Rs. 7,014-0-10, are reported to be irrecoverable and sanction is now accorded for writing them off the accounts:—

Name of estate.	Amount.	Remarks.
Lakkavaram	165 9 2	Interest erroneously demanded from a debtor of the estate.
	178 15 2	Paid to the late arasu, but erroneously shown in the debt list.
Neliyalam	2,204 0 0	Amounts not covered by proper documents.
	214 0 0	Included in subsequent bond.
	3,796 8 6	Barred by limitation, mostly before the estate came under management.
	455 0 0	Advances to workmen which cannot now be recovered.
Total	6,818 7 8	
Grand Total	7,014 0 10	

26. *Debts due by the Estates.*—Statement V-B shows the liabilities of the estates under wardship. The amount due at the beginning of the year was Rs. 15,02,483, to which must be added Rs. 1,42,949, being the debts contracted or brought to account during the year as also the interest which fell due therein, thus raising the total of debts due to Rs. 16,45,432. Of this sum, Rs. 3,53,367 were paid off, and Rs. 22,263 written off, having become barred by limitation or been remitted by creditors, thus leaving Rs. 12,69,862 outstanding at the end of the year, due by 27 estates. In seven cases the sums outstanding were small, less than Rs. 1,000, and two estates were cleared of debt during the year. Explanation of the largest items outstanding is briefly given below. The amount outstanding in Appikonda is due partly by the estate and partly by others with whom the estate carries on transactions in partnership. The Collector has recently taken steps to pay off the amount as far as possible, the requisite security being taken from the other partners. Two of the four *payacuts* of Pulicherla were sold during the year in satisfaction of decrees against the estate and the other two *payacuts* are now under attachment. The largest amount outstanding at the end of the year (Rs. 2,72,113) was against the Gandharvakōta estate. Some villages of the estate are in the enjoyment of creditors to meet the interest on a sum of Rs. 1,02,938, the payment of which may therefore be postponed; but the other creditors are said to be pressing for payment and no funds are at present available, but may become so if the arrears of which as above only a small portion is considered irrecoverable, can be collected. No portion of the debts due was paid off in Singayanam or Palayavanam from want of funds, the balance at the credit of the latter having been attached by the Sub-Court of Tanjore. This estate which only came under the Court in 1887, owes nearly 2½ lakhs of rupees, with an annual demand of between Rs. 6,000 and 6,500

10
2826

only, and its position may therefore be said to be hopeless. The debt due by Tiruvalanjuli cannot be paid until certain amounts due to the estate have been recovered, for which, as above noticed, steps have been taken. The Collector of Trichinopoly states that the debts due by Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate, will be paid off as funds become available; Rs. 43,512 were paid off during the year, the money being obtained by sale of lands. All Collectors are requested to give in their future reports full information regarding debts not paid off, stating what steps have been or are intended to be taken to liquidate them.

27. *Religious and charitable institutions attached to Estates.*—The subjoined statement shows the demand, collection and balance of the religious and charitable institutions attached to the Peramur, Marungāpuri, Ramnad, Sāptūr and Kavalpara estates:—

District.	Estate.	Endowment.	DEMAND.			COLLECTIONS AND REMISSIONS.			BALANCE.			Percentage balance to demand.
			Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Trichinopoly.	Peramur ..	Musiri Chatram	233	424	677	Details not given.	a 544	..	..	..	133	19.6
	Marungāpuri.	Devastanam ..	25,830	10,444	16,274	3,358	7,756	11,114	2,473	2,688	6,160	31.7
	Do. ..	Uraia	3551	583	1,134	..	..	704	164	266	430	37.6
	Ramnad ..	Chatrams ..	25,894	40,002	65,896	12,159	32,563	44,852	12,705	7,339	20,044	30.4
	Do. ..	Devastanam ..	84,339	1,37,135	1,21,425	20,728	1,14,892	1,41,720	27,562	22,143	49,705	25.9
	Do. ..	Dharmamagimai.	17,024	19,038	36,062	10,159	16,358	26,508	6,974	8,680	15,554	43.1
	Sāptūr ..	Chatrams ..	..	296	296	..	..	296	..	..	..	..
	Do. ..	Devastanam ..	1,187	1,986	3,173	..	..	2,940	65	168	233	7.3
	Kavalpara ..	Tiruchengód	2,475	1,007	3,482	204	675	969	2,181	332	2,513	72.1
	Do. ..	Devastanam ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

a. Exclusive of Rs. 122 spent in the shape of paddy.
b. These are the correct figures.
c. Includes Rs. 2,777 written off.
d. Do. Rs. 1,219 do.
e. Do. Rs. 3,062 do.
f. Do. Rs. 783 do.

The large arrears in the Tiruchengód devasam are due to certain disputes among the trustees, which are now reported to be in a fair way of being settled. In the Ramnad-Dharmamagimai institutions the balance is said to be mostly nominal, being the estimated value of paddy already obtained and pending sale. Steps have been taken for the adjustment of the balances in the other cases. The undermentioned items are said to be irrecoverable for the reasons entered against each:—

	RAMNAD.			Sāptūr Devastanam.		
	Devastanam.	Chatram.	Dharmamagimai.	Devastanam.	Chatram.	Dharmamagimai.
Value of damaged paddy	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Barred by limitation	4,102 0 7	7 11 2	93 6 5	..	..	..
Erroneous demand	..	..	..	..	..	..
Due by persons who have become paupers	872 7 6	192 2 4	..	..	..	..
Difference between the estimated and actual value of paddy	274 12 0	299 0 9	71 11 6	..	..	..
Retained by Pagoda servants in lieu of arrears of pay due to them	..	710 3 11	..	..	..	..
Total	5,249 4 1	1,209 2 2	666 7 8	61 11 6	..	..

Sanction is accorded for writing off Rs. 61-11-6 relating to the Sāptūr devasams. The questions of remitting the items relating to Ramnad will be left to the proprietor, who has come of age since the close of the fasli.

28. *Financial condition of minor institutions.*—The financial condition of the several institutions above referred to is shown in the subjoined statement:—

District.	Estate.	Endowment	Opening balance.	Receipts.	Total.	Charges.	Closing balance.	Remarks.
			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Trichinopoly	Peramur	Masiri chat-tram.	174	666	840	610	230	Exclusive of paddy on hand—kalams 13-4.
	Marangá-puri	Devastanam.	2,930	11,193	14,123	6,134	7,989	
	Do.	Uranis	97	704	801	311	490	
	Ramnád	Chattram	38,427	44,990	83,417	55,057	28,360	Exclusive of grain on hand of the value of Rs. 2,102.
	Do.	Devastanam.	2,67,142	1,57,003	4,24,145	2,66,112	1,58,033	Exclusive of grain on hand of the value of Rs. 16,321.
Madura	Do.	Dharmam-gamul.	5,354	17,491	22,845	16,864	6,041	
	Sáptár	Chattram	..	315	315	314	1	Exclusive of grain on hand of the value of Rs. 232.
	Do.	Devastanam.	2,374	3,646	6,020	2,264	3,756	Exclusive of grain on hand of the value of Rs. 218.
Malabar	Kavalpara	Tiruchengód devasam.	70	1,036	1,105	936	169	Exclusive of grain on hand of the value of Rs. 176.

The transactions of the Devadi choultry, the accounts of which are as usual incorporated with those of the Urlám estate to which it is attached, are noted below:—

	Cash.	Grain.
	RS.	GARCE.
Opening balance	11,970	32
Receipts	1,660	31
Total	13,630	63
Charges	1,162	32
Closing balance	12,468	31

29. *Education of Wards.*—Statement VI shows the educational progress of the wards and of such of their relations as were receiving instruction with them during the year under report. The total number included in the list is 68, of whom 63 were males and 5 females. As in previous years, the eldest son of the Parlákimedi zemindar, to whom the estate is just about to be made over, and who is 26 years of age, is not included in the list. The two younger sons of the Parlákimedi zemindar and the minor wards of Chinna Kimedi, Jarada, Dhárakót, and Kallikót were under Mr. Taylor's tuition at Parlákimedi. The first two made very fair progress in their studies and are described also as being fond of riding and shooting. The elder has, however, died since the close of the period covered by this report. The Chinna Kimedi minor is also said to have made fair progress in all subjects except English, but the Jarada minor is said to be rather backward in English and Arithmetic and to have a disinclination to exercise or to physical exertion of any kind. The Dhárakót and Kallikót minors made fairly satisfactory progress during the year. The Kangundi minors were both under Mr. Creighton's tuition at Madras and are reported to have made creditable progress in general education, the elder minor having also made satisfactory advance in drawing, both geometrical and freehand. The Mathur minor and the elder son of the late Duraiswami Aiyar of Nangavaram were reading in the F. A. classes, the former at the Kumbakónam College, and the latter at the S. P. G. College, Trichinopoly, and both are favorably reported on. The Ramnád minors were reading in the Madras Christian College during the year, the elder attending the B. A. class, and the younger the F. A. class. The elder minor is reported to have made good

progress in his studies and to have kept up his knowledge of music besides attending gymnastics regularly. He is a well educated and well spoken young man. Owing to ill health, the younger minor fell off in his studies during the latter part of the year. He is said to have made good progress in music, photography and drawing and to have gone through all the gymnastic exercises creditably. The conduct of all these minors was on the whole satisfactory.

Of the other minors, the eldest Tyagasamudram boy, whose age was 19, was reading Sanskrit at home under a private tutor, while the two younger boys were reading in the Town High School, Kumbakónam. The eldest son of the late Ramasetuswamiyar, whose age is 20, was also receiving instruction at home, to qualify himself for the office of priest to the family of the late Rajah of Tanjore. The step-brother of the late proprietor of the Tyagasamudrum estate and the elder Vadapathimangalam boy did not attend any school during the year. The latter appeared for the Matriculation Examination, but failed; he was unwilling to continue his studies

Kurla	1	been made to send the former to the S. P. G. College, Trichinopoly. The minors of the marginally-noted estates were receiving instruction under private tutors. Of the remaining minors, three were reading in High school classes, and the remaining 23 in Middle school and Primary classes. The conduct and progress of all these minors was on the whole satisfactory.
Mailavaram	2	
Palayavanam	1	
Sáptár	1	
Mamburai	2	
Vádimitta	1	
Metráthi	1	
Yelagiri	1	

The elder Ramnád and Kangundi minors were instructed in Revenue law and accounts by Mr. Creighton during the year, and arrangements have been made since the close of the fasli for similar teaching being given to the Chinna Kimedi minor and the eldest minor of Ramasetuswamiyar's estate; but nothing appears to have been done in regard to other minors. The attention of all Collectors will be drawn to paragraph 6 of Court's Proceedings, dated 11th October last, No. 2428, and they are requested to furnish information on the point in their future administration reports.

Of the female wards, the proprietrix of Urlám was under a European Governess (Miss Fallofield) and is reported to have been receiving instruction also in drawing, music and needle-work. The Aska ward is being taught by a private tutor whom she pays from her own allowance. Timmajamma was also under a paid private Telugu tutor; but since the close of the year she has been placed under a Governess (Miss Connelly). The Kavalpara Nethiyar ceased to receive instruction in 1881. Her daughter, age 7, is reading in the infant standard class.

30. *Law suits.*—The appeal filed by the Court under orders of Government from the decision of the District Court of Ganjam in connection with the transfer of the Parlákimedi estate to the son of the incapacitated zemindar has recently been decided in favor of the latter and instructions have been issued to the Collector to hand over the estate to the young zemindar on 1st July 1890. The summary suit under Act XIX of 1841, instituted on behalf of the Medur minor to recover possession of the Nidadavól estate, was, upon the advice of the Court's counsel, withdrawn, and the suit to set aside the adoption of the minor's father has recently been decided adversely to him. A suit was filed in the Ellore Sub-court on behalf of the Kapileswarapuram minor to recover the sum of Rs. 80,000, misappropriated by his uncle during his trusteeship. It is still pending. A suit instituted during the year by the Court, in conjunction with Mr. Lonsdale, a lessee under the Court, to set aside the mining lease granted by the late zemindar of Kangundi to one Saravanamuttu Pillai and assigned by the latter to Major-General Beresford, was dismissed on appeal by the High Court. The important rent suit in Ukkadai, referred to in the previous year's report, was pending judgment at the close of the fasli. The two suits in connection with Tiruvalanjuli, referred to last year, were decided against the estate, but in one case an appeal to the District Court is still pending. The appeal in the High Court in connection with the claim for a share of the Vadapathimangalam estate and that preferred by the third widow of the late Zemindar of Ramnád were pending in the High Court at the close of the fasli, while the appeal by the daughter of the second

widow of the late zemindar of the last-named estate was withdrawn subsequent to the close of the fasli. The appeal in Viraswami Nayak's case was also pending. The suits for the recovery of sums due to Kolandai Nachiyar were decided against the estate in the Original and Appellate Courts and second appeals were ordered to be preferred. Nagammal, one of the "dagger-wives" of the late zemindar of Saptur, instituted a suit on behalf of her minor son, claiming the entire zemindari, on the ground that being older than the present ward he was the rightful heir to the property. This was pending in the Sub-Court, Madura (west). The appeal relating to Puttamma's estate was still pending in the High Court. The attention of all Collectors in charge of Wards' estates is again drawn to the instructions already issued directing that full information be given in the annual reports regarding all important suits connected with Wards' estates and that the correspondence relating to them be quoted.

31. *Condition of ryots.*—The condition of ryots in the several estates is briefly noticed below:—

Parlakimedi.—The ryots are in much the same condition as those in the Government taluk of Chicacole.

Chinna Kimedi and Jarada.—The majority of the ryots are reported to be poor and dependent on sowcars for payment of rents.

Utlam.—The rents are high as compared with Government lands; but the estate is fertile, being all channel fed, and the ryots are very well to do and prosperous.

Dharakot, Bodogoda, Kallikot, the Vizagapatam estates, Lakkavaram and the Sulem estates.—There was no change in the condition of the ryots in these estates during the year under report.

Kurla and Aska.—The majority of the ryots find it necessary to resort to money-lenders for payment of rents. Their condition, as compared with that of the ryots of the neighbouring Government villages, is said to be poor.

Mailavaram.—The condition of the ryots does not differ from that of Government ryots.

Kapileswarapuram.—The condition of the ryots is said to be in some cases as good as in the adjoining Government villages and generally better than in adjoining zemindari tracts. Certain unauthorized enhancements were removed during the year.

Chitticherla.—The condition of ryots is good and no difficulty is found in collecting rents.

Kangundi and Timmajamma's estate.—The condition of ryots is very backward and cannot, the Collector thinks, be improved until the estate is surveyed and right of occupancy secured to the ryots.

Pulicherla.—The ryots of this estate are better off than those of Kangundi, inasmuch as they have occupancy rights. The assessment however is, in most cases, said to be heavy.

Panaiyur.—The majority of the ryots are said to be poor; but they have occupancy rights and are in places as well off as Government ryots.

Vadapathimangalam.—The tenants or porakudies of this ryotwari estate are coolies or day-laborers and are generally poor.

Ramasetuswamiyar's estate.—This is also a ryotwari estate. The ryots are in the same condition as in other Government villages.

Tyagasamudram.—The tenants of this ryotwari estate are said to be very poor.

Palayavanam.—The condition of the tenants is the same as in adjoining Government villages.

Gandharvakota.—Most of the ryots are poor and their condition is the same as in the adjacent Government villages.

Edamanal.—The tenants of this ryotwari estate are generally poor.

Marungapuri.—The rates of rent are not appreciably higher than those in the adjoining Government lands.

Ramnad.—The tenants are said to be not so well off as in Government tracts.

Saptur.—The condition of the ryots is said to be improving.

Mambarai.—The ryots are said to have been impoverished by constant litigation with the late zemindar.

Metralthi.—The condition of the ryots is the same as in the adjoining Government villages.

South Canara Estates.—The Collector states that it is difficult to compare the condition of ryots, as the estates consist of scattered wurgs, but that they are free from arbitrary exaction of labor.

Kavalpara.—The Collector reports that the rents are such as would be regarded as easy by most Malabar landlords, and that the fact that 97 per cent. of the demand can be collected with but rare recourse to Civil Courts, seems to indicate that the tenantry have not much to complain of.

It is observed that a few Collectors have not furnished any information on this point and some have reported in vague terms that there was no change in the condition of the ryots during the year under report. This entry occurring, as it does, in connection with some of the famine-stricken Ganjam estates, is particularly inapplicable. Collectors should give a full and clear account under this important head in their future reports.

32. *General Remarks.*—The question of settling the Parlakimedi estate was not decided during the year and as it has been handed over to the young zemindar, the Court considers that it need not be gone into now. The young zemindar of Parlakimedi continued to be in charge of the 19 villages entrusted to him. Most of the boundary disputes in Kapileswarapuram have been disposed of, and the remaining few are being inquired into. The karnams in this estate are reported to have shown a little improvement, partly owing to heavy fines imposed by the Head Assistant Collector and partly owing to the prompt payment of their remuneration, as also of arrears. The Kangundi and Saptur forests were in charge of the District Forest-officers of North Arcot and Madura, respectively. Effective measures were taken to prevent illicit fellings in the Kangundi forests. The biggest and richest forests in Pulicherla being situated in the portion of the estates sold under Court's decrees have, since the close of the fasli, been handed over to the auction purchasers. The most important accounts of the village manual have been introduced in the Panaiyur estate and the position of village officers has been improved by the grant of salaries in lieu of the old merahs. The fuel plantations in Ramnad are said to be in a flourishing condition. Owing to the unfavorable character of the season, they were but slightly extended during the year. In Shulagiri the village manual accounts were brought into use during the year.

33. *Inam Alienations.*—The Court regrets that its report on this subject cannot yet be submitted as the Collector of Ganjam has not yet furnished the information called for in Court's reference, dated 4th July 1888, in spite of several reminders from this office. He is again requested to expedite his report.

34. *Conclusion.*—The Court also regrets the delay of nine weeks in the submission of this report. It is due to the late submission of the district reports and to the necessity for obtaining revised statements and explanation of discrepancies in some cases. The report on the Kallikot estate in the Ganjam district was received only on the 22nd April, the last set of revised statements for the Neliyalam estate on the 22nd May, and the last explanation of discrepancies in connection with the report for the Parlakimedi estate only on the 7th June. All Collectors in charge of Wards' estates are again requested to see that their administration reports are submitted punctually and without the inaccuracies and omissions which have been pointed out in separate communications from this office and which necessitate references back to the district.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. SIM,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with a file of Collectors' reports and three maps.
" Collectors in charge of Wards' estates, with three maps.
" Copy to other Collectors, with three maps.
" to R. U. Potts, Esq.

No. I.—Statement showing the ages, &c., of the Wards and the period during which the estates have been under management for fasli 1298 (1888-89).

Districts.	Estates.	Government Orders by which the estates came under the management of the Court of Wards.	Sexes of the Wards.	Disabilities.	The period during which the estates have been under management.	Ages of the Wards at the end of the fasli.	Dates on which the Wards will attain majority.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Canjam ..	Parlakimadi *	No. 1279, 22nd June 1880	Male	Imbecility	YRS. M. D.	YRS. M. D.	June 1890.
	Chinna Kimedi †	" 2188, 27th December 1876	Do.	Minority	12 6 0	18 1 26	May 1892.
	Jarada ..	" 2451, 15th July 1880	Female	Do.	8 11 3	20 4 17	January 1896.
	Utham +	" 740, 27th March 1879	Male	Do.	4 5 5	13 8 23	7th October 1895.
	Dhirkott ..	" 1495, 28th April 1880	Do.	Do.	3 9 9	4 3 16	15th March 1906.
	Kuria ..	" 1495, 28th April 1880	Do.	Do.	2 11 17	18 7 17	18th November 1891.
	Aska ..	" 1495, 28th April 1880	Female	Sex	2 10 0	26 0 0	June 1892.
	Kalikot ..	" 1026, 11th September 1885	Female	Minority	1 8 0	18 0 0	June 1892.
	Chidikkada ..	" 752, 6th September 1887	Female	Sex	11 9 9	49 6 28	28th August 1895.
	Sripuram ..	" 1038, 4th September 1887	Female	Minority	10 10 14	10 5 5	28th August 1895.
Vazagapattam ..	Chipurupalle ..	" 1446, 5th May 1882	Female	Sex	7 2 3	12 5 20	11th January 1898.
	Appikonda ..	" 370, 13th May 1886	Female	Minority	6 4 4	Not reported.	11th January 1898.
	Belgum ..	" 337, 13th May 1886	Female	Sex	9 7 13	2 16	April 1897.
	Lakkavaram ..	" 131, 14th March 1889	Male	Minority	1 4 4	2 4 0	1908.
	Maduran ..	" 291, 15th December 1879	Do.	Do.	1 9 9	17 8 10	21st October 1892.
	Madavan ..	" 179, 1st March 1888	Do.	Do.	1 9 9	15 9 21	9th September 1894.
	Kapilavarappuram ..	" 903, 16th September 1887	Do.	Do.	12 9 4	20 4 0	February 1890.
	Chirichurra †	" 1381, 29th " 1876	Do.	Do.	6 3 3	19 11 0	August 1890.
	Kangundi ..	" 435, 11th April 1883	Female	Do.	4 4 4	10 0 0	1900.
	Tinnejammam's estate	" 292, 10th March 1886	Male	Do.	1 11 11	14 8 0	November 1896.
North Arcot ..	Pulicherla ..	" 711, 22nd July 1887	Do.	Do.	2 4 4	16 4 20	11th February 1894.
	Pattayur ..	" 233, 4th March " 1876	Do.	Do.	10 0 0	17 0 0	January 1893.
	Ukkadai ..	" 493, 9th March 1881	Do.	Do.	8 4 4	15 6 20	11th December 1894.
	Gandharvakottu ..	" 1205, 29th August 1881	Do.	Do.	7 10 0	19 0 0	7th March 1891.
	Vadapathimangalam ..	" 1292, 20th November 1882	Do.	Do.	6 7 0	17 10 0	6th September 1892.
	Muthur ..	" 159, 1st February 1884	Do.	Do.	5 5 5	16 2 15	16th April 1894.
	Singavaram ..	" 531, 5th May 1885	Do.	Lunacy	4 2 2	43 11 21	February 1891.
	Tiruvahajuli ..	" 554, 18th September 1888	Do.	Minority	4 2 2	19 4 17	15th January 1896.
	Tyagasanudram ..	" 624, 4th May 1885	Do.	Do.	2 10 0	20 5 16	March 1904.
	Ramasethuamayar's estate †	" 732, 30th August 1886	Do.	Do.	3 1 1	6 3 0	26th August 1900.
Tanjore ..	Palayavaram ..	" 430, 12th May 1887	Do.	Do.	0 7 0	9 10 0	26th August 1900.
	Edamandal ..	" 806, 21st November 1888	Do.	Do.	0 7 0	9 10 0	26th August 1900.

* This estate has since been ordered by the High Court to be handed over to the Ward's eldest son.

† Since handed over to the proprietor.

B
2526

Statement showing the ages, &c., of the Wards and the period during which the estates have been under management for fasli 1298 (1888-89)—cont.

Districts.	Estates.	Government Orders by which the estates came under the management of the Court of Wards.	Sexes of the Wards.	Disabilities.	The period during which the estates have been under management.	Ages of the Wards at the end of the fasli.	Dates on which the Wards will attain majority.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur ..	No. 2985, 30th November 1868	Male	Imbecility	YRS. M. D.	YRS. M. D.	31st October 1895.
	Nangavaram ..	" 1945, 16th " 1880	Do.	Minority	20 7 7	41 0 0	8th November 1905.
	Marungopuri ..	" 163, 22nd February 1888	Do.	Do.	8 7 7	14 8 0	27th January 1896.
	Nangavaram ..	" 873, 20th September " 1885	Do.	Do.	1 4 4	4 7 0	22nd August 1895.
	Singam Aiyangur's estate	" 789, 13th November 1885	Do.	Do.	0 9 9	14 5 0	27th January 1896.
	Rannad ..	" 319, 15th March 1873	Do.	Do.	0 8 8	14 10 0	22nd August 1895.
	Saptar ..	" 5, 5th January 1896	Do.	Do.	16 3 3	20 8 0	October 1899.
	Vadagari ..	" 61, 19th " 1888	Do.	Do.	3 6 6	7 11 23	7th July 1902.
	Mambadi ..	" 1651, 7th November 1891	Do.	Do.	7 8 8	16 6 7	24th December 1894.
	Vadimitta ..	" 18, 16th January 1889	Do.	Do.	0 6 6	9 6 15	15th " 1900.
Madura ..	Mettrathi ..	" 2183, 17th November 1873	Do.	Do.	9 7 7	10 9 0	16th September 1899.
	Noliyalam ..	" 2660, 4th May 1888	Do.	Do.	3 11 11	8 6 0	8th January 1902.
	Ernaipudi ..	" 860, 14th July 1883	Female	Imbecility	2 3 3	2 6 0	1st February 1908.
	Shulagiri ..	" 864, 11th April 1887	Do.	Minority	16 1 1	38 0 0	March 1903.
	Yolagiri ..	" 684, 6th June 1874	Male	Do.	6 11 11	7 3 13	8th January 1896.
	Sarvothama Row's estate	" 788, 28th July 1882	Do.	Do.	2 1 1	14 5 23	8th January 1896.
	K. Puttamma's estate	" 539, 2nd June 1887	Female	Lunacy	13 11 11	18 7 0	December 1891.
	Kavalpara ..	" 1270, 18th July 1883	Do.	Sex	16 11 11	23 0 0	
	Bimbalan ..	" 1187, 9th August 1872	Male	Lunacy	9 5 5	35 0 0	
		" 599, 21st June 1887					

* Since handed over to the Zamindar.

No. II.A.—Statement showing the number of villages and the proportion of cultivation to holdings in the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89).

Districts.	Estates.	NUMBER OF VILLAGES.						FASLI 1297.				FASLI 1298.			
		Ryotwari or joint-rent.	Money-rent.	Rent in kind.	Inam or shrotriam.	Total.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13			
Banjan ..	Parlakmedhi	510	11	1	390	900	1,13,420	1,12,702	99.4	1,13,901	71,482	1,13,901	71,482	62.8	
	Chinna Kintidi	78	3	1	74	159	10,199	10,195	99.9	10,855	10,854	10,855	10,854	99.7	
	Jarada	28	3	1	31	62	3,589	3,588	99.4	3,624	2,401	3,624	2,401	66.3	
	Urtan	6	56	1	7	13	2,368	2,368	100.	2,967	2,967	2,967	2,967	100.	
	Dudhikoti	79	181	1	49	185	9,801	9,782	99.8	9,496	9,005	9,496	9,005	94.8	
	Bohogoda	133	181	1	19	335	3,246	3,246	99.2	3,548	3,542	3,548	3,542	99.9	
	Kuda	12	1	1	2	15	1,811	1,811	100.	1,811	1,801	1,811	1,801	98.5	
	Aska	9	2	1	1	12	1,060	1,060	100.	1,069	1,052	1,069	1,052	98.4	
	Kalikot	300	120	1	141	561	35,118	34,103	97.1	47,704	38,519	47,704	38,519	82.2	
	Chidikada	3	1	1	1	6	2,277	2,277	100.	2,277	2,277	2,277	2,277	100.	
Vijayaput ..	Sipuran	5	1	1	5	10	2,966	2,966	100.	2,968	2,968	2,968	2,968	100.	
	Chimnapalle	1	1	1	1	4	2,488	2,488	99.1	2,488	2,479	2,488	2,479	99.6	
	Ayapokanda	1	1	1	1	4	392	392	100.	392	392	392	392	100.	
	Baligan	1	1	1	1	4	738	738	92.8	732	732	732	732	91.6	
	Lakkavaram	37	1	1	24	62	8,687	8,687	77.8	8,784	7,268	8,784	7,268	82.1	
	Modura	11	1	3	1	16	22,078	20,617	93.4	22,074	19,265	22,074	19,265	87.3	
	Mahavaram	28	6	1	24	59	485	389	93.6	485	281	485	281	57.9	
	Kapileswarapuram	132	71	1	127	330	9,571	8,742	91.3	10,773	13,521	10,773	13,521	125.6	
	Chitticherla	54	9	2	10	75	1,692	1,388	82.0	1,617	1,258	1,617	1,258	78.6	
	Kangundi	5	1	1	6	12	4,276	3,145	73.5	4,441	3,225	4,441	3,225	73.2	
North Arcot ..	Tinnasajama's estate	54	9	2	10	75	2,513	2,127	84.6	2,521	2,133	2,521	2,133	84.6	
	Pannayur	8	19	1	11	33	21,816	20,896	95.8	21,722	20,853	21,722	20,853	96.0	
	Ukkalai	12	19	1	11	33	5,727	5,727	100.	5,727	5,727	5,727	5,727	100.	
	Gandharvakota	1	1	1	1	4	615	606	99.5	615	606	615	606	98.3	
	Vadupathimangalam	8	1	2	10	20	2,736	1,384	50.6	2,736	1,384	2,736	1,384	50.6	
	Mathur	1	1	1	1	4	689	689	100.	689	689	689	689	100.	
	Singavaram	1	1	1	1	4	473	473	100.	473	473	473	473	100.	
	Tiruvabojuli	1	1	1	1	4	7,375	6,932	93.9	7,375	6,943	7,375	6,943	94.9	
	Tyngasundram	1	1	1	1	4	2,153	1,482	69.3	2,153	1,493	2,153	1,493	69.3	
	Pamastuswamiyar's estate	1	1	1	1	4	2,451	2,451	75.5	2,451	2,451	2,451	2,451	76.0	
Tanjore ..	Palayavaram	1	1	1	1	4	1,880	1,880	100.	1,880	1,880	1,880	1,880	100.	
	Edamandal	1	1	1	1	4	2,451	2,451	75.5	2,451	2,451	2,451	2,451	76.0	
	Paramur	1	1	1	1	4	4,309	4,309	88.2	4,309	4,309	4,309	4,309	88.4	
	Nagavaram Duraiswami Aiyar's estate	78	1	1	22	100	32,472	32,472	97.1	32,472	32,472	32,472	32,472	99.8	
	Marungapur	1	1	1	1	4	32,472	32,472	97.1	32,472	32,472	32,472	32,472	99.8	
	Marungapur	1	1	1	1	4	32,472	32,472	97.1	32,472	32,472	32,472	32,472	99.8	
	Marungapur	1	1	1	1	4	32,472	32,472	97.1	32,472	32,472	32,472	32,472	99.8	
	Marungapur	1	1	1	1	4	32,472	32,472	97.1	32,472	32,472	32,472	32,472	99.8	
	Marungapur	1	1	1	1	4	32,472	32,472	97.1	32,472	32,472	32,472	32,472	99.8	
	Marungapur	1	1	1	1	4	32,472	32,472	97.1	32,472	32,472	32,472	32,472	99.8	
Trichinopoly	1	1	1	1	4	32,472	32,472	97.1	32,472	32,472	32,472	32,472	99.8		

* Excluding nine deserted villages.
(*) Figures for Tanjore are not available.† Excluding 27 villages in the possession of mortgagors.
(*) Figures for Chitaval are not available.

† Excluding one deserted village.

14
2526

Statement showing the number of villages and the proportion of cultivation to holdings in the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89)—cont.

Districts.	Estates.	NUMBER OF VILLAGES.						FASLI 1297.				FASLI 1298.			
		Ryotwari or joint-rent.	Money-rent.	Rent in kind.	Inam or shrotriam.	Total.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13			
Trichinopoly—cont.	Nagavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	89.5			
	Singam Aiyangar's estate	..	339 3/4	1,010 1/2	827 3/8	2,167 3/8	Not known.	8,208 4/3	..	Not known.	8,198 3/8	97.4			
	Ramnad	..	..	..	..	17	21,723	17,413	80.1	22,016	15,933	82.0			
	Saptar	17	..	..	..	..	..	..	Figures not available.	..	..	..			
	Vadagarai	..	..	..	..	3	..	..	..	4,123	Not known.	..			
	Mambairi	3	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Vedurmita	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	75.9			
	Mattithi	..	..	..	..	1	5,990	3,942	68.1	5,969	4,323	72.9			
	Nalayar	..	..	..	..	..	7,150	2,428	33.9	7,141	3,498	49.6			
	Erampathi	..	..	..	1	..	7,060	6,843	92.7	7,033	5,885	73.9			
Salem	Shahagiri	45	45	49	..	139	3,765	5,031	87.4	4,806	5,357	83.4			
	Yelagiri	13	1	..	..	14	7,063	7,002	99.2	6,929	6,904	99.6			
	Sarvathama Row's estate	..	..	..	..	..	560	360	100.	300	300	100.			
	K. Puthamma's estate	..	..	..	..	..	545	945	196.	945	70.5	180.			
South Canara	Kavalpara	..	..	..	..	..	16,626	11,715	70.5	16,926	11,715	70.5			
	Dinabalan	..	..	..	..	..	74	74	100.	74	56.1	56.1			
Malabar	Dinabalan	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Total	1,622	868 1/4	1,040 1/2	1,844 1/2	5,375 1/2	3,35,136	6,34,679	92.04	4,63,748	6,37,060	79.9			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..			
Mambairi.	..	..	..												

N₂ II-B.—Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89).

28

15TH AUGUST 1890, No. 662, REVENUE.

LAND REVENUE.										
Districts.	Estates.	Dry.			Wet.		Total.		Other Items.	Total.
		Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Ganjam	Parlakimedi	Acres. 45,503	Rs. 60,279	Acres. 65,398	Rs. 2,82,336	Acres. 1,13,901	Rs. 2,42,515	Rs. 16,123	Rs. 3,42,015	
	Chinna Kumedhi	2,584	6,903	8,311	35,105	10,835	44,010	205	60,133	
	Jarada	637	1,159	2,381	16,777	3,624	17,966	36	18,171	
	Urah	329	1,742	2,638	40,136	2,967	43,898	7	41,898	
	Dharskot	2,779	5,221	3,681	34,681	2,496	39,902	25,532	65,424	
	Bodogoda	2,263	1,612	3,385	10,800	6,548	15,412	7,581	19,993	
	Akora	343	1,912	968	6,440	1,311	9,486	1,860	10,471	
	Aska	207	1,034	562	5,255	1,069	7,086	2,789	10,471	
	Kalikot	8,204	10,357	39,606	89,031	47,704	99,398	57,817	1,57,215	
	Chidilada	951	3,216	1,426	5,255	2,277	17,644	17,644	35,288	
	Stipuram	1,263	2,365	1,645	11,782	2,908	14,717	14,717	29,434	
	Chidipalle	2,432	6,333	56	425	2,488	6,769	6,769	13,411	
	Appichonda	254	950	48	361	302	1,341	1,341	2,682	
	Belgan	34	45	277	707	311	763	7,382	8,135	
Vizagapatnam	Lakshavaram	19,173	45,534	6,885	27,315	25,858	72,849	5,175	78,024	
	Medura	7,484	7,922	1,300	4,310	8,784	12,232	5,545	17,407	
	Kapileswarapuram	16,620	32,754	6,454	33,691	22,074	66,445	1,592	68,037	
	Chitticherla	180	..	406	..	486	..	154	..	
	Kangundi	17,069	..	82	..	19,773	44,715	10,451	55,166	
	Tinnamamini's Estate	1,684	..	923	..	1,666	2,758	2,912	..	
	Pulicherla	994	..	1,130	..	1,617	11,316	..	11,316	
	Pannayur	2,654	..	1,530	..	3,784	9,723	..	9,723	
	Ukkada	991	..	1,580	..	2,521	29,971	22,760	22,760	
	Gandharvakota	19,474	49,162	5,218	10,779	21,722	29,971	29,971	29,971	
	Vadapathimangalam	732	..	4,995	..	5,727	..	..	42,460	
	Mithur	114	..	501	..	615	..	..	5,446	
	Singavaram	857	..	1,879	..	2,786	..	..	7,833	
	Tinnamamini's Estate	335	..	269	..	694	..	..	6,293	
Tanjore	Thiruvakudi	126	..	351	..	477	..	7,094	7,094	
	Tengamuthur	3,133	..	4,492	..	7,975	..	33,458	33,458	
	Ramaswami Aiyar's Estate	1,133	748	1,060	3,897	2,193	4,645	..	4,645	
	Palayavaram	1,083	..	1,083	..	2,451	..	22,077	22,077	
	Edamudi	492	..	982	..	1,525	..	11,136	11,136	
	Pannayur	2,052	..	982	..	2,451	..	18,895	18,895	
	Nangavaram	3,327	..	982	..	4,309	..	7,393	7,393	
	Nangavaram Duraiswami Aiyar's Estate	28,922	35,576	6,275	16,640	35,198	60,216	31,551	91,767	
	Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's Estate	6,015	..	1,727	..	7,742	..	7,112	7,112	
	Singam Aiyangar's Estate	644	..	320	..	964	..	..	..	
	Medura	857	..	1,879	..	2,736	..	..	..	
	Tinnamamini's Estate	335	..	269	..	604	..	..	..	
	Pannayur	198	..	351	..	477	..	..	..	
	Palayavaram	1,133	..	1,060	..	2,193	..	..	..	
Edamudi	492	..	982	..	1,525	..	..	..		
Pannayur	2,052	..	982	..	2,451	..	..	..		
Nangavaram	3,327	..	982	..	4,309	..	..	..		
Nangavaram Duraiswami Aiyar's Estate	28,922	35,576	6,275	16,640	35,198	60,216	31,551	91,767		
Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's Estate	6,015	..	1,727	..	7,742	..	7,112	7,112		
Singam Aiyangar's Estate	644	..	320	..	964	..	..	..		
Tiruchinopoly										

(a) Not separately available.

* Particulars of extent and assessment under wet and dry are not available for Tarpipeta and Chidilada.

Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89)—cont.

Districts.	Estates.	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE.							Total of columns 10 and 15.	Deduct remissions.	Net revenue.	Net demand for fasli 1297.	Difference.
		Jodi or quit-rent on inam.	Land-cess.	Water-rate and second-crop assessment.	Other items.	Total.	Total of columns 10 and 15.						
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20				
Ganjam ..	Parlakimedi ..	Rs. 22,468	Rs. 17,727	Rs. ..	Rs. 4,279	Rs. 44,414	Rs. 3,87,029	Rs. 83,235	Rs. 3,03,793	Rs. 3,85,319	Rs. 81,526		
	Chinna Kumedhi ..	7,863	2,949	..	699	12,441	72,474	35,750	36,724	73,181	36,457		
	Jarada ..	1,395	942	..	..	3,283	22,164	10,212	11,942	22,658	10,710		
	Urah ..	1,843	1,112	..	8,536	11,491	58,389	7,405	45,984	47,713	1,271		
	Dharskot ..	2,000	2,163	..	6,456	10,618	75,942	26,225	49,717	85,412	35,695		
	Bodogoda ..	645	1,330	..	3,067	25,935	8,663	17,267	24,416	7,149	7,149		
	Kuzla ..	258	615	..	62	835	12,171	2,865	9,306	12,966	3,661		
	Aska ..	248	465	..	470	1,183	11,654	3,229	8,425	12,793	4,368		
	Kalikot ..	15,941	12,228	..	2,688	30,857	1,85,072	..	1,85,072	2,40,936	52,868		
	Chidilada ..	351	936	..	3,789	22,720	11,784	10,936	11,784	10,940	2,050		
Vizagapatnam ..	Sripuram ..	971	787	64	1,483	8,255	17,972	794	17,178	18,228	1,050		
	Chidipalle ..	129	278	..	1,453	1,860	8,629	363	8,266	8,393	127		
	Appikonda ..	30	150	..	7,758	7,933	9,274	831	8,443	9,551	1,108		
	Belgan ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..		
	Lotkavaram ..	..	136	16	2,782	2,933	11,048	538	10,585	12,774	2,239		
	Madura ..	2,740	3,544	21,891	17,732	45,817	1,15,666	1,298	1,17,438	1,17,438	..		
	Mallavaram ..	198	442	486	4,982	6,068	23,465	2,087	21,378	21,378	..		
	Kapileswarapuram ..	9,006	3,143	14,577	14,280	41,908	1,13,596	4,637	1,08,959	1,08,959	..		
	Chitticherla ..	..	..	21	47	68	1,610	1,801	1,509	1,509	..		
	Kangundi ..	15,920	4,152	..	9,535	29,507	84,678	1,904	82,772	82,772	..		
North Arcot ..	Tinnamamini's Estate ..	235	220	..	232	747	3,669	28	3,631	3,631	..		
	Pulicherla ..	6,333	1,278	968	4,265	12,782	24,098	1,627	22,471	21,570	1,001		
	Pannayur ..	31	355	690	14,765	15,752	25,475	740	24,735	16,416	8,319		
	Ukkada ..	..	..	..	594	594	23,354	..	23,354	17,820	5,534		
	Gandharvakotta ..	..	1,193	152	4,755	6,194	36,165	..	36,165	35,940	225		
	Vadapathimangalam ..	94	..	..	2,600	2,600	45,080	..	45,080	55,320	10,250		
	Madhur ..	..	..	..	1,732	1,732	6,446	..	6,446	5,303	1,143		
	Singavaram ..	..	..	..	134	1,733	9,565	..	9,565	12,277	2,711		
	Tinnamamini ..	..	..	..	644	131	6,417	..	6,417	6,710	293		
	Tyagaraswaram ..	..	..	19	194	563	7,757	..	7,757	8,314	557		
Tanjore ..	Rangaswamiyar's Estate ..	..	..	1,562	4,710	6,272	39,730	..	39,730	33,344	6,386		
	Palayavaram ..	..	..	49	1,559	1,608	6,253	..	6,253	6,446	193		
	Edamudi ..	..	..	..	64	64	22,141	..	22,141	11,419	10,725		
	Peramar ..	..	..	15	278	283	11,419	..	11,419	12,725	1,307		
	Nangavaram-Duraiswami Aiyar's Estate.	..	..	234	11	245	19,140	..	19,140	18,332	802		
	Marangapatti ..	99	1,566	234	5,821	8,027	66,636	..	66,636	59,103	7,533		
	Nangavaram-Rangaswami Aiyar's Estate.	..	..	441	6,821	8,027	34,622	..	34,622	56,634	22,012		
	Nangavaram ..	..	..	94	174	268	7,124	..	7,124	7,431	307		
	Singam Aiyangar's Estate ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..		
	Trichinopoly ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
..		..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..		

(c) Includes certain items erroneously omitted last year.

15/2526

Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	LAND REVENUE.									
		Dry.		Wet.		Total.		Other items.		Total.	
		Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.	Assessment.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
Madura ..	Rannad ..	ACRES. 289,537	RS. 2,76,110	ACRES. 50,449	RS. 4,33,394	ACRES. 319,986	RS. 7,09,504	RS. 56,814	RS. 7,66,318		
	Sepfir ..	20,341	23,538	1,569	22,343	22,016	46,881	3,867	50,748		
	Vadagarai ..	(b) ..	..	(b) ..	..	(b) ..	..	4,114	..		
	Mamburai ..	4,128	5,339	..	..	4,128	5,339	..	5,339		
	Vadimitti ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..		
	Marathi ..	4,749	5,556	..	..	4,749	5,556	(c) 8,438	..		
	Nellynare ..	7,013	..	128	..	7,141	..	..	..		
	Erumpalai ..	6,889	13,093	444	3,941	7,333	17,034	3,224	17,004		
	Shulagiri ..	5,820	6,780	577	3,324	6,397	10,104	147	12,810		
	Yelagiri ..	6,274	8,389	655	4,364	6,929	12,683	..	4,275		
	Sarvobhama Row's Estate ..	200	..	100	..	300	..	..	10,299		
	K. Puttanna's Estate ..	349	..	597	..	946	..	..	23,385		
	Kavalpara ..	12,522	..	4,104	..	16,626	..	..	371		
	Bimbalan ..	65	..	19	..	84	..	..	..		
	Total ..	538,130	(d) 5,88,852	243,205	(d) 11,37,710	781,335	17,76,581	4,84,596	22,61,177		

(b) Figures not available.

(c) The figures are stated to be approximate and to include lands the title to which is in doubt.

(d) Exclusive of the figures of Tulipeta, Chakipale, Kangundi and Timmajamal's estate for which particulars under wet and dry are not available.

16
2526Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE.						Total of columns 10 and 15.	Deduct remissions.	Net revenue.	Net demand for fasli 1297.	Difference.
		Jodi or quit-rent on inam.	Land-cess.	Water-rate and second-crop assessment.	Other items.	Total.						
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20			
Madura ..	Rannad ..	RS. 67,719	RS. 24,148	RS. 5,184	RS. 67,203	RS. 1,64,254	RS. 9,39,372	RS. 66,812	RS. 8,84,121	RS. 8,84,121	RS. 1,361	
	Saptar ..	..	1,059	2,165	6,342	9,566	60,314	3,581	56,733	48,753	7,980	
	Vadagarai ..	..	..	..	868	868	4,982	..	4,982	5,649	667	
	Mamburai ..	..	180	..	114	294	5,633	729	4,904	..	..	
Tinnevely ..	Vadimitti ..	..	..	..	..	..	7,265	..	7,185	7,129	..	56
	Marathi ..	14	223	..	1,472	1,709	10,256	..	11,231	11,231	975	
	Nellyalam ..	..	..	..	1,768	1,768	18,626	3,423	15,203	15,436	233	
	Erumpachi ..	..	535	..	1,018	1,592	20,149	1,285	18,864	18,684	180	
Salem ..	Shulagiri ..	4,117	580	18	1,906	6,821	16,555	937	15,618	20,948	5,330	
	Yelagiri ..	125	421	137	3,052	3,745	4,275	..	4,275	4,069	206	
South Canara ..	Sarvobhama Row's Estate ..	..	..	..	..	..	10,299	..	10,299	10,079	220	
	K. Puttanna's Estate ..	..	..	..	..	..	32,173	..	32,173	30,202	1,971	
Malabar ..	Kavalpara ..	..	..	..	8,788	8,788	371	..	371	367	4	
	Bimbalan ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	Total ..	1,62,113	85,808	48,649	2,26,278	5,22,848	27,84,025	2,76,650	25,07,375	..	..	

No. III.—Statement showing the demand, collection and balance of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89).

Districts.	Estates.	DEMAND.			COLLECTION.			Amount written off.	BALANCE AT THE CLOSE OF FASLI.			PERCENTAGE OF BALANCE TO DEMAND.			Amount subse- quently collect- ed and remit- ted.	Balance.
		Arrears.		Total.	Arrears.		Total.		Arrears.		Total.	Arrears.		Total.		
		3	4		5	6			7	8		9	10		11	12
Ganjam	Parlakimedi ..	Rs. 49,493	Rs. 3,03,793	Rs. 3,53,286	Rs. 30,862	Rs. 2,06,041	Rs. 2,36,903	Rs. ..	Rs. 18,631	Rs. 27,752	Rs. 46,383	Rs. 37.6	32.2	Rs. 78,782	Rs. 32.9	Rs. 37,601
	China Kimsedi ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Jarade ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Orlam ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Dharkot ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Bodogota ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Karla ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Aska ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Kallikot ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Chidkunda ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Vizagapatnam	Sripuram ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Chipurapalle ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Appikonda ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Belgam ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Lakavaram ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Meduru ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Maivaram ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Kapilavarapuram ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Chitticherla ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Kangundi ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
North Arcot	Tinnajama's Estate ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Palecherla ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Paniyur ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Ukkalai ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Gandharvakota ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Vadapathimangalam ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Makur ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Singavaram ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Tiruv. Javali ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Tyngeswaram ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tanjore	Rameswaram's Estate ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Palayavannur ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Edamahal ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

a The difference between this and the figure in column 12 of the previous year's statement is due to an error in the latter.

b Exclusive of excess collections Rs. 10.

c The difference between this and the figure in column 12 of the previous year's statement is due to the transactions of the villages of Nangalattur and Agaram newly brought to account.

d Figures 8 were collected in the previous fasli.

e Difference due apparently to rounding.

f Do. to the difference in the value of grain.

Statement showing the demand, collection and balance of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89)—cont.

Districts.	Estates.	DEMAND.			COLLECTION.			Amount written off.	BALANCE AT THE CLOSE OF FASLI.			PERCENTAGE OF BALANCE TO DEMAND.			Amount subsequently collected and remitted.	Balance.
		Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.		Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.		
															RS.	RS.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Trichinopoly	Peramur	2,571	11,419	13,990	1,880	9,499	11,379	119	572	1,920	2,492	22.2	18.8	17.8	569	1,923
	Nangavaram-Durniswami Al- yar's Estate	6,231	19,140	25,371	3,713	13,979	17,692	374	2,144	5,161	7,305	34.4	27.0	26.8	2,182	5,173
	Marungupuri	21,990	59,103	81,093	17,683	51,437	69,120	..	4,267	7,666	11,933	19.4	13.0	14.7	3,260	8,683
	Nangavaram-Rangaswami Alvar's Estate	4,807	34,622	39,429	4,451	21,436	25,887	..	356	13,186	13,542	7.4	38.1	34.3	4,285	9,267
	Singam Alayangar's Estate	2,853	7,124	9,958	2,490	6,090	8,580	..	344	1,034	1,378	12.1	14.6	13.8	324	1,654
	Rennid	2,08,183	8,82,760	10,91,913	1,13,696	7,86,346	9,02,042	63,187	30,266	90,414	1,26,674	14.5	10.9	11.6	79,803	47,071
	Sepur	29,901	56,783	86,684	9,018	48,754	57,772	..	20,853	7,979	28,832	69.8	14.1	33.3	18,577	10,355
	Vadugavai	2,960	4,582	7,542	865	4,159	5,024	400	1,605	893	2,428	54.2	16.5	30.6	125	2,303
	Mambra	3,583	4,994	8,487	1,104	2,719	3,823	..	2,479	2,185	4,664	69.2	44.6	9.50	1,858	2,806
	Vadumatti	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tinnevely	Metkalli	339	7,185	7,574	..	6,074	6,088	..	376	1,111	1,486	96.4	15.5	19.6	726	760
	Nediyalpur	19,094	10,266	29,350	6,478	6,306	12,784	..	12,616	3,950	16,566	68.1	38.5	55.4	3,738	12,898
	Erumpatti	2,400	15,293	17,693	1,245	14,233	15,478	..	1,155	970	2,125	48.1	0.4	12.1	643	1,482
	Shabgar	3,694	18,864	22,488	876	17,969	18,845	262	2,646	895	3,441	70.3	4.7	15.3	1,825	1,616
Salem	Xelagiri	7,652	15,618	23,272	8,850	13,038	16,948	1,293	2,511	2,520	5,031	32.8	16.1	21.6	718	4,313
	Sarvohama Row's Estate	60	4,275	4,335	58	4,098	4,154	..	2	178	181	8.3	4.2	4.2	101	80
South Canara	Pantamul's Estate	1,667	10,299	11,866	1,163	8,089	9,252	..	404	2,210	2,614	25.8	21.5	22.0	874	1,740
	Kavalhara	2,177	32,173	34,350	603	31,522	32,135	964	610	641	1,251	28.0	2.0	3.6	172	1,079
Malabar	Bimbalan	..	371	371	..	371	371	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total..	14,45,322	55,07,375	39,53,197	4,28,987	19,23,988	23,52,975	1,16,909	8,99,926	5,83,857	14,83,313	62.2	32.3	37.5	3,41,722	11,41,651

... settlement of receipts and charges of the several Estates under management in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several Estates under management in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—cont.

Districts.	Estates.	CHARGES—cont.				BALANCE TO THE CREDIT OF THE ESTATE AT THE END OF FASLI 1298				Increase or decrease as compared with the opening balance.	Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the total receipts.
		22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29		
		Repayment of debts.	Other miscellaneous disbursements.	Total charges.	Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.			
Ganjam	Parlakimedi	Rs. 1,23,090	Rs. 13,162	Rs. 4,25,835	Rs. 26,02,000	Rs. 2,23,846	Rs. 29,16,846	Rs. 29,16,846	Rs. 69		
	Chinna Kimeri	..	..	55,820	40,100	13,100	53,200	53,200	11-0		
	Jarada	..	7,028	21,813	..	9,995	31,808	31,808	9-0		
	Urala	..	15,235	48,711	2,78,600	37,053	3,15,663	3,15,663	6-7		
	Dhaskhola	1,800	63,396	13,781	2,000	17,378	17,378	17,378	17-1		
	Bodagoda	4,498	6,635	18,800	8,294	26,894	10,294	10,294	15-5		
	Asia	..	7,055	16,875	34,600	7,796	42,398	42,398	19-3		
	Kurda	..	6,108	20,003	44,800	8,745	53,548	53,548	11-2		
	Alia	97,162	43,405	3,10,084	30,000	15,850	48,850	48,850	10-0		
	Chudkuda	..	1,788	14,876	..	13,668	15,454	15,454	8-4		
Vizagapatam	Sripuram	..	1,355	13,891	8,000	13,353	22,353	22,353	7-0		
	Chirupalle	..	986	6,043	..	1,652	7,695	7,695	3-9		
	Appikonda	7,075	1,515	16,987	5,000	7,056	12,043	12,043	10-0		
	Belgam	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..		
	Laksharam	..	3,634	13,397	43,500	17,404	60,904	60,904	16-4		
	Meduru	4,072	19,273	1,11,090	77,000	1,34,737	2,11,737	2,11,737	10-9		
	Malayaram	..	7,563	24,606	24,800	10,361	35,167	35,167	6-4		
	Kapilewarapuram	5,572	64,104	1,50,460	2,72,800	54,153	3,27,177	3,27,177	9-6		
	Chitticherla	..	..	6,012	11,000	1,353	12,353	12,353	5-1		
	Kangundi	..	19,517	1,09,495	31,500	31,653	12,759	12,759	12-8		
North Arcot	Tannajamma's Estate	30,250	1,702	44,045	..	2,600	2,600	2,600	7-8		
	Pulicherla	..	1,816	36,849	..	19,355	19,355	19,355	4-8		
	Pandiyar	21,763	4,009	8,783	..	23,775	3,793	3,793	7-5		
	Uthadai	..	8,783	17,858	..	8,582	1,869	1,869	10-8		
	Godharakotta	3,258	12,699	37,382	..	31,083	3,872	3,872	13-4		
	Vadagalannur	3,950	40,899	56,466	..	487	64,534	64,534	8-1		
	Madur	..	8,139	9,548	..	1,104	1,760	1,760	7-4		
	Singavaram	..	11,015	22,668	..	2,622	2,622	2,622	6-9		
	Arudalur	..	3,128	8,527	..	1,840	4,687	4,687	13-2		
	Tynganadram	..	7,856	12,739	20,000	15,227	40,767	40,767	8-4		
Tanjore	Ramaswamiyar's Estate	72,451	33,669	1,17,812	..	14,328	4,810	19,138	4-9		
	Puliyavaram	..	5,972	10,361	..	8,177	2,638	10,815	7-1		
	Edamandal	1,793	10,797	17,325	..	8,253	6,902	15,155	3-3		
	Pennur	..	908	8,652	66,000	12,049	78,049	78,049	6-5		
	N. Duraiswami Aiyar's Estate	..	1,353	12,765	..	8,055	8,555	8,555	7-6		
Trichinopoly	Marungapuri	..	12,422	..	Rs. 12,422	Rs. 69,130	Rs. 574	Rs. 2,752	Rs. 72,476		
	N. Rangaswami Aiyar's Estate	..	..	..	..	25,337	1,076	2,752	69,401		
	Singam Aiyangar's Estate	..	2,293	4,273	..	4,273	54	4,327	5,061		
	Rannad	..	72,620	45,517	..	9,02,042	..	34,393	9,36,435		
	Soytar	..	20,844	9,588	..	51,311	..	6,418	64,392		
	Vadagalai	1,47,500	7,750	3,036	..	6,765	..	1,540	8,402		
	Mambai	..	..	..	..	3,823	..	297	4,120		
	Vadimittai	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..		
	Metritai	..	5,360	..	..	6,088	..	..	6,095		
	Nadialam	21,800	4,381	26,381	..	12,784	..	368	13,041		
Madura	Tannimadai	..	2,986	16,478	..	15,478	183	16,661	16,844		
	Sulagiri	..	9,741	18,948	..	16,948	462	17,410	17,600		
	Velagiri	..	12,751	4,164	..	4,164	..	..	17,744		
	Sarvothama Row's Estate	..	1,849	6,819	..	9,232	..	..	4,390		
	K. Purthanna's Estate	..	17,833	94,362	..	29,623	7,870	102	17,899		
	Kavulpara	..	13,913	4,449	..	371	..	8,399	45,122		
	Bimbolan	..	431	8	..	..	..	4	384		
	Total	39,71,500	11,28,590	1,16,173	52,16,263	22,69,339	1,59,722	65,280	39,11,170		

(a) Exclusive of Rs. 729, the contribution from the other proprietors for the demarcation of the Choyan Milla.

Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several Estates under management in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—cont.

AMOUNT TO THE CREDIT OF THE ESTATE AT THE BEGINNING OF FASLI 1298.												CASH RECEIPTS IN FASLI 1298.				
Districts.	Estates.	Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.	Land and miscellaneous revenue.	Interest on Government securities.	Debts recovered.	Sale-proceeds of grain &c.	Other items.	Total.					
												1	2	3	4	5
Trichinopoly--cont.	Marungapuri	Rs. 12,422	Rs. 12,422	Rs. 69,120	Rs. 12,422	Rs. 69,120	Rs. 574	Rs. 2,752	Rs. 72,476			Rs. 72,476				
	N. Rangaswami Aiyar's Estate	..	..	..	..	25,387	..	1,076	..	(b) 33,568	60,001	60,001				
	Singam Aiyangar's Estate	..	2,203	..	..	4,273	..	54	..	794	9,35,435	9,35,435				
	Rannad	..	72,620	45,517	..	9,02,042	6,229	434	..	34,393	9,35,435	9,35,435				
	Soytar	..	20,844	9,588	..	51,311	97	..	..	6,418	84,392	84,392				
	Vadagalai	1,47,500	7,750	3,036	..	16,386	..	..	..	1,540	4,120	4,120				
	Mambairi	6,000	..	..	..	3,823	..	..	..	297	..	..				
	Vadimittai	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..				
	Metritai	..	5,360	..	..	5,360	..	..	..	..	7	6,095				
	Nellyalam	21,800	4,381	26,381	..	12,784	..	..	368	..	859	14,041				
Salem	Erumaipadi	..	2,986	..	..	15,478	..	183	..	(c) 13,402	29,063	29,063				
	Sulagiri	..	9,741	..	..	18,845	..	..	..	116	18,960	18,960				
	Yelagiri	..	12,751	..	..	16,948	..	..	..	344	17,744	17,744				
	Sarvothama Row's Estate	..	1,849	..	..	4,164	..	..	..	84	4,390	4,390				
South Canara	K. Puttamma's Estate	..	17,833	..	..	9,262	..	7,870	..	162	17,899	17,899				
	Kavalpara	..	13,913	4,449	..	29,623	2,065	..	4,135	8,399	45,122	45,122				
Malabar	Bimbolan	..	451	8	..	371	..	..	9	4	384	384				
	Total ..	39,71,500	11,28,590	1,16,173	52,16,263	22,69,339	1,59,722	88,829	65,280	4,28,000	30,11,170	30,11,170				

(a) Exclusive of the amount collected before the estate came under management.

(b) Includes Rs. 30,888, being sale-proceeds of lands, jewels and horses.

(c) Includes Rs. 12,266, being sale-proceeds of home-farm lands.

(d) Difference due to exclusion of grain collections.

Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several Estates under management in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Cash balance and receipts, total of columns 4 and 12.	CHARGES.					Total management, works and Government demand.	Maintenance and education of the wards.	Allowance to the other members of the wards' family.
			Establishment for management and contingencies.	Maramat expenditure.	Government demand.		Government commission for management.			
			14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
		13	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Trichinopoly—cont.	Marungupur	84,898	3,076	722	20,587	863	524	25,772	38	4,481
	N. Rangaswami Aiyar's Estate	60,001	669	1,049	..	10,354	..	12,072	410	2,629
	Singam Aiyangar's Estate	7,354	421	..	..	1,183	..	1,604	456	35
	Rannad	10,09,055	67,732	92,412	3,13,633	38,955	11,860	5,24,582	18,184	22,350
Madura	Saptar	85,236	10,290	5,212	8,812	2,680	1,702	28,696	492	3,065
	Vadagarai	16,152	423	445	..	1,857	83	2,808	2,211	900
	Nambur	4,120	62	..	1,500	335	..	1,897	..	397
	Vadimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tinnevely	Merathi	11,455	274	200	1,968	402	117	2,959	693	637
	Nellyalam	18,622	1,854	305	..	233	49	2,441	300	704
	Erumaipati	32,049	1,600	385	8,698	564	199	11,897	800	..
	Shulagiri	28,701	1,442	151	5,499	972	1,154	9,218	584	2,917
Salem	Yelagiri	31,495	1,839	219	5,885	647	426	9,016	269	1,266
	Sarvatham Row's Estate	6,239	444	113	..	1,665	60	2,282	332	894
	K. Puttamma's Estate	35,732	1,975	195	..	3,069	378	5,617	..	..
	Kavalpara	59,035	4,417	6,744	..	3,686	360	15,207	7,134	..
South Canara	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Malabar	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	41,39,760	2,35,636	2,80,718	6,81,412	2,49,281	31,427	14,78,474	2,55,001	91,393

Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	CHARGES—cont.			BALANCE TO THE CREDIT OF THE ESTATE AT THE END OF FASLI 1298.					Increase or decrease as compared with the opening balance.	Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the total receipts.
		Repayment of debts.	Other miscel- laneous dis- bursements.	Total charges.	Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.			
		22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	
Trichinopoly—cont.	Marungipuri	RS. 29,505	RS. 2,330	RS. 62,025	RS. ..	RS. 22,872	RS. ..	RS. 22,872	RS. 10,450	5.0	
	N. Rangaswami Aiyar's Estate	43,512	1,367	53,990	..	..	..	..	..	1.1	
	Singam Aiyangar's Estate	..	10	2,145	..	5,209	..	5,209	..	8.3	
	Rannad	..	73,245	6,38,361	..	3,70,694	50,637	4,30,331	2,916	8.5	
Madura	Saptar	..	13,442	46,535	1,47,500	38,701	15,208	2,01,409	8,12,194	18.6	
	Vadagarai	..	321	5,940	5,000	10,312	2,573	17,785	23,477	6.0	
	Nambur	..	784	3,078	..	1,042	..	1,042	1,399	1.5	
	Vadimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Tinnevely	Mettrathi	..	464	4,753	..	6,702	..	6,702	1,342	8.4	
	Nellyalam	..	5,081	8,526	21,800	10,096	..	31,896	5,615	13.6	
	Erumaiyati	9,231	1,027	15,955	..	16,094	..	16,094	13,108	6.9	
	Shulagiri	3,500	2,494	18,603	..	10,098	..	10,098	357	9.0	
Salem	Yelagiri	11,922	1,541	23,614	..	7,881	..	7,881	5,870	12.8	
	Sarvathama Row's Estate	..	691	4,199	5,500	2,040	..	7,540	691	11.5	
	K. Puttamma's Estate	..	4,591	20,443	..	15,289	..	15,289	2,544	13.1	
	Kavalpara	..	10,595	32,878	76,000	26,159	..	1,04,771	10,409	10.6	
Malabar	Bimbalan	..	255	410	..	425	..	426	33	15.9	
	Total ..	3,53,163	6,24,643	28,02,779	39,37,000	13,36,981	1,50,477	54,24,498	2,08,195	8.9	

(e) Includes the cost (Rs. 491) of the purchase of pro-notes of the nominal value of Rs. 500.

20
2526

Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several Estates under management in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—cont.

Districts.	Estates.	Cash balance and receipts, total of columns 4 and 12.	CHARGES.					Total management, works and Government demand.	Maintenance and education of the wards.	Allowance to the other members of the wards' family.
			Establishment for management and contingencies.	Maranat expenditure.	Government demand.		Government commission for management.			
					Paidcash.	Assessment and land-taxes.				
		13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Trichinopoly—cont.	Marungapuri	Rs. 84,898	Rs. 3,076	Rs. 722	Rs. 20,587	Rs. 863	Rs. 524	Rs. 25,772	Rs. 38	Rs. 4,481
	N. Rangaswami Aiyar's Estate	60,001	669	1,049	..	10,394	..	12,072	410	2,629
	Singam Aiyangar's Estate	7,354	421	1,049	..	1,183	..	1,604	496	35
	Ramnad	10,09,055	67,722	92,412	3,13,633	38,955	11,860	5,24,582	18,184	22,345
	Satapur	85,236	10,290	5,212	8,812	2,680	1,702	28,695	492	3,005
Madura	Vadagarai	16,152	423	445	..	1,857	83	2,808	2,211	600
	Mamburai	4,120	62	..	1,500	335	..	1,897	..	397
	Vadmitra	11,455	274	..	1,968	402	117	2,959	693	637
Tinnevely	Mettrathi	18,692	1,854	305	..	233	49	2,441	300	704
Coimbatore	Nellyalam	32,049	1,600	835	8,698	564	199	11,897	800	2,917
	Erumpathi	28,701	1,442	151	5,499	972	1,154	9,218	564	1,260
Nilgiris	Shulagiri	31,495	1,839	219	5,895	647	426	9,016	259	894
	Yelagiri	6,339	113	113	..	1,665	60	2,282	332	..
Salem	Servodhama Row's Estate	35,732	1,975	195	..	3,069	378	5,617	7,124	..
	K. Puttamma's Estate	59,035	4,317	6,744	..	3,685	360	15,207	59	..
South Canara	Bimbalan	835	56	..	..	35	5	96	..	..
Malabar										
	Total ..	41,39,760	2,35,636	2,80,718	6,81,412	2,40,281	31,427	14,78,474	2,55,001	91,393

Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—cont.

Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in year 1298												
Districts.	Estates.	CHARGES—cont.				BALANCE TO THE CREDIT OF THE ESTATE AT THE END OF FASLI 1298.					Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the total receipts.	
		Repayment of debts.	Other miscellaneous disbursements.	Total charges.	Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.	Increase or decrease as compared with the opening balance.			
		22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30		
Trichinopoly—cont.	Marungapur	RS. 29,505	RS. 2,230	RS. 62,026	RS. ..	RS. 22,872	RS. ..	RS. 22,872	RS. 10,450	RS. 5-0		
	N. Rangaswami Aiyar's Estate	43,512	1,367	59,990	..	11	..	11	11	1-1		
	Singam Aiyangar's Estate	..	10	2,145	..	5,209	..	5,209	2,916	8-3		
	Ramnad	..	73,245	6,38,361	..	3,70,694	50,637	4,30,331	8,12,194	8-5		
	Saptar	..	..	13,442	46,555	1,47,500	38,701	15,208	2,01,409	23,477	18-6	
Madura	Vadagarai	..	321	5,940	5,000	10,212	2,573	17,785	1,399	6-9		
	Mamburai	..	784	3,078	..	1,042	..	1,042	1,042	1-5		
	Vadmitra	..	..	464	..	6,702	..	6,702	1,342	9-4		
Tinnevely	Mettrathi	..	5,081	8,526	21,800	10,096	..	31,836	5,515	13-6		
Coimbatore	Neliyalam	..	1,027	15,955	..	16,094	..	16,094	13,103	6-9		
	Erumpathi	..	2,231	18,603	..	10,098	..	10,098	357	9-0		
Nilgiris	Shulagiri	..	3,560	23,614	..	7,881	..	7,881	5,870	12-8		
	Yelagiri	..	11,922	4,199	..	2,040	..	7,540	691	11-5		
Salem	Sarvothama Row's Estate	..	(e) 491	20,443	5,500	15,239	..	15,239	2,544	13-1		
	K. Puttamma's Estate	..	10,235	32,876	76,000	26,159	2,612	1,04,771	10,409	10-6		
South Canara	Kavalpara	..	10,555	410	..	425	1	1,04,771	33	15-9		
Malabar	Bimbalan	..	255	..	..	..	..	426	..	..		
	Total ..	3,63,163	6,24,643	28,02,779	39,37,000	13,36,981	1,50,477	54,24,458	2,08,195	8-9		

(e) Includes the cost (Rs. 491) of the purchase of pro-notes of the nominal value of Rs. 500.

20
2526

ENCLOSURE A TO STATEMENT No. IV.—Showing the expenditure incurred on mammut (works) in the several estates under management in fasli 1298 (1888-89).

Districts.	Estate.	IMPROVEMENT WORKS.		BUILDINGS.		Communi- cations.	Establish- ments.	Total expenditure.	Allotment in the budget.	Percentage of expenditure to allotment.
		New works.	Repairs.	New works.	Repairs.					
Banjam	Partkinetti	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	69.9
	Chinna Kinedi	..	..	..	..	..	..	76,225	1,09,000	69.1
	Jarada ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	17,286	26,550	65.1
	Urdam ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	3,000	9,850	30.5
Vizagapatam	Dharskoti	..	..	..	..	..	..	10,289	24,500	42.0
	Botegola	..	..	..	..	..	..	5,387	..	..
	Kura ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,665	..	..
	Aska ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	3,162	..	..
Godevari	Kalikoti	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,411	..	..
	Chudikada	..	..	..	..	..	..	11,234	..	..
	Sripuram	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,258	..	..
	Appikonda	..	..	..	..	..	..	458	..	..
Kistna	Belgam	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,240	..
	Lakavaram	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,281	..	..
	Meduru ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	25	..	..
	Mallavarun	..	..	..	..	..	..	3,823	..	..
Cuddapah	Kapileswarapuram	..	..	..	..	..	..	240	..	..
	Chittibheria	..	..	..	..	..	..	298	..	..
	Kangundi	..	..	..	..	..	..	9,723	..	..
	Timmajianna's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	3,906	..	..
North Arcot	Policherla	..	..	..	..	..	..	447	..	..
	Paraiyur	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,013	..	..
	Ukkudi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Gandharvakota	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Chingleput	Vadapathimangalam	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Mattur ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Singavaram	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Tiruvallanjuli	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tanjore	Tyngasamudram	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Ramasethuswamiyar's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Palayavaram	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Edumal	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Trenchinopoly	Peramur	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Nangavaram Duraiswami Aiyar's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Marungapur	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Madura	Singam Aiyangar's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Ramnad ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Saptar ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Vadagudi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Mambairi	Vadagudi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Mambairi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

(f) Rs. 1,533 were spent but not adjusted during the year.
(g) This was the amount paid for work done in Fasli 1297.
(h) Works costing about Rs. 500 were executed but not paid for within the year.
(i) Work for Rs. 165 was executed but not paid for within the fasli.

(a) No budgets were received for these estates.
(b) Rs. 1,133 were spent but not adjusted during the year.
(c) Rs. 284 do.
(d) Rs. 1,110 do.
(e) Rs. 200 do.

Enclosure A to Statement No. IV.—Showing the expenditure incurred on mammut (works) in the several estates, &c.—cont.

Districts.	Estate.	IMPROVEMENT WORKS.		BUILDINGS.		Communi- cations.	Establish- ments.	Total expenditure.	Allotment in the budget.	Percentage of expenditure to allotment.
		New works.	Repairs.	New works.	Repairs.					
Tinnevely	Vadimitta	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	100.0
	Merrathi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Keltyalam	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Erumpati	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Salem	Shulagiri	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Yelagiri	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Saravahama Row's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	K. Puttamma's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
South Canara	Kavalipara	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Himbabai	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Malabar	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Total ..		3,130	89,399	11,803	21,317	2,895	21,424	2,80,718	3,37,518	84.6

(f) Remuneration to an Overseer for preparation of estimates.
(g) This is exclusive of the expenditure in the five Ganjan estates for which no budgets were received.

21/2826

No. V-A.—Statement showing the debts due to the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89).

Districts.	Estates.	Debts as per last year's account.	Debts newly contracted and brought to account.	Total.	Debts collected.	Debts written off.	Total.	Remainder due at the end of the fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Jarada ..	(a) 2,442	..	2,442	1,697	..	1,697	745
	Bodogoda ..	(b) 865	..	865	..	..	..	865
	Korla ..	..	28	28	..	..	..	..
	Aska ..	..	5,902	5,902	5,902	..	5,902	..
	Kalikot ..	(b) 612	..	612	..	..	..	612
	Chupripalle ..	..	9,155	9,155	..	..	..	9,155
Vizagapatam	Appikonda ..	(c) 62,157	4,975	67,132	4,795	(d) 122	4,917	62,210
	Lakkavaram ..	10,395	469	10,864	1,033	2,396	3,429	7,435
Godavari	Moduru ..	42,907	78,673	1,21,580	45,527	..	45,527	75,953
	Moduru ..	(e) 2,145	26	2,171	..	71	2,87	1,884
Kistna	Kapilwarapuram ..	77,797	7,873	85,670	4,961	..	4,961	80,709
	Kangandi ..	43,769	312	44,081	2,788	..	2,788	41,293
	Thunajamma's estate ..	6,200	312	6,512	..	..	..	6,512
North Arcot	Pulicherla ..	..	37	37	..	..	..	37
	Panaiyur ..	9,852	753	10,605	1,690	..	1,690	8,915
Chingleput	Ukkadai ..	22,853	87	22,940	(e) 452	..	9,705	12,782
	Gandharvakota ..	26,962	942	27,904	512	..	512	26,392
	Vadapathimangalam ..	1,01,613	13,840	1,15,453	(f) 11,530	..	13,748	1,01,705
	Mathur ..	3,812	876	4,688	(g) 908	..	3,440	4,548
	Singavanam ..	(f) 17,245	449	17,694	..	..	104	16,706
	Tiruvallanjuli ..	61,913	2,747	64,660	104	..	4,483	64,177
Tanjore	Tyngasamudram ..	62,983	3,639	66,622	1,963	2,520	4,483	62,139
	Ramaswamiyar's estate ..	20,589	962	21,551	2,818	..	3,659	17,892
	Palayavanam ..	11,264	1,319	12,583	1,466	..	1,466	11,097
	Edamandal ..	2,751	223	2,974	..	..	..	2,974
	Pennur ..	78	19	97	..	..	..	97
	Nangavaram Durai-swami Aiyar's estate ..	(c) 447	..	447	..	..	..	447
	Marungapuri ..	(d) 1,155	143	1,298	..	..	..	1,298
Trichinopoly	Nangavaram Ranga-swami Aiyar's estate ..	8,407	1,165	9,572	..	..	..	9,572
	Singam Aiyangar's estate ..	(h) 51,753	1,510	53,263	..	..	..	53,263
	Saptar ..	19,507	98	19,605	434	..	434	19,171
	Vadagarai ..	466	..	466	..	..	..	466
Madura	Manbarai ..	6,000	887	6,887	..	..	..	6,887
	Neliyalam ..	(i) 22,618	183	22,801	..	..	..	22,801
Nilgiris	Erumpati ..	2,800	253	3,053	..	..	..	3,053
Salem	Yelagiri ..	2,07,730	..	2,07,730	7,870	..	7,870	2,06,860
South Canara	K. Puttamma's estate ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	9,02,469	1,29,097	10,31,566	1,01,300	25,643	1,26,943	9,04,613

- (a) This is the amount due under certain decrees inclusive of interest and law charges and was not shown by the Collector in the previous year's report.
 (b) This was not reported in the previous year.
 (c) This is the correct figure.
 (d) Amount disallowed by Civil Courts.
 (e) Includes advances recovered.
 (f) Includes Rs. 125 now brought to account.
 (g) Includes Rs. 106 since found to be due.
 (h) Includes interest up to 31st December 1888.
 (i) Government promissory notes were erroneously included in last year's figure.

No. V-B.—Statement showing the debts due by the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89).

Districts.	Estates.	Debts as per last year's account.	Debts contracted or newly brought to account and the interest accumulated in the fasli.	Total.	Debts paid off.	Debts struck off.	Total.	Remainder due by the estate at the end of the fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Jarada ..	..	10,000	10,000	..	..	..	10,000
	Dharakot ..	(a) 45,224	13,150	58,374	..	..	..	58,374
	Bodogoda ..	(a) 4,735	..	4,735	..	..	..	4,735
Vizagapatam	Kalikot ..	(a) 1,03,433	..	1,03,433	..	..	..	1,03,433
	Appikonda ..	(a) 32,073	902	32,975	..	..	..	32,975
Godavari	Lakkavaram ..	..	(c) 401	401	..	..	..	401
Kistna	Moduru ..	4,315	..	4,315	..	..	..	4,315
	Kapilwarapuram ..	5,940	..	5,940	..	..	..	5,940
North Arcot	Thunajamma's estate ..	..	1,500	1,500	..	..	..	1,500
Chingleput	Pulicherla ..	(d) 89,723	5,106	94,829	30,250	..	..	64,579
	Panaiyur ..	21,009	16,876	37,885	21,763	250	..	16,122
	Ukkadai ..	642	..	642	..	..	..	642
	Gandharvakota ..	2,83,892	9,442	2,93,334	2,263	18,953	..	2,72,117
	Vadapathimangalam ..	30,000	2,271	32,271	3,950	..	..	28,321
Tanjore	Mathur ..	95	..	95	..	..	..	95
	Singavanam ..	61,817	(e) 14,157	75,974	..	..	..	75,974
	Tiruvallanjuli ..	31,883	2,060	33,943	..	..	..	33,943
	Ramaswamiyar's estate ..	1,27,294	7,010	1,34,304	72,451	1,499	..	60,354
	Palayavanam ..	2,11,389	(f) 92,648	2,44,037	..	..	..	2,44,037
	Edamandal ..	91,532	..	91,532	1,793	..	..	89,739
	Nangavaram Durai-swami Aiyar's estate ..	1,091	..	1,091	..	1,091	..	..
Trichinopoly	Marungapuri ..	(g) 74,591	7,274	81,865	29,505	..	..	52,360
	Nangavaram Ranga-swami Aiyar's estate ..	1,91,135	16,359	2,07,494	43,512	..	..	1,63,982
Madura	Manbarai ..	16,566	795	17,361	..	..	..	17,361
Nilgiris	Neliyalam ..	481	..	481	..	..	..	481
Salem	Erumpati ..	2,100	131	2,231	..	..	..	2,231
	Shulagiri ..	29,483	1,474	30,957	3,500	..	..	27,457
South Canara	Yelagiri ..	14,705	(h) 983	15,688	11,522	..	..	4,166
	Puttamma's estate ..	(j) 22,400	835	23,235	10,235	..	..	13,000
	Total ..	15,92,483	1,42,949	16,45,432	3,53,367	22,203	3,75,570	12,69,862

- (a) No figures were given by the Collector in the previous year's report.
 (b) This differs from the figure in column 22 of statement IV. The Collector will be called on for an explanation.
 (c) Amounts due to parties and pleaders under Court decrees.
 (d) This is the correct figure: the one given last year is said to be approximate.
 (e) Includes Rs. 31,205, which fell due in fasli 1298.
 (f) This is the correct figure.
 (g) Payment in kind.
 (h) Includes Rs. 653, newly brought to account.
 (j) The difference is due to the difficulty in ascertaining the exact amount due.

No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	AGE AND ATTAINMENTS WHEN TAKEN UNDER THE COURT.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Glass for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Ganjam	Parlakimedi.	The ward's sons— 1. Sri Jagannadha Narayana Deo. (Since dead).	18	..	..	IV Lower (Private tuition).	210	200
		2. Sri Padmanabha Narayana Deo.	16½	..	..	Do. ..	210	205
	Chinna Kimedi	Sri Brajakisora Deo.	20	8	..	Do. ..	210	196
	Jarada	Sri Raghunatha Samantharaya Deo.	18	9	..	Do. ..	210	181

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89).

Subjects studied in the year.	RESULTS OF THE ANNUAL EXAMINATION.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
1. English—Royal Reader, No. III, 90 pages; and Royal Reader, No. IV, 17 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Finished Vulgar Fractions, Rule of Three, and Simple Practice. 3. Algebra—Addition. 4. History—India in Uriya, 60 pages. 5. Geography—Finished India and Asia and begun Europe. 6. Telugu—Telugu Third Reader and whole of Telugu Grammar. 7. Uriya—Whole of Sakuntala (Prose) and whole of Sundarakaunda (Poetry), and Lacey's Grammar.	Progress, fair.	Fair ..	History, middling; Geography, good.	Telugu—texts, fair; Grammar, fair; Uriya—texts, good; Grammar, fair.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlakimedi. Attendance, very good; Handwriting—English, good; Telugu, very fair; Uriya, very good; General behaviour, very satisfactory.
Do. do. ..	Progress, good.	Do. ..	History, fair; Geography, good.	Telugu—texts, fair; Grammar, good; Uriya—texts and Grammar, good.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlakimedi. Attendance and general behaviour, good; Handwriting, English, Telugu and Uriya, good.
1. English—Royal Reader, No. III, 90 pages, and Royal Reader No. IV, 17 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Vulgar Fractions and Rule of Three, &c. 3. History—India in Uriya, 60 pages. 4. Geography—India and Asia finished and Europe begun. 5. Telugu—Telugu Third Reader and whole of Telugu Grammar. 6. Uriya—Whole of Sakuntala (Prose) and whole of Sundarakaunda (Poetry), and Lacey's Grammar.	English text and Dictation, poor; Reading and Translation, middling; Grammar, fair.	Do. ..	History, poor; Geography, middling.	Telugu—fair; Uriya—Prose and Grammar, very fair; Poetry, good.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlakimedi. Attendance, good; Handwriting—English, poor; Telugu and Uriya, fair; General behaviour, very satisfactory.
1. English—Royal Reader, No. III, 90 pages, and Royal Reader, No. IV, 17 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Vulgar Fractions, Rule of Three, &c. 3. Algebra—Addition. 4. History—India in Uriya. 5. Geography—India and Asia finished and Europe begun. 6. Telugu—Telugu Third Reader and whole of Telugu Grammar. 7. Uriya—Whole of Sakuntala (Prose) and whole of Sundarakaunda (Poetry), and whole of Lacey's Grammar.	English texts, Grammar and Reading, fair; Dictation and Translation, very fair.	Poor ..	History, middling; Geography, good.	Telugu—texts, fair; Grammar, middling; Uriya—Prose, very fair; Poetry, good, Grammar, fair.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlakimedi. Attendance, very fair; Handwriting, English and Telugu, very fair; Uriya, good; General behaviour, satisfactory.

Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the last year.	AGE AND ATTAINMENTS WHEN TAKEN UNDER THE COURT.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Ganjani	Urlám	Kandukuri Mahalakshmiamma.	20	..	..	..	..	..
	Dhárakót	Madana Mohana Susha Deo.	13	9	..	I Class (Private tuition).	210	177
	Kurlá	1. Tirupasur Venkata Kondal Row.	18	15	IV Lower.	IV Upper, Municipal Middle School and Native College, Berhampore.	177½	161
		2. Tirupasur Venkata Narasinga Row.	10	13	Do.	Do. and V, do. do.	175½	165½
		3. Tirupasur Venkata Jagannadha Row.	14	11	Lower and Upper Primary.	II and III Classes, do.	175	119
		4. Tirupasur Venkata Rama Row.	6	..	..	..	..	..
	Aska	Tirupasur Sundaramma.	20	23	..	..	..	..
	Kallikót	1. Sri Hari Hara Marikaraja Deo.	13	16	..	I Class (Private tuition).	210	173

24
256.

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—cont.

Subjects studied in the year.	RESULTS OF THE ANNUAL EXAMINATION.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
1. English—Nelson's Royal Reader, No. III, the whole. 2. Grammar, Primer, up to Syntax. 3. History—India — Early English period. 4. Geography—Outlines of Geography and Madras Presidency. 5. Arithmetic—Reduction, Compound Rules and Vulgar Fractions. 6. Drawing—Cassell's series. 7. Music—Homphy's method. 8. Translation from English into Vernacular and vice versa. 9. Needlework—Fancy.	..	..	..	..	Under the tuition of Miss M. Fallofield, Governess.
1. English—Garthwaite's English First Book, 40 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Simple Division. 3. Geography—Map of the Madras Presidency. 4. Telugu—Telugu First Book, 30 pages. 5. Uriya—Hitopadesa, 20 pages (1st part) (Prose). Sujana-satakam (40 Verses) Poetry, and, Radhanadoss' Grammar, 15 pages.	English Reader, very fair; Reading, good; Dictation, fair.	Good	Geography, fair.	Telugu text, good; Uriya—Prose, Poetry and Grammar, very fair.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlakimedi. Attendance, fair; Handwriting—English, fair; Telugu and Uriya, very fair; General behaviour, very satisfactory.
Failed in the Middle School Examination.	..	..	..	..	Attendance, good; Handwriting, poor; General behaviour, good.
Passed the Middle School Examination.	..	..	..	..	Attendance, very good; Handwriting, fair; General behaviour, very good.
..	..	2	2	2	Attendance, good; Handwriting, poor; General behaviour, good.
..	..	..	..	..	Is studying under a private tutor at Aska.
..	..	..	..	..	The proprietrix was illiterate when the estate was taken under the Court's management. It is reported that she is taught by a private tutor whom she pays from her Towji (allowance).
1. Garthwaite's English First Book, 40 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Up to Simple Division. 3. Geography—Map of the Madras Presidency. 4. Telugu—First Book, 30 pages; Uriya—Nitiadha, finished; Hitopadesa, 1st part, 20 pages; Poetry—Sujana Satakam, 40 verses; Radhanadoss' Uriya Grammar, 15 pages.	Text fair; Reading, very fair; Dictation, fair.	Very fair..	Fair	Telugu, fair; Uriya, good.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlakimedi. Attendance, fair; Handwriting—English, very fair; Telugu and Uriya, good; General behaviour, very satisfactory.

Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	AGE AND ATTACHMENTS WHEN TAKEN UNDER THE COURT.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Ganjam—cont.	Kalikót—cont.	2. Brajaraja Deo ..	12	6	..	I Class (Private tuition).	210	171
	Sripuram ..	Sri Raja Inuganti Raja Gopalareyan-an Garu.	14	4	..	IV Class, Zemindari School, Bobbili.	240	200
Vizagapatam		1. Mindi Ramajogi Garu.	12	9	I Class ..	III Class, Municipal Town school, and Municipal High school, Bimlipatam.	200	150
	Appikonda..	2. Mindi Sriramachandram Garu	11	8	Preparatory class.	II Class, Municipal Town school, Bimlipatam.	228	165
		3. Mindi Subramanyam Garu.	8	5	Not of school-going age.	Preparatory class, do.	228	184

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—cont.

Subjects studied in the year.	RESULTS OF THE ANNUAL EXAMINATION.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
1. Garthwaite's English First Book, 40 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Up to Simple Division. 3. Geography—Map of the Madras Presidency. 4. Telugu—First Book, 30 pages; Uriya—Nitikadha, finished; Hitopadesa, 1st part, 20 pages; Poetry—Sujana Satakam, 40 verses; Radhanadoss' Uriya Grammar, 15 pages.	Text, poor; Reading, middling; Dictation, poor.	Poor ..	Fair ..	Telugu, middling; Uriya, fair.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlakimedi. Attendance, fair; Handwriting—English and Telugu, middling; Uriya, fair; General behaviour, very satisfactory.
1. English—Barrow's Fourth Reader, 60 pages; Manual of Grammar, 40 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Reduction, Practice and Rule of Three. 3. Euclid—First Book, 8 propositions. 4. Sinclair's History—65 pages. 5. Duncan's Geography—India, 30 pages; Asia, 15 pages. 6. Telugu—Nalacharithra, verses 30 to 80; Venkayya's Grammar, 15 pages.	28	18	He did not attend the other subjects as he was unwell at the time of examination.		Attendance, good; Handwriting, fair; General behaviour, good.
1. English—Garthwaite's Third Reader, 24 pages. 2. Elements of English Grammar, 26 pages. 3. Arithmetic—Double Rule of Three and Decimals. 4. History—Sinclair's smaller Telugu History (pages 56 to 122). 5. Geography—Duncan's Europe (Telugu), 33 pages. 6. Telugu—Poetry, Nalacharithram, 37 verses; Venkayya's Grammar, 12 pages.	18	18	28	18	
1. English—Garthwaite's Second Reader, 45 pages. 2. Elements of English Grammar, 15 pages. 3. Arithmetic—Vulgar Fractions and Rule of Three. 4. History—Sinclair's smaller Telugu (41—64 pages). 5. Geography—Duncan's Asia, 37 pages. Telugu—Third Reader, 36 pages; Seshayya's Grammar (20—31 pages). Poetry—Nithimanjari, 60 verses.	3	18	..	3	Attendance and General behaviour, good.
1. English—Garthwaite's First Reader, 18 pages. 2. Spelling Guide, 10 pages. 3. Arithmetic—Four Simple Rules. 4. Geography—Vizagapatam District, 23 pages. Telugu—Second Reader, 17 pages; Seshayya's Grammar, 8 pages; Poetry—Nithimanjari, 16 verses.	28	18	..	18	

Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	AGE AND ATTAINMENTS WHEN TAKEN UNDER THE COURT.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Godavari	Lakkavaram	Sri Raja Mantripragada Bhujanga Row Garu.	13	8	..	Upper IV Class, Church Mission School, Ellore.	196	180
	Mailavaram	1. Rajah Suranani Venkata Purushothama Jagannadha Gopal Row Bahadur.	17	16	..	III Class, Noble College, Masulipatam.	157	137
		2. Rajah Suranani Venkata Papayya Row Bahadur.	14	13	..	Private study.	..	..
		3. Rajah Suranani Venkata Narayana Row Bahadur.	11	10	..			
	Kapileswarapuram	Rajah Venkata Rangayya Appa Row Bahadur.	15	14	III Class	III and Lower IV, Noble College, Masulipatam.	178	156
Cuddapah	Chitticherla	Tatigonda Venkappa Nayanivaru.	20	7	Preparatory.	Upper IV, Town High School, Madanapalle.	200	170
North Arcot	Kangundi	1. Rama Subbarazu Nayanivaru.	20	13	III Standard.	..	..	..

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—cont.

Subjects studied in the year.	RESULTS OF THE ANNUAL EXAMINATION.				General Remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
..	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	Language, $\frac{2}{3}$ Grammar, $\frac{2}{3}$	The Principal of the Church Mission School at Ellore reports as follows:— "He should go up for his Middle School Examination this time and I shall recommend him too if he has done satisfactorily test papers. Next year he should be in the 5th class."
1. English—C. V. E. S. Fourth Reader. 2. Arithmetic—Raghava Chetty's Arithmetic. 3. History—Telugu Indian History. 4. Geography—Duncan's Europe and Asia. 5. Sanskrit—First Book and Saptamanjari.	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	..
1. English—Mission First and Second Readers. 2. Arithmetic—General. 3. Geography—Kistna District. 4. Telugu—Nalacharithra and Panchatantram.	..	..	..	..	..
English—C. V. E. S. Fourth and Fifth Readers, and Manual of Grammar. Mathematics—Raghava Chetty's Arithmetic; Algebra (General) and first book of Euclid. History of India. Geography—Asia and Europe (Duncan's) and Manual of Geography, Asia. Sanskrit—First Book; Saptamanjari and Raghuvamsam.	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	..
The Fifth Reader; Manual of Grammar; Sinclair's Indian History; Duncan's Geography; Euclid (First Book); Arithmetic; Algebra including Fractions; Telugu Poetry (Nala's History) and Telugu (Venkayya's) Grammar.	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	Language, $\frac{2}{3}$ Grammar, $\frac{2}{3}$	Attendance, unsatisfactory; Handwriting, pretty fair; General behaviour, good.
1. English—Selected portions of English poetry and Aesop's fables (the whole); Grimm's, Anderson's and other Fairy tales (selected portions); Lady Brassey's voyage in the "Sunbeam" and others of a like nature. 2. Arithmetic—the whole 3. Algebra—Four Simple Rules. 4. Euclid—First eight propositions of the First Book.	..	..	..	..	Under Mr. Creighton's tuition. Has a very fair knowledge of Geography (General) and the History of India. Has made satisfactory progress in geometrical and free-hand drawing. Handwriting, good; Behaviour, satisfactory; Progress in education, satisfactory.

26
2526

Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	AGE AND ATTAINMENTS WHEN TAKEN UNDER THE COURT.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
North Arcot—cont.	Kangundi—cont.	2. Subbarasu Nayanivaru.	17	11	..	..	..	..
	Timmajamma's estate.	Timmajamma ..	10	8	I Standard.	I Standard (under a private tutor).	..	..
	Pulicherla ..	Venkata Chandrappa Nayanivaru	14	12	III Standard (I Class).	III Standard (I Class) Local Fund School, Chittoor.	269	129
Chingleput ..	Panaiyur ..	1. C. T. Kalappa Mudaliyar.	16	14	IV Lower.	IV Upper, Native High School, Mailapur.	188	137

27
2526

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—cont.

Subjects studied in the year.	RESULTS OF THE ANNUAL EXAMINATION.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
Has read the same books as his brother, though not so thoroughly and, in addition, has gone through a good portion of the Third Reader. In Arithmetic he has gone up to the end of 'Decimal Fractions' and is fairly good in the subject. In Geography and History he has done the same as his brother, though by no means so proficient. His progress in Telugu prose and poetry has been good and fairly good in Tamil, a new subject. He speaks and understands English fairly. He and his brother are good riders, good tennis players and go through the sword exercises well and also the simple exercises in gymnastics.	..	..	..	..	Under Mr. Creighton's private tuition. Behaviour and progress in education, satisfactory.
Telugu—Has finished the first part of the Telugu First Book and can write small passages from dictation. Arithmetic—Simple Addition, Subtraction and Multiplication.	..	..	..	..	Conduct, good.
....	26 40	36 30	30 30	41½ 60	Attendance, not satisfactory; Handwriting, fair; General behaviour, satisfactory.
July to December 1889.					
Fifth Reader— Prose—43—37 pages .. Poetry—Lessons VIII to XV and XXVI. Manual of Grammar, whole .. Indian History (Sinclair's)—British Period. Geography—Africa, America, Oceania and India. Geometry—First Book .. Algebra—to the end of fractions. Arithmetic—Rule of Three, Double Rule of Three, Square Measure and Miscellaneous Problems. Vernacular— Sanskrit—First Book. Middle School Text, 1889 ..	16	4	1	Language, 28 Grammar, 28	Attendance, irregular, owing to his illness last year for upwards of two months. He was therefore unable to appear for the Middle School Examination. Handwriting, fair. General behaviour, good.
January to April 1890.					
Pre-matriculation Text— Prose—46—53 pages .. Poetry—1—7 pages .. Bain's Grammar 1—99 pages .. Indian History (Sinclair's)—1—34 pages. English History (Sinclair's)—1—32 pages. Geography (Manual of)—Africa and America. Geometry—First Book (Revision) Algebra—Resolution, Fractions and Equations. Arithmetic—Problems in Arithmetic, Chapter V to XI. Vernacular— Sanskrit—Raguvamsam, 30 stanzas. Copy, Dictation and Translation daily.					

Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	AGE AND ATTAINMENTS WHEN TAKEN UNDER THE COURT.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
Chingleput— <i>cont.</i>	Panaiyur— <i>cont.</i>	2. C. T. Arumuga Mudaliyar.	14	12	II Class	III and IV Lower, Na- tive High School, Mallapur.	168	187½
Tanjore	Máthúr	M. S. Nataraja Iyer.	17	11	III Class	Junior and Senior F. A. classes, Kumbakon- nam Col- lege.	173	164½
	Ukkadai	Annasami Tevar	17	6	..	Upper IV Class, S. P. G. College, Tanjore.	40	55
	Gandharva- kóta.	Suryanarayana Pan- darathar.	15	7	..	III-B, St. Peter's College, Tanjore and II or Upper Pri- mary Class, St. Jo- seph's Col- lege, Tri- chinopoly.	166	124

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	RESULTS OF THE ANNUAL EXAMINATION.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathe- matics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
July to December 1889.					
Fourth Reader— Prose Lessons—7th, 8th, 9th, 14th, 15th, 17th and 20th. Manual of Grammar—1—45 pages. Indian History (Sinclair's)—1— 65 pages. Geography (Duntan's)—Asia and Europe. Geometry, First Book—1—13 propositions. Algebra—to the end of Division. Arithmetic—Decimals, Rule of three, Double Rule of Three and Problems. Vernacular— Poetry (Nithimanjari) 24— 73 stanzas. Grammar (Mahalinga Aiyar's) 42—120 rules. Prose (Third Reader)—26—73 pages. Fourth Reader—1—35 pages, (1890).	½	½	½	Language, Grammar, ½	Attendance, satisfactory; Handwriting, much im- proved; General behaviour, good.
January to April 1890.					
Fifth Reader— Prose—1—13 pages Poetry—1—5 lessons Manual of Grammar—45—60 pages. Arithmetic—Square Measure, Double Rule of Three and Problems. Algebra—Division and Resolu- tion. Geometry—1—10 propositions (Revision). Indian History—1—40 pages (Revision). Geography—India and Asia .. Copy, Dictation and Translation daily.	½	½	History, Physiology, ½	Language, ½ Dictation, ½ Writing, ½ Grammar and Trans- lation, ½	Regular in attendance. Behaviour, uniformly excel- lent; progress in class, satis- factory; Handwriting, fair. The minor is fond of riding and plays a very fair game at tennis.
....	Language, ½ Dictation, ½ Writing, ½ Grammar and Trans- lation, ½	½	..	Language, ½ Writing, ½ Dictation, ½	The Principal reports that the minor was very irregular in attendance during the 2nd term of 1889 and was not present at the annual exami- nation last year; that he did not join his class in January last, but turned up in March last and that his attendance this year is good.
....	½	½	½	½	Lives in the school premises. Handwriting—Tamil, mode- rately good; English, poor.

28
2526

Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	AGE AND ATTAINMENTS WHEN TAKEN UNDER THE COURT.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Tanjore— cont.	Vadapathi-mangalam.	1. Somasundara Mudaliyar.	19	11	II Class	Not studying in any school.	..	..
		2. Minakshisundara Mudaliyar.	11	8	..	III Standard, Feeder school to the Local Fund School, Tiruvallur.	105	91
	Tyagasamudram.	1. Ramaswami	19	15	..	..	..	..
		2. Sitharaman	17	13	III Standard.	IV Class, Town High School, Kumbakonam.	40	6
		3. Sivaraman	9	5	I Standard.	II and III Classes, Kangan School and Town High School, Kumbakonam.	179	94
		4. Subbaraman, step-brother of the late proprietor.	17	13	III Standard.	..	..	..
	Singavanam.	Duraijah alias Aiyarappa Melikar Gopalar.	16	12	II Standard.	IV Upper, S.F.G. recognized High school attached to St. Peter's College, Tanjore.	165	143
	Ramasetu-swamiyar's estate.	1. Seturamaswamiyar	20	17	..	..	..	..
		..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—cont.

Subjects studied in the year.	RESULTS OF THE ANNUAL EXAMINATION.				General remarks.
	English Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
....	..	..	..	..	Failed in the Matriculation examination. Handwriting, good; General behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	Did not attend the annual examination. Attendance, good; Handwriting, fair; General behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	Studying Sanskrit under a private tutor. Attendance, regular; Handwriting, fair, and behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	Attendance, very bad; Behaviour, very bad; Handwriting, bad.
....	..	..	..	..	Did not, owing to illness, attend the examination. Attendance, fair; Handwriting, bad; Behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	Does not attend any school. Handwriting, fair; Behaviour, fair.
1. Bradshaw's Fifth Reader—pages 1—75. 2. Manual of Grammar—Etymology and Syntax, pages 1—70 3. Arithmetic—Vulgar and Decimal Fractions, Practices and Problems. 4. Algebra—Up to Division. 5. Euclid—First Book, 23 propositions. 6. History—India, whole of Sinclair's. 7. Geography—Notes—Asia, India, Africa, America, and Oceania—the whole. 8. Tamil Poetry—Anthology No. 2, pages 1—49; Grammar—whole of Mahalinga Aiyer's Grammar.	20	100	20	Language, 15 Grammar, 15	Attendance, satisfactory; Handwriting, fair; Gymnastics, middling; General behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	Does not read in any school but attends at home to his religious studies, besides Sanskrit and Music. Is said to be indifferent in his studies. He was examined by the Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools in Tamil and Arithmetic and found fit for the III standard class.

Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	AGE AND ATTAINMENTS WHEN TAKEN UNDER THE COURT.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Tanjore— cont.	Ramasetu- swamiyar's estate— cont.	2. Meruswami ..	16	13	II Class ..	VI Standard, Vedavalli Ammal's Middle School, Tanjore.	238	26
		3. Ramadosswami.	12	9	I Class ..	IV Standard, Viraraghava Pillay's Branch School, Tanjore.	238	132
		4. Sundaraswami ..	8	6	..	Infant Standard, Primary School, Setubava Lane, Tanjore.	289	203
	Palayavanam.	Andavaraya Vanangamudi Pandarathar.	6	4	..	..	..	..
Trichinopoly	Edamandal.	1. Vijayaraghavulu Nayudu.	10	9	III Standard or I Class.	IV Standard or second class, Anglo-Vernacular Result School, Edamandal.	168	161
		2. Muthukistna Nayudu.	7	6½	II Standard.	III Standard or first class, Anglo-Vernacular Result School, Edamandal.	168	153
	Peramur ..	Ward's son—Prasanna Venkatesa Aiyar.	16	..	..	IV and V Classes, S.P.G. College, Trichinopoly.	157	152
	Nangararam Duraiswami Aiyar's estate.	1. N. D. Lakshminarayana Aiyar.	14	6	..	VI and VII Classes, S.P.G. College, Trichinopoly.	157	142
		2. N. D. Subbarama Aiyar.	9	1	..	II and III Classes, S.P.G. College, Trichinopoly.	194	166

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—cont.

Subjects studied in the year	RESULTS OF THE ANNUAL EXAMINATION.				General remarks.
	English Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
....	..	..	..	..	Did not attend the annual examination. Attendance, very irregular; Handwriting, bad. Is said to be obedient and well behaved at school.
....	..	..	..	..	Did not attend the annual examination, but passed the Lower Primary examination conducted by the Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools. Attendance, irregular; Handwriting, pretty fair. He is obedient and well behaved.
....	..	..	..	..	Did not undergo any examination.
....	..	..	..	..	The minor is only a beginner under the care of a private tutor; has studied the first five lessons in the Tamil Noolatharam, Part I, and can write Tamil characters and English numerical figures up to 50. General behaviour, good.
....	22	23	22	27½ 35	Attendance, regular; Handwriting, fair; General behaviour, satisfactory.
....	22	23	22	..	Attendance, regular; Handwriting, fair; General behaviour, satisfactory.
....	22	23	22	22	Attendance, regular and punctual; Handwriting, fair. General behaviour very satisfactory.
....	22	23	22	22	Attendance, good; Handwriting, improving; General behaviour, very satisfactory.
....	22	23	22	22	Attendance, fair; Handwriting, improving; General behaviour, good.

30
2526

Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	AGE AND ATTAINMENTS WHEN TAKEN UNDER THE COURT.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Trichinopoly —2091.	Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate.	1. N. S. Annathurai Aiyar.	14	13	Upper IV class.	Upper IV Class, S.P. G. College, Trichinopoly.	170	123
	Singam Aiyangar's estate.	1. S. Srinivasa Aiyangar.	16	14	V class ..	V and VI Classes, S. P. G. College, Trichinopoly.	177	166
		2. S. Rangaswami Aiyangar.	13	12	Do. ..	Do. ..	177	166
	Ramnad ..	1. Bhaskarasami Setupati.	20	5	..	X Class, Madras Christian College; also under Mr. Creighton's private tuition.	..	..
		2. Dinakarasami Setupati.	17	3	..	VIII Class, Madras Christian College; also under Mr. Creighton's private tuition.	..	..
	Madura ..	Séptúr ..	Ramaswami Kamaya Nayakar.	8	6	..	II Standard. (Under a private tutor).	258
Vadagarai ..		Ramabhadra Nayudu.	15	8	..	Upper IV and V Classes. Native High School, Madura.	222	218
Mambarai ..		1. Venkatarama Naick.	9	9	Nil. ..	..	..	..
		2. Parasurama Naick.	7	7				
Tinnevely ..	Vadimitta ..	1. Ponnuswami Maniagar.	11	2	..	II Class (Under private tuition).	3	..

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—cont.

Subjects studied in the year.	RESULTS OF THE ANNUAL EXAMINATION.				General remarks.
	English Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
....	$\frac{48}{45}$	$\frac{13}{10}$	$\frac{7}{15}$	$\frac{25}{25}$	Attendance, fair; Handwriting, good; General behaviour, very satisfactory.
....	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{15}{10}$	$\frac{1}{10}$	Attendance, good; Handwriting, tolerable; General behaviour, fair.
....	$\frac{15}{15}$	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{15}{15}$	$\frac{2}{25}$	Attendance, good; Handwriting, tolerable; General behaviour, fair.
....	..	..	..	..	Made fairly satisfactory progress on the whole. He kept up his knowledge of Music and attended Gymnastics regularly. Conduct on the whole very satisfactory.
....	..	..	..	..	Made fairly good progress during the first half of the year, but did not do so well during the latter half owing to ill-health. He was an enthusiastic rider and a capital tennis player, and made good progress in Music, Photography and Drawing. Went through all the Gymnastic exercises creditably; Conduct, very satisfactory.
Garthwaite's English First Reader, page 45; Geography of the Madura District and Pope's Tamil Grammar. The minor also receives instruction under the Kindergarten system.	..	..	..	..	There was no annual examination. Attendance, good; Handwriting, fair; General behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	Passed the Middle School examination in the II class. Attendance, very regular; Handwriting, neat and clear; General behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	The estate was taken charge of only in February 1889, and the minors did not attend any school. They were under the tuition of a Tamil teacher and can read Tamil.
English Second Book of Lessons and Fractions in Arithmetic. Tamil-Third Book of Lessons and Pope's Tamil Grammar.	..	..	..	..	

Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	AGE AND ATTAINMENTS WHEN TAKEN UNDER THE COURT.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended School.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Coimbatore ..	Metrathi ..	Venkata Rangaswami Nathama Nayakar.	8	..	..	..	..	..
	Shulagiri ..	Sriman Masti Mummadi Ramachandra Raja Garu.	7	..	..	I Standard, Local Fund School, Shulagiri.	314	288
		1. Muni Papi Reddi.	14	12	V Standard.	Lower IV and Upper IV Glasses, Tirupatur Middle School.	242	192
Salem ..	Yelagiri ..	2. Muni Vencata Reddi. (Since dead).	10	8	III Do. ..	III Standard, Hindu Primary School, and II Class, Municipal Middle School, Tirupatur.	241	197
		3. Muni Rama Linga Reddi.	6	4	Alphabet Class.	..	..	..
South Canara ..	B. Sarvothama Rao's estate.	Sarvothama Rao ..	18	4	..	Upper IV Class, Ganapathi Temple Street Middle School and Municipal Middle School.	230	160
		1. Parvathi Nethiar, mother of Nos. 2 and 3.	23	6	Was put under a Malayalam private tutor in 1872, but discontinued her studies in 1881.	..	..	..
Malabar ..	Kavalpara ..	2. Kavalpara Nayar, named Kumaran Raman.	7	..	I Standard.	III Standard.	264	237
		3. Narayani Nethiar.	5	..	Infant Standard.	Infant Standard.	..	..

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—cont.

Subjects studied in the year.	RESULTS OF THE ANNUAL EXAMINATION.				General remarks.
	English Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
Garthwaite's English First Book; Addition and Subtraction in Arithmetic; Geography of the Coimbatore District in Tamil and Garthwaite's Tamil First and Second Books.	..	..	..	..	Under private tuition. Conduct, generally satisfactory.
Telugu Reader, First Part.	..	..	..	..	
....	63½ 170	53½ 50	45½ 100	52½ 140	Attendance, good; Handwriting, pretty fair; General behaviour, good.
....	12 20	13 20	16 30	Language, 14 20 Grammar, 31½ 40	Attendance, good; Handwriting, not good; General behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	The minor is taught Telugu by a private tutor in the village. Attendance, regular; Conduct, good.
Failed in the Middle School Examination.	..	..	..	..	Attendance, unsatisfactory during the former part of the year, but fair during the latter. Handwriting, bad; General behaviour, satisfactory.
....	..	..	..	..	Has attained her majority, and given up her studies. She reads and writes her own language well.
English—Garthwaite's First Reader, pages 1 to 47. Arithmetic—Multiplication, Division and Reduction, &c. Geography of Malabar (pages 22 to 46). Malayalam—Acharya Paulbar's Second Reader (pages 1-56). Poetical Anthology—Part II, Lines 1 to 50.	22 40	6 30	5 20	Language, 22½ 40 Poetry, 11 20	Has been promoted to the fourth standard class. His attendance is regular.
....	..	..	..	..	

ORDER—dated 15th August 1890, No. 662, Revenue.

Miscellaneous:

With these Proceedings, the Court of Wards submits its report on the administration of the estates under its charge during fasli 1298 (1888-89).

2. During the year under report, three estates were handed over to their proprietors, while five were newly taken up. The number of estates under management at the end of the year was fifty-two, or two more than at the beginning. Of the wards, forty-one were incapacitated by minority, five by sex alone and the remaining six by lunacy or imbecility.

3. The financial condition of the three estates surrendered to their proprietors is reviewed in paragraphs 4—6 of the Court's report. In Merangi, which was in the hands of the Court for over 19 years, the demand rose from Rs. 38,250 to Rs. 46,750, or by 22 per cent.; Rs. 25,500 were spent on irrigation works and other permanent improvements; and the balance to the credit of the estate rose from Rs. 59,446 at the time of its assumption to Rs. 1,15,900 at the date of its restoration. The condition of the other two estates, though not equally prosperous, was, nevertheless, creditable to the management. The Government regrets to note that the progress made in education by the proprietors of the Merangi and Nadavapalle estates was unsatisfactory.

4. Of the fifty-two estates under management, thirty-four were held on zemindari tenure and the rest consisted of ryotwari lands. The number and tenures of the villages in the zemindari estates are shown in statement II-A appended to the report and are reviewed in paragraph 10 of the report. There appears to be something wrong in the classification of the villages. For instance, in the Ramnad zemindari, not one village is shown under ryotwari or joint-rent, while the number paying money-rent and rent in kind is 3294 and 1,0104, respectively. It is probable that, in many of these cases, the villages are ryotwari though the rent is paid in kind. The payment of the rent in kind, it must be observed, is not inconsistent with a ryotwari tenure. The Court is requested to look into the matter.

5. The details of holdings and cultivation given in paragraphs 11 and 12 of the report do not call for any special remark, but the explanation given by the Court for the increase in the holdings in Kallikót, Kangundi, Shulagiri and Timmajamma's estate appears to show that the area of *mustajari* or *izara* (i.e., rented) villages is not included under holdings. If this presumption is correct, the information furnished under holdings and cultivation is incomplete. The Court is requested to report on the point.

6. The following abstract shows the state of the collections:—

	Demand.	Collections and remissions.	Balance.	PERCENTAGE OF BALANCE TO DEMAND IN FASLI		
				1296.	1297.	1298.
Arrears	Rs. 14,45,822	Rs. 3,45,806	Rs. 8,99,926	44.9	65.3	62.3
Current	25,07,375	19,23,983	5,83,392	15.6	15.2	23.3
Total	39,53,197	24,69,889	14,83,313	24.5	60.1	37.5

7. The arrear collections were unsatisfactory, though there was a slight improvement as compared with the previous year. Deducting the collections and the amounts written off the accounts since the close of the fasli under report, the balance exceeded 25 per cent. of the demand in twenty-four estates. The Court should call for special reports in the case of these estates and ascertain how much of the arrears can be collected without undue hardship to the ryots and what portion should be written off the accounts as irrecoverable. The current collections were more satisfactory, though there was no improvement as compared with the two previous years. About 48 per cent. of the current balance (Rs. 2,80,434) appertains to the nine estates in the Ganjam district,

where the season was very unfavorable for agricultural operations. Deducting this amount, the percentage of the balance to the demand is reduced from 23.3 to 16.5—a result which may be regarded as fairly satisfactory.

Amount realised by the sale of Government paper	Rs. 35,334	Rs. 30,11,170 and the total charges to Rs. 28,02,779. The former includes Rs. 1,55,357, made up of the items marginally noted, and the latter Rs. 491 invested in Government paper. Excluding these items, the ordinary revenue and charges of the year aggregated Rs. 23,55,813 and Rs. 28,02,288, respectively. The Court will consider whether these particulars may not be separately shown in statement No. IV instead of being left to be gathered from the foot-notes added thereto. In paragraph 21 of the report, the charges of the year are compared with those of the previous year for each estate. The figures, however, include two items which, in the opinion of Government, may well be omitted. These are (i) the amount invested in the purchase of Government paper, which is rather an investment of the savings than an item of expenditure, and (2) the sums paid in liquidation of the debts due to the several estates—a subject which is fully considered in paragraph 26 of the report. A comparison of the figures, excluding these items, will enable the Government to see at a glance whether the expenditure was normal or otherwise:
Amount realised by the sale of lands	39,716	
Amount realised by the sale of houses	3,702	
Amount realised by the sale of ornaments	9,817	
Amount realised by the sale of lands, ornaments and horses	30,888	
Amount borrowed	35,900	Total ... 1,55,357

8. The total cash receipts of the year amounted to Rs. 30,11,170 and the total charges to Rs. 28,02,779. The former includes Rs. 1,55,357, made up of the items marginally noted, and the latter Rs. 491 invested in Government paper. Excluding these items, the ordinary revenue and charges of the year aggregated Rs. 23,55,813 and Rs. 28,02,288, respectively. The Court will consider whether these particulars may not be separately shown in statement No. IV instead of being left to be gathered from the foot-notes added thereto. In paragraph 21 of the report, the charges of the year are compared with those of the previous year for each estate. The figures, however, include two items which, in the opinion of Government, may well be omitted. These are (i) the amount invested in the purchase of Government paper, which is rather an investment of the savings than an item of expenditure, and (2) the sums paid in liquidation of the debts due to the several estates—a subject which is fully considered in paragraph 26 of the report. A comparison of the figures, excluding these items, will enable the Government to see at a glance whether the expenditure was normal or otherwise:

Total receipts	Rs. 30,11,170	by Government with this revenue will indicate in what cases there is room for reduction of expenditure. Taking all the estates together, the percentage according to the above method will be found to be 9.6 as shown on the margin. In twelve estates—Dhárakót, Bodogoda, Kurla, Appikonda, Lakavaram, Kangundi, Gandharvakóta, Tiravalanjuli, Saptúr, Nelliylam and the estates of Pattamma and Bimbaleen—the percentage exceeds 12, and the Collectors concerned should be called on to report what reduction of expenditure can be effected.
Deduct—	Rs. 88,820	
(i) Debts recovered	88,820	
(ii) Unusual item	1,55,357	
	2,44,186	
Balance	27,66,984(A)	Percentage of B to A = 9.6.
Establishment charges	2,35,636	
Government commission	31,427	
	2,67,063(B)	

10. The expenditure on public works during the year compares favorably with the outlay in the two preceding years as shown below:—

	Fasli 1296.	Fasli 1297.	Fasli 1298.
1. Irrigation works	Rs. 1,02,925	Rs. 1,60,463	Rs. 92,579
2. Buildings	18,772	33,914	33,120
3. Communications	10,652	2,640	2,886
4. Expenditure for which no details have been furnished		5,370	1,30,709
5. Cost of supervision	19,484	17,175	21,424
Total	1,51,833	2,19,562	2,80,718

The proportion of the aggregate outlay under all the items to the total charges (excluding from the latter debts repaid and the sum invested in Government paper) exceeded 11 per cent. and compares favorably with the previous year's expenditure. Omitting the three large estates—Parlakimedi, Chinna Kimedi and Ramnad—which have been handed over since the close of the fasli, the total outlay on public works in the remaining estates amounted to Rs. 94,785, or about 7 per cent. of the total expenditure in those estates. It is explained that no improvement on this ratio is probable in the future, as many of the estates are either small or involved in debt and cannot afford to spare large sums of money for expenditure on public works. In twelve of the estates, the works were entrusted to the Public Works Department and the total allotments amounted to Rs. 3,06,012, of which only Rs. 1,64,718, or 53·8 per cent., were spent. The failure of the Public Works officers to secure a fair degree of expenditure on estate works in Ganjam has formed the subject of G.O., dated 10th May 1890, No. 2881. In Kangundi, the public works in which have been entrusted to the District Board Engineer, 78·4 per cent. of the allotment was expended during the

	Percentage of expenditure to allotment.
Sáptúr	24·8
Shulagiri	20·9
Yelagiri	9·6

year. In the remaining estates, the public works were under the charge of Collectors, but, in several of these, the expenditure fell far short of the allotments, especially in the cases noted on the margin. The Collectors concerned should be called upon to explain.

11. With some exceptions, the educational progress of the wards appears to have been, on the whole, fair. The Government is glad to observe that some of the elder minors were instructed in Revenue Law and Accounts.

12. Paragraph 31 of the Court's report, dealing with the condition of the ryots in the estates under its management, is interesting. An attempt should be made, if possible, in future reports, to calculate the average rent paid by the ryots per acre of dry and wet lands in the several estates under management and to ascertain also the extent to which recourse is had to the Rent Recovery Act and civil suits for the realisation of the demand.

13. The consolidated report on the subject of 'alienations in Wards' estates has been very long delayed. The Court should use every endeavour to expedite its submission.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Court of Wards.
the Public Works Department.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

30
2526

Cobt. of (1890) Madras.
REVENUE.

No. 252,6Wd/23 Sept 1890

Communicated to Supdy: Engr
concerned for information -

Issued

Encl.

Recd.

20/15. L. C. B

D. W.

G.O., 15th August 1890, No. 662.

AUGUST.

S. E. I. IV & VI circles

Court of Wards.

The report on the administration of the estates
under the management of the — during fasli
1298 (1888-89), reviewed.