(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 68

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 1-90 12-89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

RW துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2526 W (9E)

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 23.9.1890

படிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

வண. ஊ-1—18,006—15-6-87.

ஆதீப்வை

1/2826.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 8th July 1890, No. 1616:—

Resolution—dated 8th July 1890, No. 1616.

The Court of Wards resolves to submit to Government its report on the administration of the estates under its management during fasli 1298 ending 30th June 1889.

2. *Number of estates under management.*—There were 50 estates in its charge at the beginning of the year. Three, viz., Mádugula and Merangi in Vizagapatam and Nadavapalle in Godávari, were handed over, the last two on their owners coming of age and the first on the Court being satisfied of the means, ability and discretion of its proprietrix to manage her estate. The five estates noted on the margin were taken under management during the year. The total number of estates at the end of the fasli was thus raised to 52.

Belgám (Vizagapatam District).
Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate (Trichinopoly).
Singam Aiyangar's estate (Trichinopoly).
Mambarai (Madura).
Edamanal (Tanjore).

3. *Estates handed over.*—The subjoined statement shows the condition of the three estates above referred to at the time they came under the Court and at the time they were handed back:—

Districts.	Estates.	Annual Revenue. When the estate came under management. Current demand.	Annual Revenue. When the estate came under management. Current collection.	Annual Revenue. When the estate was restored. Current demand.	Annual Revenue. When the estate was restored. Current collection.	Balance on hand. When the estate came under management.	Balance on hand. When the estate was restored.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Vizagapatam ..	Mádugula ..	3,528	2,406	2,996	2,742	..	505
	Merangi	38,253	36,465	46,752	43,781	Cash .. 14,446 Government Securities 45,000 126	Cash .. 6,000 Government Securities 1,09,900 1,894
Godávari ..	Nadavapalle ..	14,077	11,180	14,292	10,892		

Districts.	Estates.	Debts recovered during the period the estate was under management.	Debts paid off during the period.	Amount expended on irrigation works.	Amount expended on other permanent improvements.	Age of the proprietor. When the estate came under management.	Age of the proprietor. When the estate was restored.	Period for which the estate was under management.
		9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Y. M.	Yrs.	Y. M.
Vizagapatam ..	Mádugula	6,946	39,221	205	10	52 0	63	11 3
	Merapgi	59,170	..	10,685	14,821	3 0	21	19 3
Godávari ..	Nadavapalle ..	1,535	5,543	954	..	17 3	21	3 9

4. *The Mádugula estate.*—The Mádugula estate was under management for 11 years and 6 months, during which period debts, amounting to Rs. 6,946, were collected and Rs. 39,221 paid off. The bulk of the estate having been in the possession of a usufructuary mortgagee, the Court had under its direct management only some seri lands and detached inams and topes. The decrease in the current demand is attributed to the fluctuating nature of the rents, which appear to have been enhanced without any principle during the time of the late zemindar and to have gradually fallen off owing to bad seasons and to the consequent absence of competition at the time of selling annually the usufruct of certain topes. The very small expenditure on irrigation works and other improvements is due to the fact that under the deed the mortgagee had to execute such works. The ward enjoyed good health during the period she was under the care of the Court.

5. *The Merangi estate.*—The Merangi estate was under wardship for over 19 years. During this period the current demand rose considerably. Debts, amounting to Rs. 59,170, were recovered and Rs. 25,506 were spent on irrigation works and other permanent improvements. The balance in favor of the estate on the date of restoration was Rs. 6,000 in cash and Rs. 1,09,900 in Government Promissory notes against Rs. 14,446 in cash and Rs. 45,000 in Government securities at the time the estate came under the Court. The saving by the Court was not large, but it is observed that the peshkash is over Rs. 20,000 and this with other charges leaves only a small balance at the close of each year. Moreover, the Court has paid Rs. 23,038 for law charges, Rs. 11,120 to the former renter of the estate under an award of Court, Rs. 15,000 for the minor's marriage, and Rs. 68,333 to certain members of the family as their share of the family property. It is to be noticed with regret that the minor made but little progress in education, though his wardship was a long one.

6. *The Nadavapalle estate.*—The Nadavapalle estate was under management for only three years and nine months. The current demand shows an increase, but there is a slight falling off in the percentage of the collections to the demand at the end of the term of management as compared with the beginning. The Collector is requested to explain this. Debts to the extent of Rs. 1,535 were recovered and Rs. 5,543 were paid off while Rs. 954 were spent on irrigation works. At the time of restoration there was a cash balance of Rs. 1,894 at the credit of the estate against Rs. 126 at the beginning. The minor's progress in education was not as satisfactory as could be wished. Though at the time he came under wardship he was fit for the Lower Fourth class, he did not get beyond the Upper Fourth in three years. He is reported to possess ordinary intelligence, but he acquired very little knowledge of English.

7. No report has been received from the Collector of Vizagapatam regarding the Belgám estate, which was taken under the management of the Court towards the close of the fasli under report. As in previous years the Vadimitta estate was in the possession of the lessees and consequently no mention is made of it in the ensuing paragraphs or in statements II to V.

8. *Statement No. I showing the names, &c., of estates under wardship.*—Statement No. I appended to the report shows the names of the estates, the authority for the Court's interference, the sexes of the wards and their disabilities, the periods during which their estates have been under management, the ages of the wards at the end of the fasli and the dates on which such of them as are minors will attain their majority. Of the fifty-two wards, forty-one were minors (two being females), five were incapacitated by sex alone, and the remaining six, two of whom were females, were either lunatic or imbecile.

9. *Season.*—The season was unfavorable in the majority of the estates, particularly so in Ganjam where the crops to a great extent failed and severe distress was felt. Towards the end of the year 1883, the Court considered it necessary to undertake relief works and later to grant gratuitous relief on a large scale. The rainfall was generally deficient or unseasonable in the estates situated in the northern and central districts of the Presidency as well as in Trichinopoly, Coimbatore and Salem.

The rainfall registered at Parlákimedi was 42·87 inches against 39·1 in the previous year, but though the amount received here was not small, it fell at unseasonal

2/2526

periods and consequently did little service. In Mailavaram wet cultivation was affected at the beginning of the year by excess, and at the end by want of rain. In Gandharvakóta in Tanjore much the same state of things is reported to have occurred, while in Ramnad the yield of the wet crops was considerably affected by failure of water-supply.

10. *Number of villages.*—Statement II-A shows the number and tenures of the villages in proprietary estates and the area of the cultivation and holdings in all estates as compared with the previous year. Thirty-four estates as against thirty-five in the previous year were held on fixed tenure, while the rest consisted of ryotwari lands. The total number of villages in the permanently-settled estates was 5,376, of which 3 belonged to estates newly taken up. Deducting these as well as the villages in Meduru, the return relating to which was left blank in the previous year, the total number of villages in the estates which were under management in both faslis was 5,311 against 5,303 in the previous year, an increase of eight which occurred in the marginally-noted estates. The increase in Chinna Kimedi is due to one deserted village (not shown in the previous year's return) having been re-occupied during the fasli under report. The increase in Bodogoda is the result of two deserted villages (not shown in previous year's return) having been re-occupied and of one small village having been deserted. The apparent increase in Kallikót is due to an error in the previous year's return. The increase in Panaiyur is due to three villages forming the joint property of the minors and of other individuals having been brought to account during the year. Of the $5,375\frac{5}{8}$ villages above referred to, 1,622 villages were held on ryotwari or joint-rent tenure, $868\frac{37}{42}$ were leased out to middlemen, $1,040\frac{5}{8}$ paid rent in kind, and the remaining $1,844\frac{3}{42}$ villages were held on favorable assessment or in some cases free of assessment. The changes in the tenure of villages were mainly between money-rent and joint rent.

Chinna Kimedi	+ 1
Bodogoda	+ 1
Kallikót	+ 3
Panaiyur	+ 3
	+ 8

11. *Holdings.*—The total area of holdings in all the estates, except Ramnad and Vadagarai, for which figures are not available, was 463,748 acres, of which 367,074 acres were cultivated. The extent of holdings in the estates which were under management during fasli 1298 as well as in fasli 1297 and for which figures were available was 423,361 acres in fasli 1298 against 395,188 in fasli 1297, showing an increase of 28,173 acres. This is the result of an increase of 28,937 acres in 17 estates counterbalanced by a decrease of 764 acres in 10 others. The most important variations occurred in the marginally-noted estates. No cause is assigned for the increase in Parlákimedi beyond the fact that the extent of land taken up for cultivation was larger than that relinquished, while in Sáptúr it is ascribed to the favorable character of the season. In most of the other cases the changes seem to be mainly nominal. In Bodogoda the figure shown in last year's report is said to have been based on the old accounts, which understated the area, and the approximate extent has now been shown. The increase in Kallikót is said to be due to the transfer of a net number of 68 villages from "*mustajari*," *i.e.*, villages leased to middlemen to the heading "Ryotwar and joint-rent," and the increase in Kangundi, Timmajamma's estate and Shulagiri is similarly due to the transfer of *izara* (rented) villages to ryotwari. No explanation has been given as regards the variations in Chinna Kimedi, Dhárákót, Lakkavaram and Marungápuri.

Increase.	
Parlákimedi	481
Chinna Kimedi	696
Bodogoda	2,278
Kallikót	12,586
Kangundi	10,202
Timmajamma's estate	964
Marungápuri	426
Sáptúr	293
Shulagiri	550
Decrease.	
Dhárákót	305
Lakkavaram	164

12. *Cultivation.*—The total area under cultivation, excluding Ramnad, Vadagarai and Mambarai, was 367,074 acres or 79·9 per cent. of the holdings against 363,736 acres or 92 per cent. in the previous year. Including Ramnad, the area cultivated was 687,060 acres against 684,579 acres in the previous year. More than the whole decrease in percentage is accounted for by the falling off in cultivation in the Parlákimedi estate, viz., from 112,792 acres in fasli 1297 to 71,482 in that under report, due to the very unfavorable character of the season in Ganjam—a cause which also affected the Jarada estate. The percentage of cultivation to holdings is low in the marginally-noted * estates. In Chitticherla this fact is said to be due to the non-repair of two big tanks which breached some three years back and to one-third of the dry lands being of poor quality. The Collector will be desired to explain why the repair of the tanks referred to was not undertaken sooner. In Kangundi the fall in percentage from 91·3 to 68·4 is mainly nominal, caused by the transfer to ryotwari of a number of rent villages. In Singavanam the proportion borne by cultivation to holdings has been low for the past 5 faslis, as noted in the margin, and shows a marked falling off from the first year that the estate was under management. This has not been adequately explained by the Collector, who will be requested to submit further information on the subject, stating whether he considers that the rents are excessive. Steps are, it is reported, being taken to grant out the waste lands of the estates at favorable rates. In Neliyalam it is stated that the lessees of extensive holdings from the late proprietor possess sufficient lands under cultivation and that it is not likely that the rest will be opened up for a long time to come. In Bimbalan the lands left waste consist of jungle, the whole estate being in the holding of a single tenant, the ward's cousin.

*Parlákimedi	62·8
Jarada	66·3*
Chitticherla	57·9
Kangundi	68·4
Singavanam	43·3
Neliyalam	19·6
Bimbalan	35·1

1293	73·8
1294	36·6
1295	48·9
1296	50·6
1297	50·6
1298	43·3

In certain other estates besides those already referred to, viz., Kallikót, Timmajamma's estate and Erumaipati, the percentage of cultivation to holdings shows a marked decline as compared with that of the preceding year. The decrease in Kallikót is due to the unfavorable character of the season. In Erumaipati it is partly due to the same cause and partly to lands having been left waste for grazing purposes. No explanation has been given for the falling off in Timmajamma's estate.

13. *Demand of estates.*—Statement II-B shows the settled demand of the estates, the remission granted, and the net demand. The gross demand of the year amounted to Rs. 27,84,025, of which Rs. 2,76,650 were remitted, leaving a net demand of Rs. 25,07,375. The following abstract compares the figures under these heads as regards the estates which were under management both in faslis 1297 and 1298—

Fasli.	Gross demand.	Remission.	Net demand.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1297	26,53,299	83,314	25,67,985
1298	25,95,839	2,74,693	23,21,146

14. *Remissions.*—As above stated, the remissions granted during the year amounted to Rs. 2,76,650 against Rs. 85,516 in the previous year; the large increase being due to the unfavorable character of the season. The important items of remission unconnected with the season are noticed below. In Pulicherla, Rs. 1,527 was the usual "Riyayati" remission, *i.e.*, remission at 2 annas in the rupee granted from time immemorial on account of heavy assessment. In Panaiyur also the remission consisted of deductions on account of heaviness of assessment. In Ramnad it is due mostly to deductions on account of emoluments of village officers. In Marungápuri and Sáptúr it consists of amounts due on *pannai* lands, while in Erumaipati and Yelagiri the remissions were granted under cowle.

15. *Net demand.*—As compared with the previous year, the net demand shows a decrease of Rs. 2,46,839, which is the result of an increase of Rs. 462,08 in 18 estates and a decrease of Rs. 2,88,907 in 27 estates. The important variations are explained below :—

Name of estate.	Fasli 1297.	Fasli 1298.	Difference.	Explanation of increase or decrease.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Parlákimedi	3,85,319	3,03,793	— 81,526	Decrease due to remissions.
Chinna Kimedi	73,181	36,724	— 36,457	Do. do.
Jarada	22,658	11,942	— 10,716	Do. do.
Dhárákót	85,412	49,717	— 35,695	Decrease due to reduction of rents and large remissions.
Bodogoda	24,416	17,267	— 7,149	Decrease due to large remissions.
Kurla	12,956	9,305	— 3,651	Decrease due to reduction of rents and large remissions.
Aska	12,793	8,425	— 4,368	Do. do. do.
Kallikót	2,40,952	1,88,072	— 52,880	Decrease due to reduction of rents.
Chidisada	22,724	11,784	— 10,940	Decrease due to large remissions.
Sripuram	19,228	17,178	— 2,050	Decrease due to no second crop having been raised for want of water.
Lakkavaram	12,774	10,535	— 2,239	Decrease due to unfavorable season.
Kapileswarapuram	1,17,860	1,08,969	— 8,891	Decrease due to removal of unauthorized enhancements and to larger remissions.
Kangundi	74,294	82,772	+ 8,478	Increase due to increase in cultivation and better management.
Panaiyur	16,416	24,735	+ 8,319	Increase due apparently to the transactions of certain joint villages newly brought to account.
Ukkadai	17,820	23,354	+ 5,534	Increase due to higher prices of grain and increase in the lease amounts of certain lands.
Vadapathimangalam	55,320	45,060	— 10,260	Decrease due to the excessive rain and cyclone in December 1888 and to the loss incurred on account of the drying up of grain not being shown in the accounts as in last year.
Singavanam	12,277	9,566	— 2,711	Due to the unfavorable character of the season.
Ramasetuswamiyar's estate ..	33,344	39,730	+ 6,386	Increase due to the large amount expected from Kadiramangalam which had hitherto been leased out, but which was under amani during the year.
Marungápuri	66,534	59,103	— 7,431	Decrease is only apparent and due to inclusion in last year's figures of sale proceeds of horses, brass vessels, &c.
Sáptúr	48,753	56,733	+ 7,980	Increase due to large tracts having been brought under the plough owing to the exertions of the Deputy Collector and the manager of the estate.
Yelagiri	20,948	15,618	— 5,330	Decrease due to last year's figures including sale-proceeds of cattle, &c.

The net demand was more than 8¾ lakhs in Ramnad and over 3 lakhs in Parlákimedi. It was nearly 2 lakhs in Kallikót and exceeded one lakh in Meduru and Kapileswarapuram; it was over ¾ lakh in Kangundi, ½ lakh in Marungápuri and Sáptúr, ¼ lakh in Chinna Kimedi, Uriám, Dhárákót, Gandharvakóta, Vadapathimangalam, Ramasetuswamiyar's estate, Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate and Kavalpara and Rs. 10,000 in 16 other estates.

16. *Demand, Collection and Balance.*—Statement III shows the demand, collection and balance of the estates during the year. The difference between the closing balance of fasli 1297 and the opening arrear demand of fasli 1298 is explained at the foot of the statement. The arrear demand was Rs. 14,45,822, of which Rs. 4,28,987 were collected and Rs. 1,16,909 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 8,99,926 or 62·2 per cent. against 65·3 per cent. in the previous year. The cause of this reduction in the percentage of arrear balance to arrear demand is to be found, not in larger arrear collections which amounted to only 29·6 per cent. of the demand against 31·6 per cent. in the previous year, but to the large amount written off as irrecoverable. Details of the greater part of this sum were given in paragraph 20 of the previous

year's review, and it will be seen the largest items belong to the Ramnad and Dhárákót estates. The figures in the margin show how largely the sum written off in fasli 1298 exceeded that so dealt with in any of the previous five years. In three estates, the arrear balance was practically *nil*. In eleven estates it was below 25 per cent., in thirteen estates between 25 and 50 per cent., and in the undermentioned 21 estates above 50 per cent:—

	Rs.
Fasli 1293	16,226
Do. 1294	18,806
Do. 1295	37,244
Do. 1296	79,998
Do. 1297	37,347

Metráthi	96·4	Aska	68·3
Kallikót	87·8	Neliyalam	66·1
Vadapathimangalam	87·3	Chipurupalle	65·9
Timmajamma's estate	86·0	Chidikada	60·7
Meduru	84·7	Kurla	59·1
Gandharvakóta	84·7	Appikonda	56·5
Kapileswarapuram	82·9	Bodogoda	54·8
Shulagiri	70·3	Vadagarai	54·2
Chinna Kimedi	69·9	Kangundi	53·9
Sáptúr	69·8	Ramasetuswamiyar's estate	50·6
Mambarai	69·2		

The arrears in Metráthi are due by a lessee who has not paid the amount owing to a dispute with the estate as to the deduction to be allowed to him. The greater portion of the arrears in Kallikót is said to be irrecoverable and inquiry into the matter is progressing. A portion of the arrears in Vadapathimangalam is covered by decrees of civil courts under execution and the other portion is said to be the erroneous demand of past years, the accounts connected with which are being scrutinized. The greater portion of the arrears in Timmajamma's estate is due by Pachamiya, the previous lessee holder of the estate, who had collected the rents from the tenants and who was lodged in the civil jail for the amounts due to the estate. A portion of the arrears in Meduru cannot be collected until certain appeals pending in the High Court are disposed of. Of the balance the greater portion is said to be irrecoverable. The Collector is requested to inquire into the matter fully and to expedite his report on the subject. The major portion of the arrears in Gandharvakóta is said to be covered by debt bonds or Court's decrees, while about Rs. 10,000 are stated to be irrecoverable and the matter is under inquiry. Of the balance in Kapileswarapuram, Rs. 66,795 were found to be irrecoverable, while Rs. 24,000 could not be collected until certain pending suits are disposed of. The greater portion of the arrears in Shulagiri was connected with suits pending in courts. The large arrears in Chinna Kimedi, Aska, Kurla and Bodogoda are apparently due to the unfavorable character of the season. In Sáptúr the greater portion of the arrears has been written off since the close of the fasli. The large arrears in Mambarai are due to the estate having been taken under management towards the close of the fasli. The greater portion of the outstanding arrears in Neliyalam is said to relate to years anterior to the assumption of the estate by the Court, and it is said that the accounts connected with them were in great confusion when the estate was taken under the Court's charge. The Collector should take immediate steps to clear them off. The large arrear balances in Chipurupalle, Chidikada and Appikonda were apparently due to the unfavorable character of the season. The Collector has recently submitted a separate report furnishing fasliwar particulars, &c. The arrears in Vadagarai are said to be covered by decrees of Courts or to relate to pending suits. Steps have been or are being taken to recover so much of the arrears as is recoverable in Kangundi. Arrangements are said to have been made for the purchase of the occupancy rights of persons who owed a larger portion of the outstanding arrears in Ramasetuswamiyar's estate.

17. The above remarks have reference to the arrears as they stood at the close of the fasli. The subjoined statement shows the state of the arrears after deducting subsequent collections and remissions as also the amounts recommended for remission in the several reports of the Collectors—

Estates.	Percentage to the demand			Estates.	Percentage to the demand		
	Of balance after deducting subsequent collections.	Of amount recommended for remission.	Of the balance.		Of balance after deducting subsequent collections.	Of amount recommended for remission.	Of the balance.
Parlákimedi ..	27·86	..	27·86	Pulicherla	44·13	36·63	7·50
Chinna Kimedi ..	69·43	..	69·43	Ukkadai	38·19	..	38·19
Bodogoda	51·77	..	51·77	Gandharvakóta ..	83·44	..	83·44
Kurla	54·99	3·10	51·89	Vadapathimangalam.	86·89	..	86·89
Aska	66·59	..	66·59	Ramasetuswamiyar's estate.	49·51	..	49·51
Kallikót	87·07	..	87·07				
Chidikada ..	50·23	9·58	40·65	Tyagasamudram ..	41·35	..	41·35
Chipurupalle ..	62·01	1·32	60·69	Sáptúr	16·74	1·84	14·90
Appikonda ..	56·23	..	56·23	Vadagarai ..	54·22	..	54·22
Lakkavaram ..	46·09	39·62	6·47	Mambarai	69·19	..	69·19
Meduru	78·55	4·91	73·64	Metráthi	53·21	..	53·21
Kapileswarapuram ..	48·67	..	48·67	Neliyalam	63·16	5·41	57·75
Kangundi	53·04	18·49	34·55	Kapileswarapuram ..	48·12	..	48·12
Timmajamma's estate.	86·01	1·54	84·47	Erumaipati	28·45	..	28·45
				Shulagiri			

It is observed that the percentage of outstanding balances is still large in most of the estates. The Collectors concerned are again requested to take the necessary steps to adjust them as early as possible. The Court also observes that most of the Collectors' reports do not contain the information as regards arrears required to be furnished in paragraph 4 of Board's Proceedings, dated the 24th January 1880, No. 119. It is hoped that all Collectors will use every endeavour to furnish a full report on the subject in their administration report for fasli 1299, expressing at the same time their opinion as to which of the old arrears cannot be collected without undue hardship to the ryots and should be written off.

18. *Current collections.*—Out of a current demand of Rs. 25,07,375, the collections amounted to Rs. 19,23,988, leaving a balance of Rs. 5,83,387 or 23·3 per cent. against 18·2 per cent. in the previous fasli. Nine-tenths of the total increase (Rs. 1,05,639) in current arrear balance is accounted for by three Ganjam estates, Parlákimedi, Dhárákót and Chinna Kimedi, the first named alone showing an increase in current arrears of Rs. 60,642 as compared with the previous year. The percentage of outstanding balance is, as the figures in the margin* show, considerably the worst in the late five years, but this is not to be wondered at when the state of the season everywhere, and especially in Ganjam in which numerous large estates are under management, is taken into account.

* Fasli 1294	16·4
Do. 1295	16·9
Do. 1296	15·6
Do. 1297	18·2
Do. 1298	23·3

In nine estates the current arrears were below 5 per cent., in 16 more below 20 per cent., while in 9 they were between 20 and 35 per cent. They exceeded 35 per cent. in the marginally-noted† sixteen estates, just half of which belong either to the Ganjam or Vizagapatam district. In Mambarai the greater portion of the balance has since been collected. In Neliyalam, the percentage of current balance during the year shows an improvement as compared with that (68·8) of the previous year. In Ukkadai more than half the arrear represents deposits by purchasers of paddy, which have been ordered to be soon adjusted. No sufficient explanation is offered by the Collec-

† 1. Kurla	75·7
2. Ukkadai	74·2
3. Dhárákót	65·2
4. Aska	64·7
5. Chinna Kimedi	64·3
6. Jarada	60·0
7. Chipurupalle	59·4
8. Ramasetuswamiyar's estate ...	59·4
9. Appikonda	49·7
10. Kallikót	49·1
11. Mambarai	44·6
12. Tyagasamudram	43·3
13. Bodogoda	41·7
14. Neliyalam	38·5
15. Tiruvalanjuli	38·4
16. Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate	38·1

tor of Tanjore for the balances in Ramasetuswamiyar's estate. In the other estates the high percentages are due to the unfavorable character of the season. The Court trusts that the Collectors concerned will take steps to collect without delay such amounts as are recoverable.

	Rs.
Meduru	41
Kapileswarapuram	48,519
Mailavaram	309
Panaiyur	1,554
Kangundi	2,487
Pulicherla	507
Mathur	75
Singavanam	48
Edamanal	27
Peramur	62
Nangavaram Duraiswami Aiyar's estate	47
Marungápuri	1,726
Sáptúr	15,777
Shulagiri	1,615
Kavalpara	77
Total ...	72,871

19. *Irrecoverable arrears.*—Of the balance (current and arrears) at the close of the fasli, amounting to Rs 14,83,313, sanction has been accorded by the Court since the close of the year for writing off Rs. 72,871 in the marginally-noted estates, while the sum of Rs. 42,679-1-9 has been recommended to be written off in the reports now under review for the reasons stated below :—

Name of estate.	Erroneous demand.	Barred by limitation.	Rent of land taken for public purposes.	Poverty, death or desertion of ryots.
	1	2	3	4	5
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Jarada	...	4 10 0	...	...
Kurla	...	...	...	262 6 2
Chidikada	...	...	...	525 11 6
Sripuram	...	...	...	11 3 4
Chipurupalle	...	...	...	52 13 8
Lakkavaram	(a) 33 4 6	...	4 3 10	(b) 38 8 3
Meduru	...	...	...	...
Mailavaram	12 0 0	...	...	...
Kangundi	609 10 7	2,633 7 4	89 5 11	1,192 14 2
Timmajamma's estate	108 13 6	...	...	...
Pulicherla	2,248 15 11	...	...	908 11 4
Ramnad	485 14 6	...	...	7,874 15 6
Sáptúr	...	...	...	64 0 0
Neliyalam	...	606 10 6	...	(c) 86 0 0
Yelagiri	...	...	...	105 13 4
Total ...	3,498 12 0	3,244 11 10	93 14 9	11,073 1 3

Name of estate.	Rent of lands washed by river, &c., or in the zemindar's occupation.	Rent disallowed by Courts.	Rent paid to the zemindar.	Other causes.	Total.
	6	7	8	9	10
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Jarada	...	...	...	129 8 2	134 2 2
Kurla	...	62 6 6	...	...	324 12 8
Chidikada	...	...	...	...	525 11 6
Sripuram	...	...	...	...	11 3 4
Chipurupalle	...	...	...	...	52 13 8
Lakkavaram	...	...	...	118 11 0	195 0 7
Meduru	...	...	...	8,185 0 0	8,185 0 0
Mailavaram	...	...	22 10 4	274 8 7	309 2 11
Kangundi	1,330 7 1	483 2 4	...	2,036 15 3	6,423 14 8
Timmajamma's estate	...	...	...	28 7 3	28 7 3
Pulicherla	321 10 9	...	...	5,458 9 1	6,797 12 8
Ramnad	...	...	...	4,684 2 6	14,808 1 11
Sáptúr	...	...	...	...	549 14 6
Neliyalam	...	240 0 9	...	(d) 1,216 0 0	1,492 0 0
Yelagiri	7 2 10½	111 14 3	...	8 7 0	239 15 11
Total ...	1,659 4 8	897 7 1	22 10 4	22,183 4 10	42,679 1 9

(a) Current. (b) Current, Rs. 14-3-10. (c) Current, Rs. 8. (d) Current, Rs. 152.

As regards the amounts included in this statement, the Court observes that the manager of the Mailavaram estate recommends the sum of Rs. 309-2-11 to be written

off the accounts; but neither the Divisional officer nor the Collector has noticed the recommendation. It is observed, however, that in his letter disposed of in Court's Proceedings, No. 2738, of the 15th November last, the Collector recommended Rs. 309-2-11 to be written off the accounts; and he is now requested to explain whether the items dealt with in the two cases are identical. In regard to the irrecoverable arrears of the Ramnad estate no orders are now required as it has been handed over to the Zemindar. Court's Proceedings, dated 27th September 1888, No. 1904, quoted as the authority for remitting Rs. 118-11-0 in Lakkavaram, being the rent on Asalminha topes, relates to a different subject altogether. The Collector is requested to quote the correct authority, if any. If none exists, a full report as to the circumstances necessitating the grant of the remission should be submitted. Sanction is accorded for writing off the balance, viz., Rs. 27,443-1-11, subject to the following remarks. The Jarada estate having been under management for nearly nine years, it should have been explained how the sum of Rs. 4[illegible]0-0 was allowed to become time-barred. The recovery of a sum of Rs. 2,633-7-4 in Kangundi is reported to have been barred by limitation. The amount relates to faslis 1289 to 1294. A portion of this appears to have been time-barred prior to the Court's assumption of management, while the other portion was allowed to become barred apparently owing to the *laches* of the late manager, Narayanasami Mudali. The sum of Rs. 606-10-6 in Yelagiri was barred prior to the Court's assumption of management of the estate. The items shown in column 9 of the above statement are explained below. In Jarada the arrear consists of cart-hire for paddy transported to the estate godowns by the ryots which the tenants have deducted from their rents. In Meduru suits are said to have been filed or decrees obtained for the item which has on that account been transferred to the debt list. In Kangundi and Pulicherla it consists mainly of sums irrecoverable through omission to exchange pattas and muchilkas, of jodi on inams belonging to temples, &c. In Timmajamma's estate it is said to be the rent on lands granted for building houses by the previous holders of the estate. In Neliyalam the rent was due on lands which have been declared to be escheats at the Revenue settlement. The question regarding the rents up to fasli 1295 of lands in Neliyalam declared to be escheats by the Settlement Department which is referred to in paragraph 6 of the Collector's report will be separately disposed of. In Yelagiri the arrear represents the remission usually granted to toties in lieu of cash payments. This practice has, it is reported, been recently changed.

20. *Financial condition of estates.*—Statement No. IV shows the financial condition of the several estates. The difference between the closing balance of the previous fasli and the opening balance of the fasli under report has been explained at the foot of the statement. The more important sources under "other items" of receipts have in some cases been indicated at the foot of the statement. In the Kallikót estate this head consists mainly of deposits and of sale-proceeds of horses. In Kangundi it represents mostly income from forests. In Ramasetuswamiyar's estate it consists partly of value of lands sold and partly of deposits, while in the other Tanjore estates it consists mostly of deposits. The total cash receipts of the year amounted to Rs. 30,11,170. Adding to this the cash balance at the beginning of the fasli, the total available assets were Rs. 41,39,760, of which Rs. 28,02,288 were expended and Rs. 491 invested in Promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 500, leaving a cash balance of Rs. 13,36,981 at the end of the year. Adding to this the value of grain on hand and of Government securities, the balance at the credit of the estates was Rs. 54,24,458. The charges entered in column 23 of the statement include the following unusual items :—

Parlákimedi—	Rs.
Accouchment ceremony of the Zemindar's daughter ...	6,000
Construction of the Devi Matt	30,000
Purchase of a pearl necklace	1,700
Fees to M.R.Ry. V. Bashyam Aiyangar Avergal for the "Friendly Suit"	15,000
Other law charges	27,074
Total ...	79,774

		Rs.
Chinna Kimedi—		
Purchase of guns		885
Subscription to the Berhampore College		200
Gratuitous relief		449
Minor's marriage		2,000
	Total	3,534
Jarada—		
Purchase of a buggy		1,104
Cost of a pony		768
	Total	1,872
Urlám—		
Casuarina plantation		1,578
Purchase of mango trees		300
Subscription to the Berhampore College		200
	Total	2,078
Dhárákót—		
Minor's trip to Parlákimedi		1,175
Subscription to the Berhampore College		200
Gratuitous relief		200
Minor's Upanayanam		5,000
	Total	6,575
Bodogoda—		
Pilgrimage, &c., charges		3,000
Subscription to the Berhampore College		100
Charges on account of the funeral ceremonies of the Minor's grandmother		1,000
	Total	4,100
Kurla—		
Sridhanam to the daughters of the late Proprietor		6,000
Shaving ceremony of the widow of the late Proprietor		237
Subscription to the Berhampore College		192
	Total	6,529
Aska—		
Sridhanam		5,000
Subscription to the Berhampore College		100
	Total	5,100

		Rs.
Kallikót—		
Minor's trip to Parlákimedi		2,423
Purchase of ornaments		5,313
Seed-grain advances to ryots		4,739
Subscription to the Berhampore College		800
Cost of a coach		3,748
Cost of horses		400
Minor's Upanayanam		8,000
Gratuitous relief		563
	Total	25,986
Lakkavaram—		
Value of the site purchased for the Bezváda Choultry		1,900
Meduru—		
Law charges		14,775
Kangundi—		
Fees to Government Pleader		2,598
Cost of carriage		2,200
Cost of horses, saddle and harness		3,090
	Total	7,938
Pulicherla—		
Amount paid to Chendrasekaramma, for her daughter's marriage		500
Gandharvakóta—		
Nuptials of Subbammal Ayiyar		490
Value of lands purchased		468
Pilgrimage expenses		200
	Total	1,158
Vadapathimangalam—		
Expenses on account of the ward's sister attaining puberty		695
Ramnad—		
Amount paid for the purchase of jewels and marriage expenses of the minor Zemindar		24,578
Horses		1,330
Durbar suits		2,166
	Total	28,074
Sáptúr—		
Golden bangles and waist cord for the minor		600
Mambarai—		
Ear-boring ceremony of the minor		400
Metráthi—		
Cost of demarcation stones		229
Neliyalam—		
Bangles for the ward		240
Bimbalan—		
Law charges inclusive of damages awarded in a suit		213

21. *Comparative statement of total charges.*—The total charges of the year are compared below with those of the preceding fasli in the case of those estates which were under management in both the years:—

Estates.	Fasli 1297.	Fasli 1298.	Remarks.
	Rs.	Rs.	
Parlákimedi	5,22,919	4,25,835	Decrease is only apparent and due to the purchase last year of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 1,55,000.
Chinna Kimedi	86,388	55,820	Decrease due to the non-adjustment of Government dues for want of funds and to the purchase during the previous fasli of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 17,100.
Jarada	25,435	21,813	Decrease due to non-payment of Government dues for want of funds and to the payment last year of arrears of maintenance allowance to certain relations of the minor.
Urlám	86,342	48,711	Decrease due to the purchase in the previous year of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 38,000.
Dhárákót	77,154	53,396	Decrease due to non-payment of Government dues in full for want of funds and to the payment of a smaller sum for debts.
Bodogoda	37,580	18,830	Decrease due to non-payment of Government dues for want of funds and to the purchase in the previous year of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 10,000.
Kurla	26,558	16,876	Decrease due to non-adjustment of Government dues in full for want of funds and to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 14,100 in the previous fasli.
Aska	47,877	29,003	Decrease due to the purchase in the previous year of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 28,300.
Kallikót	1,33,759	3,10,084	Increase due to large expenditure on public works and payment of debts.
Chidikada	62,115	14,876	Decrease due to a very large expenditure on public works and to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 30,900 in the previous year.
Sripuram	18,640	15,894	Decrease due mainly to a large expenditure under law charges in the previous year.
Chipurupalle	12,294	6,046	Decrease due partly to the non-adjustment of the entire Government demand for want of funds and partly to the last year's figures having included payment of arrears of Government demand.
Appikonda	57,724	16,687	Decrease due to the payment of a large sum in repayment of debts in the previous fasli and to the grant of a loan to the Pasurla family.
Lakkavaram	22,038	13,997	Decrease due to smaller expenditure on public works during the year.
Mallavaram	36,335	24,506	Decrease due to smaller expenditure under "other miscellaneous disbursements."
Kapileswarapuram	1,32,441	1,50,469	Increase due to the remittance of Rs. 35,000 to the Accountant-General for the purchase of promissory notes.
Chitticherla	6,912	6,012	Decrease is only apparent and due to the purchase in the previous year of promissory notes for Rs. 3,000.
Kangundi	89,994	1,09,495	Increase due to increased expenditure mainly under maramut, maintenance and education of the wards, the latter being due to the minors' removal to Madras.
Timmajamma's estate	1,819	2,692	Increase mainly due to payment of assessment and land-cess.
Pulicherla	16,807	44,045	Increase due to the payment of a larger sum in repayment of debt by the sale of a portion of the estate.
Panaiyur	18,766	36,819	Increase due to the payment of a larger sum in repayment of debt.
Ukkadai	45,694	17,858	Decrease due to the payment of a smaller amount under "assessment and cess" and "repayment of deposits."
Gandharvakóta	70,266	37,382	Decrease due to a large repayment of debts and heavy law charges in the previous year.
Vadapathimangalam	1,26,266	55,466	Decrease due to payment of arrears of kist and to the large amount of deposits adjusted in the previous fasli.
Mathur	8,437	9,546	Increase due to a larger payment on account of kist during the year.
Singavanam	18,405	22,666	Increase due to a larger payment on account of peshkash during the year.
Tiruvalanjuli	7,511	8,527	Increase due to a larger payment on account of kist during the year.
Tyagasamudram	10,424	12,739	Increase due to a larger adjustment under deposits.
Ramasetuswamiyar's estate ..	43,107	1,17,812	Increase due to a larger amount repaid under debts and to a larger adjusment of deposits.
Palayavanam	4,064	10,361	Increase due to a larger payment on account of peshkash and to a larger adjustment under deposits.
Peramur	17,687	8,852	Decrease is only apparent, being due to the purchase in the previous year of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 6,500.
N. Duraiswami Aiyar's estate ..	18,789	12,765	Decrease is due to a large repayment of debt in the previous fasli.
Marungápuri	27,823	62,026	Increase due mainly to a large repayment of debt.
Ramnád	8,86,447	6,38,361	Decrease due mainly to a large repayment of debt in the previous fasli.
Sáptúr	1,23,326	46,535	Decrease due to a larger expenditure under public works and to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 67,000 in the previous fasli.
Vadagarai	9,989	5,946	Decrease is only apparent, being due to the purchase in the previous fasli of promissory notes of the value of Rs. 5,000.

Estates.	Fasli 1297.	Fasli 1298.	Remarks.
	Rs.	Rs.	
Metráthi	4,771	4,753	Decrease is very small and does not call for explanation.
Neliyalam	3,932	8,526	Increase due to large expenditure under "law charges" and on plantations.
Erumaipati	14,471	15,955	Increase mainly due to a larger repayment of loan.
Shulagiri	16,978	18,603	Increase due to payment of arrears of Government commission and to increased expenditure under "law charges."
Yelagiri	16,204	23,614	Increase due to a larger repayment of debt.
Sarvothama Rao's estate ..	3,765	4,199	Increase due to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 500.
Puttamma's estate	11,930	20,443	Increase due to a larger repayment of debts and to larger expenditure under "law charges."
Kavalpara	67,806	32,876	Decrease due to discontinuance of temporary establishment and to purchase in the previous year of promissory notes for Rs. 32,000.
Bimbalan	483	410	Decrease due to the charge for the maintenance of the lunatic not having been paid during the year.

22. *Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to receipts.*—The most marked fluctuations in the percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the total receipts are shown and explained in the subjoined statement:—

Estates.	Percentage of establishment charges and commission to receipts.		Remarks.
	Fasli 1297	Fasli 1298.	
Dhárákót	10·8	17·1	Increase due to smaller collections on account of unfavorable season.
Kurla	10·1	13·2	Increase due to slack collections on account of unfavorable season.
Aska	3·3	11·2	Increase mainly due to short collections on account of unfavorable season and to a smaller recovery of debts.
Kallikót	4·8	10·0	Increase due to payment of the establishment for a whole year and to the payment of commission for the first time during the year under report.
Srípuram	11·1	7·0	Decrease due to the payment of commission for two years in the previous fasli and to the sale-proceeds of jewels having been included in the receipts of the fasli under report.
Appikonda	6·3	16·0	Increase is only apparent and due to last year's receipts including the sale-proceeds of promissory notes.
Mailavaram	3·1	6·4	Increase due to increased expenditure under establishment and to payment of commission for the first time during the year under report.
Pulicherla	9·1	4·8	Decrease mainly due to the sale-proceeds of two payaents having been included under receipts.
Panaiyur	13·8	7·5	Decrease mainly due to a loan of Rs. 14,400 being included under receipts.
Ukkadai	2·4	10·8	The increase is due to smaller collections under "Land Revenue" and "deposits" and to payment of commission for two years.
Gandharvakóta	9·6	13·4	Increase due to smaller receipts under deposits and to the payment of Government commission in the fasli under report for the first time.
Vadapathimangalam	4·7	8·1	Increase due to smaller collections under Land Revenue.
Tiruvalanjuli	10·3	13·2	Increase mainly due to payment of commission for two years.
Palayavanam	11·8	7·1	Decrease due to larger collections under "Land Revenue" and deposits.
Marungápuri	1·3	5·0	Increase due to the entertainment of a forest establishment and to the payment of Government commission for the first time.
Saptúr	7·7	18·6	Increase is only apparent and is due mainly to a large debt recovered in the previous year having reduced the percentage of that year.
Erumaipati	11·2	6·9	Decrease due to the large amount realised by the sale of home-farm lands in the fasli under report having raised the receipts.
Shulagiri	6·2	9·6	Increase due to payment of arrears of Government commission.
Yelagiri	5·0	12·8	Increase due to payment of Government commission for the first time in the fasli under report and to large arrear collections in the previous year having reduced the percentage.
Puttamma's estate	7·1	13·1	Increase due mainly to a large recovery of debt in the previous year having reduced the percentage.
Kavalpara	15·4	10·6	Decrease due to the large collections under renewal fees in the year.
Bimbalan	26·1	15·2	Decrease due to a large collection under "Government commission" in the previous year having raised the percentage.

Owing to the changes that are constantly going on in the number and extent of the estates under the Court's charge, it is almost impossible to make any useful comparison for a series of years of the charges on account of establishment and contingencies and on account of Government commission. The figures for the preceding 5 faslis are compared with those of that now under report in the statement given in the margin, and it will be seen that as between fasli 1293 and fasli 1298 the establishment and contingent charges have risen by Rs. 79,616 or just over 50 per cent. The proportion borne by these charges *plus* commission to total receipts has, however, only advanced by ·8 per cent., which shows that in the main the larger figures of contingent and establishment charges have been caused by the greater extent and revenue of the estates now under management. At the same time it is evident that there has been some increase in the proportionate cost of management and it is to be feared that when the two largest estates now under the Court (Parlákimedi and Ramnad) disappear, as they will in another year, from its returns, the percentage will show a large increase unless steps are taken to reduce it, for in both these estates the establishment charges have been low and the revenues large. The highest percentages of establishment charges *plus* commission are found in Sáptúr, Dhárákót, Lakkavaram, Appikonda, Bodogoda and Bimbalan, all above 15 per cent., and all but the last estates of considerable size, and the attention of the Collectors concerned will be drawn to the necessity of effecting some reduction.

Fasli.	Establishment and contingent charges.	Government Commission.	Percentage of total of columns 2 and 3 to total receipts.
1	2	3	4
	Rs.	Rs.	
1293	1,56,020	28,315	8·1
1294	1,52,762	25,418	7·8
1295	1,72,666	23,313	8·2
1296	1,89,841	25,139	8·4
1297	2,11,361	26,160	7·4
Comp. 1298 ..	2,35,636	31,427	8·9

The charges on account of Government commission cannot be controlled, being regulated by the amount of the net receipts of the previous fasli and the increase in the period under report is due to the abnormally large receipts of fasli 1297. It would indeed have been much larger, but for the omission in five Ganjam estates and in several others to make any credit to Government on this account, the cause in Ganjam being want of funds caused by the scarcity and consequent bad collections: As was reported by the Court in its Proceedings, dated 8th February 1890, No. 332, the subject of the recovery of Government commission has been engaging attention since 1888, and in the case of Vadapathimangalam and other estates arrears of commission have been credited during fasli 1299. In future the prompt recovery of the Government dues will be made the object of special attention when the individual budgets of the estates are passed by the Court, that being the most convenient time for scrutiny; and Collectors have already been directed in Proceedings, dated 18th June 1888, No. 1280, to give full information on the subject in their administration reports. Their attention is again drawn to those instructions.

23. *Public Works.*—Statement IV-A shows the amounts sanctioned for and expended on works in the several estates. The total allotment, excluding the five Ganjam estates for which no budgets were received, was Rs. 3,97,516, of which Rs. 2,56,838 or 64·6 per cent. were expended, against 62·1 per cent. in the previous year. Adding the amount actually spent in the five Ganjam estates referred to, the total outlay on public works was Rs. 2,80,718 which is classified below.

8/2526

	Fasli 1297.	Fasli 1298.
	Rs.	Rs.
Irrigation works	1,60,463	92,579
Buildings	33,914	33,120
Communications	2,640	2,886
Total ...	1,97,017	1,28,585
Cost of supervision	17,175	21,424
	2,14,192	1,50,009
Add expenditure for which no details have been furnished	5,370	1,30,709
Total ...	2,19,562	2,80,718

The explanation of the apparent increase in cost of supervision is that the figure Rs. 21,424 relates only to the eight estates noted below. The total expenditure on works in these estates was Rs. 1,08,780 against Rs. 74,289 in the previous year, and the establishment charges show an increase :—

Estates.	Fasli 1297.		Fasli 1298.	
	Expenditure on works.	Establishment charges.	Expenditure on works.	Establishment charges.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Lakkavaram	5,979	1,104	1,161	120
Kangundi	8,110	1,935	11,684	2,013
hamnad	51,640	11,840	75,146	17,267
Sáptúr	7,876	2,296	4,182	1,030
Mailavaram	*Nil.*		3,583	240
Kapileswarapuram	Particulars not given.		9,425	298
Chitticherla	484	..	8,458	447
Shulagiri	200	..	142	9
Total ..	74,289	17,175	1,08,780	21,424

The expenditure on public works equalled 10 per cent. of the total charges of the estates for the year, against 6·9 per cent. in the previous year and 6 per cent. in fasli 1296, thus showing a slight advance; but if the charges of the three large estates noted in the margin are deducted, the rate is reduced to 5·6 per cent., and as all these estates have now been handed over, a lower percentage will probably appear in future years. As was observed in last year's report, many of the estates being either small or involved in debt cannot afford to spend much on improvements of this description. In addition to Belgám, which was taken under management late in the fasli, and Vádimitta, which was under a lessee, no budget provision for works was made in Edamanal, Mambarai and Bimbálan and no expenditure thereon occurred in the six estates marginally noted. In Chípurupalle, Appikonda and Tyagasamudram this was due to actual outlay not having been adjusted, and Singam Aiyangar's estate only came under management during the fasli. The Collector of North Arcot has not explained the failure to spend any portion of the budget allotment in Timmajamma's estates and he will now be requested to do so.

Parlákimedi, Chinna Kimedi and Ramnad.

Chípurupalle.
Appikonda.
Timmajamma's estate.
Pulicherla.
Tyagasamudram.
Singam Aiyangar's estate.

The proportion of expenditure to allotment on the whole number of estates, excluding those for which no budgets were submitted, was 64·6 per cent. In the 15 estates noted in the margin it was below 50 per cent., while it ranged between 50 and 75 per cent. in 13 estates and exceeded 75 per cent. in eight estates, in two of which the entire allotment was utilized. The causes of the short expenditure assigned in the estates other than the two last mentioned is briefly given below :—

Meduru	1·2	Marungápuri.	36·1
Yelagiri	9·6	Mathur	39·3
Panaiyur	14·8	Tiruvalanjuli	40·0
Shulagiri	20·9	Urlám	42 0
Sáptúr	24·8	Palayavanam	42·8
Srípuram	28·6	Vadagarai	45·2
Jarada	30·5	Ukkadai	45·4
Lakkavaram	33·0		

In Meduru, Yelagiri, Panaiyur, Srípuram, Lakkavaram and Ukkadai a portion of the allotment was utilized ; but the amount was not brought to account within the fasli. In Shulagiri the short expenditure is said to have been due to the difficulty of procuring labor and in Sáptúr to the want of proper supervising agency, a want which has since been supplied by the appointment of a fresh overseer. Work to the value of nearly Rs. 3,000 was also done, but not paid for within the fasli. In Marungápuri it is said to have been due to certain repairs originally provided for in the budget having since become unnecessary. In Mathur and Palayavanam it was mainly due to the postponement of works for want of funds. No explanation has been given as regards the short expenditure in Jarada, Tiruvalanjuli, Urlám and Vadagarai, and such omissions should be avoided in future. The attention of all Collectors will be again drawn to the desirability of utilising the entire allotments for public works.

In the Ganjam estates and in Lakkavaram and Erumaipati the more important works were entrusted to the Public Works Department, while in Ramnad all the works were executed by that agency. The subjoined statement shows the allotments applied for by the Public Works Department at the beginning of the year and the amounts actually adjusted within the fasli under report :—

Name of estates.	Allotments including the usual percentage for establishment charges.	Expenditure.	Percentage of allotment to expenditure.
	Rs.	Rs.	
Parlákimidi	92,250	* 53,682	58·1
Chinna Kimedi	18,450	9,144	49·5
Jarada	7,380	2,827	38·3
Urlám	24,231	9,916	40·9
Dhárákót	4,920	1,779	36·1
Bodogoda	7,380	4,721	63·9
Kurla and Tarlipeta	1,968	* 2,753	69·9
Aska and Chakipalli	1,968		
Kallikót and Atagadá	30,000	3,389	11·2
Lakkavaram	3,690	1,018	27·6
Erumaipati	645	364	59·2
Ramnad	1,13,160	75,145	66·4
Total	3,06,012	1,64,718	53·8

* Figures for Tárlipeta and Chakipalli have not been separately given.

From these figures it will be seen that the total allotments applied for by the Public Works Department reached an aggregate of Rs. 3,06,012, of which only Rs. 1,64,718 or 53·8 per cent. were spent. Owing to the non-submission of budgets for five estates in Ganjam, it cannot be said exactly what proportion of the shortfall in the Court's total expenditure on works is attributable to the Public Works Department; but it is evident that the share is very large. The amount by which that total

expenditure fell short of the budget estimates was Rs. 1,40,678, and even if the estates noted in the margin are left out of account, the share of the shortfall which is contributed by the Public Works Department is Rs. 1,07,680. The Court observes that the failure of Public Works officers to secure a fair degree of expenditure on estate works in Ganjam has already attracted the attention of Government, and forms the subject of the Proceedings of the Public Works Department communicated by Revenue G.O., dated 10th May 1890, No. 2881. The results shown in Ramnad were also poor.

Dhárákót.	Aska and Chakipalli.
Bodogoda.	Kallikót and Atagadá.
Kurla and Tarlipeta.	

It is observed that the necessary particulars for filling in Statement IV-A have not been furnished by the Collector of Ganjam on the ground that the Accountant-General's order directing the adjustment of the amounts did not give any. In future all Collectors are requested to report particulars regarding expenditure on public works in the form printed at page 40 of these proceedings, together with explanation of short outlay, the requisite information being, when necessary, obtained from the Public Works Department.

24. *Debts due to Estates.*—Statement V-A shows the debts due to the several estates under management. The differences between the figures showing the balance of debt due at the close of the previous fasli and the opening balance as shown in this statement are explained at the foot of the statement. The total debts outstanding at the beginning of the fasli amounted to Rs. 9,02,459. Including those newly contracted or brought to account, as also interest which fell due during the year, the total sum due was Rs. 10,31,556, of which Rs. 1,01,300 were collected within the year and Rs. 25,643 written off, having been disallowed by Courts, or barred by limitation or due by paupers. There was thus a balance of debts due at the end of the year, amounting to Rs. 9,04,613, distributed among 33 estates. The Collector of Ganjam has promised a further report as to the debts due to Kurla, Aska and Kallikót. Of the amount outstanding at the close of the fasli, Rs. 15,654 * have been ordered to be written off since its close, Rs. 7,014 are now reported to be irrecoverable, as noticed in the next paragraph, and Rs. 8,81,945 remain. The outstanding balances were large in the estates noted below :—

	Rs.
* Lakkavaram	2,625
Kapileswarapuram	11,453
Edamanal	100
Marungápuri	469
N. Rangaswami Aiyar's estate	1,007
Total	15,654

	Rs.
Appikonda	52,215
Meduru	75,058
Kapileswárapuram	80,724
Kangundi	41,883
Vadapathimangalam	1,01,705
Tiruvalanjuli	54,556
Tyagasamudram	62,139
Singam Aiyangar's estate	53,209
Puttamma's estate	1,99,860

In Appikonda the debts are said to be covered by mortgage of lands and other property and to be payable, in some cases, by instalments. Decrees are said to have been obtained for some items which have fallen due. In Meduru, a portion has been collected since the close of the fasli, another portion is covered by decrees and steps have been taken to recover the remainder. In Kapileswarapuram, Rs. 11,451 have been written off since the close of the fasli, and the balance is covered partly by bonds and partly by decrees. The greater portion of the balance in Kangundi relates to villages mortgaged with possession to the zemindar or is covered by decrees of Courts which are under execution. The major portion of the amount outstanding in Vadapathimangalam is said to be due on account of advances for seed and cultivation expenses by Porakudies who are tenants-at-will, entirely dependent on the estate and unable to repay the amounts advanced to them. Of the balance, Rs. 62,372 are said

to be apparently irrecoverable, but the matter is being inquired into. Steps have been taken to recover the amounts due to Singam Aiyangar's estate. It is stated that of the amount due in Tyagasamudram, Rs. 18,855 are covered by mortgage bonds or decrees, Rs. 30,766 by hypothecation and Rs. 9,912 by simple bonds, and that the requisite action has been ordered to be taken in the matter. In the case of Konde Puttamma's estate, the Collector states that he had just received a special report from the Manager on the subject of the debts due, and as this needed careful examination, he promises to deal with it in a separate communication which has since been received. Of the Rs. 54,556 due to the Tiruvalanjuli estate, about Rs. 45,000 are payable by a single debtor and arrangements have been made to file a suit against him. The necessary steps for the recovery of the debts due appear to have been taken in most of the other estates. Some of the Collectors have, however, not given full information under this head. This should invariably be done in future. The Collector of Tanjore has given no information as to the steps being taken to recover the large sums, Rs. 26,392, Rs. 17,892 and Rs. 11,097 owing, respectively, to the Gandharvakóta, Ramaseṭu-swamiyar's and Palayavanam estates, and he will now be desired to supply the omission.

25. *Irrecoverable Debts.*—The following items of debts, amounting to Rs. 7,014-0-10, are reported to be irrecoverable and sanction is now accorded for writing them off the accounts:—

Name of estate.	Amount.	Remarks.
Lakkavaram ...	165 · 9 2	Interest erroneously demanded from a debtor of the estate.
Neliyalam ...	178 15 2	Paid to the late arasu, but erroneously shown in the debt list.
	2,204 0 0	Amounts not covered by proper documents.
	214 0 0	Included in subsequent bond.
	3,796 8 6	Barred by limitation, mostly before the estate came under management.
	455 0 0	Advances to workmen which cannot now be recovered.
Total ...	6,848 7 8	
Grand Total ...	7,014 0 10	

26. *Debts due by the Estates.*—Statement V-B shows the liabilities of the estates under wardship. The amount due at the beginning of the year was Rs. 15,02,483, to which must be added Rs. 1,42,949, being the debts contracted or brought to account during the year as also the interest which fell due therein, thus raising the total of debts due to Rs. 16,45,432. Of this sum, Rs. 3,53,367 were paid off, and Rs. 22,203 written off, having become barred by limitation or been remitted by creditors, thus leaving Rs. 12,69,862 outstanding at the end of the year, due by 27 estates. In seven cases the sums outstanding were small, less than Rs. 1,000, and two estates were cleared of debt during the year. Explanation of the largest items outstanding is briefly given below. The amount outstanding in Appikonda is due partly by the estate and partly by others with whom the estate carries on transactions in partnership. The Collector has recently taken steps to pay off the amount as far as possible, the requisite security being taken from the other partners. Two of the four *payacuts* of Pulicherla were sold during the year in satisfaction of decrees against the estate and the other two *payacuts* are now under attachment. The largest amount outstanding at the end of the year (Rs. 2,72,113) was against the Gandharvakóta estate. Some villages of the estate are in the enjoyment of creditors to meet the interest on a sum of Rs. 1,02,938, the payment of which may therefore be postponed; but the other creditors are said to be pressing for payment and no funds are at present available, but may become so if the arrears of which as above only a small portion is considered irrecoverable, can be collected. No portion of the debts due was paid off in Singavanam or Palayavanam from want of funds, the balance at the credit of the latter having been attached by the Sub-Court of Tanjore. This estate which only came under the Court in 1887, owes nearly 2½ lakhs of rupees, with an annual demand of between Rs. 6,000 and 6,500

only, and its position may therefore be said to be hopeless. The debt due by Tiruvalanjuli cannot be paid until certain amounts due to the estate have been recovered, for which, as above noticed, steps have been taken. The Collector of Trichinopoly states that the debts due by Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate, will be paid off as funds become available; Rs. 43,512 were paid off during the year, the money being obtained by sale of lands. All Collectors are requested to give in their future reports full information regarding debts not paid off, stating what steps have been or are intended to be taken to liquidate them.

27. *Religious and charitable institutions attached to Estates.*—The subjoined statement shows the demand, collection and balance of the religious and charitable institutions attached to the Peramur, Marungápuri, Ramnad, Sáptúr and Kavalpara estates:—

District.	Estate.	Endowment.	Demand. Arrears.	Demand. Current.	Demand. Total.	Collections and remissions. Arrears.	Collections and remissions. Current.	Collections and remissions. Total.	Balance. Arrears.	Balance. Current.	Balance. Total.	Percentage of balance to demand.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Trichinopoly.	Peramur ..	Musiri chattram	253	424	677	Details not given.			a 544	..	..	
	Marungápuri.	Devastanam .	b 5,830	10,444	16,274	3,358	7,756	11,114	2,472	2,688	5,160	31·7
	Do.	Uranis ..	b 551	583	1,134	387	317	704	164	266	430	37·9
	Ramnád ..	Chattrams ..	25,894	40,002	65,896	c 13,189	32,663	45,852	12,705	7,339	20,044	30·4
Madura.	Do. ..	Devastanam .	b 54,290	1,37,135	1,91,425	d 26,728	1,14,992	1,41,720	27,562	22,143	49,705	25·9
	Do. ..	Dharmamagimai.	17,024	19,038	36,062	e 10,150	10,358	20,508	6,874	8,680	15,554	43·1
	Sáptúr ..	Chattrams ..	..	296	296	..	296	296	..	..	..	
	Do. ..	Devastanam. .	1,187	1,986	3,173	f 1,122	1,818	2,940	65	168	233	7·3
Malabar.	Kavalpara..	Tiruchengod Devasam ..	2,475	1,007	3,482	294	675	969	2,181	332	2,513	72·1

a. Exclusive of Rs. 122 spent in the shape of paddy.
b. These are the correct figures.
c. Includes Rs. 2,777 written off.
d. Do. Rs. 1,219 do.
e. Do. Rs. 3,062 do.
f. Do. Rs. 783 do.

The large arrears in the Tiruchengód devasam are due to certain disputes among the trustees, which are now reported to be in a fair way of being settled. In the Ramnad-Dharmamagimai institutions the balance is said to be mostly nominal, being the estimated value of paddy already obtained and pending sale. Steps have been taken for the adjustment of the balances in the other cases. The undermentioned items are said to be irrecoverable for the reasons entered against each:—

—	Ramnad. Devastanam.	Ramnad. Chattram.	Ramnad. Dharmamagimai.	Sáptúr Devastanam.
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Value of damaged paddy	4,102 0 7	7 11 2	93 6 5	..
Barred by limitation	..	192 2 4	..	..
Erroneous demand	872 7 6	299 0 9	71 11 6	..
Due by persons who have become paupers	274 12 0	710 3 11	..	..
Difference between the estimated and actual value of paddy	..	..	501 5 9	..
Retained by Pagoda servants in lieu of arrears of pay due to them	..	..	..	61 11 6
Total ..	5,249 4 1	1,209 2 2	666 7 8	61 11 6

Sanction is accorded for writing off Rs. 61-11-6 relating to the Sáptúr devastanams. The questions of remitting the items relating to Ramnád will be left to the proprietor, who has come of age since the close of the fasli.

28. *Financial condition of minor institutions.*—The financial condition of the several institutions above referred to is shown in the subjoined statement:—

District.	Estate.	Endowment	Opening balance.	Receipts.	Total.	Charges.	Closing balance.	Remarks.
			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Trichinopoly	Peramur ..	Musiri chattram.	174	666	840	610	230	Exclusive of paddy on hand—kalams 13-4.
	Marangapuri ..	Devastanam.	2,930	11,193	14,123	6,134	7,989	
	Do. ..	Uranis ..	97	704	801	311	490	
	Ramnád ..	Chattram ..	38,427	44,990	83,417	55,057	28,360	Exclusive of grain on hand of the value of Rs. 2,102.
Madura	Do. ..	Devastanam.	2,67,142	1,57,903	4,24,145	2,66,112	1,58,032	Exclusive of grain on hand of the value of Rs. 15,321.
	Do. ..	Dharmamagamai.	5,354	17,491	22,845	16,804	6,041	
	Sáptár ..	Chattram ..	..	315	315	314	1	Exclusive of grain on hand of the value of Rs. 230.
	Do. ..	Devastanam.	2,374	3,646	6,020	2,264	3,756	Exclusive of grain on hand of the value of Rs. 218.
Malabar ..	Kavalpara .	Tiruchengód devasam.	70	1,035	1,105	936	169	Exclusive of grain on hand of the value of Rs. 176.

The transactions of the Devadi choultry, the accounts of which are as usual incorporated with those of the Urlám estate to which it is attached, are noted below:—

	Cash.	Grain.
	RS.	GARCE.
Opening balance	11,970	32
Receipts	1,660	31
Total ...	13,630	63
Charges	1,162	32
Closing balance	12,468	31

29. *Education of Wards.*—Statement VI shows the educational progress of the wards and of such of their relations as were receiving instruction with them during the year under report. The total number included in the list is 68, of whom 63 were males and 5 females. As in previous years, the eldest son of the Parlákimedi zemindar, to whom the estate is just about to be made over, and who is 26 years of age, is not included in the list. The two younger sons of the Parlákimedi zemindar and the minor wards of Chinna Kimedi, Jarada, Dhárakót, and Kallikót were under Mr. Taylor's tuition at Parlákimedi. The first two made very fair progress in their studies and are described also as being fond of riding and shooting. The elder has, however, died since the close of the period covered by this report. The Chinna Kimedi minor is also said to have made fair progress in all subjects except English, but the Jarada minor is said to be rather backward in English and Arithmetic and to have a disinclination to exercise or to physical exertion of any kind. The Dhárakót and Kallikót minors made fairly satisfactory progress during the year. The Kangundi minors were both under Mr. Creighton's tuition at Madras and are reported to have made creditable progress in general education, the elder minor having also made satisfactory advance in drawing, both geometrical and freehand. The Mathur minor and the elder son of the late Duraiswami Aiyar of Nangavaram were reading in the F. A. classes, the former at the Kumbakónam College, and the latter at the S. P. G. College, Trichinopoly, and both are favorably reported on. The Ramnad minors were reading in the Madras Christian College during the year, the elder attending the B. A. class, and the younger the F. A. class. The elder minor is reported to have made good

progress in his studies and to have kept up his knowledge of music besides attending gymnastics regularly. He is a well educated and well spoken young man. Owing to ill health, the younger minor fell off in his studies during the latter part of the year. He is said to have made good progress in music, photography and drawing and to have gone through all the gymnastic exercises creditably. The conduct of all these minors was on the whole satisfactory.

Of the other minors, the eldest Tyagasamudram boy, whose age was 19, was reading Sanskrit at home under a private tutor, while the two younger boys were reading in the Town High School, Kumbakónam. The eldest son of the late Ramasetuswamiyar, whose age is 20, was also receiving instruction at home, to qualify himself for the office of priest to the family of the late Rajah of Tanjore. The step-brother of the late proprietor of the Tyagasamudrum estate and the elder Vadapathimangalam boy did not attend any school during the year. The latter appeared for the Matriculation Examination, but failed; he was unwilling to continue his studies in the school. Arrangements have since been made to send the former to the S. P. G. College, Trichinopoly. The minors of the marginally-noted estates were receiving instruction under private tutors. Of the remaining minors, three were reading in High school classes, and the remaining 23 in Middle school and Primary classes. The conduct and progress of all these minors was on the whole satisfactory.

Kurla	1
Mailavaram	2
Palayavanam ...	1
Sáptár	1
Mambarai	2
Vádimitta	1
Metráthi	1
Yelagiri	1

The elder Ramnad and Kangundi minors were instructed in Revenue law and accounts by Mr. Creighton during the year, and arrangements have been made since the close of the fasli for similar teaching being given to the Chinna Kimedi minor and the eldest minor of Ramasetuswamiyar's estate; but nothing appears to have been done in regard to other minors. The attention of all Collectors will be drawn to paragraph 6 of Court's Proceedings, dated 11th October last, No. 2428, and they are requested to furnish information on the point in their future administration reports.

Of the female wards, the proprietrix of Urlám was under a European Governess (Miss Fallofield) and is reported to have been receiving instruction also in drawing, music and needle-work. The Aska ward is being taught by a private tutor whom she pays from her own allowance. Timmajamma was also under a paid private Telugu tutor; but since the close of the year she has been placed under a Governess (Miss Connelly). The Kavalpara Nethiyar ceased to receive instruction in 1881. Her daughter, age 7, is reading in the infant standard class.

30. *Law suits.*—The appeal filed by the Court under orders of Government from the decision of the District Court of Ganjam in connection with the transfer of the Parlákimedi estate to the son of the incapacitated zemindar has recently been decided in favor of the latter and instructions have been issued to the Collector to hand over the estate to the young zemindar on 1st July 1890. The summary suit under Act XIX of 1841, instituted on behalf of the Medur minor to recover possession of the Nidadavól estate, was, upon the advice of the Court's counsel, withdrawn, and the suit to set aside the adoption of the minor's father has recently been decided adversely to him. A suit was filed in the Ellore Sub-court on behalf of the Kapileswarapuram minor to recover the sum of Rs. 80,000, misappropriated by his uncle during his trusteeship. It is still pending. A suit instituted during the year by the Court, in conjunction with Mr. Lonsdale, a lessee under the Court, to set aside the mining lease granted by the late zemindar of Kangundi to one Saravanamuttu Pillai and assigned by the latter to Major-General Beresford, was dismissed on appeal by the High Court. The important rent suit in Ukkadai, referred to in the previous year's report, was pending judgment at the close of the fasli. The two suits in connection with Tiruvalanjuli, referred to last year, were decided against the estate, but in one case an appeal to the District Court is still pending. The appeal in the High Court in connection with the claim for a share of the Vadapathimangalam estate and that preferred by the third widow of the late Zemindar of Ramnád were pending in the High Court at the close of the fasli, while the appeal by the daughter of the second

widow of the late zemindar of the last-named estate was withdrawn subsequent to the close of the fasli. The appeal in Viraswami Nayak's case was also pending. The suits for the recovery of sums due to Kolandai Nachiyar were decided against the estate in the Original and Appellate Courts and second appeals were ordered to be preferred. Nagammal, one of the "dagger-wives" of the late zemindar of Sáptúr, instituted a suit on behalf of her minor son, claiming the entire zemindari, on the ground that being older than the present ward he was the rightful heir to the property. This was pending in the Sub-Court, Madura (west). The appeal relating to Puttamma's estate was still pending in the High Court. The attention of all Collectors in charge of Wards' estates is again drawn to the instructions already issued directing that full information be given in the annual reports regarding all important suits connected with Wards' estates and that the correspondence relating to them be quoted.

31. *Condition of ryots.*—The condition of ryots in the several estates is briefly noticed below:—

Parlákimedi.—The ryots are in much the same condition as those in the Government taluk of Chicacole.

Chinna Kimedi and Jarada.—The majority of the ryots are reported to be poor and dependent on sowcars for payment of rents.

Urlám.—The rents are high as compared with Government lands; but the estate is fertile, being all channel fed, and the ryots are very well to do and prosperous.

Dhárákót, Bodogoda, Kallikót, the Vizagapatam estates, Lakkavaram and the Salem estates.—There was no change in the condition of the ryots in these estates during the year under report.

Kurla and Aska.—The majority of the ryots find it necessary to resort to money-lenders for payment of rents. Their condition, as compared with that of the ryots of the neighbouring Government villages, is said to be poor.

Mailavaram.—The condition of the ryots does not differ from that of Government ryots.

Kapileswarapuram.—The condition of the ryots is said to be in some cases as good as in the adjoining Government villages and generally better than in [illegible] zemindari tracts. Certain unauthorized enhancements were removed during the year.

Chitticherla.—The condition of ryots is good and no difficulty is found in collecting rents.

Kangundi and Timmajamma's estate.—The condition of ryots is very backward and cannot, the Collector thinks, be improved until the estate is surveyed and right of occupancy secured to the ryots.

Pulicherla.—The ryots of this estate are better off than those of Kangundi, inasmuch as they have occupancy rights. The assessment however is, in most cases, said to be heavy.

Panaiyur.—The majority of the ryots are said to be poor; but they have occupancy rights and are in places as well off as Government ryots.

Vadapathimangalam.—The tenants or porakudies of this ryotwari estate are coolies or day-laborers and are generally poor.

Ramasetuswamiyar's estate.—This is also a ryotwari estate. The ryots are in the same condition as in other Government villages.

Tyagasamudram.—The tenants of this ryotwari estate are said to be very poor.

Palayavanam.—The condition of the tenants is the same as in adjoining Government villages.

Gandharvakóta.—Most of the ryots are poor and their condition is the same as in the adjacent Government villages.

Edamanal.—The tenants of this ryotwari estate are generally poor.

Marungápuri.—The rates of rent are not appreciably higher than those in the adjoining Government lands.

Ramnad.—The tenants are said to be not so well off as in Government tracts.

Sáptúr.—The condition of the ryots is said to be improving.

Mambarai.—The ryots are said to have been impoverished by constant litigation with the late zemindar.

Metráthi.—The condition of the ryots is the same as in the adjoining Government villages.

12/2526

South Canara Estates.—The Collector states that it is difficult to compare the condition of ryots, as the estates consist of scattered wurgs, but that they are free from arbitrary exaction of labor.

Kavalpara.—The Collector reports that the rents are such as would be regarded as easy by most Malabar landlords, and that the fact that 97 per cent. of the demand can be collected with but rare recourse to Civil Courts, seems to indicate that the tenantry have not much to complain of.

It is observed that a few Collectors have not furnished any information on this point and some have reported in vague terms that there was no change in the condition of the ryots during the year under report. This entry occurring, as it does, in connection with some of the famine-stricken Ganjam estates, is particularly inapplicable. Collectors should give a full and clear account under this important head in their future reports.

32. *General Remarks.*—The question of settling the Parlákimedi estate was not decided during the year and as it has been handed over to the young zemindar, the Court considers that it need not be gone into now. The young zemindar of Parlákimedi continued to be in charge of the 19 villages entrusted to him. Most of the boundary disputes in Kapileswarapuram have been disposed of, and the remaining few are being inquired into. The karnams in this estate are reported to have shown a little improvement, partly owing to heavy fines imposed by the Head Assistant Collector and partly owing to the prompt payment of their remuneration, as also of arrears. The Kangundi and Sáptúr forests were in charge of the District Forest-officers of North Arcot and Madura, respectively. Effective measures were taken to prevent illicit fellings in the Kangundi forests. The biggest and richest forests in Pulicherla being situated in the portion of the estates sold under Court's decrees have, since the close of the fasli, been handed over to the auction purchasers. The most important accounts of the village manual have been introduced in the Panaiyur estate and the position of village officers has been improved by the grant of salaries in lieu of the old merahs. The fuel plantations in Ramnad are said to be in a flourishing condition. Owing to the unfavorable character of the season, they were but slightly extended during the year. In Shulagiri the village manual accounts were brought into use during the year.

33. *Inam Alienations.*—The Court regrets that its report on this subject cannot yet be submitted as the Collector of Ganjam has not yet furnished the information called for in Court's reference, dated 4th July 1888, in spite of several reminders from this office. He is again requested to expedite his report.

34. *Conclusion.*—The Court also regrets the delay of nine weeks in the submission of this report. It is due to the late submission of the district reports and to the necessity for obtaining revised statements and explanation of discrepancies in some cases. The report on the Kallikót estate in the Ganjam district was received only on the 22nd April, the last set of revised statements for the Neliyalam estate on the 22nd May, and the last explanation of discrepancies in connection with the report for the Parlákimedi estate only on the 7th June. All Collectors in charge of Wards' estates are again requested to see that their administration reports are submitted punctually and without the inaccuracies and omissions which have been pointed out in separate communications from this office and which necessitate references back to the district.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. SIM,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with a file of Collectors' reports and three maps.
" Collectors in charge of Wards' estates, with three maps.
Copy to other Collectors, with three maps.
" to R. U. Potts, Esq.

No. I.—Statement showing the ages, &c., of the Wards and the period during which the estates have been under management for fasli 1298 (1888-89).

Districts.	Estates.	Government Orders by which the estates came under the management of the Court of Wards.	Sexes of the Wards.	Disabilities.	The period during which the estates have been under management.	Ages of the Wards at the end of the fasli.	Dates on which the Wards will attain majority.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					YRS. M.	YRS. M. D.	
Ganjam	Parlákimedi *	No. 1279, 22nd June 1830	Male	Imbecility	58 11	59 0 0	
	Chinna Kimedi †	,, 2188, 27th December 1876	Do.	Minority	12 6	20 0 6	June 1890.
	Jarada	,, 2454, 19th July 1880	Do.	Do.	8 11	18 1 26	May 1892.
	Urlám †	,, 740, 27th March 1879 / ,, 1495, 28th April 1880	Female	Do.	10 3	20 5 17	January 1890.
	Dhárákót	,, 149, 6th February 1885	Male	Do.	4 5	13 8 23	7th October 1896.
	Bodogoda	,, 1026, 11th September ,,	Do.	Do.	3 9	4 3 16	15th March 1906.
	Kurla	,, 610, 22nd July 1886	Do.	Do.	2 11	18 7 17	13th November 1891.
	Aska	,, 782, 6th September ,,	Female	Sex	2 10	26 0 0	
	Kallikót	,, 1035, 4th November 1887	Male	Minority	1 8	18 0 0	June 1892.
	Chidikada	,, 2930, 25th September 1877	Female	Sex	11 9	49 6 28	
	Sripuram	,, 1449, 5th ,, 1878	Male	Minority	10 10	14 10 5	26th August 1895.
Vizagapatam	Chipurupalle	,, 510, 13th May 1882	Female	Sex	7 2	51 0 0	
	Appikonda	,, 337, 12th ,, 1886	Male	Minority	3 2	12 5 20	11th January 1898.
	Belgám	,, 191, 14th March 1889	Female	Sex	0 4	Not reported.	
Godávari	Lakkavaram	,, 2291, 15th December 1879	Male	Minority	9 7	13 2 16	April 1897.
	Meduru	,, 179, 1st March 1888	Do.	Do.	1 4	2 4 0	1908.
Kistna	Mallavaram	,, 902, 15th September 1887	Do.	Do.	1 9	17 8 10	21st October 1892.
Cuddapáh	Kapileswarapuram	,, 903, 15th ,, ,,	Do.	Do.	1 9	15 9 21	9th September 1894.
	Chittiherla †	,, 1381, 29th ,, 1876	Do.	Do.	12 9	20 4 0	February 1890.
North Arcot	Kangundi	,, 435, 11th April 1883	Do.	Do.	6 3	19 11 0	August 1890.
	Timmajamma's estate	,, 299, 10th March 1885	Female	Do.	4 4	10 0 0	1900.
Chingleput	Pulicherla	,, 711, 22nd July 1887	Male	Do.	1 11	14 8 0	November 1895.
	Panaiyur	,, 233, 4th March ,,	Do.	Do.	2 4	16 4 20	11th February 1894.
Tanjore	Ukkadai	,, 1471, 12th July 1879	Do.	Do.	10 0	17 0 0	January 1893.
	Gandharvakóta	,, 493, 9th March 1881	Do.	Do.	8 4	15 6 20	11th December 1894.
	Vadapathimangalam	,, 1205, 29th August 1881	Do.	Do.	7 10	19 0 0	7th March 1891.
	Máthúr	,, 1292, 20th November 1882	Do.	Do.	6 7	17 10 0	6th September 1892.
	Singavanam	,, 159, 1st February 1884	Do.	Do.	5 5	16 2 15	16th April 1894.
	Tiruvalanjuli	,, 531, 5th May 1885 / ,, 5554, 18th September 1888	Do.	Lunacy	4 2	44 11 21	
	Tyagasamudram	,, 524, 4th May 1885	Do.	Minority	4 2	19 4 17	February 1891.
	Ramasetuswamiyar's estate †	,, 752, 30th August 1886	Do.	Do.	2 10	20 5 15	15th January 1890.
	Palayavanam	,, 485, 16th May 1887	Do.	Do.	2 1	6 3 0	March 1904.
	Edamanal	,, 809, 21st November 1888	Do.	Do.	0 7	9 10 0	25th August 1900.

* This estate has since been ordered by the High Court to be handed over to the Ward's eldest son.

† Since handed over to the proprietor.

Statement showing the ages, &c., of the Wards and the period during which the estates have been under management for fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Government Orders by which the estates came under the management of the Court of Wards.	Sexes of the Wards.	Disabilities.	The period during which the estates have been under management.	Ages of the Wards at the end of the fasli.	Dates on which the Wards will attain majority.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					YRS. M.	YRS. M. D.	
Trichinopoly	Peramur	No. 2985, 30th November 1868	Male	Imbecility	20 7	41 0 0	
	Nangavaram Duraiswami Aiyar's estate.	,, 1545, 18th ,, 1880	Do.	Minority	8 7	14 8 0	31st October 1895.
	Marungapuri	,, 163, 22nd February 1888	Do.	Do.	1 4	4 7 0	8th November 1905.
	Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate.	,, 673, 20th September ,,	Do.	Do.	0 9	14 5 0	27th January 1896.
	Singam Aiyangar's estate	,, 789, 13th November 1888	Do.	Do.	0 8	14 10 0	22nd August 1895.
Madura	Ramnad *	,, 319, 15th March 1873	Do.	Do.	16 3	20 8 0	October 1889.
	Sáptúr	,, 5, 5th January 1886 / ,, 61, 19th ,, 1888	Do.	Do.	3 6	7 11 23	7th July 1902.
	Vadagarai	,, 1651, 7th November 1881	Do.	Do.	7 8	15 6 7	24th December 1894.
	Mambarai	,, 18, 15th January 1889	Do.	Do.	0 5	9 6 15	15th ,, 1900.
Tinnevelly	Vádimitta	,, 2183, 17th November 1879 / ,, 2660, 4th May 1888	Do.	Do.	9 7	10 9 0	10th September 1899.
Coimbatore	Metráthi	,, 860, 14th July 1883	Do.	Do.	5 11	8 6 0	8th January 1902.
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	,, 364, 11th April 1887	Do.	Do.	2 3	2 5 0	1st February 1903.
Salem	Erumaipati	,, 694, 5th June 1874	Female	Imbecility	15 1	38 0 0	
	Shulagiri	,, 788, 28th July 1882	Male	Minority	6 11	7 3 13	March 1903.
	Yelagiri	,, 539, 2nd June 1887	Do.	Do.	2 1	14 5 23	8th January 1896.
South Canara	Sarvothama Row's estate	,, 1230, 14th August 1875	Do.	Do.	13 11	18 7 0	December 1891.
	K. Puttamma's estate	,, 872, 18th July 1883	Female	Lunacy	5 11	71 0 0	
Malabar	Kavalpara	,, 1187, 9th August 1872 / ,, 599, 21st June 1887	Do.	Sex	16 11	23 0 0	
	Bimbalan	,, 122, 2nd February 1889	Male	Lunacy	9 5	36 0 0	

* Since handed over to the Zemindar.

13/2526

No. II-A.—Statement showing the number of villages and the proportion of cultivation to holdings in the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89).

Districts.	Estates.	Number of villages.					Fasli 1297.			Fasli 1298.		
		Ryotwari or joint-rent.	Money-rent.	Rent in kind.	Inam or shrotriem.	Total.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
							ACRES.	ACRES.		ACRES.	ACRES.	
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	510	..	..	390	900	1,13,429	1,12,792	99·4	1,13,901	71,482	62·8
	Chinna Kimedi	73	11	1	74	159	10,199	10,195	99·9	10,895	10,864	99·7
	Jarada	28	3	..	31	62	3,589	3,458	96·4	3,624	2,401	66·3
	Urlám	6	..	..	7	13	2,968	2,968	100·	2,967	2,967	100·
	Dhárákót	79	56	1	49	185	9,801	9,782	99·8	9,236	9,035	94·8
	Sodogoda	133	184	..	19	‡ 336	3,270	3,246	99·2	5,543	5,542	99·9
	Kurla	12	1	..	2	15	1,311	1,311	100·	(a) 1,311	(a) 1,291	98·5
	Aska	9	2	..	1	12	1,060	1,060	100·	(b) 1,069	(b) 1,052	98·4
	Kallikót	300	120	..	141	* 561	35,118	34,103	97·1	47,704	39,519	82·8
Vizagapatam ..	Chidikada	5	..	..	..	5	2,277	2,277	100·	2,277	2,277	100·
	Sripuram	6	..	..	5	16	2,906	2,906	100·	2,908	2,908	100·
	Chipurupallo	1	..	..	..	1	2,488	2,466	99·1	2,488	2,479	99·6
	Appikonda	1	..	..	..	1	302	302	100·	302	302	100·
	Bélgám	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Godávari	Lakkavaram	..	7	..	..	7	736	683	92·8	572	541	94·6
	Moduru	37	1	..	24	62	..	..	..	26,028	25,576	98·3
Kistna	Mailavaram	11	1	3	1	16	8,687	6,763	77·8	8,784	7,208	82·1
	Kapileswarapuram	28	6	..	24	58	22,078	20,617	93·4	22,074	19,265	87·3
Cuddapah	Chittiseherla	..	..	..	..	..	485	289	59·6	485	281	57·9
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	132	71	..	127	330	9,571	8,742	91·3	19,773	13,521	68·4
	Timmajamma's estate	5	1	..	6	12	202	202	100·	1,166	917	78·6
	Palicherla	54	..	..	10	64	1,092	1,388	82·0	1,617	1,258	77·8
Chingleput	Pansiyur	3	9	2	..	14	4,275	3,145	73·6	4,541	3,325	73·2
	Ukkadai	..	..	..	..	..	2,513	2,127	84·6	2,521	2,133	84·6
	Gandharvakóta	12	19	11	11	53	21,816	20,896	95·8	21,722	20,853	96·0
	Vadapathimangalam	..	..	..	..	..	5,727	5,727	100·	5,727	5,727	100·
	Máthúr	..	..	..	..	..	815	606	98·5	615	606	98·5
Tanjore	Singavanam	8	..	2	10	20	2,736	1,384	50·6	2,736	1,184	43·3
	Tiruvalanjuli	..	..	..	..	..	609	609	100·	604	600	99·3
	Tyagasamudram	..	..	..	..	..	473	473	100·	477	477	100·
	Ramasetuswamiyar's estate ..	..	..	..	..	..	7,975	6,932	86·9	7,975	6,943	87·0
	Palayavanam	1	1	10	13	† 25	2,153	1,492	69·3	2,193	1,534	69·9
	Edamanal	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,525	1,425	93·4
	Peramur	..	..	..	..	..	2,461	1,850	75·5	2,451	1,863	76·0
Trichinopoly ..	Nangavaram Duraiswami Aiyar's estate	..	..	..	..	..						
	Marungapuri	78	..	..	22	100	4,309	3,799	88·2	4,309	3,725	86·4
							34,772	33,747	97·1	35,198	34,074	96·8

* Excluding nine deserted villages.
† Excluding 27 villages in the possession of mortgagees.
(a) Figures for Tarlipeta are not available.
(b) Figures for Chakipalle are not available.

Statement showing the number of villages and the proportion of cultivation to holdings in the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Number of villages.					Fasli 1297.			Fasli 1298.		
		Ryotwari or joint-rent.	Money-rent.	Rent in kind.	Inam or shrotriem.	Total.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
							ACRES.	ACRES.		ACRES.	ACRES.	
Trichinopoly—*cont.*	Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	7,742	6,693	86·5
	Singam Aiyangar's estate ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	964	939	97·4
Madura	Ramnad	..	329	1,010	827	2,167	Not known.	3,20,843	..	Not known.	3,19,986	..
	Sáptúr	17	..	..	..	17	21,723	17,416	80·1	22,016	18,053	82·0
	Vadagarai	..	..	..	..	..	Figures not available.					
	Mambarai	3	..	..	..	3	..	..	..	4,128	Not known.	..
Tinnevelly	Vádimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore	Metráthi	1	..	..	..	1	5,960	3,942	66·1	5,960	4,523	75·9
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	..	..	..	..	..	7,150	2,428	33·9	7,141	1,398	19·6
Salem	Erumaipati	12	..	..	1	13	7,060	6,543	92·7	7,033	5,195	73·9
	Shulagiri	45	45	..	49	139	5,756	5,031	87·4	6,306	5,257	83·4
	Yelagiri	13	1	..	..	14	7,009	7,002	99·9	6,929	6,904	99·6
South Canara ..	Sarvothama Row's estate ..	..	..	..	..	..	300	300	100·	300	300	100·
	K. Puttamma's estate	..	..	..	..	..	946	946	100·	946	946	100·
Malabar	Kavalpara	..	..	..	..	..	16,626	11,715	70·5	16,626	11,715	70·5
	Bimbalan	..	..	..	..	..	74	74	100·	74	26	35·1
	Total ..	1,622	868	1,040	1,844	5,375	3,05,188	6,84,579	92·04	4,63,748	6,87,960	79·9
							Deduct—		Deduct—			
							Ramnad ..	3,20,843	Mambarai.	4,128	..	
									Ramnad ..	..	3,19,986	
								3,63,736		4,59,620	3,67,074	

IP/2926

No II-B.—Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89).

Districts.	Estates.	Land Revenue. Dry. Extent.	Dry. Assessment.	Wet. Extent.	Wet. Assessment.	Total. Extent.	Total. Assessment.	Other items.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		ACRES.	RS.	ACRES.	RS.	ACRES.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Parlâkimedi	43,503	60,279	65,398	2,82,336	1,13,901	3,42,615	..	3,42,615
	Chinna Kimedi	2,584	5,905	8,311	38,105	10,895	44,010	16,123	60,133
	Jarada	637	1,189	2,987	16,777	3,624	17,966	205	18,171
	Urlâm	329	1,742	2,638	40,156	2,967	41,898	..	41,898
	Dhârâkôt	2,779	5,221	6,717	34,681	9,496	39,902	25,522	65,424
	Bodogoda	2,263	1,512	3,285	10,800	5,548	12,412	7,581	19,993
	Kurla *	343	1,912	968	6,440	1,311	9,486	1,850	11,336
	Aska *	207	1,024	862	5,256	1,069	7,686	2,785	10,471
	Kallikôt	8,204	16,367	39,500	89,031	47,704	99,398	57,817	1,57,215
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	951	3,246	1,326	14,398	2,277	17,644	..	17,644
	Sripuram	1,263	2,935	1,645	11,782	2,908	14,717	..	14,717
	Chipurupalle	2,432	6,343	56	426	2,488	6,769	..	6,769
	Appikonda	254	980	48	361	302	1,341	..	1,341
	Belgâm	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Godâvari	Lakkavaram	34	46	277	707	311	753	7,282	8,135
	Meduru	19,173	45,534	6,685	27,315	25,858	72,849	..	72,849
Kistna	Mailavaram	7,484	7,922	1,300	4,310	8,784	12,232	5,175	17,407
	Kapileswarapuram	15,620	32,754	6,454	33,691	22,074	66,445	5,545	71,990
Cuddapah	Chitticherla	180	..	305	..	485	..	1,542	1,542
North Arcot	Kangundi	17,069	(a) ..	2,704	(a) ..	19,773	44,715	10,451	55,166
	Timmajamma's Estate	1,684	(a) ..	82	(a) ..	1,166	2,758	154	2,912
	Pulicherla	994	3,338	623	7,978	1,617	11,316	..	11,316
Chingleput	Panaiyur	2,654	4,130	1,130	5,593	3,784	9,723	..	9,723
	Ukkadai	991	..	1,530	..	2,521	..	22,760	22,760
Tanjore	Gandharvakôta	16,474	19,192	5,248	10,779	21,722	29,971	..	29,971
	Vadapathimangalam	732	..	4,995	..	5,727	..	42,460	42,460
	Mâthûr	114	..	501	..	615	..	5,446	5,446
	Singavanam	857	42	1,879	7,791	2,736	7,833	..	7,833
	Tiruvalanjuli	335	..	269	..	604	..	6,293	6,293
	Tyagasamudram	126	..	351	..	477	..	7,094	7,094
	Ramasetuswamiyar's Estate	3,483	..	4,492	..	7,975	..	33,458	33,458
	Palayavanam	1,133	743	1,060	3,897	2,193	4,645	..	4,645
	Edumanal	492	..	1,033	..	1,525	..	22,077	22,077
Trichinopoly	Peramur	2,052	..	399	..	2,451	..	11,126	11,126
	Nangavaram Duraiswami Aiyar's Estate.	3,327	..	982	..	4,309	..	18,895	18,895
	Marungapuri	28,922	33,576	6,276	16,640	35,198	50,216	7,393	57,609
	Nangavaram Ranganswami Aiyar's Estate.	6,015	..	1,727	..	7,742	..	34,354	34,354
	Singam Aiyangar's Estate	644	..	320	..	964	..	7,124	7,124

(a) Not separately available.
* Particulars of extent and assessment under wet and dry are not available for Tarlipeta and Chakipalle.

Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Miscellaneous Revenue. Jodi or quit-rent on inam.	Land-cess.	Water-rate and second-crop assessment.	Other items.	Total.	Total of columns 10 and 15.	Deduct remissions.	Net revenue.	Net demand for fasli 1297.	Difference.
		11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Parlâkimedi	22,408	17,727	..	4,279	44,414	3,87,029	83,236	3,03,793	3,85,319	— 81,526
	Chinna Kimedi	7,863	3,949	..	529	12,341	72,474	35,750	36,724	73,181	— 36,457
	Jarada	1,395	942	..	1,646	3,983	22,154	10,212	11,942	22,658	— 10,716
	Urlâm	1,843	1,112	..	8,536	11,491	53,389	7,405	45,984	44,713	+ 1,271
	Dhârâkôt	2,900	2,162	..	5,456	10,518	75,942	26,225	49,717	85,412	— 35,695
	Bodogoda	645	1,330	..	3,967	5,942	25,936	8,668	17,267	24,416	— 7,149
	Kurla	268	515	..	62	835	12,171	2,866	9,305	12,956	— 3,651
	Aska	248	465	..	470	1,183	11,654	3,229	8,425	12,793	— 4,368
	Kallikôt	15,941	12,228	..	2,688	30,857	1,88,072	..	1,88,072	2,40,952	— 52,880
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	351	936	..	3,789	5,076	22,720	10,936	11,784	22,724	— 10,940
	Sripuram	971	737	64	1,483	3,255	17,972	794	17,178	19,228	— 2,050
	Chipurupalle	129	278	..	1,453	1,860	8,629	363	8,266	8,393	— 127
	Appikonda	30	150	..	7,753	7,933	9,274	831	8,443	9,551	— 1,108
	Belgâm	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Godâvari	Lakkavaram	..	136	15	2,782	2,933	11,068	533	10,535	12,774	— 2,239
	Meduru	2,740	3,544	21,801	17,732	45,817	1,18,666	1,228	1,17,438	..	..
Kistna	Mailavaram	198	442	436	4,982	6,058	23,465	2,087	21,378	21,332	+ 46
	Kapileswarapuram	9,606	3,143	14,577	14,280	41,606	1,13,596	4,627	1,08,969	e 1,17,860	— 8,891
Cuddapah	Chitticherla	..	..	21	47	68	1,610	84	1,526	1,509	+ 17
North Arcot	Kangundi	15,820	4,152	..	9,535	29,507	84,673	1,901	82,772	74,294	+ 8,478
	Timmajamma's Estate	235	220	..	292	747	3,659	28	3,631	3,600	+ 31
	Pulicherla	6,333	1,278	966	4,205	12,782	24,098	1,527	22,571	21,570	+ 1,001
Chingleput	Panaiyur	31	356	660	14,705	15,752	25,475	740	24,735	16,416	+ 8,319
	Ukkadai	..	..	..	594	594	23,354	..	23,354	17,820	+ 5,534
Tanjore	Gandharvakôta	94	1,193	152	4,755	6,194	36,165	..	36,165	35,940	+ 225
	Vadapathimangalam	..	..	..	2,600	2,600	45,060	..	45,060	55,320	— 10,260
	Mâthûr	..	..	..	..	..	5,446	..	5,446	5,303	+ 143
	Singavanam	..	1	..	1,732	1,733	9,566	..	9,566	12,277	— 2,711
	Tiruvalanjuli	..	..	..	124	124	6,417	..	6,417	6,710	— 293
	Tyagasamudram	..	..	19	644	663	7,757	..	7,757	8,314	— 557
	Ramasetuswamiyar's Estate	..	..	1,562	4,710	6,272	39,730	..	39,730	33,344	+ 6,386
	Palayavanam	..	..	49	1,559	1,608	6,253	..	6,253	6,546	— 293
	Edumanal	..	..	..	64	64	22,141	..	22,141	..	..
Trichinopoly	Peramur	..	..	15	278	293	11,419	..	11,419	11,726	— 307
	Nangavaram Duraiswami Aiyar's Estate.	..	..	234	11	245	19,140	..	19,140	19,832	— 692
	Marungapuri	99	1,666	441	5,821	8,027	65,636	6,533	59,103	66,534	— 7,431
	Nangavaram Ranganswami Aiyar's Estate.	..	..	94	174	268	34,622	..	34,622	..	..
	Singam Aiyangar's Estate	..	..	..	..	..	7,124	..	7,124	..	..

(e) Includes certain items erroneously omitted last year.

15/2528

Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Land Revenue. Dry. Extent.	Dry. Assessment.	Wet. Extent.	Wet. Assessment.	Total. Extent.	Total. Assessment.	Other items.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		ACRES.	RS.	ACRES.	RS.	ACRES.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Madura	Ramnad	269,537	2,76,110	50,449	4,33,394	319,986	7,09,504	65,814	7,75,318
	Sáptúr	20,347	23,538	1,669	23,343	22,016	46,881	3,867	50,748
	Vadagarai	(b) ..	..	(b) ..	..	(b) ..	..	4,114	4,114
Tinnevelly	Mambarai	4,128	5,339	..	..	4,128	5,339	..	5,339
Coimbatore	Vádimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Nilgiris	Metráthi	4,749	5,556	..	..	4,749	5,556	..	5,556
	Neliyalam	7,013	..	128	..	(c) 7,141	..	(c) 8,488	8,488
Salem	Erumaipati	6,589	13,093	444	3,941	7,033	17,034	..	17,034
	Shulagiri	5,629	6,780	677	3,524	6,306	10,304	3,224	13,528
	Yelagiri	6,274	8,399	655	4,264	6,929	12,663	147	12,810
South Canara	Sarvothama Row's Estate	200	..	100	..	300	..	4,275	4,275
	K. Puttamma's Estate	349	..	597	..	946	..	10,299	10,299
Malabar	Kavalpara	12,522	..	4,104	..	16,626	..	23,385	23,385
	Bimbalan	55	..	19	..	74	..	371	371
	Total ..	538,130	(d) 5,88,852	243,205	(d) 11,37,716	781,335	17,76,581	4,84,596	22,61,177

(b) Figures not available.
(c) The figures are stated to be approximate and to include lands the title to which is in doubt.
(d) Exclusive of the figures of Tarlipeta, Chakipallo, Kangundi and Timmajammal's estate for which particulars under wet and dry are not available.

Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Miscellaneous revenue. Jodi or quit-rent on inam.	Land-cess.	Water-rate and second-crop assessment.	Other items.	Total.	Total of columns 10 and 15.	Deduct remissions.	Net revenue.	Net demand for fasli 1297.	Difference.
		11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Madura	Ramnad	67,719	24,148	5,184	57,203	1,64,254	9,39,572	56,812	8,82,760	8,84,121	— 1,361
	Sáptúr	..	1,059	2,165	6,342	9,566	60,314	3,581	56,733	48,753	+ 7,980
	Vadagarai	..	..	..	868	868	4,982	..	4,982	5,649	— 667
Tinnevelly	Mambarai	..	180	..	114	294	5,633	729	4,904	..	..
Coimbatore	Vádimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Nilgiris	Metráthi	14	223	..	1,472	1,709	7,265	80	7,185	7,129	+ 56
	Neliyalam	..	..	..	1,768	1,768	10,256	..	10,256	11,231	— 975
Salem	Erumaipati	..	535	39	1,018	1,592	18,626	3,423	15,203	15,436	— 233
	Shulagiri	4,117	580	18	1,906	6,621	20,149	1,285	18,864	18,684	+ 180
	Yelagiri	125	421	137	3,062	3,745	16,555	937	15,818	20,948	— 5,330
South Canara	Sarvothama Row's Estate	..	..	..	..	..	4,275	..	4,275	4,069	+ 206
	K. Puttamma's Estate	..	..	..	..	..	10,299	..	10,299	10,079	+ 220
Malabar	Kavalpara	..	..	..	8,788	8,788	32,173	..	32,173	30,202	+ 1,971
	Bimbalan	..	..	..	..	..	371	..	371	367	+ 4
	Total ..	1,62,113	65,808	48,649	2,26,278	5,22,848	27,84,025	2,76,650	25,07,375	..	..

16/2536

No. III.—Statement showing the demand, collection and balance of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89).

Districts.	Estates.	Demand			Collection.			Amount written off.	Balance at the close of fasli.			Percentage of balance to demand.			Amount subsequently collected and remitted.	Balance.
		Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.		Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.				Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi	49,493	3,03,793	3,53,286	30,862	2,06,041	2,36,903	..	18,631	97,752	1,16,383	37·6	32·2	32·9	78,782	37,601
	Chinna Kimedi	*a* 16,458	36,724	53,182	4,365	13,123	17,488	594	11,499	23,601	35,100	69·9	64·3	66·0	4,308	30,792
	Jarada	17,944	11,942	29,886	4,850	4,779	9,629	8,978	4,116	7,163	11,279	22·6	60·0	37·7	5,014	6,265
	Urlam	885	45,984	46,869	836	38,457	39,293	33	16	7,527	7,543	1·8	16·4	16·1	5,282	2,261
	Dhárákót	38,342	49,717	88,059	8,412	17,291	25,703	22,286	7,644	32,426	40,070	19·9	65·2	45·5	8,937	31,133
	Bodogoda	13,237	17,267	30,504	5,978	10,067	16,045	..	7,259	7,200	14,459	54·8	41·7	47·4	3,388	11,071
	Kurla	10,482	9,305	19,787	2,504	2,259	4,763	1,782	6,196	7,046	13,242	59·1	75·7	66·9	2,582	10,660
	Aska	5,460	8,425	13,885	734	2,971	3,705	999	3,727	5,454	9,181	68·3	64·7	66·1	2,082	7,099
	Kallikót	3,01,388	1,88,072	5,79,460	47,555	95,807	1,45,362	..	3,43,833	92,265	4,36,098	87·8	49·1	75·3	18,669	4,17,429
Vizagapatam ..	Chidikada	5,490	11,784	17,274	1,866	9,269	11,135	292	3,382	2,515	5,847	60·7	21·3	33·8	1,662	4,185
	Sripuram	2,933	17,178	20,111	2,192	14,890	17,082	..	741	2,288	3,029	25·3	13·3	15·1	514	2,515
	Chipurupalle	3,986	8,266	12,252	1,350	3,360	4,710	8	2,628	4,906	7,534	65·9	59·4	61·5	1,406	6,128
	Appikonda	4,072	8,443	12,515	1,769	4,247	6,016	..	2,303	4,196	6,499	56·5	49·7	51·9	162	6,337
	Belgám	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram	371	10,535	10,906	200	10,127	10,327	..	171	408	579	46·1	3·9	5·3	76	503
Kistna ..	Mádúru	1,66,519	1,17,438	2,83,957	25,515	76,534	1,02,049	..	1,41,004	40,904	1,81,908	84·7	34·8	64·1	20,816	1,61,092
	Mailavaram	7,650	21,378	29,028	*b* 5,369	18,654	24,023	1,280	1,001	2,724	3,725	13·1	12·7	12·8	150	3,575
Cuddapah ..	Kapileswarapuram	*a* 1,30,985	1,08,969	2,39,954	22,263	91,363	1,13,631	..	1,08,717	17,606	1,26,323	82·9	16·2	52·6	45,091	81,232
	Chitticherla	33	1,526	1,559	33	1,381	1,414	..	..	145	145	..	9·4	9·3	..	145
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	*a* 45,559	82,772	1,28,331	18,466	81,210	99,676	2,534	24,559	1,562	26,121	53·9	1·9	20·4	1,189	24,932
	Timmajamma's Estate ..	*a* 1,816	3,631	5,447	254	3,515	3,769	..	1,562	116	1,678	86·0	3·2	30·8	42	1,636
	Pulicherla	*a* 18,561	22,571	41,132	10,078	16,862	26,940	..	8,483	5,709	14,192	45·7	25·3	34·5	4,039	10,153
Chingleput ..	Panaiyur	*a* 11,041	24,735	35,776	4,778	16,652	*d* 21,430	2,897	3,566	8,083	11,649	32·3	32·7	32·6	4,038	7,611
	Ukkadai	20,827	23,354	44,181	7,261	6,020	13,281	5,577	7,989	17,334	25,323	38·4	74·2	57·3	3,105	22,218
	Gandharvakóta	*e* 86,780	38,165	1,22,945	13,251	24,886	38,137	..	73,529	11,279	84,808	84·7	31·2	69·0	5,322	79,486
	Vadapathimangalam ..	16,746	45,060	61,806	2,134	44,011	46,145	..	14,612	1,049	15,661	87·3	2·3	25·3	728	14,933
	Máthúr	2,730	5,446	8,176	1,771	5,096	6,867	947	12	350	362	0·5	6·4	4·4	..	362
Tanjore ..	Singavanam	*f* 9,670	9,566	19,236	7,942	7,385	15,327	766	962	2,181	3,143	9·9	22·8	16·3	1,543	1,600
	Tiruvalanjuli	3,054	6,417	9,471	1,636	3,955	5,591	866	552	2,462	3,014	18·1	38·4	31·8	62	2,952
	Tyagasamudram	*f* 7,410	7,757	15,167	4,304	4,374	8,678	..	3,206	3,383	6,589	43·3	43·6	43·4	929	5,660
	Ramasetuswamiyar's Estate.	*f* 27,831	39,730	67,561	13,116	16,119	29,235	631	14,084	23,611	37,695	50·6	59·4	55·8	1,650	36,045
	Palayavanam	*f* 6,918	6,253	13,171	6,152	5,529	11,681	..	766	724	1,490	11·1	11·6	11·3	415	1,075
	Edamanal	196	22,141	22,337	99	21,567	21,666	..	97	574	671	49·5	2·6	3·0	219	452

a The difference between this and the figure in column 12 of the previous year's statement is due to an error in the latter.
b Exclusive of excess collections Rs. 19.
c The difference between this and the figure in column 12 of the previous year's statement is due to the transactions of the villages of Nangalattur and Agaram newly brought to account.
d Rupees 6 were collected in the previous fasli.
e Difference due apparently to rounding.
f Do. to the difference in the value of grain.

Statement showing the demand, collection and balance of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Demand.			Collection.			Amount written off.	Balance at the close of fasli.			Percentage of balance to demand.			Amount subsequently collected and remitted.	Balance.
		Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.		Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.				Rs.	Rs.
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	2,571	11,419	13,990	1,880	9,499	11,379	119	572	1,920	2,492	22·2	16·8	17·8	569	1,923
	Nangavaram-Duraiswami Aiyar's Estate	6,231	19,140	25,371	3,713	13,979	17,692	374	2,144	5,161	7,305	34·4	27·0	28·8	2,132	5,173
	Marungapuri	21,950	59,103	81,053	17,683	51,437	69,120	..	4,267	7,666	11,933	19·4	13·0	14·7	3,250	8,683
	Nangavaram-Rangaswami Aiyar's Estate	4,807	34,622	39,429	4,451	21,436	25,887	..	356	13,186	13,542	7·4	38·1	34·3	4,285	9,257
	Singam Aiyangar's Estate ..	2,834	7,124	9,958	2,490	6,090	8,580	..	344	1,034	1,378	12·1	14·5	13·8	324	1,054
Madura ..	Ramnád	2,09,153	8,82,760	10,91,913	1,15,696	7,86,346	9,02,042	63,197	30,260	95,414	1,26,674	14·5	10·9	11·6	79,603	47,071
	Sáptúr	29,901	56,733	86,634	9,018	48,754	57,772	..	20,883	7,979	28,862	69·8	14·1	33·3	18,577	10,285
	Vadagarai	2,960	4,882	7,942	865	4,159	5,024	490	1,605	823	2,428	54·2	16·5	30·6	125	2,303
	Mambarai	3,583	4,904	8,487	1,104	2,719	3,823	..	2,479	2,185	4,664	69·2	44·6	55·0	1,858	2,806
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore ..	Motráthi	389	7,185	7,574	14	6,074	6,088	..	375	1,111	1,486	96·4	15·5	19·6	726	760
Nilgiris ..	Neliyalam	19,094	10,266	29,360	6,478	6,306	12,784	..	12,616	3,950	16,566	66·1	38·5	56·4	3,738	12,828
Salem	Erumaipati	2,400	15,203	17,603	1,245	14,233	15,478	..	1,155	970	2,125	48·1	6·4	12·1	643	1,482
	Shulagiri	3,624	18,864	22,488	876	17,969	18,845	202	2,546	895	3,441	70·3	4·7	15·3	1,825	1,616
	Yelagiri	*g* 7,654	15,618	23,272	3,850	13,098	16,948	1,293	2,511	2,520	5,031	32·8	16·1	21·6	718	4,313
South Canara ..	Sarvothama Row's Estate ..	60	4,275	4,335	58	4,096	4,154	..	2	179	181	3·3	4·2	4·2	101	80
	Puttamma's Estate	*h* 1,567	10,299	11,866	1,163	8,089	9,252	..	404	2,210	2,614	25·8	21·5	22·0	874	1,740
Malabar ..	Kavalpara	2,177	32,173	34,350	603	31,532	32,135	964	610	641	1,251	28·0	2·0	3·6	172	1,079
	Bimbalan	..	371	371	..	371	371	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	14,45,822	25,07,375	39,53,197	4,28,987	19,23,988	23,52,975	1,16,909	8,99,926	5,83,387	14,83,313	62·2	23·3	37·5	3,41,722	11,41,591

g The difference is due to an error in the last year's statement.
h Do. to difference in the value of grain and to an item newly brought to account.

17/2526

No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several Estates under management in fasli 1298 (1888-89).

Districts.	Estates.	Amount to the credit of the estate at the beginning of fasli 1298.				Cash receipts in fasli 1298.					
		Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.	Land and miscellaneous revenue.	Interest on Government securities.	Debts recovered.	Sale-proceeds of grain, &c.	Other items.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	20,92,000	* 2,94,003	..	29,86,003	2,36,903	1,12,025	..	..	7,750	3,56,678
	Chinna Kimedi	67,100	17,580	..	84,680	17,488	2,130	..	..	(a)31,722	51,340
	Jarada	..	9,875	..	9,875	9,629	..	1,697	..	(b)10,207	21,533
	Urlám	2,78,000	30,402	..	3,08,402	38,399	10,954	..	..	(c) 6,009	55,362
	Dhárakót	..	31,851	(d) 820	32,671	25,703	..	..	..	(b)13,218	38,921
	Bodogoda	10,000	2,920	..	12,920	16,045	235	..	..	(e) 7,924	24,204
	Kurla	34,600	(f) 15,542	..	50,142	4,763	4,142	28	..	197	9,130
	Aska	(g) 44,800	15,721	(d) 261	60,782	3,705	2,132	5,902	1,264	24	13,027
	Kallikót	..	(h)1,59,180	..	1,59,180	1,43,862	..	512	9,619	13,281	1,66,774
	Chidikada	36,000	11,234	..	41,234	11,135	1,172	..	..	5,031	17,338
	Sripuram	8,000	4,135	..	12,135	17,082	319	..	..	(i) 8,711	26,112
	Chipurupalle	..	2,323	..	2,323	4,710	(j) 48	233	..	384	5,375
Vizagapatam	Appikonda	(k) 5,000	5,875	..	10,875	6,016	(l) 487	4,795	..	6,570	17,868
	Belgám	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Lakkavaram	43,500	18,028	..	61,528	10,327	1,625	1,033	..	388	13,373
Godávari	Maduru	77,000	90,052	..	1,67,052	1,02,049	155	46,527	4,908	2,136	1,55,775
	Mailavaram	24,800	8,090	5,165	38,055	(m) 18,998	482	216	7,071	..	26,767
Kistna	Kapileswarapuram	2,72,900	76,483	70	3,49,453	(n) 1,06,720	9,181	4,951	..	7,297	1,28,149
	Chitticherla	11,000	2,694	..	13,694	1,414	408	..	..	2,879	4,701
Cuddapah	Kangundi	31,500	18,894	..	50,394	99,676	1,224	2,788	..	18,566	1,22,254
	Timmajamma's Estate	..	23	..	23	3,769	..	..	..	(o) 1,500	5,269
North Arcot	Pulicherla	..	8,698	..	8,698	36,940	..	13	..	(p)27,729	64,682
	Panaiyur	..	632	2,019	2,651	21,424	..	1,680	..	(q)16,906	40,010
Chingleput	Ukkadai	..	19,455	(r) 565	20,020	2,665	..	46	610	11,859	22,180
	Gandharvakóta	..	4,646	(r) 1,820	6,466	36,542	..	500	1,555	2,732	41,329
	Vadapathimangalam	..	9,462	(r) 24,848	34,310	17,903	..	361	19,582	39,220	77,066
	Mathur	..	133	(r) 1,273	1,406	6,638	..	13	1,273	1,976	9,900
	Singavanam	..	2,185	5,140	7,325	7,916	..	77	1,173	9,419	21,585
Tanjore	Tiruvalanjuli	..	1,099	(r) 1,510	2,609	5,476	..	104	1,367	2,803	9,750
	Tyagasamudram	20,000	15,262	(r) 999	36,261	6,500	777	1,963	614	6,550	16,404
	Ramasetuswamiyar's Estate	..	53,071	(r) 8,245	61,316	24,425	..	2,818	8,245	43,581	79,069
	Palayavanam	..	2,883	240	3,123	8,044	..	1,486	240	6,885	16,655
	Edamanal	..	..	..	..	14,738	..	45	..	10,795	25,578
	Peramur	66,000	6,878	..	72,878	11,379	2,633	..	..	721	14,014
Trichinopoly	N. Duraiswami Aiyar's Estate	..	2,907	..	2,907	17,692	..	..	..	..	18,413

* This is the correct figure.
(a) Includes Rs. 27,520, the sale-proceeds of Promissory notes.
(b) Do. amount borrowed (Rs. 10,000).
(c) Do. sale-proceeds of houses (Rs. 3,702).
(d) Included in cash last year.
(e) Includes sale-proceeds of Promissory notes (Rs. 7,814).
(f) Includes amount deposited in the Postal Savings Bank which was erroneously omitted last year.
(g) Includes Promissory notes erroneously omitted to be brought to account previously.
(h) Includes Rs. 82,767 found in the treasury.
(i) Includes sale-proceeds of jewels, &c. (Rs. 8,413).
(j) Interest on deposits in the Postal Savings Bank and the Bimlipatam Branch Bank.
(k) Erroneously omitted from previous reports.
(l) Includes arrears of interest on the Promissory note.
(m) The difference between this and the figure in column 8 of statement III is due to the latter, including grain collections.
(n) The difference between this and the figure in column 8 of statement III is said to be due to the latter, including all items other than debts and interest on Government securities.
(o) Amount borrowed.
(p) Includes amount realised by the sale of 2 paysents.
(q) Includes amount borrowed (Rs. 14,400) and sale-proceeds of jewels, &c. (Rs. 1,404).
(r) Difference due to variation in the value of grain.

Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several Estates under management in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Cash balance and receipts, total of columns 4 and 12.	Charges.							
			Establishment for management and contingencies.	Maramat expenditure.	Government demand.		Government commission for management.	Total management, works and Government demand.	Maintenance and education of the wards.	Allowance to the other members of the wards' family.
					Peishcush.	Assessment and landcess.				
		13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	6,50,681	20,140	76,225	87,823	25,052	4,403	2,13,443	83,558	5,544
	Chinna Kimedi	68,920	6,130	17,296	2,602	2,096	..	28,124	6,914	7,620
	Jarada	31,408	1,947	3,009	1,125	1,176	..	7,257	4,015	2,913
	Urlám	85,764	3,150	10,299	7,632	1,871	568	23,527	6,263	3,696
	Dhárakót	70,772	6,656	5,387	13,698	3,039	..	28,780	7,007	2,028
	Bodogoda	27,124	3,749	2,666	..	..	..	6,415	728	654
	Kurla	24,672	1,204	3,182	1,776	677	..	6,859	1,733	1,249
	Aska	28,748	1,187	1,411	5,718	827	267	9,410	2,720	1,765
	Kallikót	3,25,934	14,486	11,234	78,903	22,441	2,199	1,29,263	37,098	246
	Chidikada	28,572	1,247	1,258	4,855	1,727	217	9,304	3,784	..
	Sripuram	30,247	1,572	458	8,800	1,089	260	12,179	2,160	..
	Chipurupalle	7,093	342	..	2,425	19	135	2,921	2,139	660
Vizagapatam	Appikonda	23,743	2,630	..	487	583	224	3,924	3,672	..
	Belgám	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	180
	Lakkavaram	31,401	2,143	1,281	4,422	856	54	8,756	1,423	1,733
Godávari	Maduru	2,46,827	17,047	25	18,225	37,404	..	72,701	13,311	2,339
	Mailavaram	34,857	1,444	3,823	4,263	2,378	261	12,189	2,435	1,733
Kistna	Kapileswarapuram	2,04,632	10,834	2,723	16,430	30,163	1,448	68,598	10,462	605
	Chitticherla	2,395	199	3,905	..	428	41	4,573	774	8,304
Cuddapah	Kangundi	1,41,148	13,855	13,697	26,534	6,429	1,151	61,666	20,008	..
	Timmajamma's Estate	5,292	373	..	..	495	26	894	96	1,060
North Arcot	Pulicherla	63,380	2,287	..	5,585	2,006	333	10,211	708	1,200
	Panaiyur	40,642	2,729	325	1,107	4,225	291	8,677	1,200	474
Chingleput	Ukkadai	41,635	1,591	909	..	5,205	812	8,517	84	1,230
	Gandharvakóta	45,975	5,330	1,923	12,592	261	225	20,331	924	318
	Vadapathimangalam	86,528	6,274	1,420	..	43	..	7,737	2,562	210
	Mathur	10,033	553	393	..	4,477	176	5,599	598	769
	Singavanam	23,770	1,281	324	8,416	531	..	10,552	330	210
Tanjore	Tiruvalanjuli	10,849	1,121	100	..	3,593	162	4,976	213	264
	Tyagasamudram	31,666	925	..	..	2,629	459	4,013	606	1,788
	Ramasetuswamiyar's Estate	1,32,140	3,569	557	1,407	3,879	327	8,332	1,572	866
	Palayavanam	19,538	1,123	605	..	145	53	3,333	190	20
	Edamanal	25,578	68	..	..	4,592	..	4,660	55	180
	Peramur	20,892	760	247	..	4,750	152	5,909	1,855	780
Trichinopoly	N. Duraiswami Aiyar's Estate	21,320	1,126	433	..	6,695	266	8,520	2,112	

18/256

Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several Estates under management in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Charges—*cont.*			Balance to the credit of the estate at the end of fasli 1298.				Increase or decrease as compared with the opening balance.	Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the total receipts.
		Repayment of debts.	Other miscellaneous disbursements.	Total charges.	Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.		
		22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	..	1,23,090	4,25,835	26,92,000	2,24,846	..	29,16,846	— 69,157	6·9
	Chinna Kimedi	..	13,162	55,820	40,100	13,100	..	53,200	— 31,480	11·9
	Jarada	..	7,628	21,813	..	9,595	..	9,595	— 280	9·0
	Urlám	..	15,235	48,711	2,78,000	37,053	..	3,15,053	+ 6,651	6·7
	Dhárákót	1,800	15,781	53,396	..	17,375	..	17,375	— 15,295	17·1
	Bodogoda	4,498	6,535	18,330	2,000	8,294	..	10,294	— 2,626	15·5
	Kurla	..	7,055	16,876	34,600	7,796	..	42,396	— 7,746	13·2
	Aska	..	6,108	20,603	44,800	8,745	..	53,545	— 7,237	11·2
	Kallikót	97,162	46,405	3,10,084	..	15,850	..	15,850	— 1,43,310	10·0
	Chidikada	..	1,788	14,876	30,000	13,696	..	43,696	+ 2,462	8·4
	Sripuram	..	1,555	15,884	8,000	14,353	..	22,353	+ 10,218	7·0
Vizagapatam ..	Chipurupalle	..	986	6,046	..	1,652	..	1,652	— 671	8·9
	Appikonda	7,075	1,516	16,687	5,000	7,056	..	12,056	+ 1,181	16·0
	Belgám	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Lakkavaram	4	3,634	13,997	43,500	17,404	..	60,904	— 624	15·4
Godávari	Moduru	4,072	19,273	1,11,090	77,000	1,34,737	..	2,11,737	+ 44,685	10·9
	Mailavaram	..	7,563	24,558	24,800	10,351	4,140	39,291	+ 1,236	6·4
Kistna	Kapileswarapuram	5,572	54,104	1,50,469	2,72,900	54,163	114	3,27,177	— 22,276	9·5
Cuddapah	Chitticherla	..	60	6,012	11,000	1,383	..	12,383	— 1,311	5·1
	Kangundi	..	19,517	1,09,495	31,500	31,653	..	63,153	+ 12,759	12·3
North Arcot ..	Timmajamma's Estate	..	1,702	2,692	..	2,690	..	2,690	+ 2,577	7·6
	Pulicherla	30,250	1,816	44,045	..	19,335	..	19,335	+ 10,637	4·8
Chingleput ..	Panaiyur	21,783	4,009	36,849	..	23,777	3,618	27,396	+ 7,376	10·8
	Ukkadai	..	8,783	17,858	..	8,593	1,860	10,453	+ 8,957	13·4
	Gandharvakóta	2,268	12,629	37,382	..	31,932	33,872	64,934	+ 30,624	8·1
	Vadapathimangalam	3,950	40,899	55,466	..	487	1,273	1,760	+ 354	7·4
	Máthúr	..	8,139	9,546	..	1,104	8,378	9,482	+ 2,157	5·9
	Singavanam	..	11,015	22,666	..	2,322	..	2,322	— 287	13·2
Tanjore	Tiruvalanjuli	..	3,128	8,527	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Tyagasamudram	..	7,856	12,739	20,000	18,927	1,840	40,767	+ 4,566	8·4
	Ramasetuswamiyar's Estate ..	72,451	33,622	1,17,812	..	14,328	4,810	19,138	— 42,178	4·9
	Palayavanam	..	6,972	10,361	..	2,177	3,638	12,815	+ 502	7·1
	Edamanal	1,793	10,797	17,525	..	8,253	6,902	15,155	+ 12,155	·3
	Peramur	..	908	8,852	66,000	12,040	..	78,040	+ 5,162	6·5
Trichingpoly ..	N. Duraiswami Aiyar's Estate ..	..	1,353	12,765	..	8,555	..	8,555	+ 5,648	7·6

(a) Exclusive of Rs. 720, the contribution from the other proprietors for the demarcation of the Chayár Mitta.

Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several Estates under management in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Amount to the credit of the estate at the beginning of fasli 1298.				Cash receipts in fasli 1298.					
		Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.	Land and miscellaneous revenue.	Interest on Government securities.	Debts recovered.	Sale-proceeds of grain, &c.	Other items.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Trichinopoly—*cont.*	Marungapuri	..	12,422	..	12,422	69,120	..	574	..	2,782	72,476
	N. Rangaswami Aiyar's Estate ..	..	..	..	..	(a) 25,387	..	1,076	..	(b)33,538	60,001
	Singam Aiyangar's Estate ..	..	2,293	..	2,293	(a) 4,273	..	54	..	734	5,061
Madura	Ramnad	..	72,620	45,517	1,18,137	9,02,042	..	..	..	34,393	9,36,435
	Sáptúr	1,47,500	20,844	9,588	1,77,932	51,811	6,229	434	..	6,418	64,892
	Vadagarai	5,000	7,750	3,636	16,386	6,765	97	..	..	1,540	8,402
	Mambarai	..	..	..	..	3,823	..	..	..	297	4,120
Tinnevelly	Vádimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore ..	Metráthi	..	5,360	..	5,360	6,088	..	..	..	7	6,095
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	21,800	4,581	..	26,381	12,784	..	398	..	859	14,041
Salem	Erumaipati	..	2,986	..	2,986	15,478	..	183	..	(c)13,402	29,063
	Shulagiri	..	9,741	..	9,741	18,845	..	..	..	115	18,960
	Yelagiri	..	13,751	..	13,751	16,948	..	452	..	344	17,744
South Canara ..	Survothama Row's Estate ..	5,000	1,849	..	6,849	4,164	292	..	..	34	4,490
	K. Puttamma's Estate	..	17,833	..	17,833	9,252	..	7,870	615	162	17,899
Malabar	Kavalpara	76,000	13,913	4,449	94,362	(d) 29,523	3,065	..	4,135	8,390	45,122
	Bimbalar	..	451	8	459	371	..	..	9	4	384
	Total ..	39,71,500	11,28,590	1,16,173	52,16,263	22,69,339	1,59,722	88,829	65,280	4,28,000	30,11,170

(a) Exclusive of the amount collected before the estate came under management.
(b) Includes Rs. 30,888, being sale-proceeds of lands, jewels and horses.
(c) Includes Rs. 13,266, being sale-proceeds of home-farm lands.
(d) Difference due to exclusion of grain collections.

P/2526

Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several Estates under management in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Cash balance and receipts, total of columns 4 and 12.	Charges.							
			Establishment for management and contingencies.	Maramat expenditure.	Government demand.		Government commission for management.	Total management, works and Government demand.	Maintenance and education of the wards.	Allowance to the other members of the wards' family.
					Peishcush.	Assessment and land-cess.				
		13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Trichinopoly—*cont.*	Marungapuri	84,898	3,076	722	20,587	863	524	25,772	38	4,481
	N. Rangaswami Aiyar's Estate ..	60,001	669	1,049	..	10,354	..	12,072	410	2,629
	Singam Aiyangar's Estate	7,354	421	..	..	1,183	..	1,604	496	35
Madura	Ramnad	10,09,055	67,722	92,412	3,13,633	38,956	11,860	5,24,582	18,184	22,350
	Sáptúr	85,236	10,290	5,212	8,812	2,680	1,702	28,696	492	3,905
	Vadagarai	16,152	423	445	..	1,857	87	2,808	2,211	600
	Mambarai	4,120	62	..	1,500	335	..	1,897	..	397
Tinnevelly ..	Vádimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore ..	Metráthi	11,455	274	200	1,986	402	117	2,959	693	637
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	18,622	1,854	305	..	233	49	2,441	300	704
Salem	Erumaipati	32,049	1,600	836	8,698	564	199	11,897	800	..
	Shulagiri	28,701	1,442	151	5,499	972	1,154	9,218	564	2,917
	Yelagiri	31,496	1,839	219	5,885	647	426	9,016	269	1,266
South Canara ..	Sarvothama Row's Estate	6,239	444	118	..	1,665	60	2,282	332	894
	K. Puttamma's Estate	35,732	1,975	195	..	3,069	378	5,617	..	..
Malabar	Kavalpara	59,035	4,417	6,744	..	3,686	360	15,207	7,134	..
	Bimbalan	835	56	..	..	35	5	96	59	..
	Total ..	41,39,760	2,35,636	2,80,718	6,31,412	2,49,281	31,427	14,78,474	2,55,091	91,393

Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Charges—*cont.*			Balance to the credit of the estate at the end of fasli 1298.				Increase or decrease as compared with the opening balance.	Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the total receipts.
		Repayment of debts.	Other miscellaneous disbursements.	Total charges.	Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.		
		22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Trichinopoly—*cont.*	Marungapuri	29,505	2,230	62,026	..	22,872	..	22,872	+ 10,450	5·0
	N. Rangaswami Aiyar's Estate ..	43,512	1,367	59,990	..	11	..	11	+ 11	1·1
	Singam Aiyangar's Estate ..	..	10	2,145	..	5,209	..	5,209	+ 2,916	8·3
Madura	Ramnad	..	73,245	6,38,361	..	3,70,694	59,637	4,30,331	+ 3,12,194	8·5
	Sáptúr	..	13,442	46,535	1,47,500	38,701	15,208	2,01,409	+ 23,477	18·6
	Vadagarai	..	321	5,940	5,000	10,212	2,573	17,785	+ 1,399	6·0
	Mambarai	..	784	3,078	..	1,042	..	1,042	+ 1,042	1·5
Tinnevelly ..	Vádimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore ..	Metráthi	..	464	4,753	..	6,702	..	6,702	+ 1,342	6·4
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	..	5,081	8,526	21,800	10,096	..	31,896	+ 5,515	13·6
Salem	Erumaipati	2,231	1,027	15,955	..	16,094	..	16,094	+ 13,108	6·9
	Shulagiri	3,500	2,404	18,803	..	10,098	..	10,098	+ 357	9·0
	Yelagiri	11,522	1,541	23,614	..	7,881	..	7,881	+ 5,870	12·8
South Canara ..	Sarvothama Row's Estate	..	(a) 691	4,199	5,500	2,040	..	7,540	+ 691	11·5
	K. Puttamma's Estate	10,235	4,591	20,443	..	15,289	..	15,289	+ 2,544	13·1
Malabar	Kavalpara	..	10,536	32,376	76,000	26,159	2,612	1,04,771	+ 10,409	10·6
	Bimbalan	..	255	410	..	425	1	426	+ 33	15·9
	Total ..	3,53,163	6,24,658	28,02,779	39,37,000	13,36,981	1,50,477	54,24,458	+ 2,08,195	8·9

(a) Includes the cost (Rs. 491) of the purchase of pro-notes of the nominal value of Rs. 500.

80/2526

ENCLOSURE A TO STATEMENT No. IV.—Showing the expenditure incurred on maramut (works) in the several estates under management in fasli 1298 (1888-89).

Districts.	Estates.	Irrigation works. New works.	Irrigation works. Repairs.	Buildings. New works.	Buildings. Repairs.	Communications.	Establishments.	Total expenditure.	Allotment in the budget.	Percentage of expenditure to allotment.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	Particulars not available.						76,225	1,09,000	69·9
	Chinna Kimedi							17,296	26,550	65·1
	Jarada							3,009	9,850	30·5
	Urlám							10,299	24,500	42·0
	Dhárákót							5,387	..	..
	Bodogoda							2,666	..	..
	Kurla							5,182	(a) ..	..
	Aska							1,411	..	..
	Kallikót							11,234	..	..
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	..	1,258	..	..	..	..	1,258	2,000	62·9
	Sripuram	..	359	..	99	..	..	(b) 458	1,600	28·6
	Chipurupalle	..	..	..	..	..	..	(c) ..	600	..
	Appikonda	..	..	..	..	..	..	(d) ..	1,210	..
	Belgám	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Godávari	Lakkavaram	..	1,102	..	59	..	120	(e) 1,281	3,884	33·0
	Meduru	..	25	..	..	..	..	(f) 25	2,000	1·2
Kistna	Mailavaram	1,118	1,238	..	1,227	..	240	3,823	5,500	69·5
	Kapileswarapuram	222	6,215	1,701	1,257	..	298	9,723	10,000	97·2
Cuddapah	Chittieherla	..	3,458	..	..	..	447	3,905	4,300	90·8
North Arcot	Kangundi	1,840	4,268	861	2,906	1,819	2,013	13,697	17,472	78·4
	Timmajiamma's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	100	..
	Pulicherla	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	500	..
Chingleput	Panaiyur	..	325	..	..	..	..	(g) 325	2,200	14·8
	Ukkadai	..	..	..	909	..	..	(h) 909	2,000	45·4
Tanjore	Gándharvakóta	..	895	..	1,028	..	..	1,923	2,260	85·1
	Vadapathimangalam	..	979	..	441	..	..	1,420	2,500	56·8
	Máthúr	..	298	..	95	..	..	393	1,000	39·3
	Singavanam	..	309	..	15	..	..	324	639	50·7
	Tiruvalanjuli	..	100	..	..	..	..	100	250	40·0
	Tyagasamudram	..	..	..	..	..	..	(i) ..	200	..
	Ramasethuswamiyar's estate	..	434	..	123	..	..	557	1,000	55·7
	Palayavanam	..	605	..	..	..	..	605	1,412	42·8
	Edamanal	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Trichinopoly	Peramur	..	247	..	..	..	..	247	250	98·8
	Nangavaram Duraiswami Aiyar's estate	..	312	..	121	..	..	433	500	86·6
	Marungápuri	..	706	..	16	..	..	722	2,000	36·1
	Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate	..	899	..	150	..	..	1,049	1,700	61·7
	Singam Aiyangar's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	250	..
Madura	Ramnad	..	62,046	5,219	7,880	..	17,267	92,412	1,23,922	74·6
	Sáptúr	..	2,521	..	1,438	223	1,030	5,212	21,000	24·8
	Vadagarai	..	60	..	385	..	..	445	985	45·2
	Mambarai	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

(a) No budgets were received for these estates.
(b) Rs. 1,133 were spent but not adjusted during the year.
(c) Rs. 234 do. do.
(d) Rs. 1,110 do. do.
(e) Rs. 200 do.
(f) Rs. 1,533 were spent but not adjusted during the year.
(g) This was the amount paid for work done in Fasli 1297. Work for Rs. 924 was done but not paid for.
(h) Works costing about Rs. 600 were executed but not paid for within the year.
(i) Work for Rs. 165 was executed but not paid for within the fasli.

Enclosure A to Statement No. IV.—Showing the expenditure incurred on maramut (works) in the several estates, &c.—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Irrigation works. New works.	Irrigation works. Repairs.	Buildings. New works.	Buildings. Repairs.	Communications.	Establishments.	Total expenditure.	Allotment in the budget.	Percentage of expenditure to allotment.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Tinnevelly	Vádimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore	Metráthi	..	..	..	200	..	..	200	200	100·0
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	..	..	..	305	..	..	305	513	59·5
Salem	Erumaipati	..	481	..	355	..	..	836	1,234	67·7
	Shulagiri	..	..	..	142	..	(j) 9	151	722	20·9
	Yelagiri	..	..	..	219	..	..	219	2,250	9·6
South Canara	Sarvothama Row's estate	..	113	..	..	..	..	113	113	100·0
	K. Puttamma's estate	..	..	..	195	..	..	195	300	65·0
Malabar	Kavalpara	..	126	4,022	1,752	844	..	6,744	9,050	74·6
	Bimbalan	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	3,180	89,399	11,803	21,317	2,886	21,424	2,80,718	8,97,516	(k) 64·6

(j) Remuneration to an Overseer for preparation of estimates.
(k) This is exclusive of the expenditure in the five Ganjam estates for which no budgets were received.

No. V-A.—Statement showing the debts due to the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89).

Districts.	Estates.	Debts as per last year's account.	Debts newly contracted and brought to account.	Total.	Debts collected.	Debts written off.	Total.	Remainder due at the end of the fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam ..	Jarada	(a) 2,442	..	2,442	1,697	..	1,697	745
	Bodogoda	(b) 865	..	865	..	..	..	865
	Kurla	..	28	28	28	..	28	..
	Aska	..	5,902	5,902	5,902	..	5,902	..
	Kallikót	(b) 512	..	512	512	..	512	8,922
Vizagapatam ..	Chipurupalle ..	9,155	..	9,155	233	..	233	52,215
	Appikonda ..	(c) 52,157	4,975	57,132	4,795	(d) 122	4,917	7,428
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram ..	10,398	459	10,857	1,033	2,396	3,429	75,058
	Meduru	42,907	78,678	1,21,585	46,527	..	46,527	1,884
Kistna ..	Mailavaram ..	(e) 2,145	26	2,171	216	71	287	80,724
	Kapileswarapuram ..	77,797	7,878	85,675	4,951	..	4,951	41,883
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	43,759	912	44,671	2,788	..	2,788	5,512
	Timmajamma's estate.	5,200	312	5,512	..	..	..	26
Chingleput ..	Pulicherla	37	2	39	13	..	13	5,788
	Panaiyur	9,852	753	10,605	1,680	3,137	4,817	12,782
	Ukkadai	22,853	87	22,940	(e) 453	9,705	10,158	26,392
	Gandharvakóta ..	25,962	942	26,904	500	12	512	1,01,705
	Vadapathimangalam.	1,01,613	13,840	1,15,453	(e) 11,530	2,218	13,748	140
	Mathur	3,812	876	4,688	(e) 908	3,640	4,548	16,706
	Singavanam ..	(f) 17,245	449	17,694	77	911	988	54,556
Tanjore ..	Tiruvalanjuli ..	51,913	2,747	54,660	104	..	104	62,139
	Tyagasamudram ..	62,983	3,639	66,622	1,963	2,520	4,483	17,892
	Rameswaswamiyar's estate.	20,589	962	21,551	2,818	841	3,659	11,097
	Palayavanam ..	11,264	1,319	12,583	1,486	..	1,486	2,938
	Edamanal	2,751	232	2,983	45	..	45	77
	Peramur	73	4	77	..	..	..	402
	Nangavaram Duraiswami Aiyar's estate.	(c) 447	19	466	..	64	64	3,724
Trichinopoly ..	Marungapuri ..	(g) 4,155	143	4,298	574	..	574	8,496
	Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate.	8,407	1,165	9,572	1,076	..	1,076	53,209
	Singam Aiyangar's estate.	(h) 51,753	1,510	53,263	54	..	54	19,271
	Sáptúr	19,607	98	19,705	434	.. 6	434	460
Madura ..	Vadagarai	466	..	466	..	..	6	6,000
	Mambarai	6,000	..	6,000	..	..	..	23,107
Nilgiris ..	Neliyalam	(i) 22,618	887	23,505	398	..	398	..
Salem ..	Erumaipati ..	183	..	183	183	..	183	2,610
	Yelagiri	2,809	253	3,062	452	..	452	1,99,860
South Canara ..	K. Puttamma's estate.	2,07,730	..	2,07,730	7,870	..	7,870	9,04,613
	Total ..	9,02,459	1,29,097	10,31,556	1,01,300	25,643	1,26,943	

(a) This is the amount due under certain decrees inclusive of interest and law charges and was not shown by the Collector in the previous year's report.
(b) This was not reported in the previous year.
(c) This is the correct figure.
(d) Amount disallowed by Civil Courts.
(e) Includes advances recovered.
(f) Includes Rs. 125 now brought to account.
(g) Includes Rs. 106 since found to be due.
(h) Includes interest up to 31st December 1888.
(i) Government promissory notes were erroneously included in last year's figure.

No. V-B.—Statement showing the debts due by the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1298 (1888-89).

Districts.	Estates.	Debts as per last year's account.	Debts contracted or newly brought to account and the interest accumulated in the fasli.	Total.	Debts paid off.	Debts struck off.	Total.	Remainder due by the estate at the end of the fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam ..	Jarada	..	10,000	10,000	..	..	..	10,000
	Dhárákót	(a) 45,224	13,150	58,374	1,800	..	1,800	56,574
	Bodogoda	(a) 4,736	..	4,736	(b) 4,418	..	4,418	318
	Kallikót	(a) 1,03,433	..	1,03,433	97,162	..	97,162	6,271
Vizagapatam ..	Appikonda	(a) 32,073	902	32,975	7,075	..	7,075	25,900
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram ..	4	(c) 401	405	4	..	4	401
	Meduru	4,315	..	4,315	4,072	..	4,072	243
Kistna ..	Kapileswarapuram.	5,940	75	6,015	5,572	410	5,982	33
North Arcot ..	Timmajamma's estate.	..	1,500	1,500	..	..	..	1,500
Chingleput ..	Pulicherla	(d) 89,723	5,106	94,829	30,250	250	30,500	64,329
	Panaiyur	21,009	16,376	37,385	21,763	..	21,763	15,622
	Ukkadai	642	..	642	..	..	..	642
	Gandharvakota ..	2,83,392	9,442	2,93,334	2,268	18,953	21,221	2,72,113
	Vadapathimangalam.	35,000	2,271	37,271	3,950	..	3,950	33,321
	Máthúr	25	..	25	..	..	..	25
Tanjore ..	Singavanam ..	61,817	(e) 14,157	75,974	..	..	..	75,974
	Tiruvalanjuli ..	31,883	2,060	33,943	..	..	..	33,943
	Ramasetuswamiyar's estate.	1,27,294	7,010	1,34,304	72,451	1,499	73,950	60,354
	Palayavanam ..	2,11,389	(f) 32,648	2,44,037	..	..	..	2,44,037
	Edamanal	91,532	..	91,532	1,793	..	1,793	89,739
	Nangavaram Duraiswami Aiyar's estate.	1,091	..	1,091	..	1,091	1,091	..
Trichinopoly ..	Marungapuri ..	(g) 74,591	7,274	81,865	29,505	..	29,505	52,360
	Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate.	1,91,135	16,359	2,07,494	43,512	..	43,512	1,63,982
Madura ..	Mambarai	16,566	795	17,361	..	..	..	17,361
Nilgiris ..	Neliyalam	481	..	481	(h) 284	..	284	197
Salem ..	Erumaipati ..	2,100	131	2,231	2,231	..	2,231	..
	Shulagiri	29,483	1,474	30,957	3,500	..	3,500	27,457
	Yelagiri	14,705	(i) 983	15,688	11,522	..	11,522	4,166
South Canara ..	Puttamma's estate.	(j) 22,400	835	23,235	10,235	..	10,235	13,000
	Total ..	15,02,483	1,42,949	16,45,432	3,53,367	22,203	3,75,570	12,69,882

(a) No figures were given by the Collector in the previous year's report.
(b) This differs from the figure in column 22 of statement IV. The Collector will be called on for an explanation.
(c) Amounts due to parties and pleaders under Court decrees.
(d) This is the correct figure: the one given last year is said to be approximate.
(e) This is now brought to account.
(f) Includes Rs. 31,205, which fell due in fasli 1298.
(g) This is the correct figure.
(h) Payment in kind.
(i) Includes Rs. 653, newly brought to account.
(j) The difference is due to the difficulty in ascertaining the exact amount due.

No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89).

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.	Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
				Years.	Class for which fit.					English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi ..	The ward's sons— 1. Sri Jagannadha Narayana Deo. (Since dead).	18	..	..	IV Lower (Private tuition).	210	200	1. English—Royal Reader, No. III, 90 pages; and Royal Reader, No. IV, 17 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Finished Vulgar Fractions, Rule of Three and Simple Practice. 3. Algebra—Addition. 4. History—India in Uriya, 60 pages. 5. Geography—Finished India and Asia and begun Europe. 6. Telugu—Telugu Third Reader and whole of Telugu Grammar. 7. Uriya—Whole of Sakuntala Prose) and whole of Sundaraxanda (Poetry) and Lacey's Grammar.	Progress, fair.	Fair ..	History, middling; Geography, good.	Telugu—texts, fair; Grammar, fair, Uriya—texts, good; Grammar, fair.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlákimedi. Attendance, very good; Handwriting—English, good; Telugu, very fair; Uriya, very good; General behaviour, very satisfactory.
		2. Sri Padmanabha Narayana Deo.	16½	..	..	Do. ..	210	205	Do. do.	Progress, good.	Do. ..	History, fair; Geography, good.	Telugu—texts, fair; Grammar, good. Uriya—texts and Grammar, good.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlákimedi. Attendance and general behaviour, good; Handwriting, English, Telugu and Uriya, good.
	Chinna Kimedi	Sri Brajakisora Deo.	20	8	..	Do. ..	210	196	1. English—Royal Reader, No. III, 90 pages, and Royal Reader No. IV, 17 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Vulgar Fractions and Rule of Three, &c. 3. History—India in Uriya, 60 pages. 4. Geography—India and Asia finished and Europe begun. 5. Telugu—Telugu Third Reader and whole of Telugu Grammar. 6. Uriya—Whole of Sakuntala (Prose) and whole of Sundarakanda (Poetry), and Lacey's Grammar.	English text and Dictation, poor; Reading and Translation, middling; Grammar, fair.	Do. ..	History, poor; Geography, middling.	Telugu—fair. Uriya—. Prose and Grammar, very fair; Poetry, good.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlákimedi. Attendance, good; Handwriting—English, poor; Telugu and Uriya, fair; General behaviour, very satisfactory.
	Jarada ..	Sri Raghunatha Samantharaya Deo.	18	9	..	Do. ..	210	181	1. English—Royal Reader, No III, 90 pages, and Royal Reader, No. IV, 17 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Vulgar Fractions, Rule of Three, &c. 3. Algebra—Addition. 4. History—India in Uriya. 5. Geography—India and Asia finished and Europe begun. 6. Telugu—Telugu Third Reader and whole of Telugu Grammar. 7. Uriya—Whole of Sakuntala (Prose) and whole of Sundarakanda (Poetry), and whole of Lacey's Grammar.	English texts, Grammar and Reading, fair; Dictation and Translation, very fair.	Poor ..	History, middling; Geography, good.	Telugu—texts, fair; Grammar, middling. Uriya—Prose, very fair; Poetry, good. Grammar, fair.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlákimedi. Attendance, very fair; Handwriting, English and Telugu, very fair; Uriya, good; General behaviour, satisfactory.

Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Ganjam—*cont.*	Urlám ..	Kandukuri Mahalakshmianma.	20	..	..	..	..	..
	Dhárákót ..	Madana Mohana Simha Deo.	18	9	..	I Class (Private tuition).	210	177
	Kurlá ..	1. Tirupasur Venkata Kondal Row.	18	15	IV Lower.	IV Upper, Municipal Middle School and Native College, Berhampore.	177½	161
		2. Tirupasur Venkata Narasinga Row.	16	13	Do. ..	Do. and V, do. do.	175½	168½
		3. Tirupasur Venkata Jagannadha Row.	14	11	Lower and Upper Primary.	II and III Classes, do.	175	119
		4. Tirupasur Venkata Rama Row.	6	..	..	..	..	..
	Aska	Tirupasur Sundaramma.	26	23	..	..	..	..
	Kallikót ..	1. Sri Hari Hara Mardaraja Deo.	18	16	..	I Class (Private tuition).	210	173

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
1. English—Nelson's Royal Reader, No. III, the whole. 2. Grammar, Primer, up to Syntax. 3. History—India — Early English period. 4. Geography—Outlines of Geography and Madras Presidency. 5. Arithmetic—Reduction, Compound Rules and Vulgar Fractions. 6. Drawing—Cassell's series. 7. Music—Hemphy's method. 8. Translation from English into Vernacular and *vice versâ*. 9. Needlework—Fancy.	..	..	..	..	Under the tuition of Miss M. Fallofield, Governess.
1. English—Garthwaite's English First Book, 40 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Simple Division. 3. Geography—Map of the Madras Presidency. 4. Telugu—Telugu First Book, 30 pages. 5. Uriya—Hitopadesa, 20 pages (1st part) (Prose). Sujanasatakam (40 Verses) Poetry, and Radhanadoss' Grammar, 15 pages.	English Reader, very fair; Reading, good; Dictation, fair.	Good ..	Geography, fair.	Telugu text, good; Uriya—Prose, Poetry and Grammar, very fair.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlákimedi. Attendance, fair; Handwriting—English, fair; Telugu and Uriya, very fair; General behaviour, very satisfactory.
Failed in the Middle School Examination.	..	..	..	..	Attendance, good; Handwriting, poor; General behaviour, good.
Passed the Middle School Examination.	..	..	..	..	Attendance, very good; Handwriting, fair; General behaviour, very good.
....	$\frac{7}{10}$	$\frac{8}{8}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{7}{10}$	Attendance, good; Handwriting, poor; General behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	Is studying under a private tutor at Aska.
....	..	..	..	..	The proprietrix was illiterate when the estate was taken under the Court's management. It is reported that she is taught by a private tutor whom she pays from her Towji (allowance).
1. Garthwaite's English First Book, 40 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Up to Simple Division. 3. Geography—Map of the Madras Presidency. 4. Telugu—First Book, 30 pages; Uriya—Nitikadha, finished; Hitopadesa, 1st part, 20 pages; Poetry—Sujana Satakam, 40 verses; Radhanadoss' Uriya Grammar, 15 pages.	Text fair; Reading, very fair; Dictation, fair.	Very fair ..	Fair ..	Telugu, fair; Uriya, good.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlákimedi. Attendance, fair; Handwriting—English, very fair; Telugu and Uriya, good; General behaviour, very satisfactory.

Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Ganjam—*cont.*	Kallikót—*cont.*	2. Brajaraja Deo ..	12	6	..	I Class (Private tuition).	210	171
Vizagapatam	Sripuram ..	Sri Raja Inuganti Raja Gopalarayanin Garu.	14	4	..	IV Class, Zemindari School, Bobbili.	240	200
		1. Mindi Ramajogi Garu.	12	9	I Class ..	III Class, Municipal Town school, and Municipal High school, Bimlipatam.	200½	150
	Appikonda..	2. Mindi Sriramachandram Garu	11	8	Preparatory class.	II Class, Municipal Town school, Bimlipatam.	226	165½
		3. Mindi Subramanyam Garu.	8	5	Not of school-going age.	Preparatory class, do.	226	134

25
2526

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
1. Garthwaite's English First Book, 40 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Up to Simple Division. 3. Geography—Map of the Madras Presidency. 4. Telugu—First Book, 30 pages; Uriya—Nitikadha, finished; Hitopadesa, 1st part, 20 pages; Poetry—Sujana Satakam, 40 verses; Radhanadoss' Uriya Grammar, 15 pages.	Text, poor; Reading, middling; Dictation, poor.	Poor ..	Fair ..	Telugu, middling; Uriya, fair.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlakimedi. Attendance, fair; Handwriting—English and Telugu, middling; Uriya, fair; General behaviour, very satisfactory.
1. English—Barrow's Fourth Reader, 60 pages; Manual of Grammar, 40 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Reduction, Practice and Rule of Three. 3. Euclid—First Book, 8 propositions. 4. Sinclair's History—65 pages. 5. Duncan's Geography—India, 30 pages; Asia, 15 pages. 6. Telugu—Nalacharithra, verses 30 to 80; Venkayya's Grammar, 15 pages.	$\frac{35}{50}$	$\frac{12}{50}$	He did not attend the other subjects as he was unwell at the time of examination.		Attendance, good; Handwriting, fair; General behaviour, good.
1. English—Garthwaite's Third Reader, 24 pages. 2. Elements of English Grammar, 26 pages. 3. Arithmetic—Double Rule of Three and Decimals. 4. History—Sinclair's smaller Telugu History (pages 56 to 122). 5. Geography—Duncan's Europe (Telugu), 33 pages. 6. Telugu—Poetry, Nalacharitram, 37 verses; Venkayya's Grammar, 12 pages.	$\frac{15}{50}$	$\frac{13}{50}$	$\frac{24}{50}$	$\frac{91}{150}$	
1. English—Garthwaite's Second Reader, 45 pages. 2. Elements of English Grammar, 15 pages. 3. Arithmetic—Vulgar Fractions and Rule of Three. 4. History—Sinclair's smaller Telugu (41—64 pages). 5. Geography—Duncan's Asia, 37 pages. Telugu—Third Reader, 36 pages; Seshayya's Grammar (20—31 pages). Poetry—Nithimanjari, 60 verses.	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{14}{49}$	..	$\frac{3}{5}$	Attendance and General behaviour, good.
1. English—Garthwaite's First Reader, 13 pages. 2. Spelling Guide, 10 pages. 3. Arithmetic—Four Simple Rules. 4. Geography—Vizagapatam District, 23 pages. Telugu—Second Reader, 17 pages; Seshayya's Grammar, 8 pages; Poetry—Nithimanjari, 16 verses.	$\frac{23}{40}$	$\frac{7}{40}$	..	$\frac{1}{3}$	

Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Godâvari ..	Lakkavaram ..	Sri Raja Mantripragada Bhujanga Row Garu.	13	3	..	Upper IV Class, Church Mission School, Ellore.	196	180
Kistna ..	Mailavaram ..	1. Rajah Suranani Venkata Purushothama Jagannadha Gopal Row Bahadur.	17	16	..	III Class, Noble College, Masulipatam.	157	137
		2. Rajah Suranani Venkata Papaya Row Bahadur.	14	13	..	Private study.	..	..
		3. Rajah Suranani Venkata Narayana Row Bahadur.	11	10	..			
	Kapileswarapuram ..	Rajah Venkata Rangayya Appa Row Bahadur.	15	14	III Class ..	III and Lower IV, Noble College, Masulipatam.	178	155
Cuddapah ..	Chitticherla ..	Tarigonda Venkatappa Nayanivaru.	20	7	Preparatory.	Upper IV, Town High School, Madanapalle.	200	170
North Arcot ..	Kangundi ..	1. Rama Subbaraya Nayanivaru.	20	13	III Standard.	..	..	..

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General Remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
..	$\frac{26}{70}$	$\frac{13}{40}$	$\frac{32}{80}$	Language, $\frac{18}{40}$ Grammar, $\frac{19}{40}$	The Principal of the Church Mission School at Ellore reports as follows :— "He should go up for his Middle School Examination this time and I shall recommend him too if he has done satisfactorily test papers. Next year he should be in the 5th class."
1. English—C. V. E. S. Fourth Reader. 2. Arithmetic—Raghava Chetty's Arithmetic. 3. History—Telugu Indian History. 4. Geography—Duncan's Europe and Asia. 5. Sanskrit—First Book and Saptamanjari.	$\frac{44}{200}$	$\frac{9}{200}$	$\frac{8}{100}$	$\frac{8}{100}$	..
1. English—Mission First and Second Readers. 2. Arithmetic—General. 3. Geography—Kistna District. 4. Telugu—Nalacharithra and Panchatantram.	..	..	..	..	..
English—C. V. E. S. Fourth and Fifth Readers, and Manual of Grammar. Mathematics—Raghava Chetty's Arithmetic; Algebra (General) and first book of Euclid. History of India. Geography—Asia and Europe (Duncan's) and Manual of Geography, Asia. Sanskrit—First Book; Saptamanjari and Raghuvamsam.	$\frac{93}{200}$	$\frac{30}{200}$	$\frac{66}{100}$	$\frac{56}{100}$	..
The Fifth Reader; Manual of Grammar; Sinclair's Indian History; Duncan's Geography; Euclid (First Book); Arithmetic; Algebra including Fractions; Telugu Poetry (Nala's History) and Telugu (Venkayya's) Grammar.	$\frac{65}{140}$	$\frac{55}{200}$	$\frac{21}{120}$	Language, $\frac{30}{70}$ Grammar, $\frac{13}{20}$	Attendance, unsatisfactory; Handwriting, pretty fair; General behaviour, good.
1. English—Selected portions of English poetry and Æsop's fables (the whole); Grimm's, Anderson's and other Fairy tales (selected portions); Lady Brassey's voyage in the "Sunbeam" and others of a like nature. 2. Arithmetic—the whole .. 3. Algebra—Four Simple Rules. 4. Euclid—First eight propositions of the First Book.	..	..	..	..	Under Mr. Creighton's tuition. Has a very fair knowledge of Geography (General) and the History of India. Has made satisfactory progress in geometrical and free-hand drawing. Handwriting, good; Behaviour, satisfactory; Progress in education, satisfactory.

Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3		5	6	7	8	9
North Arcot —*cont.*	Kangundi—*cont.*	2. Subbarazu Nayanivaru.	17	11	..	..	..	..
	Timmajamma's estate.	Timmajamma ..	10	8	I Standard.	I Standard (under a private tutor).	..	..
	Pulicherla ..	Venkata Chandrappa Nayanivaru.	14	13	III Standard (I Class).	III Standard (I Class) Local Fund School, Chittoor.	259	129
Chingleput ..	Panaiyur ..	1. C. T. Kalappa Mudaliyar.	16	14	IV Lower.	IV Upper, Native High School, Mailapur.	188	137

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
Has read the same books as his brother, though not so thoroughly and, in addition, has gone through a good portion of the Third Reader. In Arithmetic he has gone up to the end of Decimal Fractions and is fairly good in the subject. In Geography and History he has done the same as his brother, though by no means so proficient. His progress in Telugu prose and poetry has been good and fairly good in Tamil, a new subject. He speaks and understands English fairly. He and his brother are good riders, good tennis players and go through the sword exercises well and also the simple exercises in gymnastics.	..	..	..	..	Under Mr. Creighton's private tuition. Behaviour and progress in education, satisfactory.
Telugu—Has finished the first part of the Telugu First Book and can write small passages from dictation. Arithmetic—Simple Addition, Subtraction and Multiplication.	..	..	..	..	Conduct, good.
....	$\frac{28}{40}$	$\frac{34}{40}$	$\frac{19}{20}$	$\frac{41\frac{1}{2}}{60}$	Attendance, not satisfactory; Handwriting, fair; General behaviour, satisfactory.
July to December 1889. Fifth Reader— Prose—13—37 pages .. .. .. Poetry—Lessons VIII to XV and XXVI. Manual of Grammar, whole .. Indian History (Sinclair's)—British Period. Geography—Africa, America, Oceania and India. Geometry—First Book .. .. Algebra—to the end of fractions. Arithmetic—Rule of Three, Double Rule of Three, Square Measure and Miscellaneous Problems. Vernacular— Sanskrit—First Book. Middle School Text, 1889 .. *January to April* 1890. Pre-matriculation Text— Prose—46—53 pages .. .. Poetry—1—7 pages .. .. Bain's Grammar 1—99 pages .. Indian History (Sinclair's)—1—34 pages. English History (Sinclair's)—1—32 pages. Geography (Manual of)—Africa and America. Geometry—First Book (Revision) Algebra—Resolution, Fractions and Equations. Arithmetic—Problems in Arithmetic, Chapter V to XI. Vernacular— Sanskrit—Raguvamsam, 30 stanzas. Copy, Dictation and Translation daily.	$\frac{15}{16}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	Language, $\frac{23}{40}$ Grammar, $\frac{29}{40}$	Attendance, irregular, owing to his illness last year for upwards of two months. He was therefore unable to appear for the Middle School Examination. Handwriting, fair, General behaviour, good.

P4

Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Tanjore—*cont.*	Vadapathimangalam.	1. Somasundara Mudaliyar.	19	11	II Class	Not studying in any school.	..	..
		2. Minakshisundara Mudaliyar.	11	3	..	III Standard, Feeder school to the Local Fund School, Tiruvâlur.	105	91
	Tyagasamudram.	1. Ramaswami ..	19	15	..	..	..	..
		2. Sitharaman ..	17	13	I Standard.	IV Class, Town High School, Kumbakónam.	40	5
		3. Sivaraman ..	9	5	Standard.	II and III Classes, Kángyan School and Town High School, Kumbakónam.	179	94
		4. Subbaraman, step-brother of the late proprietor.	17	13	III Standard.	..	..	..
	Singavanam.	Durairajah *alias* Aiyarappa Meikkan Gopalar.	16	12	II Standard.	IV Upper, S.P.G. recognized High school attached to St. Peter's College, Tanjore.	185	148
	Ramasetuswamiyar's estate.	1. Seturamaswamiyar	20	17	..	..	..	..

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
	English Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
....	..	..	..	..	Failed in the Matriculation examination. Handwriting, good; General behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	Did not attend the annual examination. Attendance, good; Handwriting, fair; General behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	Studying Sanskrit under a private tutor. Attendance, regular; Handwriting; fair, and behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	Attendance, very bad; Behaviour, very bad; Handwriting, bad.
....	..	..	..	..	Did not, owing to illness, attend the examination. Attendance, fair; Handwriting, bad; Behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	Does not attend any school. Handwriting, fair; Behaviour, fair.
1. Bradshaw's Fifth Reader—pages 1—75. 2. Manual of Grammar—Etymology and Syntax, pages 1—70 3. Arithmetic—Vulgar and Decimal Fractions, Practice and Problems. 4. Algebra—Up to Division. 5. Euclid—First Book, 23 propositions. 6. History—India, whole of Sinclair's. 7. Geography — Notes — Asia, India, Africa, America, and Oceania—the whole. 8. Tamil Poetry—Anthology No. 2, pages 1—49; Grammar—whole of Mahalinga Aiyar's Grammar.	$\frac{16}{16}$	$\frac{24}{100}$	$\frac{12}{16}$	Language, $\frac{16}{16}$ Grammar, $\frac{8}{16}$	Attendance, satisfactory; Handwriting, fair; Gymnastics, middling; General behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	Does not read in any school but attends at home to his religious studies, besides Sanskrit and Music. Is said to be indifferent in his studies. He was examined by the Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools in Tamil and Arithmetic and found fit for the III standard class.

Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Tanjore—*cont.*	Ramasetu-swamiyar's estate—*cont.*	2. Meruswami ..	16	13	II Class ..	VI Standard, Vedavalli Ammal's Middle School, Tanjore.	238	28
		3. Ramadosswami.	12	9	I Class ..	IV Standard, Viraraghava Pillay's Branch School, Tanjore.	238	132
		4. Sundaraswami ..	8	5	..	Infant Standard, Primary School, Setubava Lane, Tanjore.	289	203
	Palayavanam.	Andavaraya Vanangamudi Pandarathar.	6	4	..	..	..	..
	Edamanal.	1. Vijayaraghavulu Nayudu.	10	9	III Standard or I Class.	IV Standard or second class, Anglo-Vernacular Result School, Edamanal.	168	161
		2. Muthukistna Nayudu.	7	6½	II Standard.	III Standard or first class, Anglo-Vernacular Result School, Edamanal.	168	162
Trichinopoly	Peramur ..	Ward's son—Prasanna Venkatesa Aiyar.	16	..	..	IV and V Classes, S.P.G. College, Trichinopoly.	157	152
	Nangavaram Duraiswami Aiyar's estate.	1. N. D. Lakshminarayana Aiyar.	14	6	..	VI and VII Classes, S.P.G. College, Trichinopoly.	157	142
		2. N. D. Subbarama Aiyar.	9	1	..	II and III Classes, S.P.G. College, Trichinopoly.	194	166

30/2826

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
	English Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
....	..	..	..	..	Did not attend the annual examination. Attendance, very irregular; Handwriting, bad. Is said to be obedient and well behaved at school.
....	..	..	..	..	Did not attend the annual examination, but passed the Lower Primary examination conducted by the Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools. Attendance, irregular; Handwriting pretty fair. He is obedient and well behaved.
....	..	..	..	..	Did not undergo any examination.
....	..	..	..	..	The minor is only a beginner under the care of a private tutor; has studied the first five lessons in the Tamil Noolatharam, Part I, and can write Tamil characters and English numerical figures up to 50. General behaviour, good.
....	$\frac{43}{50}$	$\frac{19}{50}$	$\frac{49}{50}$	$\frac{27\frac{1}{2}}{35}$	Attendance, regular; Handwriting, fair; General behaviour, satisfactory.
....	$\frac{14}{50}$	$\frac{9}{50}$	$\frac{19}{50}$	..	Attendance, regular; Handwriting, fair; General behaviour, satisfactory.
....	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{19}{50}$	$\frac{21}{50}$	$\frac{13}{50}$	Attendance, regular and punctual; Handwriting, fair. General behaviour very satisfactory.
....	$\frac{7}{50}$	$\frac{37}{50}$	$\frac{49}{50}$	$\frac{33}{50}$	Attendance, good; Handwriting, improving; General behaviour, very satisfactory.
....	$\frac{13}{50}$	$\frac{29}{50}$	$\frac{13}{50}$	$\frac{1}{50}$	Attendance, fair; Handwriting, improving; General behaviour, good.

Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Trichinopoly —*cont.*	Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate.	1. N. S. Annathurai Aiyar.	14	13	Upper IV class.	Upper IV Class, S.P.G. College, Trichinopoly.	170	123
	Singam Aiyangar's estate.	1. S. Srinivasa Aiyangar.	15	14	V class ..	V and VI Classes, S.P.G. College, Trichinopoly.	177	165
		2. S. Rangaswami Aiyangar.	13	12	Do. ..	Do. ..	177	165
	Ramnad ..	1. Bhaskarasami Setupati.	20	5	..	X Class, Madras Christian College; also under Mr. Creighton's private tuition.	..	..
		2. Dinakarasami Setupati.	17	3	..	VIII Class, Madras Christian College; also under Mr. Creighton's private tuition.	..	..
Madura ..	Sáptúr ..	Ramaswami Kamaya Nayakar.	8	6	..	II Standard. (Under a private tutor).	258	251
	Vadagarai ..	Ramabhadra Nayudu.	15	8	..	Upper IV and V Classes. Native High School, Madura.	222	218
	Mambarai ..	1. Venkatarama Naick.	9	9	Nil. ..	..	..	..
		2. Parasurama Naick.	7	7				
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimitta ..	1. Ponnuswami Maniagar.	11	2	..	II Class (Under private tuition).	3	..

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
	English Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
....	$\frac{12}{15}$	$\frac{13}{20}$	$\frac{7}{15}$	$\frac{23}{75}$	Attendance, fair; Handwriting, good; General behaviour, very satisfactory.
....	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{17}{75}$	$\frac{1}{75}$	Attendance, good; Handwriting, tolerable; General behaviour, fair.
....	$\frac{1}{75}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{12}{150}$	$\frac{8}{40}$	Attendance, good; Handwriting, tolerable; General behaviour, fair.
....	..	..	..	..	Made fairly satisfactory progress on the whole. He kept up his knowledge of Music and attended Gymnastics regularly. Conduct on the whole very satisfactory.
....	..	..	..	..	Made fairly good progress during the first half of the year, but did not do so well during the latter half owing to ill-health. He was an enthusiastic rider and a capital tennis player, and made good progress in Music, Photography and Drawing. Went through all the Gymnastic exercises creditably; Conduct, very satisfactory.
Garthwaite's English First Reader, page 45; Geography of the Madura District and Pope's Tamil Grammar. The minor also receives instruction under the Kindergarten system.	..	..	..	..	There was no annual examination. Attendance, good; Handwriting, fair; General behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	Passed the Middle School examination in the II class. Attendance, very regular; Handwriting, neat and clear; General behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	The estate was taken charge of only in February 1889, and the minors did not attend any school. They were under the tuition of a Tamil teacher and can read Tamil.
English Second Book of Lessons and Fractions in Arithmetic. Tamil-Third Book of Lessons and Pope's Tamil Grammar.	..	..	..	..	....

Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Class and school in which the ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the ward attended School.
				Years.	Class for which fit.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Coimbatore ..	Metráthi ..	Venkata Rangaswami Nathama Nayackar.	8	..	..	..	..	..
	Shulagiri ..	Sriman Masti Mummadi Ramachandra Raja Garu.	7	..	..	I Standard, Local Fund School, Shulagiri.	314	288
Salem ..	Yelagiri ..	1. Muni Papi Reddi.	14	12	V Standard.	Lower IV and Upper IV Classes, Tirupátúr Middle School.	242	192½
		2. Muni Vencata Reddi. (Since dead).	10	8	III Do. ..	III Standard, Hindu Primary School, and II Class, Municipal Middle School, Tirupátúr.	241	197½
		3. Muni Rama Linga Reddi.	6	4	Alphabet Class.	..	..	..
South Canara .	B. Sarvothama Rao's estate.	Sarvothama Rao ..	18	4		Upper IV Class, Ganapathi Temple Street Middle School and Municipal Middle School.	230	160
Malabar ..	Kavalpara ..	1. Parvathi Nethiar, mother of Nos. 2 and 3.	23	6	Was put under a Malayalam private tutor in 1872, but discontinued her studies in 1881.	..	..	..
		2. Kavalapara Nayar, named Kumaran Raman.	7	..	I Standard.	III Standard.	264	237
		3. Narayani Nethiar.	6	..	Infant Standard.	Infant Standard.	..	..

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1298 (1888-89)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
	English Language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
Garthwaite's English First Book; Addition and Subtraction in Arithmetic; Geography of the Coimbatore District in Tamil and Garthwaite's Tamil First and Second Books.	..	..	..	..	Under private tuition. Conduct, generally satisfactory.
Telugu Reader, First Part.	..	..	..	..	....
....	$\frac{63\frac{3}{4}}{170}$	$\frac{53\frac{1}{2}}{90}$	$\frac{45\frac{1}{2}}{100}$	$\frac{92\frac{3}{4}}{140}$	Attendance, good; Handwriting, pretty fair; General behaviour, good.
....	$\frac{12}{20}$	$\frac{13}{20}$	$\frac{16}{30}$	Language, $\frac{14}{20}$ Grammar, $\frac{31\frac{1}{2}}{40}$	Attendance, good; Handwriting, not good; General behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	The minor is taught Telugu by a private tutor in the village. Attendance, regular; Conduct, good.
Failed in the Middle School Examination.	..	..	..	..	Attendance, unsatisfactory during the former part of the year, but fair during the latter. Handwriting, bad; General behaviour, satisfactory.
....	..	..	..	..	Has attained her majority, and given up her studies. She reads and writes her own language well.
English—Garthwaite's First Reader, pages 1 to 47. Arithmetic—Multiplication, Division and Reduction, &c. Geography of Malabar (pages 22 to 46). Malayalam—Achyuta Panikar's Second Reader (pages 1—58). Poetical Anthology—Part II, lines 1 to 50.	$\frac{22}{40}$	$\frac{0}{30}$	$\frac{5}{20}$	Language, $\frac{22\frac{1}{2}}{40}$ Poetry, $\frac{11}{20}$	Has been promoted to the fourth standard class. His attendance is regular.
....	..	..	..	..	....

ORDER—dated 15th August 1890, No. 662, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

With these Proceedings, the Court of Wards submits its report on the administration of the estates under its charge during fasli 1298 (1888-89).

2. During the year under report, three estates were handed over to their proprietors, while five were newly taken up. The number of estates under management at the end of the year was fifty-two, or two more than at the beginning. Of the wards, forty-one were incapacitated by minority, five by sex alone and the remaining six by lunacy or imbecility.

3. The financial condition of the three estates surrendered to their proprietors is reviewed in paragraphs 4—6 of the Court's report. In Merangi, which was in the hands of the Court for over 19 years, the demand rose from Rs. 38,250 to Rs. 46,750, or by 22 per cent.; Rs. 25,500 were spent on irrigation works and other permanent improvements; and the balance to the credit of the estate rose from Rs. 59,446 at the time of its assumption to Rs. 1,15,900 at the date of its restoration. The condition of the other two estates, though not equally prosperous, was, nevertheless, creditable to the management. The Government regrets to note that the progress made in education by the proprietors of the Merangi and Nadavapalle estates was unsatisfactory.

4. Of the fifty-two estates under management, thirty-four were held on zemindari tenure and the rest consisted of ryotwari lands. The number and tenures of the villages in the zemindari estates are shown in statement II-A appended to the report and are reviewed in paragraph 10 of the report. There appears to be something wrong in the classification of the villages. For instance, in the Ramnad zemindari, not one village is shown under ryotwari or joint-rent, while the number paying money-rent and rent in kind is $329\frac{3}{3}\frac{7}{2}$ and $1,010\frac{5}{8}$, respectively. It is probable that, in many of these cases, the villages are ryotwari though the rent is paid in kind. The payment of the rent in kind, it must be observed, is not inconsistent with a ryotwari tenure. The Court is requested to look into the matter.

5. The details of holdings and cultivation given in paragraphs 11 and 12 of the report do not call for any special remark, but the explanation given by the Court for the increase in the holdings in Kallikót, Kangundi, Shulagiri and Timmajamma's estate appears to show that the area of *mustajari* or *izara* (*i.e.*, rented) villages is not included under holdings. If this presumption is correct, the information furnished under holdings and cultivation is incomplete. The Court is requested to report on the point.

6. The following abstract shows the state of the collections :—

—	Demand.	Collections and remissions.	Balance.	Percentage of balance to demand in fasli		
				1296.	1297.	1298.
	Rs	Rs.	Rs.			
Arrears	14,45,822	5,45,896	8,99,926	44·9	65·3	62·2
Current	25,07,375	19,23,988	5,83,337	15·6	18·2	23·3
Total ..	39,53,197	24,69,884	14,83,313	24·6	50·1	37·5

7. The arrear collections were unsatisfactory, though there was a slight improvement as compared with the previous year. Deducting the collections and the amounts written off the accounts since the close of the fasli under report, the balance exceeded 25 per cent. of the demand in twenty-four estates. The Court should call for special reports in the case of these estates and ascertain how much of the arrears can be collected without undue hardship to the ryots and what portion should be written off the accounts as irrecoverable. The current collections were more satisfactory, though there was no improvement as compared with the two previous years. About 48 per cent. of the current balance (Rs. 2,80,434) appertains to the nine estates in the Ganjam district,

33/2526

where the season was very unfavorable for agricultural operations. Deducting this amount, the percentage of the balance to the demand is reduced from 23·3 to 16·5—a result which may be regarded as fairly satisfactory.

8. The total cash receipts of the year amounted to Rs. 30,11,170 and the total charges to Rs. 28,02,779. The former includes Rs. 1,55,357, made up of the items marginally noted, and the latter Rs. 491 invested in Government paper. Excluding these items, the ordinary revenue and charges of the year aggregated Rs. 28,55,813 and Rs. 28,02,288, respectively. The Court will consider whether these particulars may not be separately shown in statement No. IV instead of being left to be gathered from the footnotes added thereto. In paragraph 21 of the report, the charges of the year are compared with those of the previous year for each estate. The figures, however, include two items which, in the opinion of Government, may well be omitted. These are (1) the amount invested in the purchase of Government paper, which is rather an investment of the savings than an item of expenditure, and (2) the sums paid in liquidation of the debts due to the several estates—a subject which is fully considered in paragraph 26 of the report. A comparison of the figures, excluding these items, will enable the Government to see at a glance whether the expenditure was normal or otherwise.

	Rs.
Amount realised by the sale of Government paper	35,334
Amount realised by the sale of lands	39,716
Amount realised by the sale of houses	3,702
Amount realised by the sale of ornaments	9,817
Amount realised by the sale of lands, ornaments and horses ...	30,888
Amount borrowed	35,900
Total ...	1,55,357

9. In paragraph 22 of the report, the percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the receipts is compared for faslis 1297 and 1298 and the marked fluctuations are explained. One cause of these fluctuations appears to be that the receipts include the debts repaid to the estates and the unusual items shown on the margin of paragraph 8 *supra*. By the exclusion of these items, the receipts will represent the normal revenue of each estate, and a comparison of the cost of management by Government with this revenue will indicate in what cases there is room for reduction of expenditure. Taking all the estates together, the percentage according to the above method will be found to be 9·6 as shown on the margin. In twelve estates—Dhárakót, Bodogoda, Kurla, Appikonda, Lakkavaram, Kangundi, Gandharvakóta, Tiruvalanjuli, Sáptúr, Nelliyalam and the estates of Pattamma and Bimbalen—the percentage exceeds 12, and the Collectors concerned should be called on to report what reduction of expenditure can be effected.

	Rs.	Rs.
Total receipts		30,11,170
Deduct—	Rs.	
(i) Debts recovered ...	88,829	
(ii) Unusual item ...	1,55,357	
		2,44,186
Balance ...		27,66,984(A)
Establishment charges.	2,35,636	
Government commission.	31,427	
		2,67,063(B)
Percentage of B to A = 9·6.		

10. The expenditure on public works during the year compares favorably with the outlay in the two preceding years as shown below :—

—	Fasli 1296.	Fasli 1297.	Fasli 1298.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1. Irrigation works	1,02,925	1,60,463	92,579
2. Buildings	18,772	33,914	33,120
3. Communications	10,652	2,640	2,886
4. Expenditure for which no details have been furnished.	..	5,370	1,30,709
5. Cost of supervision	19,484	17,175	21,424
Total ..	1,51,833	2,19,562	2,80,718

The proportion of the aggregate outlay under all the items to the total charges (excluding from the latter debts repaid and the sum invested in Government paper) exceeded 11 per cent. and compares favorably with the previous year's expenditure. Omitting the three large estates—Parlákimedi, Chinna Kimedi and Ramnad—which have been handed over since the close of the fasli, the total outlay on public works in the remaining estates amounted to Rs. 94,785, or about 7 per cent. of the total expenditure in those estates. It is explained that no improvement on this ratio is probable in the future, as many of the estates are either small or involved in debt and cannot afford to spare large sums of money for expenditure on public works. In twelve of the estates, the works were entrusted to the Public Works Department and the total allotments amounted to Rs. 3,06,012, of which only Rs. 1,64,718, or 53·8 per cent., were spent. The failure of the Public Works officers to secure a fair degree of expenditure on estate works in Ganjam has formed the subject of G.O., dated 10th May 1890, No. 2881. In Kangundi, the public works in which have been entrusted to the District Board Engineer, 78·4 per cent. of the allotment was expended during the year. In the remaining estates, the public works were under the charge of Collectors, but, in several of these, the expenditure fell far short of the allotments, especially in the cases noted on the margin. The Collectors concerned should be called upon to explain.

	Percentage of expenditure to allotment.
Sáptúr	24·8
Shulagiri	20·9
Yelagiri	9·6

11. With some exceptions, the educational progress of the wards appears to have been, on the whole, fair. The Government is glad to observe that some of the elder minors were instructed in Revenue Law and Accounts.

12. Paragraph 31 of the Court's report, dealing with the condition of the ryots in the estates under its management, is interesting. An attempt should be made, if possible, in future reports, to calculate the average rent paid by the ryots per acre of dry and wet lands in the several estates under management and to ascertain also the extent to which recourse is had to the Rent Recovery Act and civil suits for the realisation of the demand.

13. The consolidated report on the subject of alienations in Wards' estates has been very long delayed. The Court should use every endeavour to expedite its submission.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Court of Wards.
„ the Public Works Department.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

50 Copies.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.

REVENUE.

No. 2526 Ws/23 Sept 1890

Communicated to Suptg: Engineers

Concerned for information.

22/ W. L. C. B

D. W

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 15th August 1890, No. 662.

AUGUST.

S. Cos I, IV & VI circles.

Court of Wards.

The report on the administration of the estates under the management of the — during fasli 1298 (1888-89), reviewed.