

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 6
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 2.11.89
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/11

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	2324-W	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	28. 8. 1990	1990

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

No. 978 G2.

2329
1

FROM,

J. W. Martin Esq^r

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

H. W. Dept

FOR IRRIGATION.

DOWLAISHWERAM, 12 August-1890

Deofo 14

Pencil 009

1 Drafts defence TO,

1 Copy of judgment
of Special Agent
Agent in a civil
suit No. 4 of 1887

1 Copy of judgment
of the High Court
of Madras on civil
suit No. 13 of 1887

1 Copy of civil
appeal No. 8 of 1888

1 Copy of appeal
plaints preferred
in the Court of Agents
Civil

1 Translation for

1 Copy of the judgment
of the District
District Munsiff
of Bhadrachalam
in a civil suit
No. 1 of 1884

1 Translation for

1 Copy of judgment
of Special Agent
Agent in a civil
suit No. 1 of 1880

SIR,

In forwarding the documents re-
lating to an appeal suit of Patakerla Ten-
kamma in which the interests of Government
are involved I have the honor to explain
as follows:-

About the year 1867 certain blocks
of land measuring about 871 acres were
acquired for the Dummagudem - Bhadrachalam
Canal, & compensation was paid
for them - The Project for the Canal
was abandoned, but some time in the
year mentioned the then Executive Eng^r
gave permission to the mother of the res-
pondent in the appeal suit now under
consideration to erect a house on a small
plot of the land taken up for the Canal
on condition of paying a small rent annually

5

and removing the house when required -
The mother of the respondent & other connections remained in the house until 1884 when the Naib of the Superior Proprietor of Bhadrachellam Taluq dispossessed, wrongly it is contended, the respondent & gave permission to Patakarla Venkamma to occupy the plot of ground and build a shed on it -

Against this the respondent a woman called Kokumuri Sitaramy instituted a civil suit in the Special Assistant Agents Court Godavari against the Naib of the Superior Proprietor of Bhadrachellam and Patakarla Venkamma the (Appellant in the present suit) praying the Court to restore to her the possession of the site &c. The Special Assistant Agent delivered judgment in her favor and decided that the Public Works Department is the owner of the land on behalf of Government and not the Superior Proprietor Bhadrachellam. This decision was appealed against by the Appellant in the Government Agents Court, Godavari District but was dismissed under Section 4 of the Limitation Act. The High Court however directed the Agent to admit the appeal & dispose of it on its merits.

The respondent Kokumuri Sitaramy

2127
says she is too poor to conduct the appeal and therefore, she has asked this department to defend it. It is, I think desirable that Government should conduct the appeal for it is largely interested in maintaining its rights of ownership over the land. I therefore recommend that the Executive Engineer Eastern Division, Godavari, be made additional respondent on behalf of the Secretary of state, and that permission should be given to him to defend the appeal at the expense of Government.

4. The Exec Engineer has requested the Government Agent to postpone the case to the 30 September 1890 with a view to the necessary orders of Government being obtained for him to defend the appeal.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient St.

L. W. Martin.
Sup^r Eng^r 1st Circle

J. W. Martin

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

R. W. Deft

FOR IRRIGATION.

DESPATCHED
RECEIVED

DATED 12
DESPATCHED 14 } August 1890
RECEIVED 10 }

No. 97862

ENCLOSURES 9

SUBJECT.