

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 22
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 4/9/
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/2

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.யு.த துறை

அரசாணை எண். 2269 அச்சகம்
 மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண் 2269 பலவகை

நாள் : 21-8-1990

198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

2269

Notes of Inspection of H. Laimier Esquire of
the office of the Executive Engineer Kodavari
Central Division at Kalli on the 28th February
and 1st March 1890.

Mr. W. C. Lewis Executive Engineer 4th grade
is still the Executive Engineer and Mr. L. K.
C. Bramariah (4th grade) is the accountant.
The office was last inspected by an accounts
officer in March 1889 (vide G. O. No. 1431 W.
dated 29th May 1889).

2. The Sub Divisional arrangements are
the same as last reported but the Nagarani
Sub Division has changed hands Mr. J. S.
Wilson Assistant Engineer 1st grade being now
in charge with his head quarters at Nagara-
rai. The head quarters of the Kalli Sub
Divisional Officer Mr. A. Vythianadha Iyer
Sub Engineer are at Amalapur. Both the
Sub Divisional Officers have drawing accounts
and they make unlimited payments.

3 The imprest holders are as follows:-

Name and Rank	Amount
A. Recouped by Nagarani Sub Division Officer.	
(1) M. T. S. Subramanya Iyer Overseer	200
(2) T. Karasimharow. Kaidu Overseer	300
B. Recouped by Lalli Sub Division Officer.	
(3) Sergeant W. Lewis Overseer	300

4 Sub Divisional Cash Contracts are received with fair punctuality. But the ^{Rally} Sub Divisional Cash Contracts are not generally completely supported by vouchers. Seven vouchers (5 petty supervision and 2 ^{materials} ~~Ass~~ (Bills) relating to February's Cash Contracts 1st to 15, are still due.

5. Mr. J. S. Wilson Assistant Engineer was paid the first charge on account of his pay and allowances on transfer to this Division before audit. Note para 473 (7) notwithstanding.

6. Payments to labourers have in some cases been late the following are a few instances.

Name

2289

Name of paying Officer	Period of Muster Roll	Date of Payment	Amount
Mr. L. A. Sreenivasa Iyengar Assistant Engineer	28 Sept 89 7 Oct 89 8 to 17 Oct 89	24 Nov 89	1-
Mr. L. Subramanya Iyer Overseer	28 Sept 89 7 Oct 89	8 Nov 89	1-
Mr. Atkinson Sub Overseer	8 to 18 Aug 89	23 Sept 89	1-
Mr. L. A. Srinivasa Iyengar Assistant Engineer	28 July 89 7 Aug 1889	29 Oct 89	2-
Mr. Atkinson Sub Overseer	8 to 17 June 89	29 Sept 89	3-
	8 to 17 July 89	29 Sept 89	2-
Mr. L. A. Srinivasa Iyengar Assistant Engineer	28 Aug 89 7 Sept 89 1889	15 Oct 89	1-
Mr. L. Subramanya Iyer Overseer	28 Aug 89 7 Sept 89 18 to 27 July 89	15 Oct 89 5 Sept 89	1-8
Sergeant Lewis Overseer	28 Sept 89 7 Oct 89 8 to 17 Oct 89 October 89	24 Nov 89 25 Nov 89 25 Nov 89	1-4 1-8 1-4
Mr. J. S. Wilson Assistant Engineer	8 to 17 Dec 89	26 Jan 89	1-7
Sergeant W. Lewis Overseer	8 to 17 Oct 89	17 Nov 89	2-

7 Nagarani Sub Division. Protecting last mile of lower Kowrika embankment.

Sub Division Voucher No. 5 of January 1890. Nominal Muster Roll 18th - 27th October paid on 14 December 1889 not

brought to account till January 1890 or two months afterwards.

8. Protecting last mile of lower Kawsika embankment.

9. Sub Division Voucher No. 6 of January 1890.

10. Minimal Muster Roll 28th October to 7th November

11. paid on 14th December or 1 month and 7 days afterwards.

9	x	x	x	x
10	x	x	x	x

11. A register of cheques drawn but not paid away is maintained. But cheques Nos 15A to 22A drawn on the 1st February 1890 and despatched to payees address on the 5th idem have not been entered in the Register.

12. In the following circumstances there have been payments to other than actual creditors without affidavits as required by Code 464. One Sub Divisional Lascar H. Sethiah who was on leave having died salary due to him up to the date of his going on leave viz Rs 3-1-2 was paid to his son

2269
3
the 6th January 1890 by J. A. Garam Sub Division Officer without the required affidavit because the individual who was actually paid had been introduced to the Sub Division Officer by the deceased as his son and employed in his place as substitute. Similarly one H. Veerasamy also Sub Divisional Lascar of the same Sub Division having absconded the Travelling allowance and arrears of salary due to him viz Rs 1-4-6 was paid on 15th February 1890 without necessary affidavit, to his father who has been a lock lascar for several years in the Division.

13. There is no change in the practice regarding toll fee collections.

14. With reference to para 7 of the last inspection report receipts are now granted by Lock Superintendents for toll ticket books supplied them.

15. The Bobilanka Lock Superintendents statements of his collections were compared with the toll and raft tickets which again were checked with reference to the revised Navigation rules and no discrepancies were discovered.

16 Surrendered tickets were also compared with the counterfoils the result being satisfactory.

17 Completing protection of the Gunnararam.
Aqueduct estimate Rs 37,600.

Arrears of one muster Roll are not brought forward in the subsequent muster Roll. For instance the unpaid arrears of Rs 2-13-6 on the muster Roll 18 to 27 December 1889 were not brought forward in the subsequent muster Roll 28 December 1889 to 7 January 1890. Similarly the unpaid arrears of the latter muster Roll 28 December to 7 January viz Rs 5-12-3 were not brought forward in the muster Roll for 8 to 17 January 1890 and ~~soon~~ ^{soon}. Arrears of one Roll should always be brought forward in the subsequent Rolls and the last Roll should shew all the arrears due to labourers.

18 Vouchers not submitted to the Examiner's Office for audit as described in columns 6 and 7 of List of accounts and vouchers part II

2269
were audited for the months April to August 1889 and found correct.

19 Annual repairs to V. arista left bank
from head up to 52 mile Estimate Rs 1600
The arrears of three annas referred to in para 20 of the last inspection report were credited to the work in the accounts of February 1889 in spite of the Examiner's instructions (item 9 of summary) that the arrears should be credited to Provincial Revenue. As however the work was completed and accounts closed the matter may rest.

20 Emergent Repairs due to floods of July
1887 Vinathayam Godavari Right bank
Estimate Rs 740.

Regarding the arrears of Rs 14-12-0 referred to in para 27 of the last inspection report the Sub Divisional Officer Nagaram Sub Division Mr. L. A. Srinivasa Aiyangar reported that of the sum of Rs 14-12-0 Rs 12-14-0 had been paid away already and that the

balance 1-14-0 was not claimed by any body.
As the amount Rs 12-14-0 was found to
have been erroneously charged to another
work "Repairs to Vinatayann Godavari Left
Bank Rs 560" the necessary adjustment was
made in March 1889 but in doing so
Rs 1-14-0 which was unclaimed were taken
in reduction of value of work done or in other
words the unclaimed arrears of Rs 1-14-0 were
credited to the work in March 1889 instead
of the same being credited to Revenue as
printed ~~to Revenue~~ out in Commr's remarks
against item 9 of the summary of the last
inspection report. As however the accounts of
the work were closed, and completion report
sent in this matter may also rest.

21. With reference to paras 22 and 23 of
the last inspection report materials at
site accounts are now kept in Work Abstracts
for works costing over Rs 200. But only in
cases of works in which the expenditure
was ordered by the Executive Engineer to be

* Code 1753.

2202
kept by items of Estimate & the materials at site
account in part IV of work Abstract shew values.
In other cases materials are accounted for only
numerically. This accounting for materials at
site in part IV of work abstract with values
seems all the more necessary in this Division,
as there are frequent transfers of materials
from one work to another (vide para 30 of the
last inspection report).

22. Completing protection of Gunnavaram
Aqueduct Estimate Rs 37,600

On comparing the part IV of work abstract
for this work for January 1890 with the
statement of actual balance of materials
at site submitted by the Sub Division
Officer it was found that 324 cft of gravel
shewn in the accounts had not been
acknowledged by the officer in charge of
the work. The gravel in question was
obtained from the Godavari Eastern
Division in November 1889. It was stated
that the matter will now be enquired into.

23 Constructing an iron girder Bridge
over Gunnawarra Canal at 33rd mile near
Muthum Estimate Rs 5000.

The detail by items of Estimate do not
work up to the total value of work done
as shewn in the Register of works the differ-
ence between the two being Rs 10. The error
is in bringing forward in August 1889
the value of work done to end of previous
month (July 1889) under item "Excavating
foundations and filling in" Rs 110-5-0 were
brought forward instead of Rs 100-5-0. The
error will now be rectified.

24 The initials of the accountant on
work abstracts are not generally dated
Code 1915 (Standing Order 299 of 22nd Febru-
ary 1888)

25 x x x x x

26 Budget Registers were examined. The
Grant under General Establishment accord-
ing to the register is Rs 46,908 while the
Return of Grants Form A 478 for 10 February 1890

2269
shews it as Rs 44,240. The Budget Register
should be corrected.

27. With reference to the Examiner's re-
marks against item 22 of the summary
of Inspection Notes of March 1889, the Service
Books therein referred to have not been attest-
ed by Executive Engineer himself but the
attestation of other service books that took
place during the last few months, since
the receipt of Standing Order on the subject,
has been by the Executive Engineer him-
self.

28 x x x x

Waltair
15th March 1890

Signed H. Larnier,
Inspecting (Accounts) Officer.

No. 2705 of 12.5.90.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Govern-
ment of W. Department for the information

and orders of Government with the accompanying summary and remarks

2 All points noticed in the last inspection have apparently received attention

3 The result of the inspection seems very satisfactory



Godavari Central Division Summary of Inspectors Notes dated 15th March 1890.

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
1	4	A Sub Division Officer's Cash Extract not generally ~~completely~~ supported by vouchers.	1737 and 1740	Requires Executive Engineer's attention. It seems desirable that the Executive Engineer should insist on the more regular and punctual submission of vouchers in support of entries in the cash extracts.
2	5	Irregular payment before audit of the first charges of Pay and allowances of an officer recently transferred to the Division.	473(7)	The breach of the code rule should be explained, and the Executive Engineer should take steps to prevent the recurrence of similar irregularity in future.
3	6 7 8	Delay in payment of laborers.	1750	It seems desirable that the Executive Engineer should give his attention to this matter and give instructions to subordinates concerned for the more prompt payment of labor.
4	11	Failure to enter a cheque drawn but not paid on any one the same day in the prescribed Register (Form No. 3 A).	1744 B	Apparently an isolated case.

2269
7

$$\begin{array}{r} 2208 \\ \hline 8 \end{array}$$

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
----------	----------------------------	-----------------------	---	---------------------



No 176
D. P. W

2209
a

From

B. H. Young Esqr
Executive Engineer
Godavari Western Division

To.

The Superintending Engr
I Circle

Dated 12th July 1890

Sir,

In returning the enclosed summary of Examiner's Inspection Notes on the Office of the late Godavari Central Division received with your Endorsement No 397 G. S. of 1-6-90, I have the honor to report as follows.

Item no.

1. Noted.
2. As Mr. Wilsons recurring pay was not affected by his transfer to the Godavari Central Division payment was made to him at his request on the production of his last pay certificate.
3. Noted. and instructions repeatedly given for the prompt payment of labrs.
4. Yes - it was an isolated case.

5. Noted

6. Noted

7. The Division having been abolished on 31st March/90. the material at site are being accounted for by quantities & Cost in the Amalgamated Division (Godavari Western).

8. This was adjusted in the supplemental accounts for March/90 vide this Office letter No 34 of 9th July/90

x To the Examiner
of P.W. Accounts

The book was since verified.

All the service Books are being attested by the Ex: Engineer himself since the receipt of Standing Order No 473 of 31-8-88 those attested by Sub. Dy. Officers & previously, will be reattested by the Ex: Engineer before the expiry of the 5th year.

I have &c

Sd/ B. H. Young
Ex: Engineer

No 765 G.D.

Copy together with the enclosures are forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W. Dept for information with reference to G.O. No 1426 W
20th May/90.

18/7/90

Sd/ 20

J. W. Martin
Superintending Engineer

1st Circle

Loss 5/11
insp/inspector
under

2268
10

FROM

J. W. Martin Esq.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

MADRAS.

DATED
DESPATCHED
RECEIVED

1889
20 July 1890

1890

No. 76 S.G.D.

ENCLOSURES. 2

SUBJECT.

Inspection notes of
the Examiners on the
Office of Lali Central B
Div. Forward copy of
Godavari Western Div
Officers letter of returning
the — without explanation
to the several points
noted by the Examiners.

Memo No. 3255 C 2. 8. 90
" 3202 C 27. 7. 90
" 1704 W 27. 6. 90
" 2508 C 26. 6. 90
" 1426 W 20. 5. 90
" 2191 C 9. 5. 90
" 5229 C 12. 11. 89
" 258 W 24. 1. 90
" 2663 W 22. 7. 89
" 1708 W 16. 6. 88
" 704 W 7. 3. 87
Memo No. 4088 C 17. 11. 86

No. 3202 C 27. 7. 90

To C

For favour of

Remand No.

0013

28. 7. 90

No. 4860 C 12. 8. 90

To W.

Returned with the following re-
marks:-

- Item 2 of the summary. The rule in Code para 473(7) does not contemplate any exception. The Executive Engineer might be instructed to observe the rule strictly in future.
- Item 10. The Executive Engineer might be asked to re-attest the service books, not attested by him, as soon as possible and report accordingly & not to wait till the next attestation is due.
- All the other items call for no remarks.

for Offg. C.

for Offg. C.
D. 12/8
(Encl)

No. 2269 W 21. Aug 1890

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT

READ the following

Letter from the Suptg. Engineer I Circle No. 765 C 2
of 18. July 1890

Office note from the Examiner P.W. Accounts No. 4860
of 12. August 1890

The Examiner's office
note above quoted is
communicated to the Suptg.
Engineer I Circle for
information and guidance
with special reference to
para 2 and 3.

Remander sent
1. 10. 90
13. 11. 90

The Suptg. Engineer I Circle
Examiner P.W. Accounts

0013
14. 8. 90
D 10. 0

11

290 25/8, 25/8-