

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 16.
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 12/89
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கெழுப்பம் 10/89

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P. M. D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	2230	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 15. 8. 1890		108.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

[Handwritten signature]

N^o 904 Ks

Supl^y. Engineer's Office,
2nd Circle.

9th July 1890.

From,

J. Hannan Esquire, 22³⁰/₁
Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that I travelled on duty 260 miles on the 19th, 20th, 21st, 22^d, 27th & 28th December 1889 in Steamer Alexandra for making arrangements for the reception of His Excellency the Governor, and claimed in my Travelling Allowance Bill for that month Rs. 65 at 4 annas per mile being half the mileage allowance ordinarily admissible to me under Rule 1092 of the Civil Service Regulations as modified by Addendum N^o 14 of 29th April/89.

2. In auditing the above Bill the Examiner of P. W. Accounts disallowed my claim for half mileage allowance, and passed only Rs. 18 half the daily allowance for 6 days at Rs. 3 per diem.

His

His reason for doing so is that
"Only half Daily Allowance admis-
sible, Govt Steamer being used,"
vide, Appendix N-2 of Standing
Order No. 446 dated 6th August 1888.

3. Thereupon I pointed out to
that Officer that the word "Daily"
in the second line of Article 1092(i)
was struck out by Addendum
No. 14 of 29th April 1889 which is
evidently a subsequent authority
than that of August 1888.

4. As the Examiner of P. W.
Accounts, finally disallowed my
claim for half mileage allowance
and desired me to refer the case
for orders of Government if I
am dissatisfied with his decision,
I have the honor to submit the
following points for your decision.

(1) As to the correct interpretation
of the words "half the allowance
ordinarily admissible". In this
case the journeys being over 20 miles,
the allowance ordinarily admissible
would be 8 annas per mile.

(2) Whether the word "Daily" at
the end of 3rd line in para 2
of Appendix N. to P. W. Code
can be considered cancelled
under the authority of Addendum
No. 14 of 29th April 1889 to
Civil Service Regulations.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most Obedt Servt

W. Annan

Superintending Engineer,
T. Circle.

Rs.
9.79°

2230
✓

P.W. Code 659/1
7357

Amount in 3076 C 318-7-90
for Am 7664 2/24-3-84

4875
890

2230
3

From
The Supt. Engineer
2nd Corps

No 3096 C of 18 July 1890

To C

For perusal of

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W. Department
Ad. d. 18

Remarks

Quon

14-7-90 W

No 4644 of 4-8-90

To W.

The claim to half mileage pre-ferred by Mr. Hannan was disallowed and only half daily allowance passed in lieu with reference to para 2 of Appendix N, P. W. Code which is based on G.O. No. 788 W. of 21st March 1884. The rule at the time of the issue of the above G.O. (vide section II of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code edition of 1883) was the same as article 1092 of Civil Service Regulations as amended by standing order No. 14. In my opinion therefore it is incorrect to say that code Appendix N, Para 2 has been superseded by Civil Service Regulations article 1092.

Dated 9th July 1890
Recd 12
No 904/KP

Mr. Hannan - Supt. Engineer - journey of 260 miles made by on steamer Alexandra in December 1889 - Submit for orders Certain points in connection with the

for C.

2/8
Chs 79-11
17/11/90

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No.

From

The Examinee PW. Account

ENCLOS.—

No. 4647.

Dated 4-8-90

Received

SUBJECT.

Mr. J. Hannan S.P. - Fur.

- making remarks regarding
the claim of - for half
mileage allowance -

DISPOSAL.

Oct 42. VIII.
Hm 9. VIII.

FOR ORDERS.

The references in the notes
contained in Appendix V of the
R.C. Code are to the Provisional
Form (1887) of the Civil Travelling
allowance Code.

"daily" but as to the
limitation of the
allowance to actual
travelling expenses, and
it is not clear how Mr.
Hannan can claim half
mileage under either
rule.

If the rules in Appen-
dix N be held to have
been superseded by
those contained in the
Civil Service Regs., in which
~~case~~ Mr. Hannan is
entitled to actual
travelling expenses subject
to a maximum of £65/-,
(one half of 260 miles
at eight annas per
mile); but if Appendix
N be still in force
the Examiner's ruling is
clearly correct, and Mr.
Hannan

FOR ORDERS.

2230/5
Hannan is entitled
only to half daily
allowance for six days,
or £18/-.

The limitation to
half daily allowance
in G.O. No. 766 W of 21st
March 1884 was
clearly intentional,
and is reasonable,
inasmuch as in the
case contemplated
an officer's expenses
are precisely the same
whether he travels
few miles in a day
or a hundred.

In the opinion of
the undersigned the
rules in Appendix N
must be held to be

perseded by those
ntained in the
Civil Service, and it
is suggested that
a Standing Order
be issued correcting
the former where they
conflict with the latter

L
W

Harman
197

2230
6

HC

Over orders

No. 2230W/15-8-90

2/40 R. 15-8-90
Obs 42-200
Hm 9-200

Hayman

197

15 Aug 1890

20.

HC 2230/7

This ship belongs
to the file relating to
my Hammer claim too.
to allow while traveling
by Port. Hammer

20. I think the paper
HC number is the
the same time ago?

HC
12

10/9

2230W
15-8-90 No. 12-H

W.

Over orders

No. 2

240 R. 15. 8. 90

Chas. 2. 11. 11
Hm 9. 11. 11

250 240

Hayman

197 11. 11. 11

15 Aug 1890

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

W. ²²³⁰/₇

The rule at the
time of issue of G.O.
766 W of 21-3-84 may
have been the same
as Article 1092 of
C.S. Reg^{ns} as amended
by L.O. 14 - Art. S.O.
14 is based upon
Finance Committee
No 2117 - 29-4-89
and therefore, I
should say, clearly
supersedes para 2
Appendix N of the Code.

I think a L.O.
might be issued striking
out the word
"daily" in para 2 -
App. N.

OVB
6.8.90

In G.O. 766 W. however,
the intention of the
Govt. that only the
... at ...

No. 2230 Wd/15 Aug 1890

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

2230
H

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the S.E. II Circle No. 904 K.S. of 9 July 1890
Office note from the Secy. P.W. - 4647 & 41 and -

In the opinion
of the Government, the
rules in Appendix N,
P.W. Code must be
held to have been
superseded by those
contained in the Civil
Service Rules, but
the Examiner and the
Suply Secy appear to
have both overlooked
the fact that Article
1092 of these Rules
does not allow "half
the allowance ordinarily
admissible", but
actual

actual travelling expenses
not exceeding that
amount.

2. Mr. Haman is
entitled to actual expense
subject to a maximum
of Rs 65 (one half of
260 miles at 8 annas a
mile) and his claim
will be adjusted ac-
cordingly.

3. The Examiner will
submit a draft standing
order covering the
rules in Appendix H.
P.W. Code where they
conflict with the Civil
Service Regs -

S 120

Cu

J. S. II. C. C.

San P. W. 10/18

12/23/8

Ch. 42 VII
San P. W. 10/18