

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 12/12
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் loyn

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.O. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். <u>2214 (B.E)</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : <u>14.8.1990</u>	1990

8/e

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper :—

G.O., dated 19th May 1890, No. 1227 L., Local and Municipal.

ABSTRACT.—Referring to the Presidents of the District Boards concerned, for verification and early report, statement showing the amount of estimates for Local Fund works scrutinized by the Public Works Department for the quarter ending 31st March 1890.

READ—also the following papers :—

From the President, District Board, Trichinopoly, dated 31st May 1890, No. 35.

From the President, District Board, Kistna, dated Masulipatam, 31st May 1890, No. 61.

From the President, District Board, Tanjore, dated Vallam, 2nd June 1890, No. 159.

From V. A. BRODIE, Esq., President, District Board, South Canara, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Mangalore, 31st May 1890, No. 722.

With reference to G.O., dated the 19th May 1890, Mis. No. 1227 L., I have the honor to state that I do not consider that the $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. for scrutiny should be charged on Rs. 15,000 in the case of item No. 12 in the accompanying statement which appertains to this district, but only upon Rs. 7,500.

2. These bridges stand on the same line of communication. They are of the same design, of the same size and the same spans, so that the superstructure designed for the one is equally well suited to the other.

3. The cost of providing such a superstructure was estimated at Rs. 7,500 and this amount was doubled in the estimate as well to show the cost of the two bridges as to admit of provision being made for both of them.

4. Only one design and one estimate for Rs. 7,500 was practically submitted for professional scrutiny, the design and estimate for the second bridge being merely a duplicate of that for the first. As the design and estimate for the second, therefore, required no independent scrutiny, I think the charge for scrutiny should be made only on Rs. 7,500 (for one work) and not on Rs. 15,000 which represents the estimated outlay on two exactly similar works.

5. A copy of the estimate is herewith submitted for the information of Government and the enclosure of their order under reply is herewith returned.

From W. A. HAPPELL, Esq., President, District Board, Godáviri, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 4th June 1890, No. 72.

In reference to G.O., dated 19th May 1890, Mis. No. 1227 L., I have the honor to state that the amounts entered in the statement therewith received are correct.

2. The estimate submitted for improving the Local Fund school-house at Amalapuram has not yet been returned to this office duly sanctioned.

From H. TREMENHEERE, Esq., President, District Board, Tinnevely, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Tinnevely, 5th June 1890, No. 56.

In reference to G.O., Mis. No. 1227 L., of the 19th May 1890, referring to me, for verification and report, an extract from the statement of estimates for Local Fund works scrutinized by the Public Works Department for the quarter ending the 31st March last, I have the honor to state that the statement is correct, except that a sum of Rs. 3,760 instead of Rs. 3,490 is shown as the amount on which charges for scrutiny can be claimed by the Public Works Department on an estimate for constructing a dispensary with wards and out-houses at Sátúr. The estimate was for Rs. 3,760, but it included a dead-house for Rs. 270 which was not considered necessary by the Taluk Board. The Superintending Engineer was specially requested not to check the estimate for the dead-house in my letter No. 480 of the 30th October last (copy enclosed). Under these circumstances, the Public Works Department can claim scrutiny charge on only Rs. 3,490 and not Rs. 3,760.

From E. C. JOHNSON, Esq., President, District Board, Ganjam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Chatrapur, 9th June 1890, No. 680.

With reference to G.O., dated 19th May 1890, Mis. No. 1227 L., I have the honor to point out that the two works referred to in the statement therewith received were undertaken as professional agency works for famine relief purposes and the necessary allotments were sanctioned from Provincial funds. In the spirit of paragraph 2 of G.O., dated 1st November 1889, No. 2563 L., it would seem that no charge for the scrutiny of these estimates should be made against Local Funds.

From the President, District Board, Anantapur, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Anantapur, 10th June 1890, No. 27.

With reference to G.O., dated 19th May 1890, Mis. No. 1227 L., referring for verification an estimate for the construction of a latrine for the school building at Anantapur, I have the honor to report that the estimate in question was never submitted from this office; but from a copy of letter, dated 31st May 1890, No. 122, of the Chairman, Anantapur Municipality, it will be observed that the Anantapur Municipality sent a resolution to the Inspector of Schools regarding the necessity of having a latrine to the High school building.

2. I have transferred the Government order to the Chairman, Anantapur Municipality, for necessary action.

From W. A. WILLOCK, Esq., President, District Board, Vizagapatam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Vizagapatam, 28th June 1890, No. 661.

With reference to G.O., Mis. No. 1227 L., dated 19th May 1890, forwarding an extract from the statement showing the amounts of estimates for Local Fund works scrutinized by the Public Works Department, for verification, I have the honor to state that the estimated cost of constructing a school building for the High school at Yellamanchili was Rs. 1,800 and not Rs. 1,880 as shown in column 5 of the statement.

ORDER—dated 21st July 1890, No. 1810 L., Local and Municipal.

The statement received from the Public Works Department will be forwarded to the Accountant-General with the following remarks and with the request that he will

make the necessary adjustments in the accounts, in communication with the Examiner of Public Works Accounts:—

- (1) Under the circumstances stated by the President, District Board, South Canara, the contribution payable for the scrutiny of the estimates of the works referred to should be calculated on Rs. 7,500 and not on Rs. 15,000.
- (2) As the President, District Board, Tinnevely, states that the estimate amounting to Rs. 3,760 for constructing the dispensary building at Sátúr included a dead-house estimated to cost Rs. 270, which the Superintending Engineer was specially requested not to check, the contribution should be calculated on the remaining sum of Rs. 3,490.
- (3) No contribution should be charged to Local Funds for the scrutiny of the estimate for constructing a latrine for the school-house at Anantapur, which is a Municipal work, as already stated in clause (2) of G.O., dated 1st March 1890, No. 464 L.
- (4) As the President, District Board, Ganjam, reports that the construction of the roads from Ichápur to Jarada and from Tekkali to Anantagiri have been undertaken as professional agency works for famine relief purposes and that the necessary allotments have been sanctioned from Provincial Funds, no charge for the scrutiny of the estimates should be recovered from Local Funds.
- (5) The contribution for the scrutiny of the estimate for the construction of the High school building at Yellamanchili should be calculated on Rs. 1,800, which the President, District Board, Vizagapatam, reports is the estimated cost of the building, and not on Rs. 1,880.

2. The Presidents of the District Boards of Tinnevely and Vizagapatam are requested to state the circumstances under which the estimates amounting to Rs. 2,760 and Rs. 1,800 for repairing Road No. 6 Driver *via* Malaseval and for constructing the High school building at Yellamanchili, respectively, were forwarded to the Public Works Department for scrutiny — *vide* No. 36 of the Local Fund rules.

3. The attention of the Public Works Department is invited to the remark of the President, District Board, Godávári, in paragraph 2 of his letter.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the President, District Board, Anantapur.	
" " " " South Canara.	
" " " " Ganjam.	
" " " " Godávári.	
" " " " Kistna.	
" " " " Tanjore.	
" " " " Tinnevely.	
" " " " Trichinopoly.	
" " " " Vizagapatam.	
" Accountant-General, with a statement.	
" Public Works Department.	

Exd. A. Aubert.

Gobt. of {1890} Madras.
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

No. 2214 W & 14 August 1890

Communicated
to Supdy Engineers I,
III, IV & VI Circles and
to the Examiner P.W.
Accounts.

2. The Supdy Engineer
I Circle will expedite
the return of the
Plan & Estimate
for improving the
Local Fund School
house at Amalapur
sent to him for
revision with Memo
No. 2208 C & 12 May 1890

1/2/90 J.P.

S.E. I, II, III & VI Circles
for P.W. Accts.
L.S. M. Dept.

Local Fund Works.

Forwarding to the Accountant-General, with
remarks, statement showing the amounts of esti-
mates for — scrutinized by the Public Works
Department for the quarter ending 31st March
1890, and requesting him to make the necessary
adjustments in the accounts in communication
with the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 21st July 1890, No. 1810 L.

JULY.

21st JULY 1890, No. 1810 L., LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 19th May 1890, No. 1227 L., Local and Municipal.

ABSTRACT.—Referring to the Presidents of the District Boards concerned, for verification and
early report, statement showing the amount of estimates for Local Fund works scrutinized
by the Public Works Department for the quarter ending 31st March 1890.

READ—also the following papers:—

From the President, District Board, Trichinopoly, dated 31st May 1890, No. 35.
From the President, District Board, Kistna, dated Masulipatam, 31st May 1890,
No. 61.
From the President, District Board, Tanjore, dated Vallam, 2nd June 1890,
No. 159.

From V. A. BRODIE, Esq., President, District Board, South Canara, to the
Chief Secretary to Government, dated Mangalore, 31st May 1890, No. 722.

With reference to G.O., dated the 19th May 1890, Mis. No. 1227 L., I have the
honor to state that I do not consider that the $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. for scrutiny should be
charged on Rs. 15,000 in the case of item No. 12 in the accompanying statement
which appertains to this district, but only upon Rs. 7,500.

2. These bridges stand on the same line of communication. They are of the
same design, of the same size and the same spans, so that the superstructure designed for
the one is equally well suited to the other.

3. The cost of providing such a superstructure was estimated at Rs. 7,500 and
this amount was doubled in the estimate as well to show the cost of the two bridges
as to admit of provision being made for both of them.

4. Only one design and one estimate for Rs. 7,500 was practically submitted for
professional scrutiny, the design and estimate for the second bridge being merely a
duplicate of that for the first. As the design and estimate for the second, therefore,
required no independent scrutiny, I think the charge for scrutiny should be made only
on Rs. 7,500 (for one work) and not on Rs. 15,000 which represents the estimated
outlay on two exactly similar works.

5. A copy of the estimate is herewith submitted for the information of Govern-
ment and the enclosure of their order under reply is herewith returned.

From W. A. HAPPELL, Esq., President, District Board, Godáviri, to the Chief
Secretary to Government, dated 4th June 1890, No. 72.

In reference to G.O., dated 19th May 1890, Mis. No. 1227 L., I have the honor
to state that the amounts entered in the statement therewith received are correct.

2. The estimate submitted for improving the Local Fund school-house at Amalá-
puram has not yet been returned to this office duly sanctioned.

From H. TREMENHEERE, Esq., President, District Board, Tinnevely, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Tinnevely, 5th June 1890, No. 56.

In reference to G.O., Mis. No. 1227 L., of the 19th May 1890, referring to me, for verification and report, an extract from the statement of estimates for Local Fund works scrutinized by the Public Works Department for the quarter ending the 31st March last, I have the honor to state that the statement is correct, except that a sum of Rs. 3,760 instead of Rs. 3,490 is shown as the amount on which charges for scrutiny can be claimed by the Public Works Department on an estimate for constructing a dispensary with wards and out-houses at Sátor. The estimate was for Rs. 3,760, but it included a dead-house for Rs. 270 which was not considered necessary by the Taluk Board. The Superintending Engineer was specially requested not to check the estimate for the dead-house in my letter No. 480 of the 30th October last (copy enclosed). Under these circumstances, the Public Works Department can claim scrutiny charge on only Rs. 3,490 and not Rs. 3,760.

From E. C. JOHNSON, Esq., President, District Board, Ganjam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Chatrapur, 9th June 1890, No. 680.

With reference to G.O., dated 19th May 1890, Mis. No. 1227 L., I have the honor to point out that the two works referred to in the statement therewith received were undertaken as professional agency works for famine relief purposes and the necessary allotments were sanctioned from Provincial funds. In the spirit of paragraph 2 of G.O., dated 1st November 1889, No. 2563 L., it would seem that no charge for the scrutiny of these estimates should be made against Local Funds.

From the President, District Board, Anantapur, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Anantapur, 10th June 1890, No. 27.

With reference to G.O., dated 19th May 1890, Mis. No. 1227 L., referring for verification an estimate for the construction of a latrine for the school building at Anantapur, I have the honor to report that the estimate in question was never submitted from this office; but from a copy of letter, dated 31st May 1890, No. 122, of the Chairman, Anantapur Municipality, it will be observed that the Anantapur Municipality sent a resolution to the Inspector of Schools regarding the necessity of having a latrine to the High school building.

2. I have transferred the Government order to the Chairman, Anantapur Municipality, for necessary action.

From W. A. WILLOCK, Esq., President, District Board, Vizagapatam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Vizagapatam, 28th June 1890, No. 661.

With reference to G.O., Mis. No. 1227 L., dated 19th May 1890, forwarding an extract from the statement showing the amounts of estimates for Local Fund works scrutinized by the Public Works Department, for verification, I have the honor to state that the estimated cost of constructing a school building for the High school at Yellamanchili was Rs. 1,800 and not Rs. 1,850 as shown in column 5 of the statement.

ORDER—dated 21st July 1890, No. 1810 L., Local and Municipal.

The statement received from the Public Works Department will be forwarded to the Accountant-General with the following remarks and with the request that he will

make the necessary adjustments in the accounts, in communication with the Examiner of Public Works Accounts:—

- (1) Under the circumstances stated by the President, District Board, South Canara, the contribution payable for the scrutiny of the estimates of the works referred to should be calculated on Rs. 7,500 and not on Rs. 15,000.
- (2) As the President, District Board, Tinnevely, states that the estimate amounting to Rs. 3,760 for constructing the dispensary building at Sátor included a dead-house estimated to cost Rs. 270, which the Superintending Engineer was specially requested not to check, the contribution should be calculated on the remaining sum of Rs. 3,490.
- (3) No contribution should be charged to Local Funds for the scrutiny of the estimate for constructing a latrine for the school-house at Anantapur, which is a Municipal work, as already stated in clause (2) of G.O., dated 1st March 1890, No. 464 L.
- (4) As the President, District Board, Ganjam, reports that the construction of the roads from Ichápur to Jarada and from Tekkali to Anantagiri have been undertaken as professional agency works for famine relief purposes and that the necessary allotments have been sanctioned from Provincial Funds, no charge for the scrutiny of the estimates should be recovered from Local Funds.
- (5) The contribution for the scrutiny of the estimate for the construction of the High school building at Yellamanchili should be calculated on Rs. 1,800, which the President, District Board, Vizagapatam, reports is the estimated cost of the building, and not on Rs. 1,880.

2. The Presidents of the District Boards of Tinnevely and Vizagapatam are requested to state the circumstances under which the estimates amounting to Rs. 2,760 and Rs. 1,800 for repairing Road No. 6 Driver *via* Malaseval and for constructing the High school building at Yellamanchili, respectively, were forwarded to the Public Works Department for scrutiny — *vide* No. 36 of the Local Fund rules.

3. The attention of the Public Works Department is invited to the remark of the President, District Board, Godávári, in paragraph 2 of his letter.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the President, District Board, Anantapur.
 " " " " South Canara.
 " " " " Ganjam.
 " " " " Godávári.
 " " " " Kistna.
 " " " " Tanjore.
 " " " " Tinnevely.
 " " " " Trichinopoly.
 " " " " Vizagapatam.
 " " " " Accountant-General, with a statement.
 " " " " Public Works Department.

Exd. A. Aubert.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 21st July 1890, No. 1810 L.

JULY.

Order No: 2214 tr a/14 Augt/1890

Local Fund Works.

Forwarding to the Accountant-General, with remarks, statement showing the amounts of estimates for — scrutinized by the Public Works Department for the quarter ending 31st March 1890, and requesting him to make the necessary adjustments in the accounts in communication with the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

Order No: 2214 tr a/14 Augt/1890

Communicated to Suptg. Engrs.
I, III, IV & VI Circles and to the
Examiner P.W. accounts.

2. The Suptg. Engineer I Circle
will expedite the return of the
plan and the estimate for im-
proving the Local Fund School
house at Amalapur sent to him
for revision with memo No:
2208 C of 12th May 1890.

No. J. P.

S.E. I, III, IV & VI C.
Engrs P.W. a/c
A. & Co. Secy.