

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 4
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 11/89
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 6/89

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.M.D. துறை

8/e

அரசாணை எண். 2135
 மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். (3.0)

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 6.8.1890

128 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

Sealing

8135
7TH JULY 1890, No. 526, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 14th June 1890, No. 638, Forest, Mis.:—

MR. WHITESIDE.

Read—the following letter from the Collector of Madura, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 5th May 1890, No. 180:—

The District Forest-officer, Madura, sent to the Deputy Collector in charge of the press two copies of the rulings of the Madras High Court in criminal revision cases, Nos. 712 of 1888 and 76 of 1889, which bear on forest matters, for publication in the forest sheet of the District Gazette for the information of his subordinates as well as the outside public; but the Deputy Collector returned them to the Forest officer on the strength of the G.O., No. 510, dated 25th July 1888, embodied in Board's Proceedings, No. 748, dated 29th August 1888 (Land Revenue). Now the District Forest-officer, in his letter No. 2838 dated 31st March 1890 (copy enclosed) addressed to me, requests that the same be ordered to be published in the forest sheet as a special case and that the rule might be relaxed in cases of the kind.

2. The Government order is explicit and paragraph 2 forbids publication in the District Gazette of extracts from Law Reports.

3. I have the honor, therefore, to request Board's instructions whether rulings of High Court in forest cases may be published in the District Gazette.

ENCLOSURE.

OFFICE-NOTE from the District Forest-officer of Madura, to the Collector of Madura, dated 31st March 1890, No. 2838.

The rulings of the Madras High Court in criminal revision cases, Nos. 712 of 1888 and 76 of 1889, bear on forest matters and I considered it expedient to have them published in the forest sheet of the District Gazette for the information of my own subordinates as well as the outside public. I accordingly sent copies of them to the Treasury Deputy Collector for such publication; but he has returned them with the remark that the Government have, in their Order, No. 510, dated 25th July 1888, embodied in Revenue Board's Proceedings, dated 29th August 1888, No. 748 (Land Revenue), held it unnecessary to publish extracts from Law Reports in District Gazettes. No doubt the Government order in question bears this out, but the ground upon which it is based is the fact that the Law Reports are supplied to all Sub-Magistrates. As, however, the two rulings above quoted affect this department and as it is necessary that the subordinates thereof should know them, I beg to request that they may be ordered to be published in the forest sheet as a special case. As I understand the Government order, its object seems to be to prevent the publication of the same matter more than once in printed form, but it was not intended to apply to such isolated instances which are likely to be only few and far between. If you think it worth while, I would suggest that the Government may be moved to relax their order so as to except cases of the kind from its operation.

Resolution—

Submitted to Government for orders.

2. As the Law Reports are not supplied to Forest officers, the reason assigned in paragraph 2 of G.O., dated 25th July 1888, No. 510, Revenue, for the cessation of

publication in the District Gazettes of general extracts from the reports does not apply; but the Board is not prepared at present to recommend the publication in District Gazettes of all rulings of the High Court in regard to forest matters. The practice, in the Board's opinion, would often be found inconvenient.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) A. G. CARDEW,
Ag. Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Collector of Madura.

ORDER—dated 7th July 1890, No. 526, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The republication in District Gazettes of extracts from the Law Reports was prohibited in G.O., No. 510, dated 25th

July 1888, with a view to saving District Presses from undertaking unnecessary work. The Government, however, considers that, in some cases, it is important for officials, who are not supplied with a copy of the Law Reports, and for the public, to be acquainted with the substance of High Court rulings. Collectors are accordingly empowered to select, for republication in the gazettes of their districts, such extracts as they consider to be of special importance or of public interest. The reports should not be published *in extenso*: the headings and judgments will in most cases suffice to meet all requirements.

2. Subject to the above remarks, the Collector of Madura is authorised to republish extracts from the reports quoted by his District Forest-officer.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Public Department.

- „ the Commissioners of Land Revenue.
- „ the Commissioner of Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture.
- „ the Commissioner of Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue.
- „ the Inam Commissioner.
- „ the Government Botanist.
- „ the Superintendent, Revenue Survey.
- „ the Superintendent, Central Museum.
- „ the Superintendent, Government Press.
- „ the Public Works Department.
- „ the Military Department.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.

100 Copies.

2135

2

Gobt. of {1890} Madras.

REVENUE.

No 2135 Wd / 6 Aug 1890

Recorded with
reference to S.O. No
2685 W dated 29
Aug 1888.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

(2d) R.R. E. B. B.

W

G.O., 7th July 1890, No. 526.

JULY.

Indian Law Reports.

Empowering Collectors to select, for republication in the gazettes of their districts, such extracts from the — as they consider to be of special importance or of public interest, taking care however not to publish them in extenso.