

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 22
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 23.8.89
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் long

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	1986-W	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	21-7-1890	1986

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

1986
21ST JULY 1890, No. 1986 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following paper :—

From W. A. WILLOCK, Esq., President, District Board, Vizagapatam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Vizagapatam, 5th June 1890, No. 564.

The Superintending Engineer, I Circle, has objected to the provision for graveling in the estimate for the Pondur-Rajam road on the ground that six yards are allowed, whereas G.O., No. 1035 W., dated 26th April 1882, lays down that four yards are an ample width of *metalling* for a first-class country road.

2. The objection does not appear applicable in the first place as the Government Order quoted refers not to gravel but to metal, and the District Board request that instructions may be issued for its withdrawal on this ground. The rule itself is also considered by the Board inapplicable to this district; four yards may do well enough on the comparatively little used roads of the south of the Presidency, but with the heavy traffic we have here, most of which is carried on by buffaloes at night, the allowance is altogether insufficient.

3. If I am not greatly mistaken traffic on the Koraput-Potinghi road will be terribly inconvenienced by this width having been adopted there, as the destruction of the berms will be endless till it is widened. In any case the limitation is only one based on economical considerations, and even if considered applicable to metalled roads, would still be unsuitable to the case of the cheaper gravelled ones.

4. The Board also desires respectfully to suggest that matters of this sort might be left to its discretion within reasonable limits.

ENCLOSURE.

EXTRACT from the Proceedings of the District Board, Vizagapatam, at its meeting held on Friday the 30th May 1890, at 4 p.m., in the Collector's office at Vizagapatam.

3. Read letter from the Executive Engineer in charge of I Circle, No. 20 Y.Z., dated 15th April 1890, returning the estimates and plans for constructing a road from Rajam to Pondur and remarking that four yards width of gravelling is ample instead of six yards width as provided in the estimate unless there are special reasons.

4. Read also Local Fund Engineer's letter No. 74, dated 20th May 1890, stating that no provision was made for berms on each side as gravel has been provided for the whole road, and that if the width of the gravel is reduced, the berms will be necessary.

The Board consider that Government should be addressed on the subject. The matter is one the decision regarding which should vest with it within reasonable limits. It considers that four yards are altogether an insufficient width for an important road.

(Signed) W. A. WILLOCK,
President.

No. 396, Local and Municipal.

Transferred to the Public Works Department.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
18th June 1890.(Signed) A. BUTTERWORTH,
Ag. Under-Secretary.*Note by the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., General, Buildings and Roads Branch.*

It is considered that 12 feet of metalled and gravelled surface for first-class roads, as laid down in G.O., No. 1035 W., dated 26th April 1890, is hardly sufficient for two carts to pass one another without encroaching on the earthen berms, which, if of black cotton or similar soil, will soon bear down and lead to the disintegration of the metalling. A width of 15 feet appears to be the least width that should be adopted.

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Chief Engineer, P.W.D., General,
Buildings and Roads Branch.

10th July 1890.

ORDER—dated 21st July 1890, No. 1986 W., Public Works.

The Government considers that 15 feet of metalling and gravelling is the minimum that should be provided for first-class roads, but District Boards may increase this width at their discretion. G.O., dated 26th April 1882, No. 1035 W., is to be considered as modified accordingly.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.To the Local and Municipal Department.
To Superintending Engineers.Exd. W. H. French.
Spare Copies2
1986

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1890} Madras
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated July, 21st.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 21st July 1890, No. 1986 W.

JULY.

Width of First-class Roads

Considering that 15 feet of metalling and
gravelling to be the minimum —, but
leaving it to the discretion of District
Boards to increase it.

Local & Municipal Dept.

CURRENT No. 21353

No. 5611

DISTRICT BOARD'S OFFICE,

VIZAGAPATAM.

5th June 1890

From

W. A. Willock Esquire

PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,

VIZAGAPATAM.

To

THE HONORABLE J. G. G. G.

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

MADRAS.

SIR,

The Superintending Engineer, First Circle,
has objected to the provision for gravelling in
the estimate for the Pondur Rajam road on the
ground that 6 yards are allowed whereas G. O.
No. 1035 W. dated 26th April 1882 lays down that
4 yards is an ample width of metalling for
a first class country road.

2. The objection does not appear applicable
in the first place as the G. O. quoted refers not
to gravel but to metal and the District Board.

request that instructions may be issued for its withdrawal on this ground. The rule itself is also considered by the Board inapplicable to this District 4 yards may do well enough on the comparatively little used roads of the South of the Presidency but with the heavy traffic we have here, most of which is carried on by buffaloes at night the allowance is altogether insufficient.

3. If I am not greatly mistaken traffic on the Koraput Pottanghy road will be terribly inconvenienced by this width having been adopted there as the destruction of the berms will be endless till it is widened. In any case the limitation is only one based on economical considerations and even if considered applicable to metalled roads would still be unsuitable to the case of the cheaper gravelled ones.

4. The Board also desires respectfully to suggest that matters of this sort might be left to its discretion within reasonable limits.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

me President District board

Extract from the Proceedings of the District Board, Viragapatam at its Meeting held on Friday the 30th May 1890 at 4 P.M. in the Collector's office at Viragapatam

Present

W. A. Willock Esquire - President.

1. M. R. Py J. Ramamurti Pantulu Jaru, Rao Sahib
Muzur Deputy Collector

2. E. A. Elwin Esquire, Senior Assistant Collector

3. M. R. Py P. Sitarao Pantulu Jaru, Rao Sahib
Deputy Tahsildar

4. do G. V. Subbarayudu Jaru, do
Assistant Inspector of Schools.

5. Surgeon Major A. J. Sturmer, District Surgeon.

Non-officials.

6. M. R. Py K. P. Kamaraju Pantulu Jaru, Rao Sahib

7. do A. V. N. Apparao Naidu Jaru, do

8. do K. Rajaraju Jaru, Rao Sahib

9. do Sri D. Narayana Gajapathiraju Jaru, do

10. do P. Butchiraju Pantulu Jaru, Rao Sahib

11. do T. Madhavarao Naidu Jaru, do

12. Sheikh Ambro Sarang Sahib Jaru, Khan Sahib.

5
1986

3. Read letter from the Executive Engineer in charge of 1st Circle, N^o 20 of 2. dated 15th April 1890 returning the estimates and plans for constructing a road from Rajam to Pondur and remarking that 4 yards width of gravelling is ample instead of 6 yards width as provided in the estimate unless there are special reasons.

Read also L.F. Engineer's letter N^o 74 dated 20th May 1890 stating that no provision was made for berms on each side as gravel has been provided for the whole road and that if the width of the gravel is reduced the berms will be necessary.

The Board consider that Government should be addressed on the subject. The matter is one the decision regarding which should rest with it. then within reasonable limits.

It considers that 4 yards are altogether an insufficient width for an important road.

9/11
5785

(sd) W. A. Willott
President

(True Extract)

[Signature]

President District 1890

District Board Office
13 June 1890

being worn down
with rapine 6-
para 4, there seems
no reason why the
present rules as
the subject should
be altered, special
roads being specially
treated when there
is good reason for
so doing

1003

24.6.90

10 Jul

I consider that 12' is
hardly sufficient for two layers
curbs than one another
without encroaching on the
curbstone berms, which, if of
black cotton or similar soil,
will soon wear down & lead
to the disintegration of the
retained surface. A width
of 15' appears better & as
the best width that should
be adopted -

Rueon

26.6.90 W

0
14/6

Public Works Department.

Current No. 5785

Letter No. 396

Dated 18th June 1890

From the Local & Municipal Engineer.

1986 W

NOTE OF REMARKS.

The President of the
Dist Board Hyderabad
refers to Govt. in the
Local & Municipal Dept.
the Supy. Engr. submission
to grant the Nagpur
Fondue road 4 yards
in width instead of
6 yards as proposed
by the Local Board
authorities, on the
grounds that the
G.O. No 1035 W of 25th
April 1882, quoted
by Mr. J.E. applied
only to gutters &
roads, and concludes
by stating that
the Board desired
that matters of this
kind might be left
to its discretion within

within reasonable limits.

The orders on this head are clear & conclusive, although resubmitting alone is refused to in this 1st para of the order, it will be seen in the 2nd para of the same order that the restriction as to the width of roads in 12th applies to gravelling as well as metalling and it is assumed that the order also affects a purely gravelled surface.

The widening of the roads from 14' to 18' means one fourth additional cost in this immensely costly item; without any apparent advantage as it is not probable that more than two rows of carts will pass up & down the road at this lane has during the period of the heaviest traffic.

I apprehend, the matter might be referred back to the Local & Municipal Dept in the light of these remarks for its decision.

Cms P.
23.6.96

9/96

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the President Dist. Board Pudukottai No 564 dt 14th June 90
Endorsement from the Local & Municipal Dept No 396 dt 18th June 90
Note by the Chief Eng. Pudukottai Pudukottai No 107 dt 10.7.90

I met on the 14th the District
of Local & Municipal Dept
in the room - I/ the committee
met the road from the
of 10 feet to 12 feet
it shall be reduced?
Dr. 1/2.

The Govt. consider that 15
feet of metalling or gravelling
in the main road to be
provided for first class
road, but Dist. Board
may increase the width
at their discretion. G.O.
of 26/4/02 No. 1035. G. in
56 considered as undipped
road.

1577/0

To The Local & Municipal Dept
" Chief Engineer -

Order

The increased width
with 15 feet of metalling
& gravelling for first
class road is approved
and should in future
at the discretion of District Board
be adopted in all
of the width laid
down in G.O. No 1035
dt 26th April 1902.

Recd 17.9.02
17.9.02

X
Memorandum

No. 1986 W d/21 July 1890

B.R.
Civil Works

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

10
1986

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the President of Board }
Vizagapatam } H.E. No. 564 d/5 June 1890.
Endorsement thereon by the Local }
and Municipal Department } H.E. No. 396 d/18 June 1890
Note by the Chief Engineer Genl. }
Bds & Hds Branch } H.E. No. - d/10 July 1890.

The Govt. considers that
15 feet of metalling and
gravelling is the minimum
that should be provided
for first class roads, but
District Boards may
increase this width at their
discretion - G.O. dated 26th
April 1882 No. 1035 W is
to be considered as modified
accordingly.

To the Local & Municipal Dept.
Supg. Engineers ✓ 9/1/90

1875
17.7.90

5786

Govt. of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

23/7

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 24 July 1890, No. 1986W.

Width of first Class
Roads-

Considering that 15 feet
of metalling & gravelling
to be the minimum ~~of~~
— but leaving it to the
discretion of the Board
to increase it.

150/10

J^d 23/7