

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 10
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 12.12.89
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் lana

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P. W. D துறை

அரசாணை எண். <u>1799-W</u>	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	பலவகை
நாள் : <u>1-7-1890</u>	<u>198</u>

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. }
OF MADRAS. }

1890

P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, // June 1890.

OFFICE NOTE No. 4024.

To

W.

Your office note No. 198 D. of 30th. May
1890.

The circumstances in which ac-
tion on the greater part of the resolu-
tions on the Accounts Committee's pro-
posals has been deferred are well known
to Government, who have several times
expressly approved of the postponement
of action. However in my office note No
3329 dated 7th. June 1890, I have now sub-
mitted recommendations with a view to
the earliest possible action in such
directions as may be considered desir-
able.

2. It has been my misfortune to ^{have to} ex-
amine the recommendations of the Ac-
counts Committee more critically than
the Chief Engineer Periyar Project has
probably had occasion to, and, as I have
already been at some trouble to explain,
it is my opinion that, with the single
exception of the resolution (to which
full effect has long ago been given)
to abolish work-vouchers, and audit by

KEEP-WITH

Genl. No.

dated

189

Cus: 5399
4-11-1890
put up -

items of estimates, the recommendations of the Accounts Committee will produce no appreciable relief to anybody, but rather the contrary; and from the remarks in your letter to the Government of India No. 444 W. of 11th. February 1890, and from your remarks & those of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation recorded with G.O. No. 639 W. of 28th. February 1890, I gather that my views on these matters are not considered altogether foolish and unreasonable.

3. The statement in para 3 of the Chief Engineer's letter, that not a single standing order has yet been issued, is not strictly accurate, as will be seen from the accompanying statement of standing orders drawn up and either issued already to the department or passed by the Code Committee. I am not prepared to say that there are not a few more matters which might have been dealt with at once, but owing to the peculiar form which the orders of the Government of India have in many cases taken, and to which I have already several times referred, searching for the individual points to be dealt with is often very much like looking for a needle in a bundle of hay. To the best of my ability I have proposed immediate action as regards all these points -- and especially the abolition of work vouchers -- in connection with which postponement seemed obviously

*Mr. Nicholson has
been removed &
handwritten by
Davidson with
Copy 11619*

unnecessary; at the same time ^{2/2799} Government having deliberately approved of postponement of action on these resolutions, I do not think it was specially incumbent on me to propose exceptions. I may add that it is a fact that the Government of India have not yet issued one single standing order to their code as a result of their resolutions.

4. As far the suggestion, at the end of the Chief Engineer's para 3, that the delay has been due to want of willingness on my part to do what I might do, I leave it to Government to judge whether I have been in any way sparing of trouble in the matter.

4/6
c.
2/11/6
(Enc 2)

No 639 W of 28-2-1890
640

" 1445 W of 11-2-1890
" 1444 W of 11-2-1890

P.W. C. No. 5522

Ord. 12-6-90
Enc. 2

328 D of 21.VI.90

P.W. C. No. 5399 of 1890

1645 W of 13.6.90

198 D " 30.5.90

1432 W " 21.5.90

175 J " 15.3.90

100 J " 17.2.90

480.1 W " 14.2.90

125 W " 16.1.90

35 W " 7.1.90

3197 W " 11.11.90

141 J " 5.3.90

94 J " 17.2.90

637 J " 18.12.89

13/6 02593.VI

3538
2 JUN 1890

Office of the Chief Engineer
Todaikanal
Dated 21st May 1890
O/23

No 857 P.R.

3/99
1799

From, Col. J. Pennycook R.E.
The Chief Engineer,
Periyar Project.

To,
The Chief Engineer,
P. Tr. D., Madras.

Sir,

With reference to G. O No 35 W. of 7th January last I have the honour to invite attention to the inconvenience that is caused by the delay in giving effect to the orders of the Government of India on the recommendations of the P. Works accounts Committee.

2. These recommendations are, without exception, in the direction of reduction of clerical labour and of correspondence between the accounts and Executive branches,

and every days delay in giving effect to them diminishes the efficiency of the latter branch.

3. I believe the issue of Standing Orders embodying modifications of code rules is one of the duties of the Examiner's office, but although some of the resolutions of the Government of India on the subject in question are upwards of seven months old not a single standing Order has yet been issued, and it does not seem right that Executive officers should have to wait for the relief which the Government of India evidently intend to grant them, until the Examiner is able or willing to issue the necessary standing Orders.

4. When new forms are required some delay may be necessary, but there can be no real reason for delay in carrying out substantially the greater part of the

changes ordered, and I would urge that immediate effect be given to the orders in question except where the necessity for printing new forms may render a certain amount of delay unavoidable; even in these cases the delay might be lessened. It is not clear why a draft standing order ^{furnished} ordered in November 1889 cannot be finished by May 1890.

5. The present state of affairs gives rise to some confusion. In his remarks on a recent Objectionable Items Statement, the Examiner quotes G.O. No 35 W. of 7-1-90 as suspending action on G.O. No 125 W. of 16-1-90; on the other hand G.O. No 175, D. of 15th March 1890 specifically refers to No 125 W. of 16-1-90.

I have the honor to remain
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

John B. [Signature] Col. R.R.

Chief Engineer Peninsular

MCN: 4925
5522/90

From

The Chief Engineer
Periyar Project

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

Dated 21st May 1890
13285-90
enclosed

2857.P.R

orders of the Govt
of India on the re-
commendations
of the P. W. accounts
committee -

Invites attention to
the inconvenience
that is caused by
the delay in giving
effect to the -

S. Bus 17598 15.3.90
... 14198 5.3.90
... 9498 17.2.90
A. 10298 17.2.90
... 10098 17.2.90
... 4808 14.2.90
... 1254 16.1.90
... 354 7.1.90
... 3197 11.11.89

P. W. D.

No 1985 for examination, 30th May 1890.

To C

For per our office
remarks.

P. W. D.
1/30.5.90

No 17995 of 1 - 11-90

28/5/1890

No 7995 of 1
5/7/90

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Chief Engr. Periyar Project H.C. No. 857 P.R. of 21st May 1890
Office note from the Engr. P.W. Accts. H.C. No. 4024 of 11th June 1890.

The Examiner's office
note and the statement
therein referred to will
be communicated to
the Chief Engineer Periyar
Project whose attention
is further drawn to
G.O. No. 1645 W of 13 June
1890.

2. The Govt. is fully
satisfied that the Ex-
aminer has spared his
own labour and
trouble in assisting
it to consider the effect
on local procedure of
the Govt. of India
Resolutions

Resolutions on the report
of the S. W. Accounts com-
mittee.

Press
23.6.90
W.

No 1799 W of 1st July 1890

To

The Ch. Engr. Sirignar Rajah
Examiner S. W. Accts.

D. 1/7/90

Cu
93. VI.
23. VI.
25. VI.

93. VI.
23. VI.
25. VI.