(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 40
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் டிசம்பர் 89
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொதுப்பணித் துறை

அரசாணை எண். 1717. (ம)
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 20-6-1870 ~~198~~ .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

௨0 கே.ந

ஆவண, கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

Notes of inspection by H Lanier Esquire of the office of the Executive Engineer Cuddapah Division at Cuddapah on the 28th to 29th October 1889

1717/1

The present Executive Engineer, Rai Bahadoor S Subroya Charier, Executive Engineer 3rd Grade took charge of the division on the 30th May 1889 M R Ry T Kuppuswami Naidu is still the Accountant. The office was last inspected by an accounts officer in August 1887 vide papers printed with G O 2983 W of 8th November 1887.

2. The Executive Engineer is the only drawing officer drawing on the Cuddapah Huzur and seven Sub Treasuries

3. There are at present two Sub Divisions

(1) Madanapally Sub Division Head Quarters Madanapally and

(2) Western Sub Division Head Quarters Cuddapah

No 1 Sub Divisional officer is Mr E A French Sub Engineer 3rd Grade (temporary) Imprest Rs 200

No 2 Mr J Elliot Supervisor (temporary) Imprest Rs 200

4. The other imprest holders are M. R. Ry. N. Kumaraswami Acharry Overseer 1st Grade Rs 100.

M. R. Ry. C. W. Chinnappa Pillay Overseer 2nd Grade Rs 100

" N. Appadorai Moodeliar Do. Rs 100

" P. Lutchmana Row Head Clerk Rs 30

5. The accuracy of the balance of the Executive Engineer's drawing account at the commencement of October 1889 was proved.

6. In this Division cheques are freely sent direct to the contractors' address by post. Under G. O. No. 2855 W. dated 13th September 1888 cheques can only be sent through the post only to firms or parties having established places of business and fixed postal addresses and in cases in which cheques are sent in payment of the full amount of the bill drawn by the payees themselves.

7. In April 1889 the Head Clerk's imprest was Rs 10/ but his expenditure in that month (without any recoupment from the Divisional Office) amounted to Rs 11-0-9 or 1-0-9 in excess of the amount of imprest. But this irregularity was met by raising his imprest from Rs 10 to 15 when the imprest account came to be recouped by the Divisional Office. There is no necessity of an imprest with the head clerk for anything more than advances to lascars of Railway fares and for this five Rupees will be ample. Head Clerks who give no security should have the fingering of as little money as needs be. In this division the Head clerk's imprest cash balance has been mixed with the Executive Engineer's cash balance in the divisional cash chest which sufficiently shews the absurdity of any separate imprest with the Head Clerk.

1717/2

[illegible]
I don't think
this is correct

8. With reference to para 24 of last report copies of vouchers kept in Divisional office to complete the records are marked as "office copies" though not across every page as required by code 1818 as modified by Standing Order 592 dated 11th January 1889 but they have not, in many cases, been certified to as correct either by the Executive Engineer or by the Divisional Accountant on his

behalf as required by Standing Order 34/8 dated 11th May 1888 to code 164.

9. Salaries are as a rule disbursed prior to the despatch of Salary Bills to Examiner's Office for audit. This is contrary to code para 473.

10. Vouchers not submitted with the monthly accounts to the Examiner's Office for audit as detailed in columns 6 and 7 of the list of accounts and vouchers Form No. M 64 were audited from October 1888 to May 1889 inclusive and the following remarks are offered (N. B. the office copy of the List of accounts was used)

11 There are some little imperfection in the following vouchers

Month	Description of Schedule &c	Particulars of work	R	A	P	Remarks
October 1888	Irrigation Schedule of Expenditure item 4th	Nominal Muster Roll	3	4	6	Column "date of payment" in part I of Nominal Muster Roll not filled in
February 1889	Do 5th	Do	2	12	-	In column "Initials and remarks of paying officer &c" the payees marks are obtained. This is unnecessary. Instead of this the disburser should have attached his initials against each payment.
Do	Schedule of Miscellaneous Advances M 52	Do	2	2	-	The column "Initials and remarks of paying officer &c" not filled in at all, but the disburser has signed the prescribed certificate at end of part I.

1717/3

12. A voucher for annas 11 and pies 8 for cocoanut oil, etc relating to the Schedule of Expenditure, Provincial for October 1888 (item 1) described in the List of Accounts as a petty voucher and retained in the office was nevertheless in form 17, bill for materials, which is incorrect.

13 The above remark applies also to the following vouchers

Description of voucher				Particulars	Reference
Voucher in form No M 17	.	10	9	for cocoanut coir rope and kerosine oil &c	Schedule of Expenditure Irrigation item 14 of November 1888
Do	.	8	2	Cocoanut oil ½ seer gum &c	Do Provincial item 1 of January 1889
Do	1	9	3	Do Do	Do Provincial items 1 and 14 of February 1889
Do	.	13	6	Do	Do Provincial item 1 of March 1889
Do	6	.	.	Do and coir rope	Do Irrigation item 11 of April 1889
Do	.	4	6	Do	Do Provincial item 14 of May 1889

If the vouchers are correctly in form No M 17 Bill for materials which however is doubtful they should have been submitted to Examiner's office

14. A voucher for Rs 6-12-0 in form No M 217 relating to item No 16 of Schedule of expenditure Irrigation for March 1889 has not been submitted to the Examiner's office through necessary under code para 1907 A. The payment represents cost of 1½ maunds of cocoanut oil for clearing and oiling screw gears and shutters of Head sluices of Cheyair Embankment

1717/x

15 A Register of contract is maintained, agreements entered into with piece works being included in it. The column Security taken or to be taken is in some cases not filled in. Contractors and piece workers are paid from the Divisional Office their bills accompanied by measurement sheets in each case being submitted to the Divisional Office for the purpose. The bills are often sent to the Divisional Office through the post but sometimes through contractors, this latter will not be a desirable arrangement unless copies of bills sent through contractors are invariably sent through post direct to the Divisional Officer (Code 1818 as modified by standing order 360 dated 30th May 1888

16. Constructing Collectors Cutcherry at Cuddapah

Estimate Rs 143741.

Work carried out by mixed agency. Work abstracts are prepared for this work but the sub heads given in 1st column of part I do not exactly correspond with those as per Estimate and Register of works

The following are a few instances-

As per work Abstract	As per Estimate and Register of works
Hammer dressed stone in mortar for foundation	Coursed rubble 1st sort for foundation
Do. for basement	Do. for basement
Teak wood ventilator with hinges &c complete	Teak wood panelled ventilators with frames 5/8" sq. iron bars &c
Ornamental cast iron railings	Iron railings between piers excluding the bedding

17 In the Register of works the description of some of the items are entered in pencil only - the reason assigned being that the items are extra items i.e those not provided for in the sanctioned estimate

18 Of the arrears of Rs 704-5-9 at end of September 1889 there is an item of two rupees which represents the wages of acting Store watcher Thungalen Moodelin outstanding since July 1887 as it is stated that there is no likelihood of the man appearing to receive payment the amount should it is thought

be credited to Revenue

19 The value of materials at site of the work is said to be Rs 1038. On analyzing this balance it was found that there is an item of annas six representing cost of carriage of two lanterns incurred so far back as November 1887 It is objectionable to shew such items separately They should be included in the cost of the articles carried, but these lanterns must surely have been used on the work long ago.

20. Constructing the Tahsildar's Office at Rayampet. Estimate Rs 24838

Work done by one Contractor

The amount of appropriation entered in the Register of works is Rs 11,364 while the Schedule of Expenditure column 10 shews Rs 10,142 The Register of works should be corrected.

21. There is a balance of Rs 4297-13-0 of materials at site of this work. This consists partly of carriage as below

Railway fares for bolts	Rs 55-15-0
Cartage of teak	Rs 112-3-0
Railway fare	Rs 29-0-0

Steps should be taken to include the above with the cost of the articles themselves.

22. Reconstructing a head sluice to Thalainanchipatnam Immiah Tank Estimate Rs 1618

The Schedule of Expenditure for September 1889 shews outlay during the month and up to date (to end of September 1889) as Rs 310 and 1173 respectively while the Register of works shews them as Rs 410 and 1273 respectively. The Register of works is wrong and should be corrected

23 (1) Constructing the Taluq Cutchery at Budvail Estimate Rs 21330

(2) Constructing new Division office at Cuddapah

(3) Raising bund and reconstructing the low level sluice of Budicoilpally Tank

In the materials at site account (Part IV) of work abstract of each of these works there are items of cartage which should have appeared in that account against the value of the materials and not separately as carriage

24. Constructing new Divisional Office at Cuddapah Estimate Rs 8508

work done by piece work agency Although this work is estimated to cost over Rs 2500 the accounts in the Register of works are not kept by items of estimate as no item of the estimate is over Rs 2500. This rule governs only the submission of work vouchers to the Examiner's office code 1909 II and does not apply to the keeping of Register of works account.

25. The above remarks refers also to the following works

1217/6

(1) Improving the Christ church at Cuddapah Estimate Rs 3176.

(2) Repairing Sidhout Taluq cutcherry Estimate Rs 2948.

(3) Repairing Singanamala Tank Estimate Rs 5981.

(4) Repairing the head sluice No 3 and bund of Ootkoor tank Estimate Rs 4959.

(5) Repairing Madapow tank Estimate Rs 3440

(6) Repairing Vorikunta tank Estimate Rs 5247.

(7) Raising bund and reconstructing the low level sluice of Budicoil pally tank Estimate Rs 4673.

26. Works estimated to cost Rs 200 and under are entered in the Register of works. This is unnecessary code 1865 note I.

27 × × ×

28. There is stock in this Division valued at Rs 760-7-6 representing cost of 70 drums of carsons paint, 24 brushes and 6 paint pots received in August and September 1889. The stock accounts were found in order.

29. With reference to para 40 of last report famine tools are now accounted for separately and as regards local tools stacked also separately in the Divisional store yard. But those in the Sub Division are mixed up with P. W. D. tools. The returns are up to date and in order.

30. In the miscellaneous advance account of this Division for September 1889 there is a sum of Rs 20-8-0 being the value of 41 stamped agreements. Of these one was recently sold and value recovered, of the remaining 40 agreements 36 were produced. One is said to be with Sub Division Officer Madanapally and ~~these~~ three are said to have been sold for which the value has yet to be recovered. As contract agreements are under recent orders exempt from stamp duty steps should be taken to return the above agreements to the Collector for the recovery of the value. 1717/7

31. The Budget Registers are in order. A register of liabilities is maintained but the actual or probable amount concerned in each case is not noted in the column provided for the purpose and the total of unadjusted items is not shewn against line 10 of the Return of Grants.

32. Pass Books were produced for all deposits in the Savings bank except the three following and were found in order.

(1) B. Ramaswamy for Rs 50 paid in January 1889.
(2) T. Soobareddy for Rs 20 paid in March 1889.
(3) Mutheri Row for Rs 50 paid in September 1889.

Regarding No (1) and (2) the postal authorities handed over the books to the parties themselves who have been asked to part with them. As regards No (3) it seems that the book has been sent to the Sub Divisional Officer Madanapally for payment into the Savings bank of a further sum of Rs 11-7-0 recovered from the party as security for value of tools lent to him

33. Service Label accounts were examined. These are generally in order except that the accountant does not as he should count and certify as to the balance in hand on each occasion of a fresh supply.

34. The Schedule of rates is dated 22d September 1888

35. The Register of buildings were examined. It does not include the Collectors Cutcherry which has been recently completed and is occupied by the Collector's Office

36. Rates paid to contractors were compared with agreed rates and found to agree. Measurements in Bills were compared with those in sheets and in books here and there and nothing noteworthy were found.

37. There is in the following works an uncommon refinement of accounting as shewn by the No of items (sub heads) in the Register of works.

Name of work	Estimated cost	No of items on Register of works
Constructing Collectors Office Cuddapah	143741	200
Constructing new Taluq Cutcherry at Rajampett	24838	111
Constructing new Taluq Cutcherry at Budawail	21330	91
Additions and alterations to the District Jail at Cuddapah	31900	70

1717/80

38. The following service books require reattestation:—

Name and rank		Date of last attestation
Mr E. A. French	Sub Engineer 3d Grade	3d July 1876 ×
Mr M. Francis	Sub Overseer 2d Grade	21st March 1881 ✓
Shaik Gow Saib	Lascar	20th April 1876 ✓
Shaik Gouse Meah		12th September 1882 ✓

39. In the service books of the following individuals the attestation has been by subordinates and others not in charge of the Division. The attestation should be by the Executive Engineer himself (code 864 note 1 as modified by standing order 473 dated 31st August 1888)

Icera

Peera Saib	Lascar
Pakurdein	Lascar
Lalkhan	Lascar
Pethabee	Lascar
P. Nannckhan	Lascar
Shaik Gow Saib	Lascar
Sooriah Naidu	Clerk
Shaik Mason Saib	Messenger
Mahamed Yesaf	Messenger

40. Some of the Service Books are in a very tattered condition and it is desirable that fresh books should be opened in such cases.

41. In the Service Book of Mr J. Elliot temporary Supervisor Rs 30 which he is in receipt of in addition to his pay on account of Sub Divisional allowance is entered in column 5. The entry is irregular and should be scored out.

42 In the Service book of lascar Pakurdiew the Sub Divisional Officer who attested the entries in the opening page has signed in column "Signature of Officer" instead of in the last column. "Signature and designation of the head of the office" in which column the lascar has signed. This remark applies also to the Service Books of

Lalkhan	Lascar
Pethabee	Lascar

1717/9

43. The travelling allowance journals of Lower Subordinates, Office and petty establishments from October 1888 to August 1889 were audited and found to be generally in order. The new form of journal IV 152 A is in use since June 1889.

Sd/H. Rainier Esqr
Inspecting (accts) officer

Vellore
2nd Novr 1889

No 548 d/ 4-7-90 –

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government
P. W. Department for the information and orders of
Government with the accompanying summary and remarks
2. Special attention is invited to item 15 of
Summary regarding famine tools being mixed up with
other tools. This point was ~~also~~ noticed also in para
40 of last report (item 24 of Summary)

8 MAY 1890

(C. No. 93.)

Division Cuddapah Summary of Inspection Notes dated 2nd November 1889

1717/10

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
1	6	Cheques sent by post to contractors without reference to the restriction in G.O. 2855 W dated 13th September 1889 as to fixed postal address in established place of business.	1744 A	Cheques should only be sent by post to firms or parties having fixed postal addresses or established places of business. The recently approved rule allowing cheques to be sent to contractors through subordinates will facilitate payments in future.
2	7.	The expenditure in excess of his imprest by the Head clerk ~~Head clerk's imprest apparently excessive & irregularly mixed up with Executive Engineer's cash in Chest~~		The imprest is as small as it can ~~will~~ be and it does not seem necessary to reduce it but it should be kept apart from the Executive Engineer's cash balance, and payments from it should be confined to advances of Travelling Allowance of lascars for which it is intended.
3	8.	Copies of vouchers not marked as office copies on every page & in many cases not certified as correct either by the Executive Engineer or Accountant	1818 Standing Order 592 of 11th January 1889. 1644 Standing Order 348 of 11th May 1888	Copies of contract certificates should be marked as such across every page and these copies should be certified as correct by the Executive Engineer or by the Accountant on his behalf. The Executive Engineer should take measures to see that this is done in future and report accordingly.
4	9	Irregular payment of salaries prior to despatch of bill to Examiner for audit	473 (2)	The Executive Engineer should explain this breach of the code rule and report whether it is now being observed.

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
5	11	Imperfections in vouchers in 3 cases of Nominal Muster Rolls	Form M 4 Note 6	The paying officer should note the date of payment and enter his initials opposite the name of each labourer paid in the Column provided for the purpose. The Executive Engineer should see that the rule is attended to.
6	12	Vouchers in Form "M 17" Bill for Materials" not sent to Examiner for audit in 7 cases but retained as a petty voucher	1907 and 1907 A	The vouchers being for petty bazaar purchases should probably have been drawn up in Form M 217 (Miscellaneous Receipt). In any case the voucher ~~for [illegible] should have~~ been ~~submitted for~~ audit
7	14	Failure to submit a voucher for a payment over Rs 5/- to Examiner for audit	1907	The voucher should have been submitted for audit. It should now be forwarded.
8	15.	Register of contracts not completely filled in	Form M. 182B code 1866 A.	The Executive Engineer should take steps to have the Register completed and report accordingly
9	15	Copies of bills sent for payment through contractors not sent to the Executive Engineer's office by post	1818	Certified copies of bills with measurement sheets attached should be sent direct to the Executive Engineer by the Sub Divisional Officer concerned.
10	16	Want of agreement between Sub heads in work abstract and sub heads in the Estimate and in the Register of works	Form M 6 Column	The sub heads entered in work abstracts should correspond exactly with the sub heads of Estimate and the "Register of work." Unless this is strictly attended to there would be great difficulty in posting the Register of works. The Executive Engineer should see that greater care is exercised in this respect in future.
11	18.	Delay in the adjustment of unclaimed arrears due to a store watcher	1750.	All unclaimed wages of labourers and of work establishment lapse after 6 months. The arrears due should in this case be credited to Revenue. The Executive Engineer should have this done and report accordingly.
12	19 21. and 23.	Charges for carriage of materials shown separately in the materials at site accounts in work abstract instead of being included in the value of materials themselves.		Charges for carriage of materials if charged to materials at site should go to increase the value of the materials carried and should not be shown separately; but it would probably as a rule be more convenient to charge them off at once to the sub head concerned.
13	24 and 25	Failure to record outlay on works estimated to cost over Rs 250/- by items of work in the Register of works in 5 cases	1866 II	The outlay on works estimated to cost over Rs 250 should be recorded in the Register of works by sub heads. The Executive Engineer should take steps to have this done and report accordingly.

1717/12

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
14	26	Works estimated to cost Rs 200/- and under unnecessarily entered in the Register of works.	1865 Vol. I	The outlay on works costing Rs 200/- and under need not be recorded in the Register of works. All unnecessary account work should be stopped.
15	2	Famine tools in a Sub Division mixed up with P. W. D. Tools	1779	All Famine tools should be kept separate from ordinary tools and should be entered separately in the Tools return under the head "Famine tools". The Executive Engineer should report the steps taken to ensure this as regards sub divisional tools.
16	30	Delay in the adjustment of the value of stamped agreement forms sold		The Executive Engineer should apply to the Collector for a refund of the value of unused stamps and report accordingly. The discount retained by the Collector should be debited to office contingencies.
17	31	Register of liabilities incomplete, and total of unadjusted items not entered in the Return of grants and expenditure Form No 78.	Form M 77	The Executive Engineer should see that the Register is completed and report accordingly. The Register would be practically useless without entries showing the estimated liability and the amount actually charged when the liability is liquidated.
18	32	Failure to produce 3 Pass books, the books in 2 cases having been handed over to the depositors and in one case having been sent to a Sub Divisional Officer for payment of further deposit into Bank		The securities should have been deposited in the Postal Savings Bank in the name of the Executive Engineer in the manner pointed out in Examiner's Circular No 33 of 1889. The Pass books would then have been handed over to the Executive Engineer by the postal authorities. The Executive Engineer should explain why it was necessary to send a pass book to a Sub Divisional Officer for further payment of security into Savings Bank
19	33.	Failure to count and certify to the balances of service labels on each occasion of a fresh supply.	897 898	This seems to be the business of the head clerk, not of the accountant, on the principle approved ~~approved~~ in G. O. No. 805 W of 16th March 189 The Executive Engineer should see that it is attended to. Code para 897, 8 and 9 should apparently be modified by omission of the reference to the accountant.
20	35	Register of buildings incomplete	975	The Register of Buildings should be completed, and the Executive Engineer should report accordingly.
21	37	The number of sub heads in estimate apparently excessive		This point seems worthy of the Superintending Engineer's attention
22	38	Want of reattestation to service books in 4 cases	864	The Executive Engineer should re-attest their service books and report accordingly.

1717/13

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
23	39	Service books irregularly attested by subordinates and others instead of by the Executive Engineer himself in 9 cases.	864 Note 1	The Executive Engineer should himself attest (and re-attest) entries in service books of all members of the Divisional Establishment, whether employed under himself or not. The Executive Engineer should attest the service books and report accordingly.
24	40	Tattered condition of some service books.		When a service book has got into a condition in which it can hardly be handled without risk of injury - and this is what I understand by "a tattered condition" it seems desirable that special measure should be taken for its preservation, and the best plan would be to take a copy of it (for reference when necessary) in a new service book and then seal the original up in a cover to be kept with the new one, for eventual reference for verification of pensions etc.
25	41	Irregular entry of Sub Divisional allowances in a service book		Sub Divisional allowances do not affect an officer's pension and should not find a place in his service book. The entry should be scored out and the Executive Engineer should report accordingly
26	42	Signature of officer and attesting officer not entered in correct columns in their service books		Requires Executive Engineer's attention when attesting (or re-attesting) service books in future

Major RE

Examiner of P. W. Accounts, Madras

B 4/2

(Excom)

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.

1717/14

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
				1717/13

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.

No. 27
8 MAY 1890

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER.
Dy. No. 2690
10 FEB. 90
III CIRCLE, BELLARY P.W.D.

E.L.
PW 2084/90
5-3 50/90

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Office note from the Examiner, P.W. Accounts, No. 548 dated 4th February 1890, submitting with summary and remarks Inspection Report of the Cuddapah Division office dated 2nd November 1889

Order thereon No. 426 W. dy 8th Feb. 1890

Communicated to the Supy. Engineer III Circle for the information and guidance of the Executive Engineer Cuddapah division, and for report by that officer

2. The Supy. Engineer will report specially on the subject of item 15 of the summary.

(True Extract)

W.C. Baddeley Captn. R.E.
Under Secy. to Govt. P.W.D.

1717/16

To
The Supy. Engineer III Circle
with papers in original to be returned

E.D.M.

2764
8 MAY 1890

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
Dy. No. 968
10 FEB. 90
III CIRCLE, BELLARY P. W. D.

E/
[illegible]/90
5350/90

Copy of letter No. 7/S.C. dated
9th April 1890 from M. R. Ry.
Subbarayacharian Avergal B.C.E.
Rai Bahadoor Executive Engineer
Cuddapah division to the Supt.
Engineer 3 Circle Bellary

1717/17

G.O. No. 426 W. D/ 8/2/90 with examiner's report and summary.

I have the honor to return the papers as per margin received with your No. 3396 dated 13th February last and submit this report.

(2) Summary items 1 to 2 will be attended to.

—3 —— The Accountant has been instructed to certify on copies of vouchers as being correct.

—4 — The cheques have been drawn by me only after signing both the office and fair copies of the salary bills but I find there was delay in 1 or 2 instances in despatching them. I have now adopted the plan of issuing cheques on the second day after the despatch of bills to the Examiner.

—5 — Instructions have been issued

to subordinates and will be attended to.

6 & 7 — The voucher referred to is herewith sent.

— 8 — A fresh register of contracts omitting piece work agreements has been drawn up

— 9 — Subordinates have been accordingly instructed

— 10 — The attention of the clerk preparing work abstracts has been drawn to with instructions to be more careful in future to make the sub heads to agree with those in the estimate.

— 11 — Adjusted in the accounts for January 1890.

— 12 — Will be attended to.

— 13 — This will be attended to for works incomplete. For completed works it is I hope not necessary.

— 14 — Will be attended to.

— 15 — Steps have been taken to separate famine tools from ordinary tools. I think the separation will be completed by June next.

— 16 — The value has been recovered from the Collector of the unused stamped agreements and credited in the accounts for February 1890.

— 17 — The Register of liabilities has been completed.

— 18 — For the convenience of the contractor the security was lodged at the Madanapally Post Office in the name of the Executive Engineer and the book was sent to the Sub divisional Officer for payment of a further security into the said post office by the said contractor. This and the other 2 books have been returned since and lodged in the cash chest.

— 19 — The Head Clerk has been instructed to be punctual in examining the service labels.

— 20 — The Register of buildings was complete. It did not include the Collector's cutchery as the accounts were not then closed

— 21 — The works are all now almost completed and it is not possible now to reduce the No of sub heads which are due to the several varying rates & quality of work to be done

22-23 & 24 — Have been attended to.

—25— The Sub Divisional allowance has been struck out from the service register referred to.

—26— Have been attended to.

No. 196

Submitted to the Chief Engineer P. W. D. with reference to G. O. No. 426 W. dated 6th February /90.

From para 15 of the Executive Engineer's report it will be seen that steps have been taken for the separation of famine tools from ordinary tools.

H. Smalley Col. R.E.

Supdg. Engineer.

Supdg. Engr's Office } 3 Circle
Bellary 28 April /90 }

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Dept.
Madras

1717/19

~~From~~ / C No. ~~4061~~ 5350

~~The~~ The Supt. Engineer
3 Circle
Bellary

~~To~~

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Deptt.
Madras

Dated 28 } April 1890
Recd 30 }
7 6 – 90
Encls 2

No. 196

Submitting replies of the Exec Engr Cuddapah Dn to certain points noticed by the Examiner of P. W. a in his Inspection Report of the Cuddapah Division Office.

8 MAY 1890

No. 2097 Cd/1st May 1890

To C

For favour of remarks

Wes
1.5.90
D.W.

No. 3300 d/6.6.90

To
W.

Returned with the following remarks.

(1) Item 4 of the summary
Nothing further required, but if the Executive Engineer were to require the head clerk to put up a certificate of despatch of bills when sending cheques for signature, the Executive Engineer might avoid the unnecessary delay necessitated by the practice now said to have been adopted.

(2) Item 7
The voucher stated to have been sent has not been received. It is awaited.

(3) Item 8
Nothing further required provided that only bona fide piece-work agreements are omitted from the register.

(207/20

Item 13.
There was no intention of suggesting that the Register should be re-written for completed works, but only the rule should be attended to in future

(5) Item 15
The matter will be looked into at the next inspection.

(6) Item 18.
If the deposit is lodged, as it should be, in the name of the Executive Engineer (by his ~~table~~ title) the contractor can have nothing whatever to do with it, and it can make no difference to him whether it is lodged in the Madanapalli post office or in any others; and on other grounds it is desirable that all deposits should be lodged in the post office at the Executive Engineers Head quarters. It would be well to transfer this deposit.

(7) Item 21
The Superintending Engineer has not remarked on this point.

2 The other items call for no remarks.

C
R 6/6
(Encl 3)

P. W. Chr: 5358 i

Encl. 2

Recd 7-6-90

No. 1717 W d/20 June 1890

Communicated to the Supdg: Engineer III circle for information & guidance

Communicated to the Supdtg: Engr III Circle for information and guidance

Rue 11.6.90

Memo No 2057 C d/1-5-90.
" " 830 C d/14-2-90
P.B. " 426 W d/8-2-90
Memo No 2352 C d/15-5-90.
" " 2177 C d/3-5-89
" " 822 C d/19-2-89
Pr. Ano 2197 W d/19-7-88
Memo No 2543 C d/5-7-88
" " 1954 C d/19-5-88.
" " 1710 C d/25-4-88
Pr. Ano 2983 W d/8-11-87
" " 805 W d/12-3-89
" " 2858 W d/13-7-88
" " 2983 W d/8-11-87

OV 13
9/6/90

24/6

D 24/6

To S.E. III circle
Exr. P.W. Accts

S.E. III Circle
Exr. P.W. Accts

9/6

O 24/6