

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 24
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் அக்டோபர் 89
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 1695

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பெரியபாளையம் துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	1695 1695 (w)	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 18 - 12 - 1890		198 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

சுரு



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again by C. dated 31st Aug 1890, 1890

also
Read the following paper:—

Endorsement of the President, District Board, Godavari, dated 10th April 1890, No 43, on the following letter from the Local Fund Engineer, Godavari Circle, dated 31st March 1890, No 87.

In reply to your endorsement No 43 of 25-2-90 forwarding copy of G. O. No 275 of 11-2-90 and your endorsement No 42 of 19-3-90, I have to say that I hardly know what I am called upon to answer. I am asked to explain the unsatisfactory state of the church after a large an expenditure. If this means why I have not built a church estimated to cost Rs 18,350 for Rs 12,734, I have only to say that I am no more able to burn bricks without fuel than were the Israelites of old. If it means that the building as far as it goes is unsatisfactory either in workmanship or cost, I am ready to

to submit both matters to professional criticism. The Work has actually been done under the estimated rates, and the accounts for the same have been submitted to the P.W.D., as whose Sub-Divisional officer I have acted in the matter. An extract from the Register of works kept by the Eastern Division office will show exactly what has been done both in quantity and cost.

There is one thing, I would wish however to point out, and that is, that although the church has been opened since July 1885, yet we have only had one repair estimate sanctioned up to date, of Rs 250, or in other words, a building costing Rs 12,734 has had to be kept up during 5 years, on Rs 250. I am informed that considerably more than that sum has been spent both on Rajahmundry and Pondicherry churches, during the period. A building although not entirely finished does want repair money, and as this building has been used, I consider the repair money should be allowed.

According to P.W.D. accounts, the grant and subscriptions have been as per accompanying

accompanying statement, and the expenditure is Rs 12,734-8-0, leaving a balance of Rs 932-8-0.

Statement
Government grant-in-aid Rs 6,000
Amount of private contributions } 7,667
Expenditure } 12,734-8-0
Balance in Bank 932-8-0

In conclusion, I have to say that had the Venable Archdeacon written to me that although I might not have been able to get into Locanada (I was in Ellore when he came), I could have sent him a subordinate with all the papers. The then lay trustee Mr. Basser knew positively nothing of the work, nor had he accounts or papers; hence any information the Archdeacon derived in Locanada was very likely to be erroneous. And Enrolment of the Local & Municipal Department dated 30th April 1890 No 226 on the above.

Order 26th May 1890 No 36.

Orders will issue in the Public Works

CHC No 5198

Works Department for the examination
of and report upon the work done to
the church.

(True Extract)

W. L. Lusk
Mayor R. E.
Under Secretary, C. W. S.
for Chief Secretary.

1890.6.90

No 25400 79.6.90 file

Commenced to
The Supply Eng. I Circle
who will inspect the
Church, and report
on the work carried
out by the District
Board Engineer.

The Public Works Department
Local & Municipal Department

Presd
S. E. T. C. C. C.
Recd 51.11

2
X80