

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 22
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் நவம்பர் 1989
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/8

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

மாநாடு துறை

அரசாணை எண். <u>1599.W</u> மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : <u>9.6.1890.</u>	<u>198</u>

26

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

C 12 3833

Note

The recommendations
of the Presidency
Architect in his
letter under
reference cannot
be sanctioned,
as the reasons
therein assigned
are not sufficient
to justify the
additional expend-
-iture of Rs 1290
per annum which
will be caused
to the State should
the proposals of
the Presidency
Architect be
complied with.
The duties
performed by
M. Ganesalingam
officer, Guntakote
in charge of the
fore shore are not
those which generally
devolve on a Sub-
-overseer. This
man's

man's work, as
Cousin to in looking
after the planting
operations on the
foreshore. His
pay being at present
charged to work,
his appointment to
class as a came
under the regular
establishment.
The Presidency
Architects & Engineers
wishes him to be
brought on the
permanent establish-
ment. As there
is already one sub-
overseer attached
to the Chepōk
Peak viz. Mr. Regier
it does not seem
necessary to
sanction an
additional sub-
overseer.

With reference
to the Presidency
Architects second
recommendation

M. J. Calder

2 V
is employed as a
Superintendent at
the Government
Brickfield, Madras,
and his pay is
charged to man-
ufacturing operations.
It is now proposed
on the ground that
he has given much
satisfaction, to
bring him on the
permanent establish-
ment as a Sub-overseer
1st grade.

It will be observed
that both the requests
are made on the
ground that the men
have done their work
satisfactorily and
there is nothing to
show that the work
in the Division are
so heavy as to
necessitate the
employment of
2 additional
sub-overseers.

As the recommend-
ations are purely
personal

From the Consulting Architect to Govt. No. 184
dated 19th April 1890.

For orders whether the
recommendations of the
Consag. Architect can be
sanctioned.

The proposal is equivalent
to increasing the sanctioned
number of lower subordinates
for the Consag. Architect ^{from}
from one to three.

The first man recommended
Mr. Guruswami Naidu is
the Maistry in charge of
the planting operations
on the Alvarina.

In the last para of
G.O. 2301 W dated 11th September
1886, the Govt. declined
to bring this man on
the permanent Establishment.

It will be seen from

The
our correspondence and has given much satis-
faction

The notes attached to the L.O.
that the proposal was then
not considered, because
there had not been
sufficient time to judge
whether the planting operations
on the fore-shore were a
success. Since then however
the success of the work
has been fully demonstrated
and the present state of
the garden part of the
Madrass is due almost
entirely to the zeal of
Guruswami, directed by
the Sub Divisional officer.
If Guruswami be
he appointed a permanent
Sub overseer, there seems
no reason why his services
should not be utilised
on general duties, while
the Park Keeper might look
after the planting on the
fore-shore as well as
that in the Park.

The second man recommended
Mr

Mr. J. Calder, is employed
on important work
at the Govt. brickfields,
where the operations
are extending, and increasing
in value. Owing to the
responsible nature of his
work it is thought he
should be brought on
the permanent Establish-
ment.

Qued
17.5.90
W.

Two at once is rather a long order
What is the exact increase of
cost? The prop.ⁿ statement
shows the whole as permanent
income, but the temporary pay
the men are now drawing
is not given.

W
18/5/90

Resubmitted to the
Hon. Member -
Guruswami now draws
Rs 30 per mensem & we

Calder Rs 55 per mensem
In both cases, the
pay
is not given in the
factors

is charged to Works, in the case of the first man to maintenance of the Marina, in that of the second to brick manufacture.

The proposition states: ment therefore gives nothing under "present scale" and establishment.

If the proposition be sanctioned, Gurusamy will receive a commencing salary of Rs 35 & Mr Calder Rs 50. Increment to sub-oversers are as a rule granted quinquennially.

Prud

2.6.90

I would have Calder but not the other fellow. Calder apparently will take Rs 5 but he will gain in right to pension & permanent employment.

Geo

A/

OK 147-V

17-V

18-V

19-V

Wm

For orders

No. 1599 W of 9-6-90

P. W. D.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras,

19th April 1890.

No. 184.

From

Henry Swin, Esq., C.I.C.,

Consulting Architect

to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer

Public Works Department

Sir,

Enclosures.

I have the honor to recommend M. Gurusamy, Kaidu, Superintendent of the Marina & Chepauk buildings for sub-overser 2nd grade. He was recommended as a deserving man by my predecessor some time ago and in G. O. No 2301 W dated 11th September 1886 from the Government held out some hope of rewarding him at some future time. He has a good knowledge of planting and some experience in carrying out repairs of buildings. He is also studying in the sub-oversers class in the College of Engineering.

I also to recommend Mr J. Calder for a sub-overser 1st grade. He is employed as a superintendent at the Government Brickfields and has given much satisfaction.

7
faction. Owing to the extensive operations his
have increased and I consider that he should
be brought on the permanent establishment.

I beg to submit a proposition
statement for the revision of Lower subordinate
establishment of this Division

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obedient Servant

Henry Druce

Supg. Engineer

Presidently Architects

P.W. C. No. 3833

Public Works Department.

Sr. B. No. 22014 of 11.9.86
" A. " 1636 of 12.7.80

From

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE

Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No. 184

Dated

19th } April 1890.

Received

No. of ENCLOSURES. 1

Subject.

Recommending M. Gove-
samy Naidu & Mr. J
Calder, for submersions
grants.

2/5 02147-V

P. W. D.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras,
31st May 1890.

No. 598

From

Henry Irwin, Esq., C.I.C.,

Consulting Architect

to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer

Public Works Department.

Sir,

Enclosures.

As requested in Memo. No. 162 of
dated 21 May 1890. I beg to report that M.
Govevassamy Naidu & Mr. J. Calder
are now drawing Rs 30 & 55 per mensem &
their pay is charged to Maintenance South
Beach Marina and Government Brickfields
respectively.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

Henry Irwin

Consulting Architect
to Government.

P.W.D. No. 5336.

Public Works Department.

From

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE

Chief Engineer
P. W. D.


No. 598

Dated

31 } May 1890.

Received

2 } 11-20
7-6-90



Subject.

No. 1599W of 9-6-90.

Reporting the amount
of salaries drawn by
Mr. Gurusamy Naidu
& Mr. J. Calder.

No. 1599W of 9 June 1890

10

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Presidency
Architect to Govt. No. 184, of 19 April 1890.
— do — 5-98 of 31-5-90.

In his ^{first} letter
above recorded, the
Presidency Architect
recommends that
Mr. Gurusamy
Naidu Superintendent
of the Marina and
Chepakk buildings
and masonry in charge
of the planting on
the foreshore, and
Mr. Calder, Superin-
tendent at the
Government Dick-
fields may be brought
on the permanent
establishment and
classified as Sub-overseers
2nd and 1st grades
respectively.

respectively.

2. In para 6 of Govt No 2301 W dated 11th September 1886 the Government remarked "it is not considered necessary for the present to bring on the permanent establishment the mastery in charge of the planting on the forest land as the Government does not even now consider it necessary to bring the man on the permanent establishment; the first recommendation of the Presidency Architect cannot be entertained.

3. The work on which Mr Calder is employed, is however,

11
however, an important one and growing in value and his appointment as Sub-overseer of grass is therefore sanctioned.

4. The sanction accorded in para 3 of these Proceedings has been registered as Provincial No 17 W of 1890-91 and classified as Establishment, Permanent.

Pres
5.6.80

211/6
The Presidency Architect,
& Examiner of P.W. works.

Issued

1890

No. 1599 Wd 9 June 1890

low

*This order might
perhaps, who would
with reference to the
to his account on the
into the order.*

*C. H.
H. 6-20*

*By
R. H.*

12/6

*290 12/6
01547-011VI
m 18-VI*

2/5