(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 3.6.89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொதுப்பணித் துறை

அரசாணை எண். 1538 W.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

அச்சகம்
~~புல்வகை~~

நாள் : 3.6.1890.

198 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண கா-1—18,000—15-6-87.

Civ 4838

O.L.S. 133 ✓
Hm 28 ✓

To W

1538W 6.90

3.

For orders Whether the petitioner should be informed that his claims are non-existant, & that he is at liberty to resort to legal proceedings to establish his Rights.

Also whether the S.D.I Circle should be authorised to defend the suit.

Apparently the Claims have multiplied owing to want of promptness on the part of the P.W. Dept in dealing with them in the first instance. The E.D. might perhaps say that the decision of the Govt. will be

suspended until the
result of the Legal proceed
is known.

Cir
26.5.90

Yes - The claims
of the parties
are regarded interim
alone and are liable
to enquiry with -
If with the Consulting
Engr the Contractor
be found dissatisfied
he could (
getting an arbitrator
by indication

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V Circle, Madras,
Chepāk, 21st May 1890.

No. 885

From Lt Col. J. B. Clarke R.E.
Offg SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To THE Chief Engineer
P.W. Department.

SIR,

Enclosures.

With reference to your Memo No 1809/C of the 10th April 1890, directing me to ascertain whether the Contractor S. Parthasarathy Chetty would be willing to abide by the decision of the Consulting Architect to Government I have the honor now to report, that the Consulting Architect has just informed me that the said Contractor virtually refuses to submit the matters in dispute to him - as he will only do so, on the condition that the award to be made is favorable to him - Mr. Irwin has very rightly pointed out to the Contractor, that no honest arbitrator could undertake to make any conditional promises and that, under the circumstances any attempt to arbitrate would be a waste of his time.

I

I must therefore ask you to obtain the Sanction of Government to resist the said Contractor's most preposterous claims, through the assistance of the Government Solicitor.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt Servt

Lt Colonel
A. Holl R.E.
Offg Supt of Engrs
Lucknow

P.W.C. No. 4838.

Memo No. 1809C dt 10.4.90

" " 5606 dt 1-2.90 Retd herewith

From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer

P.W. Deptt.

Dated 21st May 1890.

Recd. 22

ENCLOSURES.

No. 1538 W dt 3rd June 1890

Lr 1809C. 10.4.90.

No. 885.

Contractor S. Parthasara-thy Chetty- Requesting to obtain sanction of Govt to resist the most preposterous claims of — for the reasons stated

No. 1538 W dt 3rd June 1890.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the

READ the following

Petition from S. Parthasarathy Chetty, Contractor, P.W.D. M.E. No - dt 29th January 1890.

From the S.E. V Circle M.E. No: 8114 dt 25th March 1890

Do Do M.E. No: 885 dt 21st May 1890

I don't think the arbitration proposal can be rejected. The S.E. has not given any of the facts of the case.

Ltr

The petitioner having virtually refused to submit his claims to arbitration, the Govt. must decline to consider them.

Ltr 29 May

2. If the contractor files a suit against the Govt; the Supdg. Engineer is authorised to take steps for its defence

3. The Govt. will reserve its decision as to the action of the P.W. officers in this matter, until the re-

result of the suit is known

Rued
28.5.80

No. 1538 W 4 3rd June 18 70

To

S. E. V Archt
Consg. Archt. to Govt.
Examiner P. W. Accounts
Govt. Solicitor
To the Petitioner (Mr