

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 24
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் திருச்சி
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொதுப்பணித் துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>125(W)</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	<u>16-1-1890</u>	198

(12)

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

செய்ய
செய்ய

125
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following paper :—

Proceedings of the Government of India, Public Works Department (Account—General), dated Simla, 23rd October 1889, Circular No. 19, Public Works.

Appropriations for Works and Repairs.

Read—the following extract from the Report by the Committee on Public Works Accounts :—

SUBJECT No. XVII.

Appropriations for Works and Repairs.

379. *Conditions vary.*—The circumstances of the Buildings and Roads Branch differ considerably from those of the Irrigation Branch, in the matter of supply of funds.

380. In the Buildings and Roads Branch, the source of supply is greatly diversified. It is necessary that this should be so; but in the Irrigation Branch it is the exception that funds should be supplied from more than two sources.

381. *Proposals.*—The Committee recommends no change in the matter of appropriations for original works, repairs, and services, chargeable to grants falling under Buildings and Roads heads; but with respect to the Irrigation Branch the Committee thinks it feasible that the grants should be allotted at the beginning of the year, to divisions, under the following heads :—

Each "major work" separately.
Each "minor work" for which Capital and Revenue Accounts are kept, separately.
All other "minor works" group.

Under—

(a) Capital.
(b) Revenue—(1) Improvements and extensions; (2) Repairs, &c.

382. The terms "major work" and "minor work" are used here in the Budget sense, not in the departmental meaning. If this recommendation were adopted, one sum would be placed at the beginning of the year at the disposal of each Executive Engineer, for capital outlay on all works of construction of such a major work as the Ganges canal; another sum for improvements and extensions, and a third for ordinary maintenance and establishment.

383. Full details, as now, should be given in the budget estimates; but the Executive Engineer should be subject to the limits suggested in para. 381 only.

384. The Executive Engineer would be responsible that the outlay, for which he received sanction, on works estimates, was kept within the divisional totals and the Examiner's audit would be conducted on the same basis.

385. It is alleged that this procedure would save much correspondence, and the Committee thinks it would entail no evil result. In fact, the Committee would like to see it left optional to the local authorities to introduce a similar procedure in the Buildings and Roads Branch, if it seemed applicable.

386. *Audit objections.*—The Committee thinks that objections by the Examiner for want of appropriation need not be raised before July, if estimates have been duly sanctioned for the works on which the outlay is incurred.

Resolution.—The Government of India is pleased to accept the recommendations made in paras. 331—383 of the report, in regard to the detail in which grants should be allotted to divisions of the Irrigation Branch of the department. Under the procedure now sanctioned, a single lump sum will, for example, as explained in para. 382 of the report, be placed at the disposal of an Executive Engineer for distribution over the different sanctioned works of construction of a single "Major" work, and in order to enable him to frame his plan of working operations for the year with due regard to economy and progress, it will be necessary to communicate the whole grant at his disposal to him at the beginning of the year.

2. The Government of India would be glad to be favored with a report as to how far it may be possible to introduce a similar change in the Buildings and Roads Branch.

3. The recommendation made in para. 386 of the report is approved; objections merely for want of appropriation should not be made before July.

The Governments of Madras, Bombay, Bengal, the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and the Punjab.
The Chief Commissioners, Central Provinces, Burma, Assam and Coorg.
The Resident at Hyderabad.
The Resident at Mysore (for information).
The Agents to the Governor-General for Central India, Rajputana and Bilachistan.
The Superintendent of Port Blair and Chief Commissioner, Andaman and Nicobar Islands.
The Director-General of Telegraphs (for information).
The Accountant-General, Public Works Department.
The Director-General of Railways (for information).
The Consulting Engineers to the Government of India for Railways, Calcutta, Lucknow and Central Division (for information).
The Comptroller-General (for information).

Order.—Ordered, that the foregoing be communicated to the Local Governments and Administrations and to the officers noted in the margin, for information and guidance.

(Signed) R. C. B. PEMBERTON,
Secretary to the Government of India.

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department.

ORDER—dated 16th January 1890, No. 125 W., Public Works.

Communicated to officers of the Public Works Department, for information and guidance.

2. The Examiner will report on the question in para. 2 of the Government of India Resolution.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
„ the Chief Engineer, Periyar Project.
„ Superintending Engineers.
„ the Consulting Architect to Government.
„ the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. P. L. Pereira.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT:

Gobt. of {1890} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated January, 16th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 16th Jan. 1890, No. 125 W.

JANUARY.

Appropriations for Works and
Repairs in the Irrigation
Branch

Resolution of the Government of India
accepting the recommendations of the Com-
mittee on Public Works Accounts in regard
to the detail in which — should be made,
communicated to officers of the Public
Works Department.

11375

12/3

B

Please put
up a note on
this —

Showing possible
how the procedure
now sanctioned
alters the existing
procedure in
Branch —

Also whether
a similar procedure
can be introduced
in the B. & R. 2
Branch —

Cu

25-11-89

C.A.W.

Please see the note
put up hereunder.

29/1/90.

as now obtained in
the

Notes

5
2/2

The sources from which funds are obtained in the Irrigation Branch are only two — one, Imperial, and the other Provincial. The grant from Imperial funds is assigned under the following heads:—

Major works in Irrigation Branch

{ Capital { 35. Protection War Rs.
47. Capital expenditure not charged against Revenue.

Revenue 42. Major War Rs. (Working Expenses)
The grant from Provincial funds is distributed under the following heads:—

Minor works in Irrigation Branch

{ Irrigation and Navigation works for which Capital and Revenue accounts are kept. } Capital
Revenue.
{ Irrigation and Navigation works for which neither Capital nor Revenue accounts are kept. } Irrigation & Navigation Works
Agricultural Works.

The difference between the procedure in respect to appropriation of funds as now obtained in the

the Irrigation Branch
and that sanctioned
by the Government of
India will be as follows.
Major works: Under
the existing procedure
the grant for each major
work or system under
Capital is provided by
Sections or main heads
such as Head works,
Main Canals & Branches,
Distributaries, and
Drainage and Protective
works. The grant for
each main head is
again distributed among
the several component
works of the system.
This distribution is
adopted in the books of
the Examiner of P.W. Accts
and Executive Engineer
and objection is taken
by the Examiner ^{to} ~~for~~ excess
over appropriation
for each component
work. The procedure
sanctioned by the Govt
of India is that the
grant should be pro-
vided for each system

only,

125/5

only
leaving the Executive
Engineer to distribute
the same over the
different sanctioned
works of the system.
The grants for extension
and improvements under
Revenue are provided
for systems and distributed
in detail among the
several major works
(in departmental sense)
of the system and lump
sums are provided
for minor works (in
departmental sense)
for each division. The
grants for maintenance
and repairs under Revenue
are allotted to systems
and distributed among
the main heads and
canals &c. These
distributions are taken
into the books of the
Examiner and Executive
Engineer and objection
advised to excess over
each grant. By the
procedure sanctioned
by the Govt of India
grants will be provided
for

for "extensions and improvements" and "maintenance and repairs" of each system leaving the Executive Engineer to incur expenditure within the sanctioned allotment under each head.

Minor Works

Minor works for which Capital and Revenue accounts are kept.

The system at present followed, and that sanctioned by the Govt of India in regard to appropriations for works under this head is the same as that above described under Major Works (Capital and Revenue).

Other minor works is Irrigation & Navigation works for which neither Capital nor Revenue accounts are kept and Agricultural works.

The grants for these works are provided for major works (in departmental sense) in detail and lump sum

allotments

125/6

to Divisions for minor works (Departmental sense) and Repairs. This procedure is exactly the same as that observed in the General Branch in regard to Provincial funds. The course sanctioned by the Government of India is that a lump sum should be placed at the disposal of Executive Engineers for Original works and Repairs.

The existing procedure in the Buildings and Roads Branch in respect to appropriation of funds is not susceptible of any modification which would tend to save correspondence as contemplated by the Govt of India. The supply source of supply of funds in this Branch being greatly diversified no alteration in the present procedure is feasible. If the

course

Consent sanctioned by
the Govt of India is
adopted, lump sums
will have to be provided
for Original Works
and Repairs. The
grants for major works
under Provincial are
distributed by the Civil
Secretaries and the
Honble Members, and
those under Imperial
Military by H.E. the
Commander-in-Chief.
In the case of Imperial
Civil works specific
allotments are provided
by the Government of
India. This procedure
enables the Government
to consider the best
distribution of the
grants with due regard
to the available funds.
If Executive Engineers
are empowered to incur
outlay on major works
at their discretion, as
contemplated by the
Govt of India, the control
of the local Govern-
ment in regard to

6/7

funds for those works
that are urgent will
be lost and the question
is on the whole one of
administration. The
following is the procedure
in the Bridges and
Roads Branch:—
Provincial. Under this
head grants are pro-
vided for each major
work, in detail, and
lump sum is allotted
for minor works
and Repairs for each
Division leaving the
Executive Engineers to
incur outlay within
the allotment on such
works as may be
considered necessary.
The procedure under
this head is exactly
similar to that now
sanctioned by the Govt
of India for introduction
in the Irrigation Branch
except in the case of
major works for
which funds are now
provided

provided in detail.

Imperial Military

The grants for major works under this head are distributed in detail and lump sum is provided for each Division under repairs leaving the Executive Engineers to incur an outlay within the allotment. The grants for minor works are at the disposal of the officers commanding Divisions and Districts and the Executive Engineers obtain allotments from Commanding officers. The procedure in respect to minor works has been introduced at the request of the Military authorities, and no change can be made in that procedure, as the Military authorities will not assent to any modification therein. No change is therefore feasible in the case of major and minor works.

Imperial Civil. The procedure under this head

5
V/A

is exactly similar to that under Imperial Military, the only difference being that the grants for minor works are at the disposal of the Director General of Post and ^{office} Telegraphs instead of officers commanding Divisions and Districts. This procedure in regard to minor works was introduced by the Govt of India and it is not likely that the Director General will approve of any deviation therefrom.

Local Inexpended. The grants for original works and repairs under this head are at the disposal of the Local Fund Boards concerned and cannot be obtained in lump sum, but only for each individual original or repair work. No alteration is therefore feasible in the existing procedure under this head.

Excluded local. The grants for original works and repairs under this head have to be obtained

obtained for each specific work and no lump sum grant can be obtained to enable Executive Engineers to incur outlay on such works as they may consider necessary. No change can be introduced in this procedure unless it is so arranged that grants for works under this head should be at the disposal of the P.W.D. Department instead of going up in each case to the Financial Department.

The difference between the procedure contemplated by the Govt of India and that now in practice in the General Building and Roads Branch is stated briefly as follows:— The grants for major works should be in lump instead of in detail as at present. The grants for minor works under Imperial Military and Civil Works

25/a

in lump at the disposal of Executive Engineers are under the control of the officers commanding Divisions and Districts and the Directors General of Post offices and Telegraphs.

The grants for original works and Repairs for each specific work under Incorporated and Excluded Local are obtained from the Local Municipal & Financial Departments instead of being placed in lump sum at the disposal of Executive Engineers.

In para 383 of the report printed with the Government of India Resolution, the Committee desires that full details as now should be given in the Budget Estimates and that the Executive Engineer should be subject to the limits suggested in para 387 only. This means that Executive Engineers should be empowered to incur outlay

outlay within the total
allotments for original
works without any
check being exercised
for outlay in excess of
each sanctioned grant.
Without disturbing the
existing procedure in
the General Branch
with regard to allot-
ments of funds the
object of the Committee
expressed in para 383
of the report may be
secured by directing
the Examiner to raise
objection only when the
total grants under
Original works or
Repairs are exceeded.

May

1137

125W

Circular No. 19 Public Works.
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

ACCOUNT.
General.

129
10

Simla, the 23rd October 1889.

Appropriations for works and repairs.

Read—

The following extract from the Report by the Committee on Public Works Accounts.

SUBJECT NO. XVII.

Appropriations for works and repairs.

379. *Conditions vary.*—The circumstances of the Buildings and Roads Branch differ considerably from those of the Irrigation Branch, in the matter of supply of funds.

380. In the Buildings and Roads Branch, the source of supply is greatly diversified. It is necessary that this should be so; but in the Irrigation Branch it is the exception that funds should be supplied from more than two sources.

381. *Proposals.*—The Committee recommends no change in the matter of appropriations for original works, repairs, and services, chargeable to grants falling under Buildings and Roads heads; but with respect to the Irrigation Branch the Committee thinks it feasible that the grants should be allotted at the beginning of the year, to divisions, under the following heads:—

Each "major work" separately.

Each "minor work" for which capital and revenue accounts are kept, separately.

All other "minor works" group.

Under—

(a) Capital.

(b) Revenue, (1) Improvements and extensions, (2) Repairs, &c.

382. The terms "major work" and "minor work" are used here in the Budget sense, not in the departmental meaning. If this recommendation were adopted, one sum would be placed at the beginning of the year at the disposal of each executive engineer, for capital outlay on all works of construction of such a major work as the Ganges Canal; another sum for improvements and extensions, and a third for ordinary maintenance and establishment.

383. Full details as now should be given in the budget estimates; but the executive engineer should be subject to the limits suggested in paragraph 381 only.

384. The executive engineer would be responsible that the outlay, for which he received sanction, on works estimates, was kept within the divisional totals and the examiner's audit would be conducted on the same basis.

385. It is alleged that this procedure would save much correspondence, and the Committee thinks it would entail no evil result. In fact the Committee would like to see it left optional to the local authorities to introduce a similar procedure in the Buildings and Roads Branch, if it seemed applicable.

386. *Audit objections.*—The Committee thinks that objections by the Examiner for want of appropriation need not be raised before July, if estimates have been duly sanctioned for the works on which the outlay is incurred.

RESOLUTION.—The Government of India is pleased to accept the recommendations made in paragraphs 381-383 of the report, in regard to the detail in which grants should be allotted to divisions of the Irrigation Branch of the department. Under the procedure now sanctioned, a single lump sum will, for example, as explained in paragraph 382 of the report, be placed at the disposal of an executive engineer for distribution over the different sanctioned works of construction of a single "Major" work, and in order to enable him to frame

his plan of working operations for the year with due regard to economy and progress, it will be necessary to communicate the whole grant at his disposal to him at the beginning of the year.

2. The Government of India would be glad to be favoured with a report as to how far it may be possible to introduce a similar change in the Buildings and Roads Branch.

3. The recommendation made in paragraph 386 of the report is approved; objections merely for want of appropriation should not be made before July.

ORDER.—Ordered, that the foregoing be communicated to the Local Gov-

The Governments of Madras, Bombay, Bengal, the North-Western Provinces and
Oudh, and the Punjab.
The Chief Commissioners, Central Provinces, Burma, Assam and Coorg.
The Resident at Hyderabad.
The Resident at Mysore (for information).
The Agents to the Governor General for Central India, Rajputana and Biluchis-
tan.
The Superintendent of Port Blair and Chief Commissioner, Andaman and Nicobar
Islands.
The Director General of Telegraphs (for information).
The Accountant General, Public Works Department.
The Director General of Railways (for information).
The Consulting Engineers to the Government of India for Railways, Calcutta,
Lucknow and Central Division (for information).
The Comptroller General (for information).

ernments and Ad-
ministrations and
to the Officers noted
in the margin for
information and
guidance.

R. E. D. S. D. S.

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to the Govt. of India.

To
The Secretary to the Government of Madras

with 80 spare copies

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 11375

From

The Govt of India
P.W.D.

ENCLOS.—

Civ. No. 19-P.W.

Dated 23.10.89

Received 29.11.89

2.12.89

SUBJECT.

Passing resolution on
the report by the Com-
mittee on P.W. accounts
re. appropriations for
Works and repairs.

DISPOSAL.

No.

Date

Lt. Engr. Gordon ✓
 Lt. Engr. Parry ✓
 Supd. Engrs ✓
 Comd. Archt. to Govt ✓
 Exr. P.W. Accts ✓ 30/1/90

125 W of 16-1-1900
 15 Jan
 Communicated to
 officers of the P.W. Dept
 for information and
 guidance.

2. The Examiner will
 report on the question in
 para 2 of the Govt. of India
 Resolution.

14.1.90
 14.1.90

14.1.90
 14.1.90

12/3
 12

11375-

Govt. of 18 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

02/11/12

only.

16 June 90 No. 12571

Appropriations for
works & repairs in the
Drainage Branch.

Resolution of the Govt of
accepting the recommendations
of the Committee on P.W. & C.
in regard to the debt
in which — should be
made known to officers
of the P.W. Dept.

222

17

22/11/12