(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 10

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் ஒகஸ். 89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

நிதி துறை

அரசாணை எண். 425. W. அச்சகம்

மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். பலவகை

நாள் : 24.4.1890. 19 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

No. 1369 S.D
25-7-89

To

The Sub: Divisional Officer
South

For perusal and transmission with remarks and with all papers to S.D.O South, who will return to this office with remarks.

Your No. 1246 dated 9th Instant

(1) There is nothing in the Contract Bond under which we can fine the Contractor for short delivery.

(2) No new Bond or additions to Original Bond appear to have been executed in the terms laid down in your No. 2959 of 10-12-1888.

(3) Therefore Contractor could, it seems to me sue us at law if we retained his security.

(4) I see nothing for it but to pay him his money and not employ him again.

5 Care should be taken in future to have such Conditions entered in Contract Bonds, and duly signed or initialled by Contractors

/Sd/ W.B. de Winton
Ex: Engineer Proy Pte
23-7-89

"True Copy"

Murmurred
Captain RE
Executive Engineer

WL

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V Circle, Madras,
Chepāk, 12 Dec 1889.

C/N

No. 6017.

From Colonel W. H. Burton R.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To THE Chief Engineer
P.W. Department

SIR,

With reference to G.O. No. 2568W dated 17 September 1889, I have the honor to state that the Executive Engineer Presidency Division reports that the practice of disbursing Salaries before the despatch of Salary bills to the Examiner for audit has been discontinued.

2 The excess of actual over Contract rate was due to the fact that the regular contractor failed to supply Raw Shell in accordance with the terms of his agreement, and the Contract had therefore to be given elsewhere at an enhanced rate to keep Government works going.

3. Service Books have since been

enclosures.

readented

No 555 dy 4-2-90

4/3

To W.

Noted and returned with the following remarks:-

Item 30 of summary (para 8 of office note.

2. Under the circumstances explained it would appear that the excess paid should have been recovered from the security of the defaulting contractor; but the accounts apparently do not show any such recovery. The Executive Engineer might be asked to report whether any recovery was effected, and if not, why not.

3. The Superintending Engineer has not submitted the further report on items 23, 24 and 25 of summary, regarding the procedure to be adopted in issuing time etc. to contractors. It is for Government to say whether they wish the matter reported on.

4. The other items call for no further remarks.

4/2

Regd. No 12344 c.
15-2-90

No 829. C dy 14 Feby 1890

To S.E. II

Please report further on the points above noted.

13.2.90

9

reattested by the Executive Engineer

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt Servant

Colltr &
Supdg. Engineer & Circle

PWC No 1201 8

3708/90

From

The Secty Engineer
5th Circle

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
V CIRCLE,

To

THE

No. 2194
11 APR 1890

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department

P. W.

Dated 12th December 89
Rec'd 15-12-90

Dated
Rec'd

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, MADRAS. 17 DEC 88

Enclosures.

No.

No. 6017.

No. 5931. C dy 19th Dec. 89

Salary Bills

To C

States that the Ex. Engineer Pamban Division reports that the practice of deducting salaries before the despatch of ——— has been discontinued.

For perusal and favour of return.

WW
14.12.89
D. W.

L W

Noted and returned

by From

2194
11 APR 1890

C/4 5

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V Circle, Madras,
Chepák, 2d April 1890.

No. 26

From Lt Col W. H. Burton. R.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To THE Chief Engineer
P.W. Department

SIR,

Enclosures.

I am returning your Endt. No. 829 C dated 14th February 1890. I have the honor to state that excess of actual over Contract rate for supply of Raw Steel was not recovered from Security of the defaulting Contractor, as the late Executive Engineer decided in this matter that he could not retain any portion of the Contractor's Security money - I enclose a copy

I

of the Executive Engineer's
Memo No. 1369 dated 25th
July 1889 to Sub Divisional
Officer on the Subject.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt Servt

Distribution.

Coll. R.E.
Superintg Engr.
5th Circle.

3702

P.W.E. No. 3702

P. W. No. 4524 W dy 11.2.90.
Memo No. 56026 dy 10.8.89
Dns. 3595 W dy 15-12-89
" 2566 W " 17-9-89

No. 1803. C. dy/10 April/90

From

The Supdg Engineer
V Circle

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Deptt.

Dated 2
Rec'd } April 1890.
Encs. 2.

To C

For perusal and favour of return.

8.4.90

No. 2173 dy 16.4.90

To

W.

Noted and returned.

B.

No. 1225 W dy/24-April 1890

Recorded

17.4.90

19-4-90

Supdg. Engr V circle.
Exr. P.W. Accounts.

OL 99. iv
12. iv

No. 26.

Rawshell — stating that the excess of actual over contract rate for supply of — was not recovered from security of the defaulting contractor.