(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 20.

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 20.8.89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் [illegible]

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

நிதித்துறை

அரசாணை எண். 1200-1201.W. அச்சகம் புலவணை.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

நாள் : 23.4.1890.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண கா-1—18,000—15-6-87.

1200-61
1

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

R. No. 2090

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, T. R. Scheme, No. 180 T dated 1st February 1890, submitting for reconsideration the claim of Mr. A. Joyce, Executive Engineer, No. I and II Parties T. R. S for the charge allowance of Rs 100 a month during the time he held charge of the Madura Division.

Office note from the Examiner of P. W. Accounts, No. 887 dated 20th February '90

The article of the Civil Service Regulations referred to by me in my office note No. 1743 dated 5th December 1889 was 178(h), and it is correctly described as 178 (h) in the copy of G.O. received by me

2. If the clause is referred to as 178(b) in the copy of G.O. sent to the Executive Engineer Madura Division it must apparently be a clerical error. There is nothing in Mr. Joyce's letter to lead me to modify the opinion already expressed.

Order thereon No. 631 Wdy 28 Feb. 1890

Recorded with reference to
G.O.

G.O. No. 3601 W dated 18th December 1889.

2. The article of the Civil Service Regulations referred to in the G.O of the 18th Decr. 1889 is 178(k) and not 178(l).

3. A Tank party is not considered a P.W. Division for the purposes of the above article.

[True Extract]

W.H. Bartlett — Capt. R.E.
Under Secy. to Govt.
P.W.D.

To

The Chief Engineer for Irrigation &
Secy. Engineer VI Circle

No. 377 C.E.
Dated 14 January 1890
Recd 16th

From

A. Joyce Esquire
Executive Engineer No. 1 & II Parties
T.R.S.

To

The Chief Engineer
for Irrigation T.R.S.

Dated 14th
Despatched } January 1890
Recd

(Camp) Vasavi

Enclosure (one) only

Sir

I have the honour to inform you that I have received through the Executive Engineer Madura Division, a letter No. 1126 M.D. from Superintending Engineer VI Circle; a copy of which I now send you. You will I think be able to see that this correspondence refers to my claim to charge allowance of Rs 100 a month while holding charge of Madura Division in addition to my duties as Executive Engineer of No. I & II Parties (2) The Examiner appears to have made an office note No. 1743 of December 27th 1889 and upon that an order has been passed No. 3601 W of December 18th 1889. I think that there must be some mistake made by the Examiner as the claim is disallowed because the case is not one by any of the Classes under Civil Service Regulations article 178(k) Now article 178(k) has reference only to the Salt department. But article 178(h) has reference to the Public Works Department (3) Under 178(h) (1) as I think you will see I can fairly claim this allowance. I was for [illegible] for the time being according to that Clause

an Executive Engineer in charge of Sub Divisions,
as a special and temporary arrangement."

(1) The orders of the Gazette dated Nov.r 18th. 1889
were as follows—

"Mr A Joyce Executive Engineer
IIth Grade, to the charge of the Madura Division
without prejudice to his own duties as Executive
Engineer No I Party Tank Restoration
Scheme."

(2) As I think there has been some mistake
made, I shall take it as a great favour if you
will kindly lay this my case before Government

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obed.t Serv.t
(Sgd) a joyce
Ex.e Engineer
No I & II Parties
T.R.S

1200-01/3

P. W. Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read the following
Endorsement of the Superintending
Engineer VI Circle No 1007 M. D 25th November 1889
on letter from Executive Engineer Madura Division
No 4743. E dated 23rd November 1889.
Office note from the Examiner
P. W. Accounts No 1743 dt 5th December 1889
Orders thereon No 3601 W.
of 18th December 1889

The claim is extra charge
allowance preferred by A. Joyce Executive Engineer
is disallowed as the case is not met by any of the clauses
under Civil Service Regulations article 178(a)

(True Extract)
Sdg W. L. C Dadelly Capt.n R.E
Under Secy. to Govt. P.W.D

No 1196 M. D
Copy forwarded to the Executive
Engineer Madura Division for information
& for communication to Mr Joyce Ex Engineer
Sdg W. Joph
21-12-89
Ex Engr for Sdg. Secy

No. 939 In
Copy communicated to Mr Joyce
Sdg M Hughes
Ex. Engineer
Madura Div.n

(True copy)
a joyce
Ex.e Engineer
No I & II Parties

No. 180
1.2.90

Mr Joyce sends this to me as head of the T.R.S. and as that I send it on to the Deputy General and Paymaster Branch as the matter seems need reconsideration

Sgd W Tew
C.I

Camp
Salaara Valley
27 January 1890

1200-01
4

P. W. Department.

3690

Read the following :—

P.O 631 H. 28/2/90

This can for Executive, may
have mistake in Wd Office
from not sent Bus for
Commissariat.

FOR ORDERS.

What has W. to say against this
views? Am order of the sort might not
to have issued without consultation
in the Deputies Branch.

WHS
Branch

It seems to me that a Bank Registration party ought to be considered a division for the purposes of the Article of the Civil Service Regulations referred to and I recommend that the P.O. under which be withdrawn & another issued stating that Bank Registration parties working under the orders of the Chief P.O. for Irrigation are Divisions for the purposes of the Article in question - and giving Mr Joyce the allowances for the Extra responsibility & travelling he would be entitled to as Executive Officers of Divisions

Jno J.

P. W. Department.

Read the following :—

FOR ORDERS.

No. 631-W/28-2-90

" 3601-W - 18-12-89

" 5348-E - 16-11-89

FOR ORDERS.

Am. 7-3-90.

No 29
1200-01/6

To U.

for favour of remarks with reference to H. M's note on J's note for orders, re G.O. 631-W dated 28/2/90.

All
10-3-90 for J.

7.

1200-a/7

No. 1809
22 MAR 1990

P. W. Dept.

Endorsement by the C. E. Irrig. No. 2 Q dtd 10. III. 90.

Note.—

If a tank party is to be declared a division for the purposes of Article 178(4) C. S. Regulations, the following cases will have to be considered:—

(1) An Executive Engineer in charge of two parties will be able to claim the additional ₹ 100 per mensem allowed by Article 178(4)(i).

(2) An Upper Subo in charge of will be able

(1) This case can only be met by declaring one of the parties as a sub-division of the Div — or it may be declared that a Tank Mohafiz party, or any number of such parties could [illegible] Officer in charge [illegible] to the Executive Engineer [illegible] Subordinate in charge

the 50% allowed by article 178(4)(ii).

(3) An Executive Engineer of an ordinary division having a tank party within his division and under his orders, will be able to claim Rs 100 per mensem under article 178 (4)(i).

To "J"

Recd 12-3-90 W

Secy
13 Mar

Claim of parties - Vide Govt Secy's circular No 1470 G. for about a month last & later on later Chief Engr will - there is fair - but please note that very limited is restricted to parties working under the orders of the Chief Engr. Irrigation" and allowed the said "direct orders" - the Executive parties not working under the direct orders of C.E. Irrigation would not come under the operation of the article in question -

Chief Engr. J. 14(3)90

To the Non Secretary thro "W"

14-3-90

As explained & limited by "J" the proposals seem to me to be fair & according to principle. Refer the points to the Exam." for opinion not forgetting reference to India.

Secy
19 Mar

States of Tanks Restoration Parties under Article 178(4) C.S. Revd.

Resubmitted to the Hon. Member with reference to "J's remarks.

If J's proposals are approved, it is suggested that before orders are passed, the Examiner's opinion be asked whether an order of the Govt. declaring Tank parties to be division for the purposes of the Article will be accepted by him.

It is important that the sanction of the Govt. of India will be required.

Recd 17-3-90 W

Hon 17-3-90 R 20-3-90

1200 01/8

22 MAR 1890

No. 1546 C. 1200-01/9

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

Chepâk, 21st March 1890

OFFICE NOTE.

From W

To C

with reference to G.O. No. 3601 W dated 18.12.89, please favour me with your opinion on the points raised in the attached note, and whether the proposals may be sanctioned by Govt.

Rueda
21.3.90 W

No. 1795 dy 31.3.90.

To W.

I think there can be no doubt that a "tank survey party" is not a

"division" in the ordinary sense of the term; and to declare it to be a "division" for the purpose of the Civil Service Regulations would therefore, in my opinion, be tantamount to declaring that the word "division" as used in the Civil Service Regulations, may be held to mean "any charge" that may be held by an Executive Engineer". At any rate it would involve a claim of right to put such an interpretation on the word. I think then that the question is really one of interpretation of the Civil Service Regulations, and that it should therefore be referred to the Government of India, if Government think that the word "division" should be interpreted otherwise than in the ordinary sense.

not any change.

For orders, with reference to the Hon. Member's note of 19th March.

1.4.90

Better forward to India in the terms of the last suggestion.

G.O. No. 631/W&A, 28-2-90
" " 3601/W&A, 18-12-89
53485/A, 16-11-89.

G.O. No. 1200 W&A, 23 Dec. 1890

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER ~~date the~~

READ the following

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation A.E. No. 29 dated 10th March 1890
From the Examiner P.W. Accounts A.E. No. 1795 dated 31st March 1890

Ordered that the Govt. of India be addressed.

To
The Chief Engr. for Irrigation
" Examiner P.W. Accounts
Dy. Secy. to the Govt. of India P.W. Dept.

A.E. No. 1200 W&A, 23 Dec. 1890

Sir,

I am directed to request that a Tank Restoration Party in this Presidency in charge of an Executive Engineer, or as many such Parties as may be in charge of a single Executive Engineer working under the direct orders of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, may be declared

declared to be a Public Work's
Division for the purposes of
Article 178(h) Civil Service
Regulations.

2. It recently became necessary
to place an Executive Engineer
holding a charge of the nature
above described in temporary
charge of an ordinary Executive
division without prejudice to
his own duties, and the Examiner
P.W. Accounts stated his opinion
that as the substantive charge
of the officer was not a regular
division, he could not be granted
the additional Rs 100 per mensem
authorised by the article of the
C.S. Regulations above quoted.
As however considerable extra
work and responsibility was
thrown on the officer, His Ex-
cellency the Governor in
Council considers it only fair
that he and the other officers placed
in a similar position should be
granted the additional charge allowance.

Rules
18.6.90