(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 20

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 2.12.88

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

75

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

அரசாணை எண். 97 அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். பலவகை

நாள் : 15.1.1989

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

10. Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

15 NOV 88

97/1

No 1648 Fort St George
14th November 1888.

From
Captain Lindley. R E.
Assistant Engineer. PWD.
To Executive Engineer
Presidency Division.
PWD.

Sir

I have the honor to request that you will be so kind as to forward for favorable consideration this my application for promotion in the PW Dept for which I am now fully qualified:

In previous applications I have urged my length of service (nearly 16 years, 11 years on the Indian Estabt) and the favorable reports of Officers under whom I have served:- All my

14 yrs 0.0 / the Bhutia Third-

my contemporaries and some of my juniors both in the P W D and in the M W D are now Executive Engineers 3rd grade.

I am senior both in age and length of service to all the Assistant and many of the Executive Engineers in this Presidency.

The Staff pay which I can receive is only 256 Rs c/c, 6 Rs a month more than the Staff pay of a 2nd grade Assistant having 3 years approved service -

I consider that I have been exceptionally hardly treated, and I venture to add that I certainly should not have rejoined the department in 1886 had I not been appointed

97/V to officiate then as an Executive Engineer, and been given to understand that my promotion would be made permanent when I was qualified by having passed in the vernacular -

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

W Dalrymple Clapp M E

V 8152.6

Forwarded for favorable Consideration

Tom Kempton

Capt R E

To

The Supt: Engineer

5th Circle.

20/11/88

15 NOV 88

14 Feb 00 / the Bhutia Khed-

No 4085

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT - 5 DEC. 88 - P.W. DEPARTMENT, MADRAS

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE - 18 NOV. 88 - RECEIVED DIVISION

No 2605 D

Regd. No 2695 D.S. 3-12-88.

Forwarded for favourable consideration. Captain Lindley RE passed the Vernacular Examination in Tamil 3rd Class Test in July last vide 2311 W d 27 July 1888. He is a very Efficient officer.

W. H. Burton Col. RE. Supt Engineer 7th Circle

4th December 1888.

S.O.B. No 2311 W of 27-7-88
Memo No 2686 E of 14-7-88
" " 2599 E of 10-7-88
" " 2556 E of 5-7-88
S.O.B. No 776 W of 8-2-88
Memo No 784 E of 23-2-88
S.O.B. No 521 W of 14-2-88
" " 781 W of 30-3-88
" " 551 W of 9-3-88
" " 272 W of 4-2-88

S.O.B. No 1105 W of 28-3-88
Memo No 3186 E of 17-2-88
" " 272-78 E of 10-2-88
" " 107 E of 17-1-88
S.O.B. No 539 W of 7-3-88
" " 894 W of 10-1-88
Memo No 27 D of 6-1-88

B 11/12

Dated 4-12-88

No 12576

97/3

Note

On the death of Capt. R. C. Hamilton RE it became necessary to post a Royal Engineer officer to the charge of the Military works Sub Division of the Presy Division and as the services of a R.E. officer already in the Department could not be conveniently made available the Commandant of the Sappers and Miners was asked if he had any Lieutenant available for appointment as permanent Asst. Engineer 1st grade.

He replies that Lieut. W.D. Lindley was willing to accept the appointment, and after the sanction of the Govt. of India had been obtained, Captain (promoted meanwhile) Lindley was appointed to the Department.

Capt. Lindley received his original Commission in 1875, was posted to the Indian

No 270-196 Dated 1885.

No 319 E of 17 Feby 1885.

14 Feb 00 [illegible]

Indian Establishment in December 1877; arrived in India February 1878, was promoted to Captain in January 1885 and joined the P.W.D. in February 1885. Under the provisions of G.O. 1005 w.d.f. 28 March 1888 Captn. Lindley's service in the P.W.D counts from Feby. 1854.

The nature of Captain Lindley's employment since he received his commission is noted in the margin.

In letter No. 272 w.d.f. 4 February 1885 the Govt. of India were addressed in view to Captn. Lindley's name being borne on their Military works list for purposes of promotion but in their letter recorded in G.O. 557 w.d.f. 9 March 1885 the Govt. of India intimated their inability to accede to the proposal.

In March 1885, Captn. Lindley asked that he might be appointed an Ex. Engineer to grade as he found his position as regards pay and promotion

1875-76. Curragh District for some time as Divisional Qr.
1877. For several months in charge of the Detachment R.E. which was laying Hos and Chatterden Railways.
1878. Malta & Cyprus expedition
1879-80. Apt. Field Engr. through both phases of the Afghan war
1882. Apt. Field Engineer in Egypt
1883-84. In executive charge of rebuilding Magnus Fort.
1885. Field Engineer in the East London.
Feby '85. Joined the P.W.D. as Apt. Engr. graded & posted to Presy. Dn.
Mar 85. Services placed at the disposal of Mily Deptt. for field service duty
Feby '86. Rejoined P.W.D. & posted to Consy. Architect Dn.
Mar '86 to Feby 1887 } on furlo.
augt 1887. Services temply. placed at the disposal of the G of I for employment in the Mily works Branch
augt '88 Rejoined P.W.D. and posted to Presy. Dn.

97/14

promotion not so good as he had expected. He also stated that he had been under the impression when accepting an appointment in the P.W.D. that a knowledge of Hindustani would qualify him for promotion whereas he subsequently learnt that he would have to pass in a vernacular of which he had no knowledge and to the study of which he was unable to devote sufficient time.

Captn. Lindley's application was supported by the Supty. Engineer (Colonel Prendergast) who considered that a Captain of R.E. should on appointment to the P.W.D. be graded as an Executive Engineer.

The Govt. in their order No. 781 w.d.f. 30 March 1885 declined to comply with Captn. Lindley's request and pointed out that under a recent ruling he was entitled to Rs. 550 per mensem and in addition Presidency house rent at Rs. 80 and Presidency allowance at

14 Feb 88 / [illegible]

at Rs 500 per mensem.

In August 1887 Captn. Lindley's services were placed temporarily at the disposal of the Govt of India for employment on Military works, and while at Fort William in February 1888 he passed the Departmental Standard in Hindustani. Captn. Lindley at once applied for promotion to Ex: Engineer 3d grade which he said his contemporaries in the service had held for some time. He pointed out that it was a hardship that he should be junior in the Dept to officers who joined the service after he did.

Colonel Hasted noted on the application that he was "strongly of opinion that Captn. Lindley should have been appointed Ex. Engineer 4th grade when he joined the Dept[t]."

Capt. Lindley was informed in G.O. 521 W d/14th February 1888 that there were no vacancies in the grade of Ex. Engineer but that his claims would be

× Attention is invited to this note.

97/15

be taken into consideration in connection with those of other officers when a vacancy occurred.

In G.O. 776 W d/8 March 1888 Captn. Lindley was told that the Departmental Standard passed by him at Calcutta did not fulfil the conditions of the Madras Pr. Code and he therefore appeared for and passed in July 1888 the 3d class test in Tamil and became fully qualified for promotion to Executive Engineer.

Since then Captain Lindley has been promoted temporarily to Ex. Engineer 4th grade but he has been reverted with effect from the 4th December, the date on which Lt. Col. A.J. Smith took charge of the Circle.

Captn. Lindley now again applies for promotion. He urges the length of service (nearly 16 years; 11 years on the Indian Establishment) and the favourable reports by Officers under whom he has served. He points out that his contemporaries and some of his juniors are now Executive Engineers.

14 Feb 88] Sir Steuart Hind-

Engineers 3rd grade. He considers he has been exceptionally hardly treated and says he would not have rejoined the Department in 1886 from field service had he not been appointed to officiate as Ex. Engineer 4th grade and given to understand that the promotion would be made permanent when he qualified by passing the necessary.

Captn Lindley's application is forwarded by the Supty. Engineer for favorable consideration with the remark that he is a very efficient officer.

Captn Lindley bases his application principally on his length of service in the Royal Engineers, his age &c. &c. He entered the P. W. Deptt. very late, for he received his promotion to Captain before he joined it. Promotion according to length of military service would apparently be given in a military Deptt. only, eg. the Army. Were he in a Deptt. and Captn. Lindley might have applied for permanent

transfer to that Deptt. when serving temporarily in Bengal.

His appointment as a 1st grade Assistant Engr. in February 1885 placed him above the heads of seven Apt. Engineers who have longer service in the Department than he has.

For orders.

FB.
10. XII. 88.

W

The difficulty is that Capt Lindley cannot be promoted to Executive Engineer permanently, without superseding civil officers who are doing good work in the Department long before he joined it. It has been ruled by the Secy. of State that service in the PWD is civil employment for Mil. officers; I therefore feel to follow Capt Lindley's arguments, which are based on the length of his military service and his age. If this case is decided in

14 Feb 88 / Ex Strachey Mind-

Note on Captain Lindley's case.

fans of Capt Lindley, is can scarcely fairly be taken as a precedent; and the feeling of sincerity as regards promotion which will be caused thereby, will in my opinion do much harm in the Department. The only arguments in favor of appointing Military officers to the Dept, is that their services are better adapted for Military works; but I venture to say that all Military works in this Presidency can be efficiently performed by any Engineer of experience, whether civil or Military. My own opinion always has been that Capt Lindley should have joined the Public Works branch under the Govt of India; and that if Mil officers are to join the Dept, they should do so, when they are young, and be promoted under the same conditions as their civilian brethren

Chas
11.12.88

8/11/12

For orders.

97/7

Lieut Lindleys case.

I think Col Mead's proposal is rather too favourable to Capt Lindley. He has really served only 4 years in the P.W.D. of this time he was 1 year on furlough, 2 years on furlough & 1 year on military works in North India. Yet it is proposed to treat him above men who have served 11 years in the Dept & who first arrived in India in 1879-80. If the rest of the top of the list are to be cadets I would put him between Mess Churchill & Hogg.

HCS
Ward

C
1

This shews date of 1st Commission is June 1873 Had he joined the dept in the ordinary course he would have been 1 year junior to Lieut Baddeley and 1 in the position of an Engineer 3rd Grade serving under Lt Col Smith He did not however join until Feb 85 that is 9½ years after he might have done and see GO No 1805 W dated 28 August 1888 the date of his commencement of service in Dept is entered as Feb 1884

In GO No 521 W 14/2/88 it is stated that Lieut Lindley's claims would be taken into consideration, & when entitled not be promoted, as he had not passed the Departmental standard according to Mr Mears rules this he did in July on No 2311 W 27 July 88

In a note by Lt Hunter (see paras in GO No 521 14 Feb 88) he states that

he is the strongly
of an opinion that
Capt Lindley ought to
have been when he was
~~the~~ allowed to join
the debt posted as
4th Asst Eng under
para 78 of the Code
Had this been done he
would have been in the
same position as that
held by joined the dockyard
9½ years before he did

I consider that this
would not be fair to
the debt but I do
consider that his present
position is not a fair
one, He was allowed
to join the dockyard as a
Cadet and as this is
the case he shd be
given a reasonable
position according to
his length of service
training and also to
his age

I would therefore submit
that he shd as soon
as a vacancy occurs
be placed in the list
of 4th Asst Engrs
senior to the Alpha
whose date of joining

9/6

debt is Oct 1877
By this Capt Lindley
would lose 2 years in
the list in comparison
of his having joined the
debt as Cadet

WWR
5/1/09

Public Works Department.

97/10

97/10

C.N°. 12578
Stw 11.159

Lieut Lindsay Age 35 3/4

Lieut 1st Lancers June 73

Lieut Baddeley Dec 71

~~that is 1 year senior to~~
Baddeley

Lieut Baddeley joined 8 P.W.
July 1874

~~Had Lindsay joined as soon~~

Lieut Lindsay 1st Lancers July 70
joined 8 P.W. April 73

∴ Lindsay 1st Lancers 1 year junior to Baddeley
2¼ — Lindsay

Baddeley 1½ years senior to 8 P.W. & Lindsay

∴ Had Lindsay joined the 8th
he would have 1 year senior to Baddeley
as he ranked from July 1875
which would have placed
him senior to Lieut Smith.

He did join in Feb 85
that is 9¼ years after he
might have done so

Had according to Col Hamilton's
opinion on future GO 521 IW
15 Feb 88
he been allowed 2 Q 4 dates
& adopt in Feb 85
he would be senior to
Lieut Smith as the same
privilege as he would have got had
he joined the 8th at once.

Lieut Lindsay

97/10 97/10

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

From the Supdg Engr. V.C. No: 4085 dt 4.12.88.

After a careful consideration of all the circumstances of the case the Govt are pleased to direct that Captain Lindley's name be borne on the list of assistant Engineers, 1st grade, between the names of Messrs O'Connell and Hayes.

2. The Supdg. Engr. V Circle will inform Capt Lindley that the Govt are unable to give

To The Supdg Engr, V Circle.
para 2 only.

~~H.Qrs.~~

arrives
give him the per-
manent rank
of Executive Engineer,
but his name will
be placed in the
list of Asst: Engrs:
1st grade, immediately
above the name of the
Senior Asst Engr of the
year ~~in which Captain~~ 1879
~~Lushington arrived in~~
~~India.~~

Secy
10.1.89

~~Secy~~
10/1/89

Secy
14/1/89

Encl
No. 97 Wat 15.1.89

A.M. 11.1.89
R 14.1.89