

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 20
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 1-12-88
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் by

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(59)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P. W. D. துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

76

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் :

11. 1. 1889

198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

10

பெ. 4 (11) sheets

வண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

1 Plan sheet

576
76
C. H. W.

I think there is
a despatch from the
Secy of State in
which he refers to
the monthly statement
of expenditure, but I
do not see the despatch
in the file.

The Chairman H. T. B
can be addressed in terms
of the latter part of
W's note, and when
we send the progress
report to the Secy of State
we can explain that
the monthly statement
will be sent in order
to avoid delay in
the forwarding of the
progress reports.

W. H. B.
9.1.59

note

Tow

76
/2

The progress report of
the Madras Harbour for
Sept. was received in this
office on the 20th Decr. The
order thereon was passed
by you on the 21st, & the
papers were sent to press
on the 22nd. Printed
copies were received on
the 24th, but the despatch
could not be circulated
for signature until after
the holidays.

The Secy. of State
does not appear to have
called for the statement
of expenditure. In his
despatch printed with
G.O. No. 2065 W of 9-7-88
he asked for full de-
tails, & in the Govt. order
the Harbour Board was
asked to see that the
monthly reports got out

all necessary details.

In R.O. No 2390 W.J
6.8.88, the Harbour Board
was again asked to see
that the reports were drawn
up in greater detail, &
to submit with them a
chart in duplicate,
showing soundings & other
necessary details.

The statement of
expenditure was sub-
mitted for the first
time with the report
for August, in the
order thereon. The
Board was desired
to continue their
submission & to send
them in duplicate.
vide No 3743 W.J 27:
Nov 2 1888.

The Chairman inquired
he asked to send in the
report for Octr also
once, leaving the state-
ment of expenditure
to follow, & he inquired
also he asked whether

76
future reports can be
prepared so as to reach
the Govt. by the 7th of the
succeeding month.

In sending on the
next report, the Secy.
of State might be
asked whether he would
with the statement of
expenditure to be fur-
nished monthly, or
whether a half yearly
or annual statement
would suffice.

C
8.1.89

3

76
H.E. wishes to know
the reason of the great
delay in these monthly
reports

This report for Sept
was sent by the Chairman
to the Secy on 17th Dec
Transmitted to S.P.W. on 18th

The order was handed in on
21st in my handwriting in the
absence of the H. Secy

and owing to the holidays
was not taken to Council
for signature until the 8th Jan

When was the printed
dispatched etc? Paper sent
to Secy 22nd
Recd 24th

Phon let me see the Secy of
States dispatch regarding
these reports. I believe he
called for the explanation
and as this is the case
5 weeks (1st Oct to 17th Dec)
is perhaps not too long
for it to take for the
accounts to be completed
Should not the report of
progress be sent in monthly
say by the 7th of the succeeding
month and the accounts
follow if this was done

we probably could get
the Report in and the
dispatch off by the 15th
of each month.
Is there anything against
this?

MM

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DESPATCH.

FOR SIGNATURE

OF

THE RIGHT HON'BLE THE GOVERNOR

AND

MEMBERS OF GOVERNMENT,

(With Original).

*Copy to Mr. Member
only Mr. Secretary*

26/5/1904

*Has my signature for me & Secretary
Mr. Secretary*

we probably could
M. Robert is not
dispatch off by the
of each month
Is there anything

Please dispatch
at once

W

75
6

76
7

+ Sent by Board to the Secy
17th Dec

Sent to PWS 18th Dec

Order suspended by my authority
in the absence of the HM
on the 21st Dec

Sent to PWS 22nd Dec

R^d 24th Dec

Order to the book-keeper was
not signed until 8th Jan^y
with the dispatch by the
Agent of the 9th

7

W. H. Fisher 76

8

The monthly reports on
the Hudson River
Waters were called for
Sec 7 of State discharge
dated 7th June 88

1st Report for June

was sent with discharge
dated 6th Aug

2nd for July with discharge
dated 20th Sept

3rd for Aug with discharge
dated 27th Nov

4th for Sept

Discharge signed
8th Oct

Had it sent from the
the holidays I would
probably have sent it
before the end of Dec

The statement of
the calculations was
sent for the first time
with the report for August
on 4th of 6th August.

Regarding the 1st Report
it was detected
the reports in future
sent -

WHS
9 Jan

detail and that
they should be accompanied
by a chart & certificate
of the land agent
in 40 or 30 50 or
of 20. Lth. 80
forwarding the report
to the City authorities
and called to their
and in the dispatch
it was stated that
in future more detail
would be given.

I consider that it is
not necessary to have
monthly statement of
expenditure to be
sent to the Secy of
Treasury or quarterly or
1/2 year statement
should suffice and that
the Secy of State
might be asked if in
1/2 yearly statement
would be sufficient.

The Harbour Board
might be asked
how on his books
the () a

to show in them. This
probably would save delay

The Board might also
be asked to send the
monthly report (without
the statement of expenditure)
by the 10th of each
month if this was done
probably the monthly
disbursements to the Secy
of State could be sent
off by the 20th of
each month.

W. H.
8/1/09

2065 m 9.7.88 76
 2390 m 1.15 26.8.88
 3050 m 1.18 27.9.88
 3743 m 1.25 27.11.88
 27 m 8.1.89

B/
 from orders

P.W.D.

To the Chairman

76
 76
 10

Madras Harbour Trust Board

Sir,

* The report for June was forwarded
 to England on 6th August 1888
 Do. for July do. on 28th Sept. -
 Do. for Augt. do. on 27th Nov^r -
 Do. for Sept. do. on 8th January 1889
 (The last was further delayed
 by the holidays)

The Govt. having
 noticed the great delay
 which takes place in
 the transmission to the
 Secretary of State of
 the monthly progress
 reports of the Madras
 Harbour, I am directed
 to inquire whether the
 submission of the report
 can be expedited by
 the use of lithographed
 copies of the plan, on
 which the monthly
 progress can be shown.
 It is thought that the
 report is further delayed
 by the preparation
 of the statement of
 Co.

10

expenditure which was
submitted for the first
time with the report for
August 1888, viz so,
the statement might be
submitted in arrears
for the month preceding
that to which the report
relates.

2. I am to inquire
whether, by these means,
the monthly report ~~can~~
(which should be for-
warded direct to the
P. W. Dept) can be
despatched so as to
reach this office by
the 10th of the succeeding
month

Have &c

10/1/89
10/1/89

Duff-

Cu

To the Chief Secy for
Comptroller-in-Chief -
The report now comes
through the Marine Dept.
App and to the Secy
to counter-verify
and it must
Mr