(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 22

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 3/89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

75

PWD துறை

அரசாணை எண். / மூ. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	357	அச்சகம் / ~~பல்வகை~~

நாள் : 8.2.1989

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

Note regarding the amounts due to the estate of the late contractor Lonoo Chetty

In his inspection report of the Presidency Division Office dated 10th March 1881 the Dy. Examiner brought to notice that a sum of Rs 1871-15-10 due to the late O.S. Lonoo Chetty (a contractor) since June 1877 remained unpaid and that there was also in deposit a Government Pro Note for Rs 1000 belonging to the contractor which he had given as security in 1868. The Government directed that the sums should be cleared.

836 W of 88 30

In October 1881 it was reported that nothing had been done in the matter and that as the contractor's heir was a minor there was no prospect of a

settlement - The Executive
Engineer was therefore 2908 W.J/11-12-81
directed to address the
Administrator General
in regard to his taking
over the sums in deposit
belonging to the estates
of deceased persons -

In April 1882 the
Government were in-
formed by the Examiner
P.W. Accounts that as
there was some difficulty
in the Administrator
General taking over
the sums due to Soorno
Chetty the matter had
been referred to the
Superintending Engineer.

In October 1882 it 2745 W.J/23-10-82.
was reported that -
Rs 1000 outstanding had
been paid under the
direction of the Govern-
ment Solicitor but that

that officers were of opinion
that the sum of Rs 1147-15-0
was not adjustable for
the present. It was sug-
gested that the amount
might be taken out of
the stock purchased and
sales account and credited
to Revenue until adjustable,
which the Executive Engineer
expected would be in about
a year.

1137 W.J/5-5-82.

784 W.J/10-3-83.

In March 1883 the
Government approved
the Examiner's suggestion
that the amount should
be credited to Provincial
Revenue and refunded
afterwards to any person
who should establish his
claim to it. It was
reported that every
endeavour had been made
to trace Soorno Chetty's
heirs and to pay them
the money.

In March 1884 the Dy. Examiner in his inspection report on the Presidency Division office remarked "Government Securities for "Rs 1000 deposited by "Contractor P Somoo Chetty "and Veerasawmy Naidu "(since deceased) in 1877 "have not been returned "as the heirs to their estates "is a minor". The Examiner on that stated that if the securities could be made over to the Official Trustee or Administrator General, it would be advisable to do so, and clear off the item in this Department, and the Government in passing orders on the report directed the Superintending Engineer to address the Administrator General the subject.

1414 W/f 5-6-84

In November 1884 the Government learnt that the Rs 1000 for Rs 1000 was with the Bank of Madras, and the Dy. Examiner in his report suggested that the opinion of the Government Solicitor should be taken in regard to the disposal of the note, and also whether the interest on it should be drawn and invested. The question was at the same time raised whether the other sum of Rs 1471-15-0 which was originally deposited in cash, should be invested in Government papers, but in regard to this the Government remarked that orders had already (784 W/f 10 th March 1883) issued for the amount to be written

357

3134 W/f 20-12-84

3

to Revenue, and enquired whether this had been done. The Superintending Engineer was at the same time directed to seek the advice of the Government Solicitor in regard to both de-
-posits.

In November 1885 the Superintending Engineer submitted a letter from the Government Solicitor on the subject, and at the request of the Supg: Engineer the Government directed "that the money 3060 W/d/18-12-85
"in question will be in- + Rs 1471-15-10
-vested in Government
"Ten Notes in the name of
"the Executive Engineer
"Presidency Division on
"account of the estate
"of the late Somoo Chetty.
"amount with interest

"up to date will be paid
"to the legal representatives
"of the late Somoo Chetty
"on their establishing their
claim"

In November 1886 the Government appeared of the Notes being endorsed to the Accountant General in view to that officer drawing the interest and reinvesting it in Ten Notes 2862 W/d/2-11-86

In September 1887 the Government were informed that the amounts due to the estate of the late Somoo Chetty had been attached by an order of the High Court, and in the following February the Executive Engineer, Presidency Divn. was authorised to sell the Loan Note and from the proceeds to pay

3614 W/d/3-10-87.

+ 1 Note for Rs 2000
1 Note for " 1000
In cash " 471-9-9

391 W/d/3-2-88

Sum of Rs 1613-15-3
in accordance with a
subsequent order of
the High Court. The
balance of the proceeds
of the sale were to be
reinvested in the name
of the Executive Engineer.

In January 1889 the
Superintending Engineer
submitted copy of an
order made by the
High Court for the
payment of a 3½ share
of the Rs 1613 four
Rs 1000 which remained
with the Executive
Engineer, and the Govern-
=ment passed the following
orders:—

359 W2/8-2-89

The Executive Engineer
Presidency Division should

359

359

consult the Government
Solicitor and if that officer
approved may sell the
promissory note and pay
three fourths of the proceeds
in accordance with the
order of the High Court.
The balance of the proceeds
should be reinvested by
the Executive Engineer". After
after the above mentioned pay-
=ment has been made a
sum of between seven and
eight hundred rupees
will remain in the name
of the Executive Engineer
to the credit of Sonoo
Chetty's estate.

It will be observed
from the foregoing precis
that the Dy. Examiner's
report in G.O. 2745 W
d/ 23rd. October 1882 that
the sum of Rs 1100 out

had been paid under
the direction of the
government solicitor
was premature.

RB.
22.2.89.

Note

Deficit due to
Water Pollution
Contractor Ex
Chettiar

LOW 339

With reference to the
overleaf, a note is
submitted giving
a history of the deficit
due to the estate of the
late contractor Somoo
Chettiar -

It is proposed
to attach the note
to G.O. No. 350 W dt 8.2.89,
so that it will
always be available
for reference.

CL
22.2.89

Yes please do so and
return the note

25/2/89

Order issued 8/2/89
SM

7

To W

Write reference to the Hon'ble remarks, before earlier than V.O. dtd 30604 dtd 18.12.85 have now been put up.

The question as to these sums due to deceased contractors, came up originally in successive inspection notes by the Debt Exd

The Hon'ble has passed the order as altered, but may perhaps issue if desired, a note will be drawn up giving the history of the deposits.

Cls
5-2-89

Please have a note drawn up giving the history of the deposit in record
The order can issue as amended
6/2/89

11 JAN 89

74

In the High Court of Judicature at Madras
On its Ordinary Original Civil Jurisdiction
Tuesday the 9th day of October 1888.

Present:-
The Honorable Mr Justice Parker
Civil Suit No 105 of 1877

T. Numberoomall Chetty - Plaintiff
and
P. D. Parthasarathy Chetty - Defendant

Upon motion this day made unto this Court by Mr. M Venkata Ramiah Chetty Vakil for the Plaintiff and upon hearing read the petition of Thaticonda Numberoomall Chetty dated and filed on the 18th day of July 1888 and preferred unto this Honorable Court praying that Mallapore Varadaraja Moodelly be appointed Receiver in the suit for the purpose of realizing the attached property herein and paying the same over to the Plaintiff the reporting satisfaction to the extent of realization and also the affidavit of the said Thaticonda Numberoomall Chetty solemnly affirmed on the 26th day of April 1888 and filed on the 18th day of July

July 1888 - It is ordered that Mylapore Varadaraja Moodelly be and he is hereby appointed Receiver herein to realize the 3/4 share of the Promissory Note of the Government of India of the 4 per cent loan of 1835/36 dated 22nd August 1868 No 8395/14105 for Rupees 1000 which 3/4 share is now under attachment in execution of the decree herein in the hands of the Executive Engineer Presidency Division, Madras and to pay the money so realized to the Plaintiff, or to his attorney duly authorized in that behalf and it is further ordered that the said Receiver do have all such powers as to bringing and defending suits for the purpose aforesaid as the Plaintiff or the owner himself has, and it is further ordered that such receiver is not required to give security -

By the Court -
(signed) F. C. Clarke
Asst: Registrar

Fr 1864
20/12/88 M.A.

(A true copy)

F. Clarke
Asst: Registrar

Appeal — 10th Oct/88
Obtained for — 21st Dec/88
Stamp papers — as —
Ready — 22nd Dec/88

Asst Registrar.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V Circle, Madras,
Chepâk, 31st January 1889.

No. 5702.

359

From Colonel W. H. Burton R.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To THE Chief Engineer
& P.W. Department

SIR,

Enclosures.

With reference to G.O. No. 3060 W dated 18th December 1885, I have the honor to state that the Executive Engineer Presidency has received an order from the High Court Madras attaching 3/4 of the Government Promissory Note for Rs 1,000 forming part of the sum due by Executive Engineer Presidency to the estate of the late Somoo Chetty contractor.

The sum of Rs 1613-15-3 out of the Rs 2,000 Promissory Note has been paid by the Executive Engineer under a similar attachment in March 1888 as per G.O. No 3911 W

10

dated

dated 3d February 1888.

The amount of the estate now therefore consists of:—

Proceeds 1 Government Promisory Note	Rs	2000-0-0
"	"	1000-0-0
In cash	"	141-9-9
Total	Rs	3141-9-9
Deduct paid in March 1888	"	1613-15-3
Balance now in hand	Rs	1527-10-6

The sum now ordered to be paid by the High Court as per order signed by decree of the Court in Civil Suit No 105 of 1877 dated 9th October 1888 — Rs 750-0-0

Balance will then be Rs 777-10-6

× Decree enclosed in original.

The Promisory Note in question is with the Executive Engineer and he will require the orders of Government to meet the present demand against the estate.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt Servant

W H Anston. Coll RE.

Superintending Engineer 5th Circle

359

No 2863 W dt 2.11.86.
" 2604 W dt 3.10.87
- 391 W dt 3.2.88
- 892 C dt 1.3.88

359

71

From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

V Circle,

To

THE *Chief Engineer*

P W Department

Dated 31st January 1889.
Recd.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
1 FEB 8
P.W. DEPARTMENT, MADRAS.

ENCLOSURES. 1

No. 5702.

Late Somasekhara Mudaliar Contractor

Regret the Orders of Government to meet the present demand against the Estate of—

With order

Dear

Advt.

B

Sd 4 Feb.

The Executive Engineer Chingleput & Sub Collr. Collr. Presidency Division, on if that Office approves, may authorised to sell the promissory note and to pay three fourths of the the sum of Rs 750 out of the proceeds in accordance with the order of the High Court. The balance of the proceeds should be remitted by the Executive Engr.

2.2.89 *2/2/89*

This was the sum of Rs 750 but 3/4 of the note proceeds which has to be paid. But this order is not one attaching the 3/4 shares, though another order to this effect seems to have been passed in regard to. This only appoints a receiver. I don't understand the case, complete papers not being put up. Strongly better to call the Exp. Engr. to explain the Govt Order.

HWL 4 Feb.

S Ev Circle

E-RW

D8/2

HW 2.2.89
RS. 11.2.89

5/2/89 *12/2*

(11)