(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 14

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 3/84 மேல

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

43

PWD துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மூடகோப்புத் தாள் எண். 318

அச்சகம்
பல்வகை

நாள் : 5 .2. 1889

198.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

7 sheets

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

(1)

318
1

5th February 1889, No. 318 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishments.

Read—the following paper:—

From G. H. D. WALKER, Esq., Under-Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Calcutta, 28th January 1889, No. 249 G.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 3039 W., dated the 26th September 1888, requesting a reconsideration of the orders in regard to the incidence of charge of the pay of maistries employed in the Public Works Department, Madras.

2. In reply, I am directed to forward, for information, copy of a standing order, which will shortly be issued, modifying rule (1) of para. 15, chapter IX, volume I of the Public Works Code.

Enclosure.

Standing Order, Public Works Code, Volume I, 5th Edition, Chapter IX.

Chapter IX, para. 15, rule (1).—Expunge the words "of only one work or a section of a large work, such as a road or canal."

(This order is applicable to Madras and Bombay.)

Order—dated 5th February 1889, No. 318 W., Public Works.

Communicated to Superintending Engineers and the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

2. All outstanding objections relative to the debit to "works" of the pay of maistries employed on more than one work will now be removed.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Consulting Architect to Government.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENTS.

Govt. of {1889} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 5th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 5th Feb. 1889, No. 318 W.

FEBRUARY.

Maistries employed on Public Works

Letter from the Government of India modifying Code para. 15, clause 1, chapter IX of the Government of India Code, volume I, regarding cost of —, communicated to Superintending Engineers and to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

318/2

10TH MAY 1887, No. 1259 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following letter from G. H. D. WALKER, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Simla, 18th April 1887, No. 745 G.:—

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letters, Nos. 680 W., dated 5th March 1887, and 779 W., dated 12th idem, on the subject of the pay of maistries employed on Public Works in Madras being charged to Works. In reply, I am directed to state that the Government of India is of opinion that these men, who resemble lower subordinates in other provinces, should be charged to Establishment and not to Works; and that there should be no difficulty in providing funds for this establishment, if the percentage now said to be allowed in the Works and Repairs budget estimate to cover their pay be reappropriated to the budget estimate of Establishment.

ORDER—dated 10th May 1887, No. 1259 W., Public Works.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. P. L. Pereira.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of [1887] Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated May, 10*th*.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., 10th May 1887, No. 1259 W.

MAY.

Maistries

Letter from the Government of India considering that — employed on Public Works in Madras, should be charged to Establishment and not to Works, with remarks as to how to provide funds for this establishment, recorded.

318/3

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers :—

From G. T. WALCH, Esq., Superintending Engineer, I Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Dowlaishweram, 9th July 1888, No. 1095 G.D.

In carrying out the works of the completion project, Godávari delta, it is now, when most of the masonry works remaining to be done are small ones, often found most economical to put several of them under one maistry, *e.g.*, four inlet sluices (each only from Rs. 600 to Rs. 800) between 9½ and 13¼ miles of the bank canal, Central delta; it would be simply absurd as well as wasteful to employ a separate maistry for each of these; the proper way is to build them all at one time under the supervision of one man distributing his pay amongst them.

2. The Examiner objects to such an arrangement without special sanction of Government to the maistry's pay being passed as "Establishment," but I have the honor to request that you will authorise each canal in the printed classified lists under "Main canals and branches," Godávari delta, being with its works considered as only *one work* for the purpose dealt with in this letter (see para. 935-1 of the Public Works Code) and thus prevent numerous objections by the Examiner and the necessity for references to Government about petty pay charges to petty works.

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 22nd August 1888, No. 2126.

I think the proposed arrangement would be merely an evasion of the rule. The intention appears to be that such maistries should be treated as establishment (temporary)—see Government of India letter printed with G.O., No. 1259 W., dated 10th May 1887. Also please see my office note No. 1777 of 28th June 1888 to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department.

2. It would, of course, be absurd and wasteful to employ a separate maistry for each sluice; but this is not the alternative contemplated. If the maistries are treated as temporary establishment, the cost will be exactly the same as it is now.

No. 84 E.W.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

2. The undersigned considers that it is not practicable to charge the temporary maistries to temporary establishment, and if the view taken by the Examiner in his No. 2126 of the 22nd August is a correct one, it will be absolutely necessary for the Government of India to be addressed and measures taken for the ruling to be altered.

3. It is true as stated by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts that the course proposed by him will, as regards expenditure, make things exactly the same, but he (as so often the case) does not consider the amount of office work, &c., entailed if the sanction of Government is necessary in every case when a maistry is employed on several petty works.

4. The Chief Engineer for Irrigation would propose that the course recommended by the Superintending Engineer be sanctioned by Government and that each canal or other item in the printed classified lists of Productive or other works should be considered as one work for the purposes of petty charges for petty establishment, and, should the Examiner choose, the matter be referred to the Government of India.

Chepâk, (Signed) H. R. MEAD, Colonel, R.E.,
8th September 1888. Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

To the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

Order—dated 26th September 1888, No. 3038 W., Public Works.

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed.

(Here enter No. 3039 W., dated 26th September 1888.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Letter—to the Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, dated Ootacamund, 26th September 1888, No. 3039 W.

With reference to your letter No. 745 G., dated 18th April 1887, I am directed to request that the Government of India may be moved to reconsider the question of the incidence of cost of maistries employed on public works. It is suggested in your letter that there should be no difficulty in providing funds for this establishment if the percentage allowed in the works and repairs budget estimate to cover their pay be reappropriated to the budget estimate of establishment. The adoption of such a suggestion would, however, give rise to a great amount of additional correspondence, for in the case of works carried out from Imperial funds, every application to reappropriate from Imperial to Provincial establishment the sums provided in individual estimates for petty supervision would require the sanction of the Government of India, while similar applications in the case of Provincial works would require the sanction of the Local Government. The entertainment of the maistries themselves under the head establishment would further require the sanction of Government in each case.

2. The Examiner, Public Works Accounts, suggests that the difficulty might be met if the contribution of 23 per cent. on the cost of works now paid by other funds to Provincial on account of establishment be increased with the sanction of the Government of India to the old rate of 25 per cent.; but even if this be acceded to, a large amount of correspondence will be involved in the submission of applications for the employment of maistries under the head temporary establishment.

3. I am therefore again to submit the proposal made in my letter No. 680 W., dated 5th March 1887, that each group of works at a station in charge of any one member of the upper subordinate establishment be regarded as one work for the purposes of clause (1), para. 15, chapter IX of the Government of India Code, vol. I. With regard to irrigation works, I am directed to forward the accompanying correspondence,* and to request the sanction of the Government of India to the suggestion made by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, that each canal or other item in the classified lists of Productive or other works be regarded as one work for the purposes of the Code rule above quoted.

* Proceedings of Government, No. 3038 W., dated 26th September 1888.

4. If these proposals do not meet with the approval of the Government of India, I am directed to inquire whether there would be any objection to a suggestion already made to Superintending Engineers by this office, viz., that the pay of maistries should be fixed in all cases at daily rates, and that when they are engaged in the supervision of several petty works, each work should be charged with a certain number of days' pay in proportion to the amount of supervision given to each work.

5. This subject has already given rise to much correspondence in this and Superintending Engineer's offices, and the desire of this Government is to obviate continual references, and objections raised by the Accounts branch, by permitting Superintending Engineers within their powers to entertain necessary petty establishment.

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

318/4

Exd. J. Henricus.
Spare Copies

1713

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1888} 39
PUBLIC WORK

Dated September, 26th.

Read.

G.O., 26th Sept. 1888, N
3039 W.

SEPTEMBER

3/

Maistries employed
Works

Requesting a reconsider
tion of the incidence of cos

Printed
Standing Order
Public Works Code Volume I
5th Edition.

No.
Chapter IX

Chapter IX, Paragraph 15, Rule (1)
Expunge the words. of only one
work or a section of a large work
such as a road or canal.
(This order is applicable to Madras
and Bombay)

3/8/5
2 Pp

318/6

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT — 3 FEB. 89 — P.W. DEPARTMENT, MADRAS.

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

No. 249 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

1P

To,

The Secretary to the Government of Madras
Public Works Department,

Calcutta the 28th January 1889.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 3039 W. dated the 26th September 1888, requesting a reconsideration of the orders in regard to the incidence of charge of the pay of Maistries employed in the Public Works Department, Madras.

2 In reply, I am directed to forward for information copy of a Standing Order which will shortly be issued modifying Rule (1) of paragraph 15. Chapter IX, Volume I of the Public Works Code.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

G. H. Walker

Vide para 935(I) Public Works Code

Document accompanying
Copy of Standing order
referred to.

1/2/10 Secretary to the Govt. of India

Recm 10 Feb

318/7

4

Ry 4/2/89

310

Genl Estabs

En 1

No 318 W dt Feby 5. 1889

A

Communicated to Supty Engineers & the Supty Surveyor of the Dist Examiner P.W. Accounts.

P.W. reed.

2. All outstanding objections relating to the debit to "Works" of the pay of maistries employed on more than one work will now be removed.

W 11/2

WCR 4.2.89

S. Es

Supty Engr

Eff to Gen

Ex Engr 3

Ex Surveyor

4/2/89

14/2/89

Govt. of (1889) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Read. Encl.

G.O., 5 Jan 1889 No. 318 W

Maistries employed
=
in Public Works
=

Letter from the Govt of
India modifying Code
para
15, ~~the~~ Clause 1, Chapter
IX of the Govt of India
Vol. I
Code, regarding cost
of ——, and to
whom to be paid,
P.W. Dept.

200
15

(1)

10/6/2 9/6/2