(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 9-1-89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(191)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

அரசாணை எண்.		அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	256	பலவகை

நாள் : 31. 1. 1889

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

K.G

4 Sheets

ஆவண - கா-I—18,006—15-6-87.

4

Public Works Department.

Current No. 124

Letter No. 69

Dated 19th January 1889

From the Executive Engineer Pt Moresby

Note or Remarks.

The expenditure noted under remarks is on the Estimate for the construction of a Cottage for Assistant Surveyor and Mrs Lancaster Langton at Samarai.

The original Estimate for £19,630 was sanctioned in G.O. No 14 of 17th June 1885. The plans provided for Stores but the Lieut. Governor directed that Storage accommodation for Stores be provided in two Cottages and provided by a Local Ordinance, which alterations it is stated the Ex. Engineer took

The excess may be sanctioned, but the T.E. should not have made important deviations from the sanctioned design, without first obtaining the sanction of the S.E.

Chief
29-1-89

carried out within sanction.

In June 1907 the Ex Engr submitted a revised Est for the work amounting to Rs 11,260 which was returned by the Supdg Engineer with the Est for further information. On examination of this Estimate it was reported that the work had been completed at a Cost of Rs 9965-7-11 or Rs 326-7-11 in excess of the Sanctioned amount. As the work had been completed the Est was returned by the Supdg Engr under Code para 953 and the Ex. Engr was directed to Exhibit the Excess outturn in the Completion Report. In forwarding the Account adjusted to have been incurred from Rs 9965-7-11 to Rs 10,030-12-0 as by Rs 400-12-0 which is within 5 per cent of the Sanctioned Amount.

256
2

V

256
D

The Executive in forwarding this Report remarked that the Excess is due to an unauthorised modification of the design and that a reduction in the Amount of the Est: of the Estimate of Rs 2000 might have been effected had the design been carefully considered in the first instance

Chief
25/1/09.

executive

No. 4455 — 256/3

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V Circle, Madras,
Chepâk, 21 December 1888.

From

Coll W H Burton RE.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer P W Department
through the Examiner
P W Accounts.

SIR,

Enclosures.

With reference to G O No 3662 dated 20 November 1888, I have the honor to submit a completion report for the construction of a cottage to accommodate 12 Lunatics and block of 12 rooms for Native Criminals for sanction to the excess outlay of Rs 400-12-0

The Original Estimate Rs 9630 sanctioned in G O No 1419 dated 17 June 1885 included only a block of six rooms for native criminals, while the work was progressing the Superintendent of the Asylum is said to have represented to the Executive

Executive Engineer that the rooms
are larger than what was
necessary, and that they may
with advantage be partitioned
into two each with addition of
a back verandah. This alteration
the Executive Engineer permitted
without reference to this office.
A revised estimate of Rs 11268
was however received in this
office for the first time in June
1887 which was referred back
to the Executive Engineer for further
information: when this was
received the second time it was
reported that the work has
been actually completed at a
cost of Rs 9956/7/11 consequently
Colonel Ibbart R.E. returned
it to the Executive Engineer under
Code para 955 and directed
that the excess outlays should
be

be explained in the Completion
report. The Completion Report now
received from the Executive Engineer
exhibits an actual outlay of
Rs 10030-12- or an excess of Rs
6400-12- over the original
estimate with explanation for
the cause of excess. I request
that sanction may be given
to the excess outlay, which
is within 5 per cent of the
sanctioned amount under
Code para 267(d).

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt Servt

W. H. Burton Capt RE

Superintending Engineer
5th Circle

3662 W 20/11/88
7326 W 3/3/88
3942 W 17/12/88

No. 6989 19.1.89

Encl: 2

To E.W.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W. Department.

2. It appears that as compared with the sanctioned amount, an excess of Rs. 575 was due to a mistake in the original estimate for iron work, and excesses aggregating about Rs. 400 to an unauthorized modification of the sanction design for the block of criminals quarters; but as only six rooms for criminals were sanctioned and required, it would seem that the estimate might have been very considerably reduced, and probably by about Rs. 2,000, if the size of the rooms had been carefully considered in the first instance.

C.

Al. 18
SP 18/1

From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

V. Circle,

To

THE

EXAMINER
Accounts
22 DEC 188
FORT GEORGE
MADRAS

Dated

Recd. 22/12/88 188 .

ENCLOSURES.

No.

B

The excess outlay of Rs. 400-12-8 is sanctioned.

2. It will be pointed out to the Ex. Engr that he should not have made important deviations from the sanctioned design without first obtaining the approval of the Supdg Engr.

24.1.89

30/1/89

S. & V. Circle
with compliments
Ex. Engr