(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 6-1-89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் [illegible]

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

180

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

[illegible] துறை

அரசாணை எண். —
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 243

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 29 / 1889 198 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

H Sheets

தொண 27-1—12,000—15-6-87.

From

Wm M Shaw Esqr
late Executive Engineer
Public Works Dept.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
31 DEC. 88
P.W. DEPARTMENT, MADRAS.

243
1

To the

Secretary
Public Works Depart.
Govt. of Madras

Dated London
10th November 1888.

Sir,

With reference to the Order of the Madras Government No 2698 dated 31st August 1888. and Para 2 thereof. I have the honor to point out that you are in error in stating that my average emoluments were Rupees 10472 per Annum at the time of my retirement. I had been over three years (3 years) an Executive Engineer 1st Grade. having been promoted to that Grade Sat. pro. tem in March 1883 or 1884. vide my Statement of Services lodged with the Examiner of Accounts Madras.

Sat. pro. tem promotion counts as Service in the Grade in both Furlough pay and Pension. and my average emoluments at the time of my retirement were Rps 11400. and the Pension I should be entitled

to

to under the New Rules would be hereby two Sixteenths or Rupees 4,188 per annum.

The date of my promotion to Executive Engineer 1st Grade was incorrectly stated in the Madras Clapified List. the date of the Sat. pro. was pro-motion was not given. only the subsequent confirmation of it.

My Address is
C/o Messrs Wm Watson & Co
27 Leadenhall Street
London E.C.

Trusting to hear from
You
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant
John M Shaw.

a Rps 4,306 if the extra 8 Months of Service were counted. I cannot see why I should not have them.
MS

243
2

No. 37 C dy 8 January 1889

To

C

For favor of report.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
25.JAN.89
P.W. DEPARTMENT, MADRAS.

1.1.89
D.W.

EXAMINER
No. 9
9 JAN 1889
ENCLOSURES
P.W. ACCOUNTS.

Ltr. Col: 132 Sg
773/89

To W. No. 106 Sg 24-1-89

Rev. 31 Dec 88.

Mr Span's complaints are

(1) that his average emoluments at the time of his retirement were Rs. 11,400 per annum and not Rs. 10,472 as entered in G.O. No. 2098 W. dated 31st. August 1888.

(2) that sub-pro tem promotion counts as service in the grade both for furlough and pension and that he was eligible under the new pension rules, to a pension equal to 22/60 of Rs. 11,400 or Rs. 4,186 per annum and to Rs. 4,306 per annum, if the extra 8 months of service were counted.

(3) that the date of his promotion to Executive Engineer 1st. Grade permanent has been entered in the

01

243
3

243

Madras Classified List and not the date of his promotion to that grade sub pro tem.

2. As regards (1), the average emoluments entered in G.O. No. 2098 W. dated 31st. August 1888 (Rs. 10,472 per annum) are correct. Mr Span is evidently under the impression that for the purposes of determining rate of pension, the average of the pay drawn for 3 years constitutes "average emoluments", whereas "average emoluments" are the emoluments drawn by an officer during the last 3 years of his service.

3. As regards (2), Mr Span is wrong in supposing that the extra pay drawn by an officer promoted sub pro tem necessarily counts as part of his "average emoluments". Under section 4 of the Pay and Acting Allowance Code, the status of an officer appointed sub pro tem is that of an acting officer, and as acting allowances are excluded in such calculations, the extra pay drawn owing to sub-pro-tem promotion has also been excluded. According to definition given at page IX of the Financial Codes emoluments include the allowances

3

drawn by an officer appointed <u>sub pro tem</u> to an office which is substantively vacant and on which no officer has a lien, or to an office temporarily vacant in consequence of the absence of the permanent incumbent on leave without allowances. There is however nothing to show, and there is no reason whatever for supposing, that Mr Span was promoted to <u>sub pro tem</u> rank as Executive Engineer Ist. Grade against a permanent vacancy or in place of an absent Executive Engineer on leave without allowances.

4. Even if the extra pay drawn for the period from 10th. March 1884 to 31st. December 1884 during which Mr Span held <u>sub pro tem</u> rank as Executive Engineer Ist. Grade could be included as part of "average emoluments", his "average emoluments" would only amount to Rs. 3,946-8-7 per annum i.e. less than the Rs. 4,000 per annum sanctioned by Government.

5. As regards (3), the date of Mr Span's promotion to Executive Engineer Ist. Grade was entered in the classified list in accordance with the usual practice, which is also the practice followed by the Government of India as regards their list.

243/4

243

C.

24/1

No 1525 W d/7.6.89
- 1592 W d/11.6.89
- 2541 W d/22.10.89
- 1514 W d/4.6.89
- 3153 W d/21.11.89
- 1966 C d/13.6.89
- 1629 C d/4.6.88
- 143 B d/9.5.88
- 1794 S W d/16.6.88
- 3050 C d/10.8.88
- 2698 W d/31.8.88
- 37 C d/8.1.89

Public 773

Mr. P. 243 W.d/29-1-89

B

B.289/88.2 773/89.

In reply to his letter dated 10th November 1888, Mr. Span will be furnished with a copy of the Examiner's note No. 106 dated 24th January 1889, in which the Govt. with the P. W. Dept. fully concur.

W.C.S. 20/11/89

W.C.S. 25.1.89

J. M. Span Esqre

Exr. Pwa ✓ D 30/1.

Exr. Pwa 5/2/2 E/R2

Acn 30.1.89

R 29.1.89

R. 5/1/89

P. 243 W.d/29-1-89.