(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 36

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 11-1-89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(171)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 230

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 29-1-1889 19

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

18 Sheets

(19). sheets

ஆவ - கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

4. Book sheet

(18 sheet)

Public Works Department.

Current No. 1630

Letter No. 5116

Dated 21st January 1859

From the Judicial Deptt:-

Re Civil Station Lunatic Asylum

NOTE OF REMARKS.

The revised Estimate under review amounting to Rs 765 provides for Enclosing with iron fencing the Lunatic Asylum Court and Sub Registrars Office at Tuticorin in the Tinnevelly District.

The original Estimate amounting to Rs 4,37 was sanctioned by the Deptt: Comp:

The excess viz Rs 322 is caused by the omission of the following items in the original Estimate viz

(1) Fitting up fencing Rs 75
(2) Iron gates complete &
(3) Contingencies

Public Works

Amount of Rs 40 under
head of Wire fencing, and
Rs 28 under fencing posts
has been effected in
Div. Engineer and Exec. Engr
ordering the excess
amount on the wires
and posts from Rs 390 to
Rs 322.—

It does not appear
how excess of
has been two fold, one
fold placed in a
convenient position
should be suspicious
which if adopted
would effect a
saving of Rs 146
on Div. Est.—

It has not come to
Chief Engr this notice
can find—

The plan & Est. has
been countersigned
by the Div. Engr
and approved by
Govt. & the previous
Est.

2 Mark 2 gate on
admitted

230/2

230
4

Assistant

Engineer [illegible] Panjai Division, [illegible]

dated 26th October 1888.

I have the honor to report that out of the Sum of Rs 544-8-5 received by me from the accountant on the 25th October 1888, the Sum of Rs 296-2-2 was paid by me on the 27th and the balance of Rs 248-6-3 was put into my office Iron, left as usual under my Cot.

2. The same night my office Iron was broken and the said Sum of money and about Rs 10 of my own was stolen and the Iron was left on my verandah the next morning.

3. No clue as to the thief yet in spite of my enquiries thereabouts

---

No.

Forwarded to [illegible]ng Engineer
[illegible] information and favor of orders.

The assistant Engineer
[illegible]as an imprest of Rs 800

The sum of Rs 544-8-5 men-
[illegible]tioned by him was sent from my
[illegible]fice on the 20th to recoup his imprest.

The balance of Rs 248-6-3
remaining after making payments
on the 21st was placed by the assistant
Engineer in his private despatch box
which was locked and kept under his
bed for the night. It was found next
morning in the verandah broken
open and the money stolen.

5. I have reported the matter
to the Police, but there is no hope of
recovering the money.

6. There is no Government
Cash Chest to issue to the assistant
Engineer. I indented for two on the

up to date. The assistant Engineer
had no alternative but to keep the
money in his private cash box.

Yours
[illegible]

Rof H S Taylor
Executive Engineer
No 1 Ranjan Division

Supdg Engineer's No 1043 dated 15th March
1888.

—

The figures given are not under-
stood; if the Rs 544-8-5 was spent to
recoup an imprest of Rs 800, Mr Wickham
should have had the latter sum after
receipt of the Rs 544-8-5, and after
paying away Rs 296-2-2 his balance
should have been Rs 503-13-10 not
Rs 248-6-3; what became of the balance
of Rs 255-7-7?

2. It should be stated whether

Any

Contents.

---

from L.L Wickham Esq, assistant
Engineer no 177 dt. dated 16th November
1888 to the Executive Engineer No 1 Division

—

With reference to your no 1660 dt.
dated 14th Instant and in continuation
of this office no 131 dt. dated 26th Oct
1888, I have the honor to inform
that the amount of Rs 255-7-7 (referred
in Deputy Engineer's no 1043) was paid
to the coolies before the 20th October 1888.
and that there was no imprest money
in my hand on the day in question
except few fics which I had left
in my box in a separate cotton
bag.

The private Peon who
had the money box under my bed
was

was sleeping outside my bedroom

Result thereon of the Executive Engineer
No 1 Panjim Division dated 16th November
1888, no 1711 dt.

—

Forwarded to the Deputy Engineer
with reference to his no 1043 dated
5th November 1888.

2 The Assistant Engineer reports
that the approved balance was paid
away after he had sent in his imprest
account, and before he received the
money in prosecution thereof.

3 No Government peon was
sleeping at his house on the night
of the robbery.

No 1174 P.R

Copies - Submitted for the Consider-
-tion and orders of Government

7 2

2. No information has yet been obtained as to the perpetrators of the robbery.

3. The primary cause of the loss appears to have been the want of a proper cash chest, and it is not clear why the Public Works stores should have taken nearly three months to comply with the Executive Engineer's indent.

Lieut R.E.
Dy Engineer.
Semijan Projectdistrict

Semijan.
19th Oct 1888.
D/21.

No 1174 P.R

Sir,

The Supty Engineer
Perunjore Project

To The Chief Engineer
P.W.D

Dated 19th November 88
Received

No. 4801 C 1/30 — X1 — 88

Robbery of Govt money
Forwards for consideration
& orders of Govt copies of
Correspondence as to the
under the charge of
Asstt Engineer Mr
&c &c &c

P.W. Dept.

Memo.

From the Supty: Engr: Periyar Project. No 1174 P.R. dy
19th November 88.

The amount of
₹ 248-6-3 will be written
off under the circumstances
explained by the Supty:
Engineer.

2. A statement should
be submitted giving the
names of the officers on
the Periyar Works, who are
entrusted with money, and
the arrangements in force
for its safe custody.

MCB
26.11.88

S.E. Periyar Project
Ex. Pro Asst.

need only be kept at

Memo 230

Letter from the Irrigation Engineer No 1934 RR dated 19th November 1888

Before taking the orders of Government on the loss by theft of Rs 248-6-3 in the charge of Mr Wickham, Assistant Engineer, the Chief Engineer has the honour to request to be informed, why it was necessary for Mr Wickham to have personal charge of so large a sum as Rs 500/- and also the amounts of the imprests held in the same manner by other officers and subordinates.

2. The Peria No 1 Division has it is believed only 2 sub divisions and it is believed that cash need only be kept at

5th November 1888

P. W. Department

230

Engineer Periyar Project

P. dated 19th November 1888

Before taking the orders of Government on the loss by theft of Rs 248-6-3 in the charge of Mr Wickham, Assistant Engineer, the Chief Engineer has the honour to request to be informed, why it was necessary for Mr Wickham to have personal charge of so large a sum as Rs 500/- and also the amounts of the imprests held in the same manner by other officers and subordinates.

2. The Peria No 1 Division has it is believed only 2 sub divisions and it is believed that cash need only be kept at

No. 4801 C 2/30th November 1888

P. W. Department

230

Letter from the Supdg Engineer Periyar Project
No. 1174 C.R. dated 19th November 1888

Before taking the
orders of Government
on the loss by theft of
Rs 248-6-3 in the charge
of Mr. Wickham, Assistant
Engineer, the Chief Engineer
has the honor to request
to be informed, why
it was necessary for Mr.
Wickham to have personal
charge of so large a sum
as Rs 500/- and also the
amounts of the imprests
held in the same manner
by other officers and
subordinates.

2. The Periyar Sub-
Division has it is believed
only 2 sub-divisions and
it is believed that cash
need only be kept at

reason the 2 or more
subordinate cash clerks
may have in different
places and in charge
of the subdivisions or
subordinates but that
the different cash
clerks should have
in the offices at
Trikaddy & Periyar
when they cannot
without difficulty
be personally guarded.

It is considered that
some arrangement of this
sort ought to have been
made. It certainly should
be done in future

The Ch. Engr. does not think
I recommend that the
money lost should be levied
by the officers concerned
but he cannot accept
the fact of a person
cash clerk not having
been present although
indented for 3 months ago
as sufficient reason for
the loss having occurred
without question

S. S. P. Project 24/11/1888

The Lady and at the
Perim camps, it is
thought that with a little
arrangement only one
cash chest at each place
need be kept, but if
this is not practicable
there can be no reason
for 2 or more separate
cash chests being kept
in different places and
in the personal charge
of the Sub Officers or
subordinates but that
the different cash chests
should be kept in the
offices at The Lady and
Perim, where they
could be ~~[illegible]~~ without
difficulty [illegible] fully
guarded.

It is considered
that some arrange-
ments of this sort
ought

D 27

ought to have been
made, it certainly should
be done in future.

The Chief Engineer
has no wish to recommend
that the money lost
should be paid by
the officers concerned
but he cannot accept
the fact of a proper
cash chest not having
been procured although
indented for three
months ago as sufficient
reason for the loss
being condoned without
question.

1st A.R.L.

Lascd

1/12

[illegible]

No. 4801 C of 30th Nov. 1888

230

Letter from H. S. Taylor Esquire, Executive Engineer, Periyar Division, to the Supdg Engineer, Periyar Project Works, dt Periyar 19 December 1888, No 2010 PP.

As desired in your Endorsement No 12808K dated 10 December 1888, on the Chief Engrs letter calling for an explanation regarding the cash in charge of Mr Brackhaus I have the honor to explain as follows

2. At the time when the robbery took place my office had not been moved to the Periyar, and consequently it was then necessary for the Assistant Engineer to have a separate cash chest

3. As a matter of fact it was a more chance that he had so much money with him at the time. His imprest was recouped from Thekady on Saturday, he paid half of it on that day, and had bills ready on which to pay away the rest, but the next day being Sunday the balance was put away until the next day after of which the thieves were apparently well aware

4. I think that the above will

19

sufficiently explain how the assistant Engineer had the money with him at the time he was robbed.

5. Now that the Divisional office is at the Seniyar, there is perhaps no actual necessity for the Assistant Engineer to have a large imprest He has nominally an imprest of Rs 1000, but he never has anything approaching this sum in hand. He sends in nominal rolls of, say from Rs 300 to Rs 700 or 800, with an imprest account, and the money received from any office in recompinent is immediately paid away on other rolls awaiting payment so that he never has anything but trifling sums in cash as a balance.

6. I will now cause the Nominal Rolls &c. to come in to my office without the imprest account and the money due on the rolls sent to the Assistant Engineer for payment. This will actually be exactly the same as now, except that the rolls &c, will come to my office before instead of after payment and there may be a delay in the process of audit & check

7. I consider it necessary for the Assistant Engineer to have some imprest which can be reduced to Rs 250, in order to make petty payments which are required at the Mulya Pawse. It will be more difficult than it is now to keep labor there if such payments are not made on the spot from an imprest instead of being delayed while the papers pass through my office.

8 The cash disbursers as at present are:-

The Sub Divisional office who have a drawing account on the Divisional Cash chest up to Rs 10,000

The Assistant Engineer with imprest of Rs 1,000

The Supervisor at Seniyar with imprest of 100.

The Apothecary ..... Rs 20

9. As above explained the assistant Engineers imprest of Rs 1000 exists only on paper as far as actual cash balance in hand is concerned, and will be reduced to Rs 250

10. Regarding keeping these different Cash chests all together in the

AK

Divisional office. I hardly think they would be safer there with only two Lascars as guard, than in the personal custody of the officer with his own establishment

/Copy/

Col R.E.
Superintending Engineer,
Penjar Project Works.

Enclosure No 1 in No
5th PR 2/12-1-89.

Letter from H. S. Taylor Esqr. Executive Engineer, to / Penjar Division to the Superintending Engineer, Penjar Project
dated camp Thekaty 6 January 1889.
re 5299

In reply to your memo No 1303 PR dated 22 December last calling for further explanation as to the prevailing practice, in the Division, in regard to payment of Nominal muster Rolls and Bills &c I have the honor to report as follows.

(1) The Nominal muster Rolls for works at Penjar are as a rule checked and passed by me before payment. The money together with the Rolls is sent to Supervisor (Mr Thorp) who pays the Coolies immediately after and returns any unpaid balance and the Rolls to the Division Office.

(2) Similarly the Nominal muster Rolls of Mahja Paupers are checked and passed by the Workhouse Assistant who pays the Coolies himself or sends the money out of his Imprest

to

the Superviser in charge who pays the Coolies and returns any balance left unpaid to Mr Wickham.

(3) With regard to piecework and Contractors bills &c, they are all paid by the cashier in the Division office, bills being checked and passed by me or by Mr Wickham (as far as the Muliya Payan Batta are concerned).

(4). The payments for daily labor are very much less than those for piecewokers, or contract, as I all the latter are paid by the cashier on bills signed by the officers Concerned and are not paid by me. The Cashier keeps the key of the safe and I keep a duplicate.

(5). The pipeline I now propose (para 6 of my former letter) will have more effect towards the desired end than an imprest, in that the money will be issued from the cash chest directly for Nominal Rolls which have not been passed, and preclude the possibility of the cash remaining in the Assistant Engineers chest in case of sick rolls not being ready.

(6) If Nominal Rolls are presented to the cashier for the money only when it can be immediately paid away, the balance will be insignificant or it can be returned to the Divisional Cash chest.

(7) I am of opinion that the amount is much preferable to that of causing the cashier to make payments for daily labour as well as for piecework

(8) To do the former he would have to be absent from the office visiting the pikes of the different Works, he would not know the coolies personally as he does at present and it would take up too much of his time. Moreover he is always at hand to pay piecework and Contractors bills when presented except when he is away bringing up the cash

(9) The cashier time is fully occupied in checking all the Nominal Rolls and in keeping the bills of all kinds & in keeping the

divisional cash book and its vouchers. He has also once or twice a month to go to Periyakulam to bring up the fresh supply of cash, a week being taken up by each trip.

(8) I think that the office routine would be delayed if the cashier is made to go out making payments and that with the Assistant Engineer's Imprest reduced the amount of cash kept anywhere except in the Divisional and Sub divisional Cash chest would always be as little as amount as it is possible conveniently to make it.

[Copy]

Col R.E.
Supdg Engr Periyar Project
12.1.09.

S&C

Memo: 2 in ref
574 PRD 12/1/09

No. 54 P.R.

Office of the Supdg Engineer
Periyar Project Works
Periyar 12th January 09

D/1142

From
Col J Pennycuick R.E.
Superintending Engineer
Periyar Project Works.

To
The Chief Engineer
P W Department

Memo: 2

Sir,

In reply to your No 4801 C of 30 November 1908 I have the honour to forward copies of the letters noted in the margin from the Executive Engineer Periyar Division.

2. The record of these letters was in reply to a request from this office for more detailed information as to the exact practice in connection with the payments for daily labor and for the say his opinion as to the practicability of making all payments (except those of the Shekady put Division) direct from the Divisional cash chest by means of pay orders on the cashier.

3. On the whole I am disposed to agree

Memo
2010 PP
19.12.08

52 PP
8.1.09

agree with Mr Taylor that this course would not be convenient and I also agree with the opinion expressed in para 10 of letter No 2010 Pl 19.12.81 that the cash is safer in the personal custody of the officer concerned than it would be in the office under the charge of a couple of lascars.

4. You will see that at the time of the robbery the office was still at Shekaby the office building at the Peryar not having been completed. Mr Wickhams imprest has now been reduced and he has a proper chest in which to keep the small amount of cash in his charge.

5. The opportunity for the robbery was due to a combination of circumstances which is not likely to occur. A heavy nominal roll had to be paid and the whole sum could not be paid away before Saturday night, the balance had therefore to be kept without a proper cash chest over Sunday.

6 With the arrangements now made the risk of robbery will

I think be reduced to a minimum.

I have the honor to be
Sir.
your most obdt Servt.

Col RE
Superintending Engineer,
Periyar Project Works

MK

Mills 504

230

No. 4881 C dpo. 11.8

From,

The Supt Engineer
Perry ar Project

To,

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D

No 57 P.R

Date 12: January 1893

Rly 15

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
P.W. DEPARTMENT, MADRAS
13 JAN. 93

Encls: 2

Robbery of govt money
in charge of assistant
Engineer. Mr. Wickham

Forwards copies of
letters from the executive
engineer Mr. I Perry ar—
Dre giving the information
called for in a reference
with the —

(A)

Approved and transferred to the Public Works Dept.

By order

16 Jan 89 R. Lydekker.

Under Secy.

(R)

Judicial {1889} Department.

No.

From

THE REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS, APPELLATE SIDE.

CHIEF SECRETARY GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS 9 JAN 89

Dated 8th January } 1889

Received 10 Jan 89

No. 24 of 89

Submitting for sanction plans and estimate amounting to Rs. 760 for wire fencing the District Munsif's Court and Sub Registrar's office at Kundapur, South Canara district.