

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 4

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 5/89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் long

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(20)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P. W. D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். 1740
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் :

1-7-1889

198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

1071

2 Sheets

ஆவண கா-1-18,006-15-6-27.

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1889.

P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 19th June 1889.

OFFICE NOTE No. 860.

Encl

To
W.

In connection with the marginal-ly noted orders of Government regarding (1) the procedure to be followed to secure the services of public prosecutor in criminal cases, (2) instructions for the prosecution and defence of criminal suits by officers of the department and (3) criminal prosecutions for improper interference with irrigation and agricultural works, it is necessary to introduce certain modifications to code paras 404 to 421, and in reading these paragraphs with a view to considering how this can most conveniently be done, it has seemed to me that the present arrangement of the paragraphs does not bring out at all clearly the distinction between (1) prosecutions, and (2) defence of (a) civil suits and (b) criminal cases and that it facilitates misunderstandings of the kind referred to in para I of G.O. No. 2050 W. of 7th. July 1888.

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

dated 188 .

- * (1) G.O. No. 118 Judicial dated 14th. May 1888 communicated with G.O. No. 1676 W. dated 7th. June 1888. ✓
(2) G.O. No. 2050 W. dated 7th. July 1888. ✓
(3) G.Os. Nos. 13 I, dated 8th. January 1880 and 3256 W. dated 13th. October 1888. ✓

2. I have accordingly endeavoured to re-arrange the matter in question, adding to it where necessary, in order to bring out clearly what I understand to be the orders of government severally applicable to the four classes of cases, and this re-arrangement I now submit, as also an abstract statement — or table of contents — showing in brief the arrangement of the subject matter.

3. Should Government approve of the arrangement now suggested, the necessary standing orders for giving effect to it will be drawn up and circulated to the Code Committee in usual course.

4. It is very possible that I may have failed to understand the intentions of Government as regards some detail or details, owing to the want of clearness above referred to, but the arrangement now adopted will at any rate facilitate the detection of any such mistakes, and ensure the intentions of Government being clearly ascertained and expressed.

5. I wish at the same time to suggest a modification of the rule in code paras 421 and 828 so that law charges, when incurred, may in the first instance be debited to miscellaneous advances, instead of to establishment contingencies. The ground of the proposal is that if such charges are debited to contingencies (a final head

of account) it is not easy to keep in view the necessity for ultimately obtaining the sanction of Government for them, and it is difficult to collect all the charges of one suit, which may be spread over a very long period, possibly two or three years; whereas if charged to miscellaneous advances they cannot be overlooked.

1785 ✓

W.C.

C.

W.C.

D 19/6

Enc.

1740 W. 1st July 1889

The Examiner's proposals are approved. He is requested to be so good as to frame the requisite Standing Orders for giving effect to them.

P. W. C. D.

25.6.89

W.C.B.
1.7.89

P. W. C. D.

(2)

D 217

The Encls. in the Encls. returned
L.S.

S. O. Aug 20 50 W 7.7.88 ✓
 - 16 76 W 7.6.88. leg in bundle
 - 3256 W 7.13.10.88 ✓
 Mums no 8709 C 7.3.10.88 ✓
 S. O. Aug 4 18 27 18.7.88 ✓
 - 13.15 07 W 7.15.6.88 leg in bundle
 fo. H. 13 8 7.8.1.80 ✓

PWC No 5652

1740

for file see G.O. no 2253 16-8-89

19 21.6.89

E.1

I think it is necessary
 that this should be
 done - Wm
 24.6.89

I agree:
 Ruesell
 25.6.89
 2

24/6. 120/6
 24/6. 120/6