

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 10
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 2.2.89
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கெழுப்பம் 600

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

43

PWD துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	832	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	19. 3. 1989	1989

மடிக்கவோ தண்டப்படி கையாளவோ கூடாது

5 sheets

NGM

ஆவண. கர-1-18,000-15-6-87.

GOVT.
MADRAS.


1889.

P.W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 13 March 1889.

OFFICE NOTE No. 325



W.

In consequence of the modification of rule 1 of code para 935 in terms of the Government of India standing order communicated with G.O. No. 318 W. dated 5th. February 1889, some change will, I think, be necessary in rule 2 of the same para, as otherwise there will be a difficulty in determining how long a given sanction is to hold good when it relates to more than one work.

Thus, if a Supg. Engineer sanctions the entertainment of a maistry for three works, say two large works and one small one: will the same sanction continue in force when two out of the three works, possibly the two large ones, are completed, and will the maistry's whole pay be then charged as a matter of course to the same unfinished work? If the matter came under the notice of the Supg.

do not consider
viable to make
any alteration in clause 2
of para 935 P.W. Code.

The responsibility for the
proper employment of
establishments within
their circles is vested in
Supg. Engrs, and there is
no reason why special
orders should be made
regarding the pension
of established petty establishments.

832

W. S. S. S.
16.3.89

2465-

note 832

It does not seem necessary to make any alteration in Clause 2 para 935, P.W. Code.

When a maistry is employed on more than one work, and one of the works is completed, his employment virtually ceases with respect to the completed work.

832
It will nearly always happen that as one work is completed, a fresh one will be begun, & the maistry will continue to be employed in supervising several works.

If, as suggested by the Secy, there are 2 large works & one small one, & the

No. 8.]

GOVT. MADRAS. } 1889. { P.W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 13 March 1889.

OFFICE NOTE No. 325



832

W.

In consequence of the modification of rule 1 of code para 935 in terms of the Government of India standing order communicated with G.O. No. 318 W. dated 5th. February 1889, one change will, I think, be necessary in rule 2 of the same para, as otherwise there will be a difficulty in determining how long a given sanction is to hold good when it relates to more than one work.

Thus, if a Supg. Engineer sanctions the entertainment of a maistry for three works, say two large works and one small one: will the same sanction continue in force when two out of the three works, possibly the two large ones, are completed, and will the maistry's whole pay be then charged as a matter of course to the one unfinished work? If the matter came under the notice of the Supg.

the two large ones are
finished first, the
continuance of the maistry's
services on the small work
will depend entirely
on whether the estimate
can meet the cost -
It cannot be supposed
that an En. Supg. will
keep a maistry on a
work when the provision
for petty ~~repairs~~ ^{repairs}
vision will not meet
the main cost.

It will apparently
not be possible to revise
sanctions at stated periods.
In some cases, the services
of a maistry may be
required for a few days
only, in others for long
periods.

The G. P. S. rules
on the subject are the
same as ours, so when
altering rule (1), they would
doubtless also have al-
tered rule (2) if they
thought it necessary.

Ce.
15-3-89

832
S. The En. might
perhaps be told that
no orders are considered
necessary, but that
if any cases of difficulty,
such as those he suggests,
arise in actual prac-
tice, they may be
~~referred to the~~ referred
for consideration - It
seems hardly necessary
to trouble Govt. with
hypothetical cases.

Ce.
16/3/89

3

No. 8.]

OVT.
ADRS.

1889.

P.W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 13 March 1889.

OFFICE NOTE No. 325



W.

In consequence of the modi-
fication of rule I of code para 935
in terms of the Government of India
standing order communicated with
G.O. No. 318 W. dated 5th. February 1889,
some change will I think be necessary
in rule 2 of the same para, as other-
wise there will be a difficulty in
determining how long a given sanction
is to hold good when it relates to
more than one work.

Thus, if a Supg. Engineer
sanctions the entertainment of a
maistry for three works, say two large
works and one small one: will the
same sanction continue in force when
two out of the three works, possibly
the two large ones, are completed, and
will the maistry's whole pay be then
charged as a matter of course to the
one unfinished work? If the matter
came under the notice of the Supg.

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. }

1889.

P.W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 13 March 1889.

OFFICE NOTE No. 325



W.

In consequence of the modification of rule I of code para 935 in terms of the Government of India Standing order communicated with G.O.No.318 W.dated 5th.February 1889, some change will, I think, be necessary in rule 2 of the same para, as otherwise there will be a difficulty in determining how long a given sanction is to hold good when it relates to more than one work. 4

4

2. Thus, if a Supg. Engineer sanctions the entertainment of a maistry for three works, say two large works and one small one: will the same sanction continue in force when two out of the three works, possibly the two large ones, are completed, and will the maistry's whole pay be then charged as a matter of course to the one unfinished work? If the matter came under the notice of the Supg.

KEEP-WITH

Genl. No.

dated

188

Engineer, he might say that it was not worth while to keep the man on the one work, but, in the absence of any provision for periodical or occasional revision, it probably would not come before him. Perhaps the original sanction should be revised from time to time either at stated intervals, or on completion of any one of the works for which the establishment is sanctioned, and I bring the matter to the notice of Government with a view to their determining what is to be done in order to prevent such sanctions continuing in force under circumstances quite different from those contemplated by the sanctioning authority.

1/3

C.

1/3

SP 12/3

Secy

2605W a/24.10.84.
" 1343 W a/29.5.86.
" 2879 C a/23.8.86.
" 679.80 W a/5.3.87
" 778.79 W a/12.3.87
" 1259 W a/10.5.87
" 1606 C a/10.5.87
" 1808 C a/7.5.88
" 2269 C a/18.6.88
" 3038.39 W a/26.9.88
" 318 W a/5.2.89.

P.W. Cu^o 2465

Recd 15.3.89

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the

Read the following

From

The Examiner P.W. Accounts. (42 Nos. 325 of 13th March 89)

The Government do not consider it desirable to make any alteration in Clause 2 of para 935 P.W. Code.

2. The responsibility for the proper employment of establishments within their Circles is vested in Superintending Engineers, and there is no reason why special rules should be made regarding the revision of petty establishments.

18 Mar

5

1/3

To the Examiner P.W. Accounts.

5

16/3/89

~~Handwritten mark~~

B. No 832 w d / 19-3-89
BIN^o 832 W 9 19.3.89

(5)

Handwritten 16.iii.89
R19-

2/10 21/3