(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை ௨௪'

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் Feb. 1989

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

90

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 229

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 11. 3. 1889 19~~8~~.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

12. Sheets

ஆவண, கா-1—18,008—15-6-87.

23rd January 1889, No. 35, Financial.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

729

Read—the following paper :—

Proceedings of the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce (Pay and Allowances—Travelling Allowances—Rules and General Matters), dated Calcutta, 13th December 1888, No. 6609.

Read—

Note E—4 (*a*) by the Finance Committee regarding the grant of daily and other allowances in addition to the cost of locomotion.

Read also—

Letter from the Chief Commissioner, Assam, No. 6349, dated 26th October 1886.
Letter from the Government of Madras, No. 1106, dated 27th October 1886.
Letter from the Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, No. 290-X.-T., dated 20th January 1887.
Letter from the Government of Bombay, No. 1692, dated 5th July 1887.

Resolution—

Objection was taken by the Finance Committee to the principle laid down in section 11 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code (Provisional Issue) that, when the cost of locomotion is not borne by the officers who travel, half the allowance otherwise admissible should ordinarily be granted; and at the same time attention was drawn to exceptions, based on this principle, which have been made to several other rules in the code. In these cases, the Committee considered that so much as half the ordinary allowance was not required, and proposed that the daily allowances should be either reduced or altogether abolished. The Government of India approved the general opinions expressed, and authorized the Committee to pursue the inquiry in communication with the Local Governments.

2. No detailed proposals were submitted, but various opinions have been submitted to the Government of India regarding the sufficiency of the allowances drawn under this rule and the exceptions referred to by the Committee. These opinions are, on the whole, in favor of the view that the present rule is too favorable to the officers concerned; and His Excellency in Council has now decided that the rule should be modified so as to allow only actual expenses up to a certain limit.

3. There are at present two exceptions to Rule 11. Of these the Governor-General in Council considers that the first is unnecessary, so far as it relates to superior officers of the Customs and Salt Departments; but has decided to extend to all officers in inferior service the concession which is made by the latter part of this exception in favor of peons of the Salt Department in Madras. The case of the Port Officer of Chittagong will be dealt with separately. The second exception relates to Forest Officers, and lays down that whenever elephants are available, one may, at the discretion of the Local Government, be allowed free of charge to each Forest Officer for his personal use. This exception will not be retained, but the case will come under the general rule now adopted and contained in section 11 (*b*), that the Local Government may, instead of applying the section, direct a fixed charge to be deducted from any travelling allowance earned in return for means of locomotion provided by the State. Elephants required, not for personal use, but for the conduct of professional operations which cannot be conveniently or safely performed without such aid, will, however, continue to be supplied at the charge of the Government.

4. The Finance Committee proposed that an exception should be made to this section in the case of officers who are supplied with boats, but who have themselves to provide the means of propulsion. A rule to meet these cases, requiring the officers

to pay a fixed charge for hire on the principle of section 11 (*b*), to be determined by the Local Government, has been added as Rule 1.

5. Of the exceptions made to other general provisions of the code, to which the Finance Committee referred in this connection, the only ones in which the Governor-General in Council considers that any alteration is called for are exceptions 1 and 3 under section 16, exceptions 2 and 6 under section 22, and exception 5 under section 59. The exceptions under section 16 relate to Subordinate Magistrates in Bombay travelling in connection with railway cases, and to two Sub-Inspectors of Police in Bengal employed in dealing with cases of robbery by the administration of stupefying drugs, who are permitted to draw actual railway fare in addition to permanent travelling allowance. The Bombay Government now explains that the Subordinate Magistrates referred to in the first exception draw railway fare, in addition to permanent travelling allowance, only when they travel by rail beyond their charges, and it is therefore unnecessary to retain the rule, as these officers will come under the general rule in section 16 (2), which permits in such cases the exchange of permanent for mileage, railway, and steamer allowances. The other exception is also no longer required, as the Local Government has already directed that the general rule 16 (2) shall apply to these cases.

6. Exception 2 under section 22 permits the Director-General of Telegraphs to draw his daily allowance when travelling by railway on tour of inspection in a carriage provided at the expense of the State, and exception 6 (*c*) deals with the case of Survey officers on tour when the cost of carriage of baggage exceeds half the daily allowance. The former concession is, in the opinion of the Governor-General in Council, unnecessary, and will not be retained. The Director-General of Telegraphs should in such circumstances draw only actual expenses up to the limit of half daily allowance under section 11. The same rule will apply to the Director-General of Railways and the Consulting Engineers. The alteration in exception 6 (*c*) will be merely for the purpose of bringing it into conformity with existing practice, with which it does not at present correspond.

7. Exception 5 under section 59 lays down that, subject to the provisions of section 11, the Resident at Aden may, when travelling by road, be provided with camels not exceeding twenty in number. The Governor-General in Council has, on the recommendation of the Government of Bombay, decided to continue this concession, and to extend it to Assistants to the Resident who may, subject to the same conditions, be provided with camels not exceeding ten in number.

8. The rules, as now modified, are shown in the appendix to this Resolution.

Order—

Ordered, that this Resolution be communicated to the several Departments of the Government of India; the several Local Governments and Administrations; the Director-General of the Post Office, and to all Accountants-General.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) L. P. SHIRRES,
Offg. Under. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Order—dated 23rd January 1889, No. 35, Financial.

Communicated to Departments of Government.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary,

To Departments of Government.

---

b

APPENDIX.

Civil Travelling Allowance Code.

Page 16, *Section* 11.—Substitute the following for this section, together with the exceptions and the rule under it:—

11. An officer who is provided with the means of locomotion at the expense of the State or of Local Funds, either—

(*a*) may draw, in lieu of all other travelling allowance, any actual travelling expenses not exceeding half the daily allowance ordinarily admissible, or

(*b*) is subject to the deduction from his travelling allowance of such fixed hire or charge as the Local Government may by any general rules determine.

[Note.—Elephants required for the conduct of professional operations, which cannot be conveniently or safely performed without such aid, and not for personal use, may be supplied at the charge of Government.]

Rule 1.—The case of an officer who is supplied with a boat or carriage, but who pays all expenses of its use or propulsion, does not fall within clause (*a*) of this section: in such cases a fixed hire under clause (*b*) may be charged.

Rule 2.—Except in the cases mentioned in Rule 3, clauses (*b*) and (*c*), the claim to travelling allowance of an officer who is entitled to or is allowed free transit by railway, whether under a free pass or otherwise, is dealt with under clause (*a*).

Rule 3.—The rules contained in this section do not apply to the following officers:—

(*a*) officers of the fourth class;

(*b*) the officers specified in Exceptions 1 and 3 to Section 22;

(*c*) Police Superintendents, Inspectors, and Chief Constables in Bombay employed exclusively on railways, who are not liable to have their allowances reduced when they use a free pass; and

(*d*) the officers referred to in Exceptions 4 and 5 under Section 59, who are in all cases entitled to half the allowance ordinarily admissible for a journey of the same kind.

Page 9, *Section* 16.—Strike out Exceptions 1 and 3.

Page 17, *Section* 22, *Exception* 4.—After "servant" insert "(if actually paid)."

Page 17, *Section* 22, *Exception* 2.—Cancel this exception.

Page 18, *Section* 22, *Exception* 6, *Clause* (*c*).—Substitute the following for the first three lines of this clause:—

> When the actual expenses for a whole month for carriage in the field of camp equipment and baggage, limited as in the preceding clause, exceed half the daily allowance admissible for that month, &c.

Page 31, *Section* 50. Strike out this section.

Page 37, *Section* 59, *Exception* 4.—For the first five words of this section substitute "On the conditions of Rule 3 (*d*) under."

Page 37, *Section* 59, *Exception* 5.—Substitute the following:—

> 5. On the conditions of Rule 3 (*d*) under Section 11, the Resident at Aden and his Assistants are, when travelling by road, provided with camels not exceeding 20 in number for the Resident, and 10 for each of his Assistants.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Go 336 W d/ 6.11.89

Communicated to
officers of the Public
Works Department.

(Sd) A.R.Mc
W

Govt. of {1889} Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 23rd January 1889, No. 35.

JANUARY.

Daily and other Allowances.

Communicating to the Departments of Government, Resolution of the Government of India on the Finance Committee's note regarding the grant of — in addition to the cost of locomotion.

Ch: Engr for Irrn
Cons.g Engr for Rys
Supdg Engrs
Cons.g Acctt to Govt
Exr. P.W. Accts
Exr. Ry. Accts

---

1 Enclosure to No. 1640 Ro d/78

729/3 Extract from Irrigation Chief Engineer's Note printed in G.O. No 159 I of the 28th February 1878.

729

× × × × ×

× × × × ×

After discussing the Subject with the Superintending Engineer and with the Executive Engineer, who has used the workshops boat now nearly unserviceable, the undersigned is of opinion, that it is advisable to incur the increased Cost for the provision of a boat which is much more roomy, and in which Office work, a matter of no little importance may be Conducted with facility. With the old pattern of boat, the only place for a Clerk is on the fore-deck, and there, it is almost impracticable from Sun and wind to dispose of papers.

× × × ×

(sd) John Mullins
Lieut Col R.E.
Chief Engineer for Irrgn

(True Extract)

J Hanman
Superintending Engineer
II Circle

H
17-10-88

P.W. E.1 / 10624/88

No. 1640 K.S.

Superintending Engineer's Offi

2nd Circle,

129 / 4

17th October 1888

From

J. Hannan Esquire,
Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

129

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that full travelling allowances have hitherto been passed by the Examiner of P.W. Accounts to the clerks and Lascars that travel with Superintending Engineers. But, he now points out that as they travel in the same boat in which office accommodation is granted, they are apparently not entitled to full travelling allowances, under Section 11 of the Civil Travelling allowance Code, and requests me to furnish him with details of the amounts drawn, and the names of the clerks and menial servants that travelled with Superintending Engineers from 1st April 1883, i.e. for a period of 5½ years, with a view to the excess amounts

4

4

drawn by them being now recovered.

2. The Office accommodation referred to, is given in my staff boat for which I pay a rent of Rs 28½. and I receive Rs 5½ for giving the said accommodation, vide G.O. No 1302 W of the 3rd May 1888. The object of the provision of office accommodation is explained in Irrigation-Chief Engineer's Note printed in G.O. No 1593 of the 28th February 1878x, and is intended in the Public interests for facilitating office work, and is not intended for the exclusive accommodation of the clerk and the lascars that travel with me, nor are there any conveniences or shelter supplied them.

x Extract herewith sent for ready reference

3. In these Circumstances, I am of opinion that they must be granted full travelling allowances, and that no recovery should be made from those that drew such allowances during the last 5½ years.

4. I beg that the necessary Sanction of Government may be obtained for over-ruling the Examiner's views even if they be Correct. as per [illegible]

729

7 5 29

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obedt Servant

J Harman
Superintending Engineer
II Circle

5

Public 1062

From

The Superintending Engineer,
Second Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. D.

Dated 17th October 1888

No 70

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
20 OCT. 88
P. W. DEPARTMENT, MADRAS

No. 1640 K.

Enclosure :- 1.

Staff Boat – Clerks, and Menial servants travelling in the Government – Recommending full travelling allowances, to the Examiner having objected to it.

No. 4029 C.

Gobernment of Madras.

729/6

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
Chepâk, 22nd October 1888.

729

OFFICE NOTE.

From W.

To C.

The Supdg Engineer II Circle brings to notice that he has been called on by you to submit a statement showing details of amounts drawn for journeys in State boats, and the names of the clerks and menial servants by whom they were drawn, during the past 5½ years, in view to retrenchments being now made.

6 6

Please submit for the

1700

ACCOUNTS

orders of Government a full statement of the reasons for this unusual demand; and, pending their orders, hold your requisition on the Suptg Engineer in abeyance

20.10.88

20/10/88

To W. No. 2612 d/ 7-11-88

In the contingent bill of the office of the Supg. Engineer 2nd. Circle for January 1888, a charge of Rs. 5-8-0 on account of the accommodation of a clerk in staff boat was preferred. The charge was passed provisionally pending renewed sanction of Government for

---

729/9

729

729

78?

7

the same as G.O. No. 316 I. dated 28th. May 1879, under which it was till then admitted, was of an old date, also information whether the clerk drew half travelling allowance as required by section II of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code.

2. The Supg. Engineer replied to say that full travelling allowance was being drawn by the clerk as usual, the accommodation in the boat being to facilitate office work and quoted note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation printed with G.O. No. 159 I. dated 28th. February 1887 as authority.

3. The renewed sanction for the charge to office contingencies of Government was given in G.O. No. 1302 W. dated 3rd. May 1888, but with reference to the question of the clerk's title to full or half travelling allowance, the Supg. Engineer was asked to state whether the clerk travelled or lived in the boat, as in that case, he would not be entitled to more than half travelling allowance under section II of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code. To this, the Supg. Engineer replied to say that the clerk travelled in the boat

but did not live in it. The Supg. Engineer was informed in a rejoinder that if the clerk travelled in the same boat in which the office accommodation was granted, he apparently was not entitled to full travelling allowance unless his own boat (in which he lives) accompanied him.

4. The Supg. Engineer then stated that accommodation for the clerk was provided in the staff boat in the interests of the service and that full travelling allowance drawn and passed to the clerk should be continued to him; also that section II of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code does not apparently apply to clerks and menial servants who follow officers on circuit.

5. As it appeared that the clerk was entitled only to half travelling allowance for the periods for which he was provided with accommodation in the staff boat, it was considered necessary that the case with full particulars should be submitted for the orders of Government; and with a view to such a reference the Supg. Engineer was asked to furnish information as to the names of the clerks who were provided with accommodation in the staff boat, the periods during which they so travelled and the amount of the full travelling allowance drawn from 1st. April 1883 (the date from which the Civil Travelling Allowance Code rules came into force) unless Government should in the meanwhile, on his application, consent to condone the excesses drawn in past years.

6. It will thus be seen that the call was purely conditional. The Supg. Engineer was in fact asked either to submit the case for the orders of Government himself, in any way he liked, or to furnish me with such information as would enable me to submit it in a complete form. It does not appear from your note that any mention of this very considerable qualification is made by the Supg. Engineer.

C.

PWC No 11

R 19-11-88

No. 4727 C d/27 Nov 1888

9

ENCLOSURES
P.W. ACCOUNTS

EXAMINER
No. 107
9 FEB 1889
ENCLOSURES
P.W. ACCOUNTS

729

729/9

No. 4727 C.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
Chepâk, 27 November 1888.

OFFICE NOTE.

From
W

To
C

Your office note No. 2612 d/17-11.88.

In my opinion the portion of the Supply Engr's boat set apart for office work may be looked upon as analogous to an office tent, & there appears no reason why the Clerk should be made to lose half his travelling allowance because he sits in the boat.

Two charges are

1st No. 629 C d/ 8th Feby 1889

1871/89

To

C

For favour of

any further remarks

2 you may have to offer

with reference to G. O.

No 336 W d/ 6th ~~Nov.~~ Feby.

1889.

6/2/89

W

No. 253 d/ 25th Feby 1889

To W.

The new rule can hardly be applied with retrospective effect, and under the circumstances, there is probably no alternative but to condone the past overpayments. As regards the future, the clerk might be charged a fixed rate of hire, provided he really pays expenses of haulage, but if he does not really pay a bona fide charge for haulage, he should, under section II (a) as now reconstructed, get actual expense not exceeding half daily allowance.

729/11

C.

PJ 25/2

SP 25/2

729

11

PWC No 1871

No 719 d/ 2. 3. 77
" 159 I d/ 25. 2. 78
" 316 I d/ 28. 5. 79
" 493 I d/ 22. 6. 83
" 1535 C d/ 11. 4. 88
" 1302 W d/ 3. 5. 88
" 336 W d/ 6. 2. 89

R 26. 2. 89

B

729/12

No 729 W.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 11 March /89

729

READ the following

From the S.E. II Circle No 1640 W.S. of 17. 10. 88.
" " Exr. P.W. accts " 2612 of 17. 11. 88
" " —— do —— " 2719 of 8. 12. 88
" " —— do —— " 253 of 25. 2. 89.

Does the Engineer using the Staff boat for which he pays rent, & for which he furnishes traction draw half or full T. A.x? What rule regulates his case? Is he regarded as an officer supplied with a boat at State expense, though he pays a rent which covers cost of maintenance of it? I doubt whether he comes within sec 11. at all.

M.S.
8 Mar.

x He draws full T A

[illegible]

I am afraid we must fight & than the draft.

9 Mar.

S.E. II Circle

Exr Rose

The excess travelling allowances drawn in the past, by clerks & menial servants travelling on duty in the staff boat of the Supdg Engr II Circle, will be admitted as a special case. Such allowances will in future be regulated by Sec 11 (a) of the Civil Tr. Allce Code, as reconstructed by G.O. No 336 W of 6 February 1889.

27. 2. 89

27/2/89

C

L.W. 929 W a 7 11

12

H.W. 28 II 89

Again 5 III 89

1883/14