

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை _____

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் _____

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் _____

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(130)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	578	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		ரஸவகை
நாள் :	27-2-1889	198.

மடிக்கலோ கண்டயடி கையாளலோ கூடாது

4. Sheets

ஆவண. கர-1-18,006-15-6-87.

3 and 4 Binded Sheet.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following paper:—

Office-note from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 21st February 1889, No. 240.

The last sentence of Public Works Code, para. 1872, lays down that fines recovered from contractors will be credited to the work concerned in cases where the work suffers damage, or where its cost is unduly enhanced, and in all other cases to Revenue; but it is nowhere laid down whether such fines, if credited to Revenue, should be credited to *Provincial* Revenue or to the particular head of Revenue corresponding to the classification of the work concerned, *e.g.*, to—

Irrigation	...	...	XXIX.—Major Works—Direct Receipts.
			XXX.—Minor Works and Navigation.
Buildings and Roads	...	...	XXXI.—Military Works—Imperial.
			XXXII.—Civil Works—Local.

I, therefore, solicit orders of Government on this point.

2. It seems to me that, on the principle on which the rule contained in Code, para. 1872, is based, no credit should be given to any fund other than that corresponding to the class of the division concerned, unless that fund has in some way suffered by the act or omission which led to the fine, and that, as all the Public Works divisions in the Madras Presidency are Provincial divisions, all fines should go to Provincial Revenue if they do not go to the work.

3. This reference is made with a view to the disposal of this question of the allocation of the credit on account of fines imposed on certain piece-worker for delay in executing the work, "raising the Gostanadi drain right flood-bank," in the Godavari Western division. The work does not appear to have suffered any damage, and, on the principle referred to above, the fines should, I think, go to credit of Provincial Revenue.

4. The amounts involved in these cases are generally trifling, but it is desirable that the allocation of the credits should be definitely settled and I can hardly undertake to lay down a rule myself.

ORDER—dated 27th February 1889, No. 578 W., Public Works.

Fines recovered from contractors, when not credited to the work concerned, will be credited to Provincial Revenue.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Consulting Architect to Government.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.

Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.
Govt. of {1889} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 27th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 27th Feb. 1889, No. 578 W.

FEBRUARY.

Fines

Orders directing that — recovered from contractors, when not credited to the work concerned, should be credited to Provincial Revenue.

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1889.

P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 21 February 1889.

OFFICE NOTE No. 240.



To

W.

The last sentence of P. W. Code para 1872 lays down that fines recovered from contractors will be credited to the work concerned in cases where the work suffers damage, or where its cost is unduly enhanced, and in all other cases, to Revenue but it is nowhere laid down whether such fines, if credited to Revenue, should be credited to Provincial Revenue or to the particular head of Revenue corresponding to the classification of the work concerned, e.g. to

Irrigation { ^{XXIX} Major Works Direct receipts
^{XXX} Minor Works and Navigation

Buildings and Roads { ^{XXXI} Military works. Imperial
^{XXXII} Civil Works. Local

I therefore solicit orders of Government

2,000-9-10-88.

on this point.

2. It seems to me that on the principle on which the rule contained in Code para 1872 is based, no credit should be given to any funds, other than that corresponding to the class of the Division concerned, unless that fund has in some way suffered by the act or omission which led to the fine, and that as all the P.W Divisions in the Madras Presidency are Provincial Divisions, all fines should go to Provincial Revenue, if they do not go to the work.

3. This reference is made with a view to the disposal of this question of the allocation of the credit on account of fines imposed on certain piece-workers for delay in executing the work "Raising the Gootanadi drain right floodbank" in the Godavari Western division. The work does not appear to have suffered any damage, and on the principle referred to above, the fines should, I think, go to credit of Provincial Revenue.

4. The amounts involved in these cases are generally trifling, but it is

210
desirable that the allocations of the credits should be definitely settled, and I can hardly undertake to lay down a rule myself.

W.C.

C.

5/7/8

5/7/8

W.C.
21/2

N: 578 W d/27 July/89

3P

Genl Asst

W.C.

Fines recovered from contractors have not credited to the work concerned, will be credited to Provincial Revenue.

W.C.
25.2.89

W.C.
25/2/89

Suptg Engineer

C.A. to Genl

C. E. S.

Ex. W. S.

9/3/89

25.11.89
27.11.89

Govt. of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

18/2/89

By

Secy.

G.O. 27 Feb 1889 No. 5704

Fines

Orders directing that
— recovered from Con-
tractors shall not
be credited to the work
concerned, should be
credited to Provincial
Revenue.

(4)

190
12

Page 2