

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 4

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 1986

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/3

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

65

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD

துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	489	அச்சகம்
~~மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.~~		~~பலவகை~~
நாள் : 18.2.1989		~~1989~~

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

2 sheets

ஆவண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

2 sheets laminated



Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 31st January 1889.

No. 272.

Re Hon. J. Grose: M.A.

READ

the following letter from the Superintendent Engineer
6th Circle to the Collector of Madras of 27th Dec 1888
no. 521 R.O. and the following endorsement
thereon of the latter officer of 17 January 1889
no. 2.

Enclosures:
1 Revised Estimate.
1 Plan (revised)
1 Comparative
Statement.

I have the honor to forward for
your approval and submission to the Court of
wards for their sanction a second revised esti-
-mate amounting to Rs 6590/- for improvements
to the Devasthanam Superintendent's office
at Ramnad.

2. The first Revised Estimate of Rs 5920/-
was sanctioned by the Court of Wards in
their Proceedings No. 981, dated 16 August 1886.

3. The total excess over the sanctioned
estimate is Rs 670; it comprises the following
items:—

1. Concrete in foundation	Rs 45
2. Brick in mortar	205
3. Windows	108
4. Wood lining	80
5. Refund to Contractor	232
	<u>670</u>

Item

Item No. 1. Excess is due to an additional depth of 1 foot having been given to the foundation of walls and pillars in the second room.

Item No. 2. The excess is due to an additional depth of 1 foot in foundation of the above walls and pillars and an extra height of $1\frac{1}{4}$ feet to basement of the whole building.

Item No. 3. The excess is due to additional windows and ventilators found necessary for light and ventilation.

Item No. 4. Is due to oiling the wood work since considered necessary.

Item No. 5. This represents a refund on account of charges made against contractor for wood work taken over by him at rates in excess of its actual value. The contractor's claim for refund of the amount was referred to Government and sanctioned by them in their order No. 3785 W of 30. 11. 1900.

The above proposals have been approved by me and recommended for sanction.

Submitted to the Court of wards with the enclosures.

2. Under the circumstances referred, the revised estimate may be sanctioned.

Resolution

The revised estimate for Rs 6590— is sanctioned.

/ True Copy & Extract

Mammick
Secretary

The Cdr of Madras
The P.W.D. Deptt
The Secy to Govt — 3

489
2

17-16-8-1889
B.C. 39-4-1889 3785-11

B. 12-489 v.d. 18 July 1889

Communicated to
Communicated
to the Secy to Govt
+ the Secy to the
of the Govt of Madras
acts -

15.2.89

15/2/89

A 51650 20/12/88
B 37850 30/11/88

1872

1872

20
to the Secy to Govt
+ the Secy to the
of the Govt of Madras
acts -


Revenue 1889.
Court of Wards,
MADRAS.
No. 805.

To

Re P. W. D. Trust
The Secretary to Government
Receivable Dept.


15/2/89
1872

Proceedings, dated
No. 272.



Subject.
Saney revised estimate for Rs 6594- for
improvements to the Devasthanam
Sup^d office at Rannad.

B. 12-489 v.d. 18 July 1889



Assessment No. 823-

Forwarded

For the Secy to Govt.
8 July 1889

To The Public Works Dept.

1872