

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 18
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 30.3.90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/10

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(46)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	3668	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	31-12-1889	198/

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

9. Sheets

அவண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

சு

No. 5504 C of 26 Nov 1889

D.W.D.

3668

Memo.

With reference
to his letter No. 1790 H.D. of 13th
Nov. 1889, the Suppy. Engr.
Mr. Circle is requested to state
why no action was taken
in 1887 when the Shorekeeper,
in his letter No. 14 of 10th June
of that year reported that
the Cement had been received
in a damaged condition,
and when it might have
been possible to fix respon-
sibility for the loss.

2. The name of the officers
who neglected to take action
on the Shorekeeper's report
should be reported if pos-
sible.

Recd
23.11.89

926711

To the Suppy. Engr.
Mr. Circle

Cu

A. W.
18-12-89

11757

Out

3668

This report was
called for with
reference to the Am's
remarks on Cus
10918 (memo by
5504 C of 26. 11. 89)

Mr Hayes is on
furlough, & Mr
Truitt has just
returned. For
orders whether
the latter shall
be called on
for explanation

Cus
11.12.89

Put up a note if unless,
it does not seem to me
that any P. M. Officer was
except the St. to blame & the cement &
has ordered from Monday,

No. 5504 C of 26 Nov 1889

and the Company, ought
I have seen that it
was properly packed -
Cement from England
is always packed in barrels
Mr. Nybom decided that
the Cement Co could not
be held responsible, &
suggested re-burning,
which I know is of
no use - Under these
circumstances I do not
think either Mr. Proulx
or Mr. Hays can be
held & have done
nothing in 1887. Mr
Nybom also
prevented any claim
being made on the
Comp^y.

Wells
11.12.84

Ask Messrs A & Co whether
it is customary to pack
cement in bags, when
it has to be sent by sea
to its destination -

Letter from C. H. B. Burlton Esquire,
Executive Engineer, Kistna Eastern
Division, to the Suptg. Engineer 2nd
Circle, dated 6th July 1887 No 1401.

3668

I have the honor to enclose a
copy of my letter No 1143 of 18th
June to the Manager of the Cement
Works and his reply in original
dated 28th June 1887. You will
observe that although he makes
an excuse for not having used
Kerosine oil tins, he gives no
satisfactory reason for not having
packed the cement in barrels.
I should, therefore, be obliged to
be favored with your opinion as to
whether the Bill which amounts
to Rupees — should be paid.
I think, that the cement works
should bear the loss as it is
evident that precautions might
have been taken to prevent the
damage occurring.

* Bill not
yet received.

Letter from C. H. B. Burlton Esq, Executive
Engineer, Kistna Eastern D., to the Manager
of the Madras Cement Works dated 18th
June 1887 No 1143.

3

J

I have the honor to inform you that
seventeen, out of twenty, bags of
Portland Cement received from
the Cement Works last week, have
been completely spoilt by exposure
to moisture. The cement has
become caked almost as hard
as stone, so that in its present
state it is quite useless. You
will I trust admit that, had
due precaution been taken at
Madras such as packing the Cement
in Kerosine tins or barrels, there
would have been no cause for
complaint. I am, therefore, of
opinion that the loss should not
be that of Government, and
I should be glad if you would
be good enough to inform me
as to what you would wish us
to do with the material.

Reply from G. D. Wythrow Esquire,
Suptg. Engineer II Circle, to the Executive
Engineer, Kistna Eastern Division,
dated 19th July 1887 N^o. 555 K.S.

With reference to your letter N^o.
1401 dated 6th July 1887, I have the
honor

2688 honor to inform you that unless
you specially ordered the Portland
Cement to be packed in barrels
or tins, I fear that you cannot
hold the Portland Company
responsible for the damage, and
that the claims of the Company
must be met.

2. When ordering it up for the
Western Division, I ordered the Cement
to be sent in waterproof bags, but
it did not answer. Barrels are
more expensive, but are probably
cheaper in the end.

3. The bad cement you are
aware can be reburnt. The
directions for so doing are given
in a memo. by D^r. Nicholson, dated
the 27th November 1874.

(True copies)

W. W. W.
Suptg. Engineer,
II Circle.

S.
57/12/87.

3668

No 1964 Kd

Suply. Engineer's Office,
2nd Circle, Bezvada.
6th December 1889.

From,

J. Hannan Esquire,
Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Deptt.,
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your memo. No 5504 C. of 26th Ultimo, I have the honor to enclose copies of Mr. Burlington's letter No 1401 of 6th July/87 and its enclosure to the Suply. Engineer Mr. Wylbrow and that Officer's reply thereto No 555 Kd of 19th July 1887. No more was heard in this Office on the subject until Major Langley submitted a statement with his No 903 A of 3rd June 1889 shewing the result of stock taking in which this damaged cement appeared when the necessary action was taken by me.

2. Why neither Mr. Burlington, Mr. Trail nor Mr. Hayes the Officers who were successively in charge of the Eastern Division took no steps either to comply with Mr. Wylbrow's instructions

Mr. I shall
enclose from
to Mr. Wylbrow
this letter
to do -
by Mr. Wylbrow

instructions to have the cement
returned or to have its value
written off, I am unable to
explain. Mr. Burlington left the
Division on 9th August 1887, so, he
may be held blameless, but the
inaction of the other two officers
is reprehensible.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedt Serv^t

J. A. Munro

Superintending Engineer,
H. II. Circle.
5-12-87.

3668

6

87117575

Am

Am

The Supt. Engineer
The Circle

To The Chief Engineer
Of Repts
Madras

Dated 6th December 1887
No 1964.18

Enclot

Damaged Portland
Cement - received in
Kistna Eastern Divi-
in 1887. Reports the names
of the officers who neglected
to notice the

Submitted with
reference to the Hon.
Member's remark under
the draft order on
current No. 10918.

It seems clear that
Mr. Wythorn's letter of
19th July 1887 No. 555 K.S.
was the cause of no
claim being made at
the time, if any such
could be made; and
it does not appear
that either Mr. Traill
or Mr. Hayes can
be held to blame.

Mr. Wythorn was perhaps
in error, but some of the
other officers must be blamed. 17.12.89
The draft is originally from
my report

19.12.89

18-12-89 R. 20-12-89

3668

Letter from Mr. D. Harper,
Divisional Store-keeper, Bezvada,
to the Officer in charge, Kistna
Eastern Division, dated 25th July 1887
No. 62.

With reference to your No. 1762 of
22nd Instant, I most respectfully beg
to report that in March 1887, L. H. B.
Burlton Esq. then Executive Engineer
wired to the Cement Works, Madras,
for 3 tons of Portland cement. Please
see the remark on my Indent No. 39
dated 23rd March 1887 (herewith
enclosed). The 3 tons of cement
came by Steamer S. S. "Asia" to
Masulipatam, and thence by carts
to Bezvada through Messrs. Maiden & Co.,
in June 1887 (Maiden & Co. Bill is
herewith enclosed). When 3 tons or
20 bags of cement arrived here,
I found that 17 bags were hard
as stone, soaked as it were in
good quantity of water. I imme-
diately reported the circumstance
to the Head Quarter Sub-Division
Officer in my No. 14 of 10th June 1887.
(Copy of which was already sent to
you with my No. 46 of 2nd Instant).
Thereupon the Sub-Division Officer
and the Executive Engineer came
and examined the damaged
cement, but I do not know what
steps

steps had been taken afterwards about it. The cement is in water proof bags and cannot easily be damaged by ordinary rains, and so it is evident that it was damaged by sea water as it is like solid rocks. If you please inspect the cement personally, you will find that it was not damaged here.

The value of the cement according to Stock Book is Rs. 86-1-7.

(True Copy)

J. Hamman

Supltg. Engineer,
II Circle.

9/11/89

3668 No. 1790 KS

Supltg. Engineer's Office,
2nd Circle,
13th November 1889.

From,

J. Hamman Esquire,
Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Deptt.,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to report that the Executive Engineer, Kistna Eastern Division, obtained in June 1887, 3 tons of Portland cement from Cement Works, Madras, in 20 bags, 17 of which were found damaged. Copy of Store-keeper's letter No. 62 of the 25th July 1889 explaining how it was damaged is herewith sent.

2. As it is now impossible to ascertain by whose neglect the cement was damaged, I request you will be good enough to obtain sanction of Government to write off to Profit and Loss Rs. 86-1-7, the value of the damaged cement.

Cement according to Stock Book.

3. The Examiner suggests that it might be desirable to reburn the damaged cement, but I do not consider it advisable to do so.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most Obedt Servt

M. M. M.
Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

Son

Accepted Engineer
II Circle

To the Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department
Madras

Dated 13th November 89

Rs 1790 Rs

Damaged Cement
in Krishna Eastern
Division - 14 bags
of Request under the
Circumstances stated
Sanction to write off to Profit & Loss

3668

I think the amount
Rs 56-1-7 should be
written off.

Some years ago I made
several attempts to
make cement, that had
set, for use by re-burning,
but none of the briquettes
would stand more than
a few lbs strain in
the testing machine; &
the cement was perfectly
useless.

M. M. M.
16.11.89

Accepted
18.11.89

The Value of the

damaged cement, to

86: 1:7, may be written
off as proposed

hier
20.11.59

S. E. II Cont'd

Er Phla.

24119