(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 32

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 1.90

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D துறை

(18)

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 3524

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 10-12-1889 198 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

16 Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

3524

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following:—

Despatch from the Right Honorable Viscount CROSS, Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India, to His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, Fort St. George, dated India Office, London, 7th November 1889, No. 10 Public Works.

I forward to your Excellency herewith copy of a letter * which has been addressed to this office by Mrs. E. S. Lennon, claiming certain arrears of Presidency house-rent allowance alleged to be due to her late husband, Mr. R. P. Lennon, at the time of his death.

* Dated 16th October 1889.

2. I shall be glad to be furnished with any remarks you may have to offer with regard to the validity of the claim.

Enclosure.

From Mrs. E. S. LENNON, to the Under-Secretary, Military Department, India Office, Whitehall S.W., dated 13, Dunlace Road, Clapton N.E., 16th October 1889.

I beg to inform you that by a G.O., No. 166 W., dated 22nd January 1889, Conductors and Sub-Engineers of the 1st grade in the Madras Public Works Department are entitled to Presidency house-rent of 20 rupees per mensem. I have also to call your attention to the fact that my late husband, Mr. R. P. Lennon, holding this appointment was on duty in Madras from 3rd May 1881 to 22nd December 1883, and that during the whole of that period he was in receipt of 15 rupees per mensem only.

2. As I understand that the order in question has retrospective effect, I think I have a distinct claim upon the Madras Government for the arrears, which, at the rate of Rs. 5 a month for 31 months and 19 days, would amount to Rs. 158.

3. Accordingly, I should deem it a favor if you would lay this matter before the proper authorities in India with a view to having this sum transmitted to me.

Order—dated 10th December 1889, No. 3524 W., Public Works.

Ordered that the following reply be sent to the Secretary of State.

(Here enter No. $\frac{18}{3524}$ W., dated 10th December 1889.)

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

Exd. J. Moses.
Spare Copies

P.22

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1889} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated December, 10th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 10th Dec. 1889, No. 3524 W.

DECEMBER.

Mrs. E. S. Lennon

Ordered that the Secretary of State be informed that the claim of — to arrears of house-rent alleged to be due to her late husband is not considered admissible.

1

3524

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following paper :—

From G. H. D. WALKER, Esq., Under-Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Calcutta, 11th January 1889, No. 78 G.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 3536 W., dated 6th ultimo, forwarding copy of a note by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, Madras, requesting orders as to whether a warrant officer employed as an upper subordinate, drawing an assimilation allowance to make his total pay equal to the civil pay of his grade under the provisions of para. 488 of the Madras Public Works Code,* is to be considered as drawing consolidated salary or as drawing staff pay with military pay of rank for the purposes of determining his claims to deferred pay, presidency house-rent and furlough allowances.

* Note to para. 11, chapter I, vol. I, Public Works Code.

2. In reply, I am directed to observe that the Examiner's note appears to have been written under a misapprehension. A warrant officer is not entitled to deferred pay at all; so that, so far as such pay is concerned, there is no necessity for determining whether he is on the consolidated scale of pay or not when he draws the civil rate of pay fixed for his grade, nor does the question affect his furlough allowances. Under the Military Furlough Regulations of 1868 he would receive half aggregate allowances, and under those of 1875 half the emoluments of his office. The question, however, does arise for the purpose of determining the rate of presidency house-rent, and I am to state that when the military pay and allowances of a warrant officer, together with his staff pay, do not equal the civil pay of his grade and he is under para. 488 of the Madras Public Works Code granted so much additional staff pay as will make his total pay equal to the civil pay of his grade, he must be held to be drawing consolidated pay and should be granted the Presidency house-rent of his departmental grade.

3. In the Examiner's note it is stated that Sub-Conductors McAlister and Patton have preferred claims to deferred pay under para. 746 of the Madras Public Works Code. This rule refers to non-commissioned officers and soldiers, and does not apply to them. If, however, it is meant that, on promotion to warrant rank, Messrs. McAlister and Patton have, under para. 745 of the Madras Public Works Code, put forward claims to deferred pay for a period while they were non-commissioned officers, I am to state that if they were then drawing additional pay under para. 488 of the Madras Public Works Code, their claims are inadmissible, as they must be considered to have been non-commissioned officers on consolidated pay.

8 2

ORDER—dated 22nd January 1889, No. 166 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with reference to his office note No. 2513, dated 1st November 1888.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
To Superintending Engineers.
To the Consulting Architect to Government.

Exd. J. Henricus.
Spare Copies

3524

93

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1889} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated January, 22nd.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 22nd Jan. 1889, No. 166 W.

JANUARY.

Warrant Officers employed as Upper Subordinates

Orders of the Government of India regarding the pay of — on a reference from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with a view to determine their claims to deferred pay, house-rent and furlough allowances, communicated to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

531.

Govt. of [1888] Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Date 23/1/89

Rcd. Encl.

G.O. 22 Jany 1889 No. 166 W.

Warrant Officers employed as Upper Subordinates

Orders of the Govt of India regarding the pay of, on appointment from the Lr Subordinate Establishment to Warrant Officers' Establishment

Vide 1/2

22/1-

3524

10TH DECEMBER 1889, No. 18/3524 W.] PUBLIC WORKS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

LETTER—to

THE RIGHT HONORABLE THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA.

MY LORD,

We have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Lordship's despatch No. 10, dated 7th November 1889, relative to Mrs. E. S. Lennon's claim to arrears of house-rent alleged to be due to her late husband, Deputy Assistant Commissary R. P. Lennon, and, in reply, to state that we do not consider the claim admissible.

2. In January last the Government of India ruled * that a warrant officer of the Public Works Department drawing additional staff pay to make his total pay equal to the civil pay of his grade, must be held to be drawing consolidated pay, and should therefore be granted the Presidency house-rent of his departmental grade. We have already decided that retrospective effect cannot be given to this ruling, and have disallowed a claim to arrears of house-rent for a period from July 1882 to June 1887 put forward by Lieutenant A. Milne, a warrant officer of this department. On the same grounds we consider that the claim made by Mrs. Lennon should not be admitted.

* Proceedings dated 22nd January 1889, No. 166 W., copy attached.

We have the honor to be,
My Lord,
Your Lordship's most obedient, humble servants,

(Signed) CONNEMARA.
(„) C. G. ARBUTHNOT.
(„) H. E. STOKES.
(„) J. H. GARSTIN.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
10th December 1889.

Exd. J. Moses.

2 5

GOVT. OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

(Copy.)

LETTER to the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India.

No. 18 / 3524 W., dated 10th Dec. 1889.

Informing that the claim of Mrs. E. S. Lennon to arrears of house-rent alleged to be due to her late husband is not considered admissible.

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 20 JAN. 89 P.W. DEPARTMENT, MADRAS.

No. 78 *Ly.*

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

11P

3524

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Madras.
Public Works Department.

Calcutta, the 11th January 1889.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 3536 W. dated 6th ultimo, forwarding copy of a note by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, Madras, requesting orders as to whether a Warrant officer employed as an Upper Subordinate drawing an assimilation allowance to make his total pay equal to the Civil pay of his grade under the provisions of para: 488 of the

7
4 Madras

Madras Public Works Code, is to be con-
-sidered as drawing consolidated salary
or as drawing staff pay with Military
pay of rank for the purposes of deter-
-mining his claims to deferred pay, presi-
-dency house rent and furlough allowances.

In reply I am directed to observe that
the Examiners note appears to have been
written under a Misapprehension. A Warrant
Officer is not entitled to deferred pay at all:
so that so far as such pay is concerned,
there is no necessity for determining whether
he is on the consolidated scale of pay or
not when he draws the Civil rate of pay
fixed for his grade, nor does the question
affect his furlough allowances. Under the
the Military Furlough Regulations of 1868
he would receive half aggregate allowances
and under those of 1875 half the emoluments

of

Note to p
Chapter I
Public Wor

3524

of his office. The question however does
arise for the purpose of determining
the rate of Presidency house rent: and
I am to state that when the Military
pay and allowances of a Warrant officer
together with his staff pay do not equal
the Civil pay of his grade and he is
under para: 488 of the Madras Public
Works Code granted so much additional
staff pay as will make his total pay
equal to the Civil pay of his grade, he
must be held to be drawing consolidated
pay and should be granted the Presidency
house rent of his departmental grade.

In the Examiner's note it is stated
that Sub. Conductors Mc Alister and Patton
have preferred claims to deferred pay under
para. 746 of the Madras Public Works Code.
This rule refers to non-commissioned office

and

4575

Public 531

and soldiers and does not apply to them. If however, it is meant that on promotion to Warrant rank Messrs Mc Alister and Patton have under para: 745 of the Madras Public Works Code. put forward claims to deferred pay for a period while they were Non-Commissioned officers, I am to state that if they were then drawing additional pay under para: 488 of the Madras Public Works Code, their claims are inadmissible, as they must be considered to have been Non-Commissioned officers on consolidated pay.

Rej 21/10/89

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient servant,

G H Walker

Under Secretary to the Govt of India.

Ltr 353 to W. 6.12.88.

Documents accompanying.

—Nil—

3524

6

9

1888.

P. W. DEPARTMENT

Current No. 1

From The Govt of India P.W.D

ENCLOS.—

No. 78-G.
Dated 11-1-89
Received

SUBJECT.

Remarking on the O. R. from C. enquiring as to kind of pay a warrant officer employed as an Upper Sub. drawing an assistt allce under para 468. P.W. code is held to draw for the purpose of determining his claim to deferred pay Proxy house rent & furlough allowances —

DISPOSAL.

Govt Estabt

A/ No. 1666 Dated 22. 1. 89.

3P

Communicated to the Examiner P.W. Accts, with reference to his office note No. 2573 dated 1st Nov 1888.

31/12.89 WCW 4.1.89

Ex P.W.D. Supdg Engineer E & S Gr. 24/11/89 from C

EXAMINER No. 1 NOV 1889 P.W. ACCOUNTS

No 4961 C dated 31st October 1889

From C

For favour of remarks.

WCW 29.10.89

No 1599 dated 8-11-89. Dr.

To W.

The claim would be admitted without question if it were current, but as it relates to a date so far back as 1882, the approval of Government is considered necessary, the interpretation of the rule having then been different.

The interpretation in the 8/11/89 recent G.O. is a mis ruling for C. & ought not to have retrospective effect, in my opinion

WCW 15 Nov. 8/11

B The admission of the claim if sanctioned.

WCW 13.11.89

S.E. V Circle Ex P.W.D.

Rec'd 16.11.89

From The Supdg Engineer 5th Circle

To The Chief Engineer P.W. Department.

Dated 20th October 89
Recd 24th " "
Read 1.11.89

No. 3536 dated 6-11-88
" 166 W dated 22.1.89

No 4896.

Lieut A. Mikus
Assistant Engineer

Requests that orders may be passed to enable the Examiner to admit the arrears of House Rent claimed by as per reasons stated within.

With order

35-24 W

B

Arrs orders on 524

The Govt is unable to give retrospective effect to the ruling conveyed in G.O. No. 166 dt 22d January 1889

16.11.89

Rued 18.11.89

10

10

S.E. V Circle

Exd. W.B. Dd 11

Recd 11 Dd 11

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

No. 4896 V Circle, Madras,

Chepâk, 25 October 1889.

From

Colonel W. H. Burton R.E.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer

P.W.D. Department

3524

SIR,

Enclosures.

Assistant Engineer Lieutt A. Milne has claimed difference of House Rent between Rs 20 the house rent of a Sub Engineer and Rs 15 the house rent of a Conductor from 29 July 1882 to 4th June 1887 amounting to Rs 321-2-1 in the Salary Bill of Presidency Division for July 1889 but the Examiner while admitting the correctness of the claim, has disallowed it in the absence of sanction of Government to its being passed as it relates to a period so far back as 1882

11

2. But Lt. Milne explains that he did not claim House rent at Rs 20 in 1882 &c &c as late Conductor Lennon when Sub Engineer 1st grade

11 employed

employed in the Consulting Architect division in 1882 did so unsuccessfully and his claim was then disallowed by the Examiner.

3. As the claim is admissible under G.O. No 166 W dated 22nd January 1889, I have the honor to request that orders may be passed to enable the Examiner to admit this claim for arrears.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most Obedt Servant

Collr
Supdg. Engineer & Circle

1679.

India Office,
London. 7th November 1889.

Public Works.

No 10.

3524

My Lord,

I forward to your Excellency herewith copy of a letter* which has been addressed to this Office by Mrs E.S. Lennon, claiming certain arrears of Presidency house rent allowance alleged to be due to her late husband, Mr R.P. Lennon, at the time of his death.

2 I shall be glad to be furnished with any remarks you may have to offer with regard to the validity of the claim.

I have the honor to be,
My Lord,
Your Lordship's most obedient
humble servant,

Secy. 12

12

* Dated 16th October 1889.

His Excellency,
The Right Honorable,
The Governor in Council,
Fort St George.

No 8

MAR. 11493

Public Works

7th November 1889

The Letter to Fort St George

No 10

No 166 W a/22.1.89

3297 W a/20.9.89

Rec'd: 3.12.89 Encl

Received 27th Nov 89

Oct. 1674.

Enclosure

23534

P.W. 200/89.

13 Dunlace Road,
Clapton N.E.
16th October 1889.

Sir

I beg to inform you that by a Government Order, No 166 W. dated 22nd January 1889, Conductors and Sub Engineers of the 1st grade in the Madras Public Works Department are entitled to Residency House rent of 20 rupees per mensem. I have also to call your attention to the fact that my late husband, Mr. E. L. Lennon holding this appointment was on duty in Madras from 3rd May 1881 to 22nd December 1883, and that during the whole of that period he was in receipt of 15 rupees per mensem only.

As I understand that the Order in question has retrospective effect I think I have a distinct claim upon the Madras Government for the arrears which at the rate of 5 rupees a month for 7 months and 19 days would amount to 158 rupees.

Accordingly, I should deem it a favour if you would lay this matter before the proper authorities in India with a view to having this sum transmitted to me.

I am &c
(Mrs) E. L. Lennon.

The Under Secretary,
Military Department
India Office
Whitehall
S.W.

14

14

17

49

Copy of a letter from
Mrs E. S. Lennon, dated 16th Oct. 1889

Referred to in Public Works Despatch
to Madras, No 10, dated 7th Nov. 1889
Para: 1

Received 13 Nov 1889

No. 3524 W dy 10 Dec. 1889 / Genl Estabt

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

3524

READ the following

Despatch from the Right Honble the Secy. of State for India
No. 10 of London 7th November 1889.

3P

Ordered that the
following reply be sent to
the Secy. of State.

M.
Dun

A. No. 18/3524 W dy 10th Dec. 1889

To The Right Honble
the Secy: of State for India
London.

My Lord,

We have the honour to
acknowledge the receipt of
your Lordship's despatch No.
10 dy 7th November 1889 relative
to Mrs E. S. Lennon's claim
to arrears of house rent
alleged to be due to her late
husband, Dy: Asst: Commissary
R. P. Lennon, and in reply
to state that we do not con-
sider the claim admissible.

2. In January last, the
Government

Government of India ruled that warrant officers of the P. W. Department drawing ~~additional staff pay~~ to make his total pay equal to the Civil pay of his grade, must be held to be drawing consolidated pay, and should therefore be granted the precedency based upon his departmental grade. We have already decided that retrospective effect cannot be given to this ruling, and have disallowed a claim to arrears of house rent for a period from July 1882 to June 1887, put forward by Lieut: A. Mulvaney a warrant officer of this Department. On the same grounds we consider that the claim made by Mrs. Lennon should not be admitted

We have &c

Wcon 4.12.89 Rwatts 5.12.89

Secd with Govt of I/ No 1661 dd 22-1-89.

Cir Hds 5-12-89 R.T. 7-12-89

* Govt: dated 22nd Jany: 1889-No 1661 copy attached.

3524

16

16

1693.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Encl.

Encl.

G.O. 10 Dec 1889 No. 3524 W

16

Mrs E. S. Lennon.

Ordered that the Acting
Under Secretary
Inform the Claim of ——
Damages & Loss
sustained alleged to be done by his
late husband is
not to be considered Admissible

—

Informing that the
Claim of Mrs E. S. Lennon
Damages & Loss sustained
alleged to be done by her
late husband is not
to be considered Admissible