

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 10
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 3/90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/90

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(22)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பி. W. டி. குறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	3441	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	4 - 12 - 1889	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

5 - Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

சு/

From

3/41
The Executive Engineer
Vizagapatam Dm.

To

The Superintending Engineer
First Circle
Dowlaisheram

Sir,

With reference to your No. 294 V.G.
dated 7th October/89 I have the honor to state
that the estimate (enclosed) for re-roofing
No. 1 Ward has already been sanctioned by
you and the work has been almost completed.
2. In the report that accompanied the
estimate it is stated that there is only a
sufficient quantity of sound wood obtain-
able for use as wall plates, and on the re-
-randaah roof being dismantled. I have also
found it necessary to provide new rafters as
all the old ones were decayed where built
into the wall. The only timber fit for
use (except as wall plates) was one truss
which has been substituted for an unsound

in No. 2 compartment as follows

estimate.

As the roof required entire renewal
I do not think any extra expenditure was in-
curred by the failure of the tie beam. Of
course some tiles were broken, but as these
had probably been in use for the last 30
years it is impossible to estimate their
value. The cost of removing the portion of the
roof which fell would be less than the cost
of dismantling it which would have been
necessary when renewing the roof.

I have &c.

/sd/ G. J. Usher

Executive Engineer

Vizagapatam Div.

/True Copy/

J. D. Grant

Supy. Engineer
1st Circle.

JK

No. 350 *U.L.*

FROM,

J. D. Grant Esquire *346*

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DOWLAISHWERAM, 14th November 1899

SIR,

With reference to G. O. No. 2655W dated
23-9-89 I have the honor to forward herewith
a copy of letter No. 1797 of 4-11-89 from the
Executive Engineer Vizagapatam Division
stating that the re-roofing of No. 1 Block in
the District Jail at Vizagapatam has
been almost completed an estimate amount-
ing to Rs. 755 having been sanctioned by
the late Supy. Engineer for the same in
July/89.

2. The Executive Engineer further states
that as the roof of the Block required
entire renewal, he does not think any

2

the expenditure was covered by the
of the tie beam.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

your most obedient servant

J. D. Hunt.

Superintending Engineer

1st. Circle

3641

ROM
J.D. Grant Esq.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO,
THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

MADRAS.

70.2655Wd/23.9.89

DATED 14th
DESPATCHED 16th November 1889
RECEIVED 20

No. 350 W.L.

ENCLOSURES. 1

SUBJECT.

Vizagapatam District Jail

Submits Copy of divisional
officer's letter informing
that the reroofing of No. 1
block in the - has been
almost completed -

No. 2655 Wd/23.9.89

Am 29th 13.11.89

Am

For orders.

Preston

20.11.89 W

Mr. Wosher's neglect which led to
the ~~collapse of the~~ roof was most re-
prehensible but I don't think that
a pecuniary amendment is the
proper punishment. He is an
assistant Engineer 1st Gr. & Ex. Eng.
but has been 4th Grade. Let him
be deprived of his temporary rank
& transferred from the charge of
the Vizagapatam division which
is ~~an enormous~~ a very heavy one
to sub divisional charge else-
where. The division is a very
heavy one & specially needs
stimulation on all officers there
have been allowed to get very slack.
A smart officer with a strong
hand should be sent there.
I.S. should be sent to the sub Div.
officer. 21 Nov
Circ.

Am 20.11.89
Am 29.11.89

Note.

Mr. Wosher has just been
appointed to the Ganjam
and Mr. Traill will take his place
Division. - It is suggested
that he be severely
reprimanded and informed
that the idea as to whether
he should not be reduced
for his reprehensible neglect
was at one time entertained,
and that it will depend upon
the manner in which
he looks after his work
in future whether more
stringent measures than
have now been adopted
should be carried out
or not.

Preston 22.11.89
W

I am of opinion that stronger
measures than this are necessary
as proposed in my previous note
which should be circulated

W.L.
23 Nov

He sh. certainly be reduced
(in grade - a punishment.
He sh. not be for S.
Shall be transferred in
charge to the 4th grade
work here as he has
been 4th when the roof fell
in. Mr. Wosher sh.
containing him here sh. to
that the 4th grade
when he reports the jail
on 20th May 1891, and 3 weeks
before the roof fell in -
his neglect to rely to
the 4th grade letter on 6th
at once to the Jail & see
what was wanted from my
superior with. He was
to have reported his sub
Div. Officer who was to have

207/11/09 $\frac{2}{5}$

15
Demond
PROCEED

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

again
READ the following

G. O. No. 2655 W dated 23rd September 1889

Read also

Letter from the Suptg Engineer - track No. 3500
of 11th November 1889

Again 22.x.89

11th Feb 1859
the 20th may be
neglecting
in failing to reply
numerous letters of the
superintendent of the jail
on to at once visit the
jail and ^{ascertain} ~~know~~ what
was wanted.

His Excellency the
Governor in Council to
mark his disapproval
of such culpable neglect
of duty directs that
Messrs. be deprived of
present sub ^{pro} tempore
as Executive Engineer
grade and be transferred
from the charge of the
Vizagapatnam Division to
a sub. divisional charge
elsewhere.

22/11/59
H.M.

WMB
29.11.59
Pueson
29.11.59
4.29/11/59

22/11/59

Sanjivani
in vizagapatnam
division

S.E.I. Corder
Judicial dep^t

5
10/12