

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 10
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் November. 90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 1000

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(67)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	3166	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	6-11-1889	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

5-Sheets

ஆவண கா-1-18,008-15-6-87.

200

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the

Read the following

Letter from J.C. Lammie Esq.
Exec. Engineer, Buckingham Canal
Din No. 310 dated 19th October
1889.

In returning G.O. No. 2585 w
of the 19th ultimo received with
your endorsement No. 217 B.C. dated
5th Instant, I have the honor to
inform you that the reference
made by the Collector in April
1889 was replied to by me in
August 1889 as can be seen from
the following statement.

I. Collector's No. 258 of 17th April
1889 regarding the lands required
by this Department in the village
of Naddaripollem, Gudur Taluk,
for the diversion of Canal from
Teddupett to Jorungipallem was
received by me on the 19th May
1889.

II. This was referred to Sub-Divisional officer, Pulicat, for report on the same date.

III. His reply was received at the latter part of June 1889.

IV. As some lands were not required, a further reference was made in July 1889 to the sub-divisional officer for the revision of the Schedule.

V. His reply was received on the 7th August 1889 and the collector's reference was replied to on the 9th Idem.

2. From the above it will be seen that no unreasonable delay in the matter has occurred since collector's reference is dated 17th April, and received in this office only on the 19th May 1889.

Endorsement thereon by the Suptg. Engineer II circle No 256 B.C.
11.10.1889

2166
Submitted to the Chief Engineer P.W. Dept, with reference to G.O. No 2585W of the 19th Sept 1889. From the Executive Engineer's explanation it would appear that the Collector's reference though dated 17th April 1889 was received by him on the 19th May, and that the required reply was sent by him (Executive Engineer) on the 9th August. There does not, therefore, appear to have been great delay on the part of the Executive Engineer in furnishing the information required by the collector.

Order thereon No. 3166 Wd/6 Nov. 1889

Communicated to the Revenue Dept, with reference to G.O. in that Department No. 5384 dated 22nd August 1889.

2. The Suptg. Engineer has not replied to the question in para 1. This is above quoted as

why the area taken up still
remains undetermined - a report
on this point should be
submitted without delay.

(True Extract)

(Sd) R.R.E. Drake Brockman

To.
The S.E. Circle
Rev. Dept

St. Col. R.E.
Ag. J. Secy to Govt P. W. D.

(True Copy)

R. Pereira

Head Clerk

P. W. Secretariat.

3168

3

2/1/20
G.O. of 6th November 1889
No. 3166 W

Obtained from Spt Office
29/1/20

3166
From J. C. Larmine Esquire,
Executive Engineer, Buckingham
Canal Division, to the Suptg.
Engineer II Circle, dated Madras
Basin 19th October 1889 No. 1310.

In returning G.O. No. 2585 W
of the 19th Ultimo received with
your endorsement No. 217 B.C. of
5th Instant, I have the honor to
inform you that the reference made
by the Collector in April 1889
was replied to by me in August 1889
as can be seen from the following
statement.

I. Collector's No. 258 of 17th April/89
regarding the lands required by
this Department in the village
of Nattaripollem, Gudur Taluk,
for the Diversion of canal from
Tettupett to Jonangipallem
was received by me on the
19th May 1889.

II. This was referred to Sub-Divis-
sional Officer, Pulicat, for
report on the same date.

III. His reply was received at
the latter part of June 1889.

IV. As some lands were not required, a further reference was made in July 1889 to the Sub-Divisional Officer for the revision of the Schedule.

V. His reply was received on the 7th August 1889 and the Collector's reference was replied to on the 9th Idem.

2. From the above it will be seen that no unreasonable delay in the matter has occurred since Collector's reference is dated 17th April and received in this Office only on the 19th May/89.

No. 256 P.O.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer, P. W. Department, with reference to G.O. No. 2585.W. of the 19th September 1889. From the Executive Engineer's explanation it would appear that the Collector's reference though dated 17th April/89 was received by him on the 19th May, and that the required reply was sent by him (Executive Engineer) on the 9th August. There does not

not, therefore, appear to have been great delay on the part of the Executive Engineer in furnishing the information required by the Collector.

366

Hunnus

Sup'tg. Engineer's Office,
2^d Circle, Begowada
29th October 1889 } Superintending Engineer,
2^d Circle.
282089

No. 2585 Wd/19-9-89
" 1621 W. 20-6-89
" 1131 W. 26-4-89
" 332. 10-4-89

P. No. 10350

From

The Suptg Engineer
II Circle

To

The Chief Engineer,
P.W. Department,
Madras



Dated 29th October/89

Check No. 11589

No 256030

Compensation for land
required for diversion
of Bue Am Canal
Betthupett to Jomrangipalem

Forwarded & explanation
for the delay in replying
Director's letter regarding
the settlement of

(5)

S. & II circle
Rev. Dept. S. D. 11

with the order

At the 4th of Nov 89

P. No. 10350

For
P.

11/10/89

Communicated to the
Revenue Dept, with reference
to G.O. in that Dept No. 5384
dated 22nd August 1889.
2. The Suptg. Engineer has
not replied to the question
in para 4 of the G.O. above
quoted as to why the area
taken up still remains un-
determined - a report on
this point should be
submitted without delay.

11/11/89
2.11.89
P. No. 11589

25850719/9/89