

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 4
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 3/90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 67

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(43)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P-W-D-துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	2912	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	16-10-1889	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

2 sheets

ஆவண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

OkB

[C. No. 8.]

29/12

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1889.

P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 8th October

1889.

OFFICE NOTE No.

1433

To

W.

With reference to your memo No. 4383 C. dated the 1st. instant, regarding the closing of the Civil Engineers' Provident Fund account of Mr. J. W. Brassington, I beg to point out that the Government of India have apparently overlooked rule 18 of the rules of the Civil Engineers' Provident Fund (Code Appendix B) which requires a reference to the Comptroller General before an Examiner can take any action.

2. At Mr. Brassington's request, I asked the Comptroller General in my letter No. 817 X dated 23rd. September 1889 to close his account and to forward an account in form A with instructions for payment of principal and interest, and pending receipt of his reply, I am unable to take any further action.

3. Two years ago in connection with the case of Mr. Somers-Eve (vide

KEEP-WITH

Genl. No.

dated

188 .

2,000-9-10-88.

P.W. No. 9463 ✓

N^o 2310 Wd/26.8.87
" 3872 Wd/10.12.88
" 4349 Wd/27.9.89
" 4586 C 2/1.10.89

Recd. 9.10.89

N^o 2912 Wd/Oct 16/1889

H.M. Wm
13 Oct

Ordered to be
forwarded to the Govt
of India for consideration
with reference to its
telegram N^o 398 Gd
30th September 1889

(H.E. N^o 2913 Wd/Oct 16/1889)

Forwarded.

(9)

Wm
11.10.89

Quoted 11.10.89

H.W. 11.10.89
R.H.T.

2/10
17/10
5/18/10

Exp. P.W. Co ✓

Recd. 11.10.89

G.O.No.2310 W.dated 26th.August 1887),
when making the reference prescribed
by rule 8 of the Civil Engineers' Pro-
vident Fund Rules,I asked the Comptrol-
ler General,with reference to rule 9,
whether it was really necessary to ob-
tain his authority in each case and -
he replied that it was..

4. If previous reference to the -
Comptroller General really is necessary,
rule 9 of the Civil Engineers' Provident
Fund Rules seems to require modifica-
tion,for,as it stands,it is decidedly
misleading.

5. Before Mr.Brassington's depart-
ture,I explained to him my inability
to arrange for the immediate payment of
his deposit,and he stated that he was
in no hurry to receive it,understanding
that it would continue to bear interest
until date of payment. This impression
is,I suppose, correct,but I explained
to Mr.Brassington that I was not in a
position either to confirm it or to -
correct it.

6. As Mr.Brassington has now sail-
ed for Europe,the amount payable to him
will eventually have to be remitted by
money order,in accordance with the note
to rule 18 (standing order No.27 of -
1886),and I should be glad to know de-
finitely whether the commission in the
money order is to be paid out of Mr.
Brassington's moneys,the balance after

deduction of commission being remit-
ted,or whether the full amount should
be remitted and the commission charg-
ed as an office contingency. I pre-
sume the latter course should be adopt-
ed,but as this is the first occasion -
on which such a remittance has become
necessary,it might be well to have -
the matter definitely settled by a -
reference to the Government of India.

[Handwritten signature]
C.
[Handwritten signature]
P 8/10