(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 34

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 3/90

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் lo/z

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

22

P. W. D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	2881	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	14-10-1889	~~198~~ .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

17. Sheets

ஆவண, கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

2881

M3 24/7/89

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER RUSHIKULYA 1134 24 89

Notes of inspection by H Rainier Esqr. of the office of the Executive Engineer Rushikulya Division at Doegaum on the 4th to 8th May 1889.

Mr W. C De Morgan, Executive Engineer 3rd Grade was at the time of inspection taking over charge of this Division from Mr Medlicott, Executive Engineer 4th Grade M. R. Ry. B. Venkatapra puntulu is the Accountant.

2. The Rushikulya project was declared a separate division under G.O. 3208 W of 24th November 1887. It is a protective public work the estimates of which as printed in G.O. No 377 I of 8th May 1882 were sanctioned in G.O. 500 I of 23rd June 1883. This is the first inspection of the office since the reconstitution of the division.

3. The Sub Divisional Officers who are drawing officers are as follows:—

Name, rank and locality of Sub Divisional Officers.	Nature of payments made by them
Mr J. H. Medlicott, Executive Engineer Janumally Mr A. C. Pereira, Assistant Engineer 2nd Grade Madhavaboridu M. R. Ry. W. Bukatareddy Venkatareddy Naidu Garu Sub Engineer Russellkonda	They pay labourers, piece workers and suppliers without limit.

4 There are imprest holders as follows:–

Name and rank of imprest holders	Amount of Imprest	Nature of payments made	By whom recouped
	Rs		
Lieutenant J. Joyce Supervisor of Machinery	30	Petty payments for the upkeep of machinery	Executive Engineer
M. R. Ry S. A. Swaminatha Iyer, Overseer	500	To coolies without limit and to suppliers and others up to Rs 20/-	the Sub Division Officer
Sergeant J. Alfred Overseer	500		Do Do
M. R. Ry G. S. Venkataswariah, Overseer	300		Russelkonda Sub Division Officer
M. R. Ry Nityanandam Moodeliar Supervisor	200		

5. During the months of May, July and August 1888 and April and May 1889 salaries were disbursed prior to the despatch of the salary bills to the Examiner's Office for audit which is an infringement of Code para 473 (7).

6. The third annual increment of Clerk Surianarayana puntulu was paid to him prior to the audit of the same by the Examiner.

Date of payment.	Date of audit.
1st February 1889	8th February 1889

which is an infringement of code 473 rule (7).

7 Another infringement of the above code paragraph rule (7) occurred when Mr De Morgan, Executive Engineer paid himself his pay from ×25th April to 30th April 1889 prior to the audit by Examiner.

× Date of joining the Division in transfer

The amount thus paid now stands at debit of miscellaneous advances 281

8. Constructing Godowns and Stables at Doregam Estimate Rs 2400/- Imprest holders voucher No 33

The payment on this Nominal Muster Roll is the full amount of the Roll viz Rs 14–4–0 whereas the certificate at end of the Roll regarding payment is only for Rs 13–4–0. The work abstract and the Imprest Cash Book shew the former amount.

9 Russelkonda Reservoir Dam Estimate Rs 2,58,420.

Arrears of one Muster Roll are not brought forward in part II of the next Roll but they are brought forward in part II of the Roll for the period in which the arrears are paid whether one or six months after the arrears first appeared for instance there were arrears of Rs 7–12–7 in the Muster Roll for the period from 21st to 28th February 1889. These arrears were not brought forward in the Muster Roll for from 1st to 10th March 1889 or 11th to 20th March 1889 but were brought forward in part II of the Muster Roll for the period from 21st to 31st March 1889 in which period the arrears were paid. Arrears of one

Muster Roll should be brought forward in all subsequent rolls until paid.

10. In the Muster Roll for the period from 21st to 28th February 1889 there is an overpayment of 2 annas. But it is difficult to say to which particular individual this overpayment has been made as the paying officer has not noted the amount paid when it differs from the amount due to each labourer or entered his initials opposite the name of each labourer paid as required by note 6 on Form A.M. 4

11. Two mortar mills were purchased in March 1887 and paid for in the same month the value being charged to Tools and Plant. They were intended for use on this particular work and they were thus brought in Tenday reports for November 1887 the value of these mills being shewn as arrears instead of being debited to works by crediting Tools and Plant. The arrears thus shewn in work abstract still continue though actually there are no arrears. If the mortar mills are wanted solely for the above work the necessary adjustment should now be made (Code 1569).

12. On comparing the entries in part IV of the work abstract for this work for March 1889 with the subordinates statement of actual balance of materials at site of the work at end of March 1889 the following differences were found.

Descriptions of materials	Quantity as per part IV of work abstract	Quantity as per statement of actual balances.
(1) Cut Slabs	1997	1977 1997
(2) Bricks	59684	117,684
(3) Rod iron bundles	50	Nil
(4) Iron bars	51	Nil

(1) is said to be a clerical error.

(2) due to incorrect Tenday reports. In the tenday report for period 21st to 28th February 1889, 77000 bricks were shewn as issued, while the bricks actually issued number only 19000. The necessary correction should be made in the next accounts.

(3 and 4) Purchased in Divisional Office and charged to the work. Sub Divisional Officer has since acknowledged by special letter.

13. In the work abstract for July 1888 there is a charge of Rs 121-3-9 under contingencies. On analysing this it was found that an item of Rs 50/- representing cost of sheds for

workmen has been charged to this item. It is thought that such items should be shown separately and that although possibly met from savings under contingencies, they should not be allocated under contingencies. There is already a separate item "Constructing sheds" in the accounts of this work.

14. Maintenance of Aska Surada Road — Estimate Rs 400/-

In the case of this minor work, the work abstracts do not shew the quantity of work done by items of Estimate as required by code 1760 V.

15. The above remark applies also to

(1) Constructing Godowns and stables at Doegam Estimate Rs 380/-

(2) Constructing quarters for the Division office Establishment at Doegam Estimate Rs 2400/-

16. Improvements to the Division office building at Doegam Estimate Rs 265/-

The work abstract for this work for March 1889 shews a balance of Rs 9-10-7 representing value of materials at site such as Building stones, Chain, Screws &c whereas the Overseer

in

in charge of the work does not acknowledge the materials in his statement of actual balances of materials at site at end of March. 281 On enquiring it was found that the materials have actually been used up on the work. The necessary adjustment is promised in the next accounts.

17. Gumsur Anicut Estimate Rs 22,560.

In detailing the arrears due in part III of work abstract for this work a sum of Rs 52-9-0 is shewn as arrears of the current month as per portion of tenday report for period from 21st to 31st March 1889. But this figure could not easily be verified as Muster Rolls and tenday reports are not for the same periods. The tenday reports are for the period from 21st to 31st March 1889 (2 separate gangs) but there is only one Muster Roll for these two tenday reports and the muster roll is for a portion of the period only i.e. 21st to 26. March 1889.

18. As regards materials at site balances there are the following discrepancies:— 4

Description of materials	As per part IV of work abstract	As per statement of actual balances.
(1) Wellcurbs	53	36.
(2) Bricks	159792	128,792

(1) Difference could not be explained.

(2) Discrepancy due to non-adjustment of value of 3100 bricks transferred from this to the Head sluice, Gumsur Anicut as per ten day report for 21st to 31st March 1889

19 Janamally Anicut Estimate Rs 21,040

There is a trifling sum of annas twelve due to one Sarathy outstanding in work abstract as arrears from April 1888. As it appears there is no likelihood of its early payment the same may be credited to Revenue. On comparing part IV of work abstract for this work for March 1889 with the statement of actual balances submitted by subordinate 7 tons of cement were found not acknowledged by Sub Divisional Officer. The cement in question was purchased by the Executive Engineer and charged to this work but the cement itself was not sent to the site of this work but was sent to the Russelkonda Reservoir Dam where it was urgently required. The necessary adjustment of its value will be made in the next accounts.

20 No 1 Under tunnel, Rushikulya Canal Estimate Rs 6790

As per part IV of work abstract for this work there should be among other materials 224 5/6 cft of cut stone 788 valued at Rs 123-12-5 but this does not appear in the statement of actual balances submitted by the subordinate concerned. It appears that 165 cft of cut stone was transferred to No 8 under tunnel Rushikulya Canal some time ago without the necessary adjustment of its value. It was stated that after further enquiry from the subordinate concerned the necessary adjustment will be made. As regards the difference it was stated that the Overseer will be addressed.

21 No 3 Bridge, Rushikulya Canal Estimate Rs 6150/-

As per part IV of work abstract for this work for March 1889 there should be 412 c yds of metalling and 92 cyds of gravel while as per statement of actual balances submitted by the subordinate in charge of the work there are only

412
177
5

123 cyds of metalling and 18 cyds of gravel. the difference is due to the non-adjustment of 279 cyds of metalling and 74 cyds of gravel

shewn

shewn as issued in the tenday report for the period from 21st to 31st January 1889

22 Excavation of Rushikulya Canal Estimate Rs 3,86,300

According to the tenday report for 1st to 10th January 1889 the value of work done is Rs 3-11-3. But the payment as per Muster Roll for the same period is for Rs 4-15-0. Hence there is an overpayment of Rs 1-3-9. If the payment is for the correct amount the tenday report should be corrected and value of work done increased. This should be done in next accounts.

23 As regards the materials at site balances the following differences were found between the balance shewn in part IV and that shewn in the statement submitted by the subordinate concerned.

Description of materials		As per part IV of work abstract.	As per Subordinate statement.
(1) Boundary Stones		341	.
(2) Gravel	c yds	173	.

(1) The discrepancy is now under discussion with the Sub Divisional Officer.

(2) Issued to work, sub head "Diversion Road" as

as per tenday report 21st to 31st March 1889 but not adjusted. It was promised that the adjustment will be made in next 2881 accounts.

24 Excavation of Mahanadi Canal Estimate Rs 123,360

The arrears as per Register of works for this work is Rs 208-11-1 whereas according to the work abstract and piece workers account it amounts to Rs 208-9-7. The difference of one anna and six pies is due to an incorrect figure being booked in the work abstract, the figure should be Rs 99-7-5 as per voucher No 63 of February 1889 whereas the figure booked in work abstract is Rs 99-5-11. It was said that a reference has been made to the Sub Divisional Officer Russelkonda, and on receipt of his reply the necessary correction will be made.

25. Service label accounts are in order but a total of labels used each day is not made and balance struck. The account is not examined and initialled by the Head Clerk as required by note 3 on Form No IV 216 A.

26 The schedule of Rates is dated 1888-89. Certain

items

items in the schedule were compared with those of the lately sanctioned Estimate and found to agree.

27. Since the separation of this Division in March 1888 only two measurement books have been received in the Executive Engineer's Office. The measurements of Russelkonda Reservoir Dam as per Measurement Lists were compared with those in Books and found to agree.

28. Famine coolies have been employed by the Division the loss by employing them i e the expenditure over and above the normal cost of work done has been debited to a separate sub head 'Unskilled labor'. Under the orders of the Superintending Engineer this practice was lately put a stop to. But now it has been resumed in order to give work to all coolies (ordinary and famine) until the Collector can open out famine relief works by Civil Agency.

29. The consolidated return of Tools and Plant for half year ending September 1888 were compared with the several Sub Divisional returns and found to agree. The Return for the current half year has been opened out but not posted up to date. Two Derrick cranes purchased in February 1888 at a cost of [illegible]

	Rs
Derrick cranes	6,262
Freight &c including landing charges	1652
	7914

have never been used and are not now wanted for the payment project.

30. Also a pile driving engine which including freight &c cost in February 1886 Rs 3649 - 2 - 3 is not of any use on this Project.

31. In the case of the service book of Sub Overseer G. Lutchmiah Naidu the attestation was by an Upper subordinate. The ~~attention~~ attestation should be by the Executive Engineer himself.

32. A service book has not been but should be opened for lascar Balliah who was appointed permanently in February 1889. The service book has not been opened pending the production of the Health Certificate by the lascar but under section 106, rule 2 health Certificate is not necessary for appointments to an inferior grade.

33. The travelling allowance journals of Sub

Sub Overseer G Lutchmiah Naidu for April, May and October 1888 were not produced having been recorded in the Superintending Engineer's office. Otherwise the claims to travelling allowance of Lower Subordinates, Office and petty establishments from April to November 1888 inclusive were audited and found correct.

Madras
29th May 1889 } (Sd) H Ramies
Inspecting Officer

28 AUG

No. 888 dated 24th June 1889

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government P.W. Department for the information and orders of Government with the accompanying summary and remarks.

2. Except as regards discrepancies under "Materials at site of works" the report seems satisfactory.

Rushikulya Division Summary of Inspection Notes dated 29th May 1889

2881

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
1	5	Irregular payment of salaries before despatch of salary bill to Examiner for audit	473 (2)	The Executive Engineer should explain these breaches of code rules and report what measures have been taken to ensure their being observed in future.
2	6	Payment of an annual increment prior to audit and	473 (4)	
3	7	Payment by Executive Engineer to himself of first charge for salary after transfer to Division before audit	473 (7)	
4	8	Discrepancy in account shewn as paid in certificate at foot of Nominal Muster Roll and as shewn in the Roll itself and in cash book and work abstract		This is apparently an isolated case and probably a clerical error.
5	9	Arrears due on one Nominal Muster Roll not brought on to the Roll for the next period but on to	Form M 4 Note 8.	Arrears of any muster roll should be carried forward in each subsequent roll until paid so that the last muster roll may always show all arrears up

8

Item No.	Pars. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
		Roll for the period in which the arrears are paid.		up to date. The practice brought to notice is not only incorrect but it must apparently necessitate the keeping of additional copies of Nominal Muster Rolls which is undesirable. The Executive Engineer should report measures taken to ensure the proper procedure being followed in future.
6	10	Overpayment of two annas on a Nominal Muster Roll		This appears to be an isolated case and the amount is trifling.
7	10	Failure of paying officer to enter his initials against the name of each individual paid in Nominal Muster Roll.	Form M 4 Note 6.	The attention of the paying officers should be drawn to note 6 on Form M 4 and they should be required in future to enter their initials opposite the name of each labourer paid in accordance with the rule. In the modified form of Muster Roll recently submitted for approval the separate initialling of each item is avoided.
8	11	Value of two Mortar Mills transferred from Tools and Plant erroneously shewn as arrears due on a work.		The required adjustment should be made and the Executive Engineer should report when whether this has been done.

Item No.	Pars. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
9	12 16 18 19 20 21 23	Discrepancies in the account of materials at site of 13 works.		The discrepancies should be rectified and the Executive Engineer should report accordingly.
10	13	Cost of sheds for workmen wrongly charged to contingencies of a certain work.	936.	This outlay should have been charged to a separate subhead "Temporary sheds for workmen" and not to "Contingencies".
11	14 15	Failure to show in work abstracts the quantities of work done in the case of works estimate to cost from Rs 200/- up to Rs 2500/-	1760 V	The Executive Engineer should explain why the rule was not observed in the cases noticed. Completion reports cannot be prepared unless progress is shown in work abstracts.
12	17	Ten day reports not prepared to the same period as Nominal Muster Roll.	1752	The ten-day report of labour which gives the distribution of labour charged for in the muster roll should invariably be for the same period as the Nominal Muster Roll and the two documents should be compared to see that they agree, and discrepancies should be investigated at once. The Executive Engineer should report [illegible] taken to ensure the rule [illegible]

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
13	19	Delay in payment of arrears due for labour	1750 Note	The arrears should be credited to Revenue – unclaimed wages of labourers lapse after six months
14	22	Disagreement between a ten day report and the Muster Roll for the same period	1752	The discrepancy should be looked into and rectified and the Executive Engineer should report accordingly.
15	24	Slight discrepancy in the amount shewn as arrears due on a certain work		The necessary correction should be made and the Executive Engineer should report accordingly.
16	25	Service labels account not balanced daily and failure of Head clerk to examine and initial the account	899 Form M.26 A Note 3	This should be attended to and the ~~accountant~~ account should be checked and initialled by the Head clerk.
16 a		Failure to open out famine account for Famine relief works	Famine Code Chapter IV para 138/139	The Executive Engineer should adopt the procedure laid down in Famine code chapter IV para 138/139 regarding accounting for famine relief works. He has already been instructed separately.

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
17	29	Return of Tools and Plant ~~and~~ not is posted up to date.		The Executive Engineer should see that the return is brought up to date and report accordingly.
18	29 30	Certain machinery on the returns which are not required for the Division.	1720 Already answered	Superintending Engineer's orders should be obtained regarding the Surplus machinery. The expenditure of something like Rs 12000 on plant alleged to be useless, seems to require some explanation.
19	31	A service book irregularly attested by an Upper Subordinate instead of by the Executive Engineer himself.	864 Since attested by E.E.	The service book should be attested by the Executive Engineer himself the Head of office in a P.W. Division. The Executive Engineer should report when the book is attested by himself.
20	32	Failure to open a service book	864 Opened	The service book should be opened out and the Executive Engineer should report accordingly.

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
13	19	Delay in payment of arrears due for labour	1750 Note	The arrears should be credited to Revenue-unclaimed wages of labourers lapse after six months
14	22	Disagreement between a ten-day report and the Muster Roll for the same period	1752	The discrepancy should be looked into and rectified and the Executive Engineer should report accordingly.
15	24	Slight discrepancy in the amount shewn as arrears due on a certain work		The necessary correction should be made and the Executive Engineer should report accordingly.
16	25	Service labels account not balanced daily and failure of Head clerk to examine and initial the account	899 Form III.26 A Note 3	This should be attended to and the accountant account should be checked and initialled by the Head clerk.
16 a		Failure to open out famine account for Famine relief works.	Famine Code Chapter IV para 138/139	The Executive Engineer should adopt the procedure laid down in Famine code chapter IV para 138/139 regarding accounting for famine relief works. He has already been instructed separa-

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
17	29	Return of Tools and Plant ~~and~~ not is posted up to date.		The Executive Engineer should see that the return is brought up to date, and report accordingly.
18	29 30.	Certain machinery on the returns which are not required for the Division	1720 Already obtained	Superintending Engineer's orders should be obtained regarding the Surplus machinery. The expenditure of something like Rs 12000 on plant alleged to be useless, seems to require some explanation.
19	31	A service book irregularly attested by an Upper Subordinate instead of by the Executive Engineer himself.	864 Since attested by E.E.	The service book should be attested by the Executive Engineer himself the Head of office in a P.W. Division. The Executive Engineer should report when the book is attested by himself.
20	32	Failure to open a service book	864 Opened	The service book should be opened out and the Executive Engineer should report accordingly.

10

ENCLOSURE
28 AUG

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
21	33	Journals of a Sub Overseer filed in Superintending Engineer's Office	531 564	The journals of lower subordinates should be filed in the Executive Engineer's office, and should have been available for test audit.

Madras
24 June 1889

Major R.E.
Examiner of P. W. Accounts, Madras.

CH
23/6

R 24/6

2881

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.

11

2/538 Jw/89

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.

ENCLOSURE
28 AUG
25

EXAMINER
No.
28 AUG 1889
ENCLOSURES
P.W. ACCOUNTS.

538 Gjw
15/8/89

RUSHIKULYA DIVISION OFFICE, DOEGAM,

No. 362 SS

Camp 10 August 1889.

From W. C. de Morgan Esquire.
The Executive Engineer,
Rushikulya Division.

To
The Superintending Engineer,
First Circle,
Dowlaishweram.

Enclosures.

Enclosures.
1 Deputy Examiner's Report
1 Examiner's Summary.
1 G. O. No. 1778 W of 5th July/89.
3

Sir,

Referring to your endorsement No. 4262 of 13th July/89, on G. O. No. 1778 W. of 5th July/89 forwarding the inspection Report of the Deputy Examiner with Examiner's summary, I have the honor to report as follows:—

Item No. 1. In paying the salaries, the instructions contained in rule 2 of para 473, have been carefully observed throughout the year, but the salary bills of the months noticed by the Examiner, were not despatched on the date on which the cheques were drawn, as the fair copies of the bills were not ready.

Item No. 2. The 1st annual increment

increment of Burra Surya narayana Pantulu, was claimed by this office in the bill for December 1888, and it was not received back duly audited till the early part of February 89. In paying the salary for January 89 to the clerk, the arrears of annual increment due to him were erroneously included in his salary.

Item No. 3 At the time of joining the Rushikulya division, there was not sufficient time to procure the Superintending Engineer's sanction to an advance of pay; and being in want of funds, I drew the balance of my pay from 25 to 30 April in anticipation of audit.

I may mention here that from Rule 1 of para 473 of the P.W. Code, it appears that the Executive Engineer can disburse salary to any member of his Division on his own responsibility. This is one of the nine conditions mentioned in that para, and

I

I therefore think that the Executive Engineer be allowed to disburse the salary in advance of audit, if he has full confidence that claim will be audited by the Examiner.

Item No. 4. This is a clerical error. Necessary corrections are made.

Item Nos. 5 to 12. In February and March 89 some famine coolies were engaged on Mahanadi and Rushikulya Canals and Russellkonda Reservoir Drain and the jemadars engaged on those gangs maintained the Nominal Muster Rolls very in an incomplete state. Necessary instructions were issued to Sub Dn. offices to maintain the Nominal Muster Rolls and Sunday reports in proper order.

13

Item No. 7. The error has since been justified and the excess payment shown in the accounts has been

adjusted

adjustment [illegible]

Item No. 8. The two [illegible] mills were purchased for the use of [illegible] [illegible] and the cost thereof was adjusted in the accounts of April [illegible]

Item No. 9. The discrepancies in the materials at site account of the works noted in the Deputy Examiner's report have been subsequently rectified and adjusted.

Item No 10. A separate subhead "Constructing shed" was opened in the Register of works, but the outlay charged to Contingencies prior to the opening of this head, has not been removed to the former through oversight. Necessary adjustments have since been made.

Item No 11. In the monthly reports of these works, the quantity of work done was not shown regularly and correctly by the subordinate in charge of the work. Hence these quantities were not taken into the accounts. Steps have been taken to note the quantity of work done in future monthly abstracts [illegible]

Item No 13. [illegible]

Item No 14. [illegible] muster rolls were prepared for one building separately and accordingly the totals of the monthly reports and nominal muster rolls differ. The Sub-divisional Officer has been instructed to discontinue this practice in future.

Item No 15. This has since been adjusted.

Item No 16. The irregularities herein contained are being attended to.

Item No 16[illegible] A reference has been made to the [illegible] on this subject. Vide this office No. 26[illegible] dated 27 of July 18[illegible]

Item No [illegible] The discrepancy pointed out in his report has been completely filled up.

Item No 18. A reference has been made to the Superintending Engineer on the subject. Please see [illegible] [illegible] D. [illegible] dated 19th June for [illegible] [illegible]

Item No. 19. The Subordinate was on sick leave, and the entries could not therefore be attested by the Executive Engineer at that time. Attestation has since been made.

Item No. 20. The lower Subordinate was appointed on probation, and the then Executive Engineer thought it necessary to get a health certificate from the Surgeon with regard to his physical fitness [illegible]. He was subsequently confirmed in his appointment and a service book has lately been opened.

Item No. 21. These journals were sent to Superintending Engineer with the Travelling allowance bills. A telegram was sent in time to the Superintending Engineer to return the journals, but they were not received in this office until after the inspection was over.

Item (18) The Chief Engineer has been addressed in this office letter No 411 G.N. d/26-6-89 on the subject

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servt.
W de Morgan
Executive Engineer

28th
10/8/89
8G/C

No 688 G.C.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer Public Works Department for information together with enclosures with reference to G.O. No. 1778 W d/5th July /89

D. W. Campbell Major R.E.
Supg Engineer 1st Circle

17/8/89
C.V.

17 August 1889
Sep 19th

13

Enclos 2

AUG
P. W. ACCOUNTS

EXAMINER
No. [illegible]
28 AUG 1889
ENCLOSURES
P. W. ACCOUNTS

No. 3839 C d/27th Augt 1889

To
C

For perusal, and favour of remarks, if considered necessary.

Mcr
27.8.89

D. W.

No. 1450 dd 10th October 1889

To W.

I beg to return herewith with remarks, explanations from the Supg. Engineer Ist. Circle and the Executive Engineer in regard to certain points noticed in the last inspection report of the Rushikulya Division received with your No. 3839 C. dated 27th. August 1889.

2. Items 1 to 3 of summary. The Executive Engineer might be informed that the payments in question are clearly opposed to code rules and that the rules do not give him any such latitude as he seems to think. Code para 473(I) simply gives the Executive Engineer authority to withhold payments if he likes, in the particular cases in which he is allowed to pay before audit. Clauses (2) et seq of code para 473 distinctly prohibit payments before audit in certain specified cases, including cases of the kind now in question.

2881

3. Item 13 of summary. The Executive Engineer might be asked to report more fully whether the arrears referred to have since been paid or credited to revenue.

4. Other items require no further remarks.

10

C.

10/10.

10/10

Enc. 2

C No 1309

FROM,

Major D. Mitchell [illegible]

~~THE~~ SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DATED 17th
DESPATCHED 19th } August 1889
RECEIVED

NO. 688 [illegible]

ENCLOSURES. 2

SUBJECT.

Inspection Notes by the Dy. Examiner P. W. Accounts of ~~the~~ the Rushikulya Dn. Office –

Submits the – with Dn. officers replies to the items in the Summary –

No. 2881 W of October 14, 1889

2

The Examiner's
note communicated to the
Supdg. Engr I Circle for the
Guidance of the
Executive Engr Rushikulya
Division with reference
to para 2 and in
view to the Sub-
-mission of the
report referred to
in para 3.

The Examiner's
note com. to the
S. E. I Circle for the
Guidance of the
E. E. Rushikulya
Division with refce
to para 2 and in
view to the sub-
mission of the
report referred to
in para 3.

12.10.89

Recd 12. 10. 89

3839 C / 27/8/89
4357 C / 30/9/89
1778 W / 5/7/89

Supdg. Engr I. Circle ✓
S. E. I. C.
Examiner O. W. Accts ✓
Exr. P. W. A.

Despd.
14-X-89

3/18/10. 17/10

W No 9560

Recd 11. 10. 89

E. 3

No. 2881 W of October 14, 18

17

Write Order