(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 4

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 2/90

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

106

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2718	பலவகை

நாள் : 27 — 4 — 1889 / 198 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

Two Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

2718

D

No. 764 DE.

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1889. { P. W. DEPT.

SECRETARY.



Ootacamund, 26th August 1889.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W.

To

C.

+ Sent to W.S. on 30-8-89

In connection with the case disposed of in G.O. 2391 dated 29th August 1889 the Honorable Mr Stokes notes that para 14 of the "Conditions of a Schedule contract" (Form no. W 75 W) should be amended - provision being made for forfeiture of security on mere breach of contract irrespective of loss to Govt. This will probably be attained by the omission of the last clause of para 14. Please let me know whether this is one of the points taken up by you in your revision of the Contract forms?

Y

If not it should be noted.

The form is probably one of those laid down by the Govt. of India, and adopted in Madras.

The contract which has given rise to this reference is stamped with a Court fee stamp which is irregular. Could not a note be made on the revised form which would prevent a mistake of this kind?

Rue 24
W. 26-8

R.S.

No 1362 Dy 19th Sept 1889.

To W.

I have long ago noted that in the revision of the contract forms the circumstances under which security is to be forfeited should be distinctly laid down, and that it would be necessary to decide whether it should be forfeitable or not irrespective of actual loss. This is a point which will soon come up for detailed settlement.

2. As regards the stamp, if these instruments are not exempted from stamp duty, proper notes will certainly

2718

be inserted in the revised forms. I have already pointed out the necessity of this in my printed note on contract form. At present, I really do not know whether such instruments are exempt or not, see my office note No.915 dated 19th. June 1889.

C.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT. P.W. DEPARTMENT, MADRAS. 20 [illegible] 89