(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 4

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் டிசம்பர் 1990

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

104

P.W.D துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2562/W	பல்வகை

நாள் : 14-9-1889 198 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

2. Shoals

ஆவண, கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

2562

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

Defences—Inland.

Read the following papers:—

No. . *From Brigadier-General M. PROTHEROE, C.B., C.S.I., Quarter Master General, Madras Army, to Brigadier-General A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Secretary to Government, Military Department; dated Ootacamund, 27th May 1889, No. 1446-B.*

With reference to para. 3 of this office letter No. 1648-B of 21st May 1888, embodied in Proceedings of Government No. 3671, of 2nd June last, I am desired to forward the plans and estimates as per margin, for the construction of parados for screening the interior of the Agram entrenchment from fire, from commanding ground outside this work.

	Rs.
1. Plan and estimate as proposed by the Executive Engineer	1,630
2. Plan and estimate as proposed by the Officer Commanding Bangalore ...	2,080
3. Plan and estimate as proposed by Colonel Auchinleck, Royal Horse Artillery	2,270

2. His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief is inclined to think that the proposals of Colonel Auchinleck, Royal Horse Artillery (marked 3), are best suited to the object in view, but desires that the schemes be submitted to the Government of India for the consideration of the Defence Committee.

No. . *To the Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department; dated 3rd June 1889, No. 3590.*

No. . *From Brigadier-General E. COLLEN, C.B., Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department, to Brigdr.-Genl. A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Secretary to the Government of Madras, Military Department; dated Simla, the 14th August 1889, No. 1622-MW.*

Defences—Inland.

With reference to your letter No. 3590, dated 3rd June 1889, I am directed to forward herewith, for the information of His Excellency the Governor in Council, copy of a letter* from the Secretary to the Defence Committee submitting the views of the Defence Committee relative to the construction of a parados for screening the interior of the Agram entrenchment from fire from commanding ground outside the work, and to state that the Government of India concur in the opinion of the Defence Committee.

2. The enclosures of your letter are returned.

* No. 267, dated 8th August 1889.

From Lieutenant-Colonel W. G. NICHOLSON, Secretary, Defence Committee, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department; dated Simla, 8th August 1889, No. 267.

In returning the enclosures of your memorandum No. 1209-MW, dated the 17th June 1889, relative to the defilade of the Agram entrenchment, I am desired by His Excellency the President to communicate the views of the Defence Committee respecting the proposals put forward by the local Military authorities.

2. Three sets of designs and estimates have been prepared; the first, in accordance with the Executive Engineer's ideas, costing Rs. 1,630; the second, to meet the views of the Officer Commanding, costing Rs. 2,030; and the third, as proposed by Colonel Auchinleck, Royal Horse Artillery, costing Rs. 2,270.

The Commander-in-Chief of the Madras Army is disposed to recommend the adoption of the third scheme.

3. On reference to the three tracings, it is observed that the main point of difference is whether or not there should be an additional so-called parados east of the Hospital Sergeant's and Medical Subordinate's quarters, designated F G in Colonel Auchinleck's scheme, and F in the Commanding Officer's scheme. The lines of fire, defilade from which is intended, being indicated on the tracings by dotted red lines, it seems to the Defence Committee that F G and F are not parados at all, but apparently inoperative traverses in prolongation of the probable line of fire. There may, of course, be some reason why such traverses would be advantageous, but no reason is specified; and, as a rule, a parados should be as nearly as possible at right angles to the line of fire, so as to take up the least possible amount of interior space.

4. The Defence Committee therefore are inclined to prefer the Executive Engineer's scheme, to which the loopholed masonry wall at H, as proposed by Colonel Auchinleck, would, in their opinion, be a suitable addition. Assuming that the defilade of the interior of this work is being dealt with according to the recognized principles of military engineering, the Committee consider that, if further protection from reverse fire is required at or about F G, it should take the form of a traverse or parados parallel, or nearly parallel, to the main parados A B.

No. ORDER THEREON, 6 September 1889, No. 6129

The foregoing correspondence from the Government of India communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief.

(True Extract.)

A Kenney Herbert
Brigdr.-Genl.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Quarter Master General, with plans and estimates.
" Public Works Department, for information.

Exd. J. Henricus.

2562

2

P.W.C. No. 8473

Govt. of {1889} Madras.

MILITARY.

To

THE QUARTER MASTER GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

S.E.C.

Dated September,

Issued

Recd. 11.9.89 Encl.

G.O., 6th September 1889, No. 6129

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
6 SEP. 89
P.W. DEPARTMENT, MADRAS.

B/

P.W. 2562 W 2/14. Sept. 1889

Communicated to the Supdtg. Engineer V Circle.

Recd 8.9.89

S. E. V. Circle D 14/9

R.S.

SEPTEMBER.
Nos.

Defences—Inland.

Agram entrenchment.—Correspondence containing the views of the Defence Committee relative to the construction of a parados for screening the interior of the — from fire from commanding ground outside the work, communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief.

(2)

2 Copies to Govt by Agl Sept

Fair Copy

with order