(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 84 Pages

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 18.7.90

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் los

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2527W

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 11 — 9 — 1889 198

ண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

42 Sheets

32+10 [illegible]

006—15-6-87.

2527

11th September 1889, No. 2527 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following paper :—

From J. W. BRASSINGTON, Esq., Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepâk, 29th August 1889, No. 783.

I have the honor to submit correspondence (to be kindly returned) which has passed between the President, Madras Municipal Commission, and this office anent a claim on Government on account of the diversion of a drain near the General Post and Telegraph office.

2. According to the estimate originally furnished by the Municipality, Government were liable for Rs. 2,844, which amount was sanctioned in G.O., No. 2264 W., dated 14th September 1881, and duly disbursed to the Municipality in March 1882.

3. The Municipality now submit a claim of Rs. 769 and explain that the excess was owing to the increase in the diameter of a portion of the drain diversion from 30 to 39 inches, also to certain additional works found necessary during the carrying out of the work in consequence of its proximity to the Post and Telegraph office.

4. I may mention that, in forwarding the original estimate of the work to this office in August 1881, the Vice-President then stated that "it must be considered as approximate to some slight extent."

5. As far as I am able to judge at present, I consider the claim a reasonable one.

6. I enclose a tracing of the drain diversion, and solicit the orders of Government in the matter.

Enclosures.

From Lieutenant-Colonel G. M. J. MOORE, President, Municipal Commission, to the Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras, dated Madras, 15th August 1889, W.P. No. 547.

I have the honor to state that through the site now occupied by the Post and Telegraph office on the beach passed a sewer usually called sewer No. 10. In the drainage scheme of Mr. Jones it was proposed to make certain alterations to this sewer and to construct a new sewer No. 10-A to the south of the Post office in Mucur Nullamuthu Chetty street. The cost of these works were Rs. 1,945 and Rs. 910, respectively, or Rs. 2,855 in all. At the request of the Consulting Architect to Government made in 1881, who was then to build the Post and Telegraph office, the sewer No. 10 was proposed to be diverted to the west of the Post office to join 10-A, which had to be slightly larger than intended by the Municipality in the scheme. The estimated cost of the diversion of No. 10 and the construction of No. 10-A, as altered, was Rs. 5,699. Credit was, however, given in the estimate for Rs. 2,855, referred to above, and the balance was sanctioned in G.O., No. 2264 W., dated 14th September 1881, Public Works, and placed at the disposal of the Commissioners on 23rd March 1882. From the statement enclosed it will be observed that the revised estimate costs Rs. 759-3-6 and the work as actually completed Rs. 769-0-4 over the original estimate. The increase is mainly due to an increased actual length of 39-inch drain over the estimated length of 43 feet costing Rs. 232-1-9, an increase in concrete of 30-inch drain costing Rs. 327-15-11, cesspool and junction of harbour sewer costing Rs. 371-14-1, and sewage inlet from surface drain costing Rs. 121-2-7, in spite of savings in 24-inch drain, metalling road and baling water. I request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of His Excellency the Governor in Council to the disbursement to the Commissioners of the excess expenditure of Rs. 769-0-4 met from the Municipal fund in 1882.

2. I regret that there has been considerable delay in the submission of this claim. The excess expenditure was not altogether unexpected as appears from Vice-President's letter to the Consulting Architect dated 12th August 1881.

Copy of a communication from the Consulting Architect to Government, to the President, Municipal Commission, Madras, dated 20th August 1889, No. 744.

Before forwarding your letter, No. 547, dated the 15th August 1889, for the orders of Government, I have the honor to request that you will kindly explain why Government should be liable for the excess expenditure of Rs. 769 when it was due to extra length required for the 39-inch drain (drain 10-A) while the savings effected were on the 24-inch drain which formed part of the diversion of drain No. 10 to join No. 10-A. The cesspool and harbour junction sewer would, I presume, have been necessary even if drain No. 10 had not been diverted.

2. I note that the drain 10-A was made larger than necessary in consequence of the diversion, but I cannot see why Government should be liable for the whole amount of excess.

From Lieutenant-Colonel G. M. J. MOORE, President, Municipal Commission, to the Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras, dated Madras, 26th August 1889, P.W. No. 588.

With reference to your letter, No. 744, dated the 20th instant, I have the honor to inform you that the increase in the length of the 39-inch drain is not due to any increase of length in drain No. 10-A. In drain No. 10, you will observe, there is a decrease in the length of 24-inch drain and the size of a corresponding length of No. 10 close to its junction with No. 10-A was increased to 39 inch instead of 30-inch. This alteration was found necessary during the progress of the work. The total length of the drains, as completed, is very nearly equal to the length originally estimated for. The increase is therefore due to the above-mentioned alteration in the size of drain No. 10.

2. With reference to your second question, I have the honor to state the excess of Rs. 174-2-1 in cesspool and overflow was caused by certain works which would not have been necessary had it not been for the Post and Telegraph offices then under erection. These additional works, such as a flap-door, wing walls and apron to the cesspool and overflow, were done with the object of mitigating the nuisance of the cesspool and overflow which is close to the buildings. The amount is, I think, therefore fairly chargeable to Government.

ORDER—dated 11th September 1889, No. 2527 W., Public Works.

The Government admits the claim of the Madras Municipality and directs the Consulting Architect to disburse it on funds being made available.

2. The former payment of Rs. 2,844, referred to in para. 2 of the Consulting Architect's letter, was debited to the Post office, and the present claim will come under the same head. The Director-General of Post Offices will therefore be requested to make an allotment of Rs. 769 from the grant at his disposal for minor works.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Consulting Architect to Government, with two enclosures.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Local and Municipal Department.
" Director-General of Post Offices.
" Postmaster-General, Madras.

Exd. P. L. Pereira.
Spare Copies

2527

2

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1889} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated September, 11th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 11th Sept. 1889, No. 2527 W.

SEPTEMBER.

Municipal Drain near the General Post and Telegraph Office, Madras

Orders on letter from the Consulting Architect to Government regarding a claim on Government by the Madras Municipality for the cost of diverting a —.

2527

No. 1507 dated 24th June 1881. P. W. Depart.

Circular Memo.

The Chief Engineer has the honor to request that Superintending Engineers will refrain from sanctioning separate estimates for component parts of the same work. In case any additional or extra work is required to be executed in connection with an incomplete work or repair, the estimate for the additional or extra work should not be treated as a separate estimate but as supplemental to the original one.

When the estimated provision for a work or repair is insufficient, Executive Engineers should be instructed not to close the accounts of the original estimate, send in a completion certificate and carry out the work on a separate estimate, but that in all such cases a revised estimate should be prepared and sanctioned.

(By order)

C. S. [illegible] Captain R.E.
Ag: Dy: Chief Engineer P.W.D.

To

Supdg. Engineers
Supts. of Works.
Consg. Architect.
Examiner P.W. accounts.

3

ROADS AND BUILDINGS.

2529

No. 355

CONSULTING ARCHITECT'S OFFICE,
Chepauk, 3 Augt 1881

From
THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT TO GOVERNMENT,

To
THE CHIEF ENGINEER, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
BUILDINGS AND ROADS BRANCH.

SIR,

Enclosures.
1 Tracing

I have the honor to report, that, on a large part of the Town Drain that runs on the North side of the New Post Office being uncovered the other day, it was found so oblique to the proposed wall, and so wide, that it could not be covered by an arch as at first intended.

2 In order to make this clear, I enclose a tracing showing the position of the drain (which has two barrels side by side) with reference to the Post Office and the Stationery Office adjoining.

3 I wrote at once to the Municipality and stated both for constructive reasons it was imperative, and on Sanitary grounds highly desirable, that the drain should be diverted without loss of time, as it will delay the North end of the Building as long as it cannot be dismantled.

4 The Municipal Vice President replied

on the 1st instant as follows.

"In reply to your No. 343 to the President "I have the honor to inform you that I agree "with you in considering it advisable for the "sewer to be moved to the north of the building "between it and the Stamp Office. The cost of "such alteration will of course fall to be "defrayed by your department and on "hearing from you again I will be glad "to submit plan and Estimates for the work"

5 He has been asked to supply the Plan and Estimate as early as possible.

6 The drain is 16' × 5' at least in exterior Section, which will make it cost say Rs. 12 per foot, the length under the building is 162 feet, and half as much again must be allowed for increased lengths due to diversion and other difficulties, or say 250 feet in all. The probable cost will therefore not be under rupees 3,000.

7 To save time in reference I would request to be permitted to give provisional sanction to the Municipal Estimate when received if not exceeding rupees 3,500 so as to allow the diversion to be begun and obviate delay to the work.

I have the honor to be
sir
your most obedt servant.

A.I. Fraser Major R.E.
Offg Consg Archt to Govt

P. W. C. No. 4438

ROADS AND BUILDINGS.

From
The Consulting Architect
to Government,

To
The Chief Engineer, P. W. Department,
Buildings and Roads Branch

No. 355

Dated 3rd August 1881
Received 4th

No. of Enclosures. 1

Municipal Drain crossing the north side of the New Post Office.

[illegible] Fraser

The Hon'ble the Governor

~~The proposals in para 7 of the foregoing letter is approved~~

D 5/8

8/8/81

But I shd like to know how the diversion is to be effected – There does not appear to be any thing like suff't space for the drain on the present scale – "between the new P.O. & the Stamp office"

D. W. Please have this ~~seen~~ to at once.

Sent back 18/8/81 13.8.81
5.8.81 C.A.S. 6/8 10.8.81 cir 8/8

ROADS AND BUILDINGS.

2527

No. 377

Consulting Architect's Office,
Chepauk, 12th Augt 1881

From
The CONSULTING ARCHITECT to GOVERNMENT,

To
The CHIEF ENGINEER, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
BUILDINGS and ROADS BRANCH.

Sir,

Enclosures.

With reference to this Office No. 355 of the 3rd August 1881 in which I asked for power to sanction a Municipal Estimate for diverting a Sewer at the new Post Office, I have the honor to state, that, as it will be some little time before the estimate is ready, sanction can be obtained as usual.

2 The Municipal Engineer has lent me some pipes, and agreed to my carrying the drainage across temporarily, so that the Sewer can be dismantled and the foundations laid without waiting for the permanent diversion to be sanctioned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. servt.

A. T. Fraser Major R.E.

Offg Consg Archt to Govt.

P.W.C. No 4567

ROADS AND BUILDINGS.

From

The Consulting Architect
to Government,

To

The Chief Engineer, P. W. Department,
Buildings and Roads Branch.

No. 377

Dated 12th August 1881

Received 13

No. of Enclosures.

Municipal drain at the New Post and Telegraph Office–

G.15.8.81

"Office Note" No. 378

I wrote yesterday to say that Mr. Jones had agreed to my laying 2 feet pipes just clear of the Post Office foundations as a temporary measure, he lends most of the pipes & I get a few 2½ ft. dias on loan from the P.W.D. Stores. On laying the pipes I demolish the Sewer where it is in the way.

Mr. Jones proposed to have a new tubular drain of much smaller capacity – 2 ft. dia and to connect it with the new Sewer he is going to carry out to South of the Telegraph Office – The 2 ft. tubular drain will be laid in the Street which runs at the back of the Post & Telegraph Office and the drain past the Stamp Office will be done away with.

This seems to me the best thing to do as there is very little space near the Stamp Office.

Mr. Jones said he will not be in a position to give me the Estimate for the tubular drain – some 360 ft. long for some little time nor for want of bricks can it be carried out at once; but the pipes can be laid at a trifling expense & will do for the present.

The Estimate for the permanent drain will be sent for Sanction when received.

A. Fraser
Offg Consg Archt.

Aug. 13. 81

15.8.81

No. 748 N. 2577

GOVT. OF MADRAS. 1881. P. W. DEPT.

SECRETARY.

Chepauk, 13 August 1881

OFFICE NOTE.

To

Cons. Architect

Your letter No 355 of 3rd inst –

Please read the notes thereon and give the information asked for by the Hon. the Governor –

The line proposed to be taken up should be marked on the plan

8

1577

2527

The Hon'ble the Governor

For orders

With reference to the Hon'ble the Governor's marginal note of 10/8/81

The arrangements proposed by Major Fraser under date 13.8.81 are apparently judicious

17.8.81

Seen. I am satisfied

Issue

18.8.81

Cir 17/8 O.S.S. 17. Retd 18/8.

D. W. 2537

There appears to be no necessity now to issue the proposed order on Current No 4438.

In the later Current No (4567) Major Fraser says that sanction to the estimate may be obtained in the usual course.

Proposed to "record" the papers.

Cy
20/8/81.

Better issue order on both papers at once. VC

Recorded.

The Consulting Architects
proposals are approved" —

D 20/8

(need not circulate again)

№ 2090 W 2527 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 25 Augt 1881

Read the following :—

From the Consg. Archt. to Govt. H.S. No 355 d/ 3 August 1881.
——— do ——— " " 377 d/ 12 " " "

Recorded

2. The Consulting Architects proposals are approved. —

G1 D 24/8

To The Consg. Archt to Govt
with 1 enclo. ✓

Despd
25/8/81

11

B No 2090 W d 23-8-81

2577

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

14th September 1881, No. 2,264 W.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read the following paper :—

No. 100. From the Consulting Architect to Government, dated 30th August 1881, No. 414.

No. 101. ORDER THEREON, 14th September 1881, No. 2,264 W.

THE estimate, amounting to Rs. 2,844, for diverting the drainage from Moor street, Black Town, past the new Post and Telegraph Office to the main drain south of the building, is sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been entered as Imperial Other Services, No. 31 W. of 1881-82, in the register of this office, and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Consulting Architect to Government, with one enclosure.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts, with Estimate.
" Financial Department.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1881} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

The CONSULTING ARCHITECT,
to GOVERNMENT,
&c., &c., &

Dated September, 14th.

Issued

Encl.

Recd.

G. O., 14th *September* 1881, *No.* 2,264 W.

SEPTEMBER.
Nos. 100-101.

Diverting the drainage from Moor stre Black Town, past the new Post and Tel graph Office to the main drain south of t building.—Sanctions estimate for —.

P. W. DEPARTMENT—1881-82.

~~MEMORANDUM.~~

2877

Current No. 4943.

Letter Number 414.

dated the 30th August 1881.

from the ~~Supg. Engineer of~~ Consulting Architect to Government.

Note of Remarks.

The Plan & Estimate with report for diverting the drainage from Moor Street, Black town, past the New Post & Telegraph Office, to the main drain, South of the building, amounts to Rs. 2844.

The Plan & Estimate were prepared by the Municipal Engr. which includes the cost of diverting No 10 sewer along the West of the Post-Office site, to join sewer No 10. A.

The Rates Entered are Considered fair.

2d Sept. /81

6·3·9·81 7·11·9·81

C. No. 4,913 2527 P. W. Secretariat.

Note by Budget Section.

Work Remarks and References.

Diverting the drainage from Moor street, Black Town, past the new Post and Telegraph Office, to the main drain south of the building –

Fund Imperial Other Services

Service Head Civil Buildings ~~Rs.~~

Departmental Head Orig. Works –

Amount of estimate ... ... Rs 2844

Expenditure in previous year ... ... „ ..

Allotment in current year ... ... „ ..

Balance required to complete ... ... „ 2844

Total Rs... 2,844

Note of available funds.

The amount of the Estimate is to be met from the allotment for the new Telegraph and Post Office at Madras.

1/9/81

Budget Clerk.

Note by Budget Section.

2264W

2527

Estimate prepared by the Engineer and Vice President of the Madras Municipal Commission for diverting the drainage from Moor street Black Town, past the New Post and Telegraph Office, to the New Main drain south of that building.

Reference

Offg Consg. Architects Letter No. 355 D/ 3d Augt. 1881
Do Do. Do Do. 377 " 12 Do
G. O No. 2090 W dated 23d August 1881.

Report by the Off. Const. Archt.

It has been already explained to Govt. that the drain in the Stamp Office compound must be taken up. The Municipal Engineer has proposed to carry the drainage in a new 2 ft and 2½ ft drain A. B. C on plan, which Government pay for, lead it into the New Sewer C. D. which is made 3½ ft. diam., or larger in consequence, than if the old drain in the Telegraph Office compound had been retained.

A deduction is made for the original

proposed cost of the New South Main.

Sanction is requested to the Municipal Estimate of Rupees 2844. The laying of a temporary pipe will be debited to the Post and Telegraph Office Estimate.

A T Fraser
Major R.E.
Offg Comsg Archt to Govt.

No. 1020

257

Municipal Office
Public Works and Conservancy Deptt.
Madras 18th Augt. 1881

From

J. A. Jones Esqr.
Vice President, Municipal Commission

To

Major A. T. Fraser R. E.
Offg Comsg Architect to Governmt.

Your No. 351 dated 2d. August 1881.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith as requested by you plan and section for the diversion of the Sewer now passing through the site of the new Post Office and usually called No. 10 Sewer.

In the drainage scheme about to be carried out it was proposed to carry out a New Sewer No. 10 A. to the south of the Post Office in Moorenullamoothoo Chetty Street.

The plans and sections and Estimates now sent you include the cost of diverting No. 10 Sewer along the west of the Post Office site to join Sewer No. 10 A. and the cost of constructing No. 10 A. Sewer which has now to be (from No. 10 Sewer joining it) slightly larger than was intended by us.

I have however given you credit for (1)

the cost of the alterations which were proposed under the drainage scheme to Sewer No 10 and (2) the cost of constructing Sewer No 10A as was proposed by us; the two items being Rs 1945 and Rs 910 respectively or Rs 2855 in all.

By this means the amount of estimate falling to be paid by you is a comparatively small amount but it must be considered as approximate to some slight extent.

I have sent you the original plans and Estimates and I will be obliged if you will return them when according your sanctions

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt servt
signed J. A. Jones.
Vice President M. C.

True Copy

CWF.

ROADS AND BUILDINGS.

2877

No. 414

Consulting Architect's Office,
Chepauk, 30th Augt 1851

From
The CONSULTING ARCHITECT to GOVERNMENT,

To
The CHIEF ENGINEER, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
BUILDINGS and ROADS BRANCH.

Sir,

Enclosures.
1 Plan
1 Estimate

I have the honor to forward for sanction an Estimate sent me by the Municipal Engr. and Vice President Madras Municipal Commission amounting to rupees 2844 for an intercepting barrel drain to take the place of the Sewer I am now dismantling at the North end of the New Post and Telegraph Office.

2 Attached to it is a Copy of part of the Municipal Engineers plan explanatory of the Estimate.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedt servant
C W Fraser Major R.E.
Offg Consg Archt to Govt

17

B. No 2090 W. d/ 23. 8. 81.

P. W. C. No 1943

ROADS AND BUILDINGS.

From

The Consulting Architect
to Government,

To

The Chief Engineer, P. W. Department,
Buildings and Roads Branch

No. 414

Dated 30th August 1881

Received 31

No. of Enclosures. 2

Forwards plan & Estimate for Diversion of Drain at the Post and Telegraph Office.

3581 No. 2264 W

B R Clerk

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 14th Septr/81

Read the following:—

From the Consulting Architect to Govt. No. 414 d/ 30th August 1881.

The estimate amounting to Rs 2,844 for diverting the drainage from Moor Street Black Town past the New Post and Telegraph Office to the main drain south of the building is sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been entered as Imperial Other Services No. 31 W of 1881-82 in the register of this office and classified

19

[illegible]

classified as Civil
Buildings Original
Works.

CM

2/9

3/9/81

The Consy Archt. to forwd.
with ~~3~~ one enclosure ✓
" Exts P. W. Accts
with estimate ✓
" Civil Depts ✓
Rcd
23/9/81

C. 3.9.81 Sd. 2/9. Cir 6/9

2527

20

4943

Govt. of 1881 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

175

Dated

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G. O., 14 Septr 1881, No. 2264 W

Nos. 100 – 101

Diverting the drainage from Moor Street Black Town past the new Post & Telegraph Office to the main drain south of the building. —

Sanction estimate for —

Entd in Receipt Regr.

Division Architect 2577

COMPLETION CERTIFICATE.

Name of work Constructing Post office at Madras

Authority Govt. order P. W. D. No. 23 W d/ 6th January 1883.

Certified that the above-mentioned work was taken over on 28 April 1884 — and that it is considered satisfactory and suitable to the purposes for which it is intended.

Station Madras
Dated 30 September 1884.

Saml. [illegible]
Presidency Post master ~~Commanding or Departmental Officer.~~
of Madras

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER IX.—PARAS. 1173 AND 1173-A.

1. On the completion of a work executed for the Civil or Military Department, a Completion Certificate in P. W. Form No. M 27 b should be forwarded with the report, specification and drawings of the works as executed to the Civil or Military authority concerned for signature and return, when it will be attached to the Completion Report and submitted by the Executive Engineer as described in para. 1170.

2. The remarks which it is intended the departmental Officer for whom the work has been executed should make, are in respect of his satisfaction with the efficiency of the work and its agreement with the design as approved of by his department. The quantities and cost of the work and other particulars entered in the Completion Reports, are not points with which he is concerned. See also para. 1194.

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 27 b.

DIVISION Architect

NAME OF WORK Constructing, Coach hou
Stables, Latrines, for Post Off

Completion Certificate.

(To accompany the Completion Report for the above work.)

Forwarded to
for signature and return, as this office No. , dated

Documents accompanying.

Station
Dated 188 } *Executive Engineer,*
Division.

Returned to the Executive Engineer,
Division, duly signed, with the above-mentioned documents.

Commanding or Departmental Officer.

---

* Here mention report, specification, and drawings of the work as executed, and any other documents submitted with this Completion Certificate.

2579

Division Architect

COMPLETION CERTIFICATE.

Name of work Mail overseers Quarters & Stables

Authority G. O. No 600 w dated the 13th March 1885.

Certified that the above-mentioned work was taken over on 1st May 1886.
and that it is considered satisfactory and suitable to the purposes for which it is intended.

Presidency Postmaster
Commanding or Departmental Officer.

Station Madras
Dated 11th May 1886. }

NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

CHAPTER IX.—PARAS. 1173 AND 1173-A.

1. On the completion of a work executed for the Civil or Military Department, a Completion Certificate in P. W. Form No. M 27 b should be forwarded with the report, specification and drawings of the works as executed to the Civil or Military authority concerned for signature and return, when it will be attached to the Completion Report and submitted by the Executive Engineer as described in para. 1170.

2. The remarks which it is intended the departmental Officer for whom the work has been executed should make, are in respect of his satisfaction with the efficiency of the work and its agreement with the design as approved of by his department. The quantities and cost of the work and other particulars entered in the Completion Reports, are not points with which he is concerned. See also para. 1194.

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 27 b.

DIVISION Architect

NAME OF WORK Constructing [illegible]

Completion Certificate.

(To accompany the Completion Report for the above work.)

Forwarded to
for signature and return, as this office No. , dated

Documents accompanying.

Station
Dated 188

Executive Engineer,
Division.

Returned to the Executive Engineer,
Division, duly signed, with the above-mentioned documents.

Commanding or Departmental Officer.

---

* Here mention report, specification, and drawings of the work as executed, and any other documents submitted with this Completion Certificate.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

PARAS. 1561 TO 1565 AND 1568.

281240

P.W.C. # 621

P. W. D. FORM.
No. M 56.

DIVISION—Architect

Completion Report No. 130

NAME OF WORK—Constructing Post office at Madras.

REGISTER No. OF ESTIMATE $\frac{6}{84\text{-}85}$ + 2 OF 1885-86

AMOUNT OF ESTIMATE—Rs. 372125
As per Deviation Statement Rs 375,432

EXPENDITURE— ..Rs. 372316-7-7

DIFFERENCE— ..Rs. 3115-8-5

PERCENTAGE OF EXCESS (IF ANY —

DATE OF COMMENCEMENT— 1876

DATE OF COMPLETION— November 1886

DATE OF LAST INSPECTION— November 1886

Forwarded to the Examiner of P. W. Accounts, Madras for verification, as this Office No. 1203, dated 12th January 1887

Documents accompanying.

* 3 Completion certificates

J. W. Brassington
Offg: Consg: Architect
~~Executive Engineer~~,
to Government ~~Division~~.

G. O. 3 dated 27.1.87 ~~No. 3~~ Consulting Architect

Transmitted to the ~~Superintending Engineer~~,
~~Circle~~, duly verified, as this Office No. 209, dated 21st January 1887.

It is requested that this may be sent to the Chief Engr. P. W. D. for record.

H Ramma
For Examiner of P. W. Accounts.

21/1

Transmitted to the Chief Engineer P. W. D
for record, as this Office No. 1275, dated 26. 1. 87

J. W. Brassington
Offg: Consg: Architect
~~Superintending Engineer~~.
to Government

---

* Here note "Completion Certificate" granted by the Civil or Military Authority concerned, report, specification, drawing and detail of quantities when necessary.

Recorded.

31.1.87

D.W.

~~24~~

24

NAME OF WORK.—
FUND.—
DEPARTMENTAL HEAD.—
SERVICE HEAD.—
MAIN HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION.—
SUB-HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION.—
ARM OF THE SERVICE.—
Reference to last Work Voucher submitted.—No. 1 for the month of November 1886.

242-1
86-7
21/1/87

2577

Sub-heads of Estimate.	As Estimated.			As executed.					Difference.*					Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.			Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.			
		RS.	RS.		RS.	RS.	A.	P.		RS.	RS.	A.	P.	
1 Excavation for foundations cubic yard	8355	0-2-6	1305	8355	0-2-6	1308	11	6	✓	.	3	11	6	✓
2 Concrete cubic yd	1450	4-4-	6162	1450	4-4-8	6229	4	10	✓	---4	67	4	10	✓
3 Brickwork in foundation	1793	5--	8965	1793	5--	8967	1	6	✓	.	2	1	6	✓
4. Do above ground to 1st floor cyd	2174	6--	13044	2174	6--	13044	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	
5. Do above 1st to 2nd floor	2222	6-8-	14443	2222	6-8-	14443	13	2	✓	.	.	13	2	✓
6 Do 2nd to 3rd floor cyd	1759	7--	12313	1759	7--	12313	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	
7. Do in large towers all above 55ft above ground cyd	267	10--	2670	267	10--	2670	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	
8. Brickwork with Faubrick cyd	990	3--	2970	990	3--	2970	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	
9 Add proportionate cost of patent tiles over above items	.	.	10,000		.	10000	.		✓					
10 Earth filling cyd	6633	0-2-0	830	6633	0-2-0	830	2	6	✓	.	.	2	6	✓
11 Cut stone ashlar cft	3525	2-4-	7932	3525	2-4-	7931	2	1	✓	.	.	13	11	✓
12 Cut stone fine work including embellishments	8438½	3-9-2	30146	8438½	3-9-2	30146	5	6	✓	.	.	5	6	✓
13. Coat of Arms	.	.	600	½	300-7-7	600	15	4	½	300-7-7 ✓	.	15	4	✓
14. Teakwood wrought & put up including painting and small iron work cft	14325	4-4-	60881	14325	4-4-	60885	11	9	✓	.	4	11	9	✓
15. Boarded floor sq	24	65--	1560	24	65-2-3	1563	6	.	✓	1-2-3	✓ 3	6	.	✓
16. Cuddapah stone flooring sq	181.12	30--	5434	181.12	30--	5434	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	
17. Terrace flooring sq	241	27--	6507	241	27--	6507	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	
Carried over ..			185762 ✓			185844	10	2	✓			82	10	2	✓

* Excesses to be entered in red ink.

35

…of Estimate.	As Estimated.			As executed.					Difference.*					Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.			Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.			
		RS.	RS.		RS.	RS.	A.	P.		RS.	RS.	A.		
…ht forward ..	.	.	375432	✓		372316	7	7	✓	.	3115	8	5	✓

2577

…ught forward			185762 ✓			185844	10	2	✓			82	10	2 ✓
Terrace roofing sq	14.50	30--	435	14.50	30--	435	.	6	✓	.	.	.	6	✓
…ing with …ni… sq	422.33	30--	12670	422.33	30--1	12672	6	11	✓	---1	✓ 2	6	11	✓
…ing with zinc sheets sq	22	70--	1540	22	70-2-5	1543	5	4	✓	1-2-5	✓ 3	5	4	✓
…ing interior wall including ornamentation sq	1276.15	6-8-	8295	1276.15	6-8-2	8309	10	7	✓	---2	14	10	7	✓
…ing exterior …silicating sq	267.39	6--	1604	267.39	6--	1604	4	9	✓	.	.	4	9	✓
…Doors and Windows …ed & venetian with brass fastenings sqft	9284	1-12-	16247	9284	1-12-	16252	3	.	✓	.	5	3	.	✓
…s & windows glazed with brass fastenings sqft	3467	1-12-	6067	3467	1-12-	6067	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	
…Rough cast plate …t with frames	1089	3--	3267	1089	3--	3267	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	
…Framed partitions and screens cft	1665	1-9-	2602	1665	1-9-	2602	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	
…Ceiling sqft	4065	1--	4065	4065	1--	4065	12	11	✓	.	.	12	11	✓
…tress finishing …tral Hall	.	.	1000	.	.	1003	4	.	✓	.	3	4	.	✓
…Painting doors and windows sq	431.25	4-12-9	2069	431.25	4-12-9	2069	2	.	✓	.	.	2	.	✓
Carried over			245623 ✓			245735	12	2	✓		112	12	2	✓

25

Name of Work.—
Fund.—
Departmental Head.—
Service Head.—
Main Head of Classification.—
Sub-head of Classification.—
Arm of the Service.—
Reference to last Work Voucher submitted.—No. 1 for the month of November 1886.

242-1
86-1
~~D 21/1/87~~

2577

1. Exc
-dat
2 C
3 Bp
4.
5. Do
6 Do
7. Do
above
8. Bp
9 Add
of pat
10 Ear
11 Cut
12 Cuts
includ
13. Cont
14. Teak
put up
and sn
15. Bou
16. Cud
17.

Sub-heads of Estimate.	As Estimated. Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	As executed. Quantity.	Rate.	Amount. Rs.	A.	P.	Difference.* Quantity.	Rate.	Amount. Rs.	A.	P.	Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
Brought forward			245623 ✓			245736	12	2	✓		112	12	2	✓
30. Cornice interior Sft	1494	0-8--	747	1494	0-8-0	746	3	7	✓			12	5	✓
31. Moulding over arch Sft	504	0-8-0	252	504	0-8-0	252	6	11	✓			6	11	✓
32. Cast iron railings Sft	86	4--	344	86	4--	344			✓					
33. Iron columns 8' 6" each	12	60--	720	12	60--	720			✓					
34. Iron gate 10' span do	2	300--	600	2	300--	600			✓					
35. Iron gate 8' span do	1	240--	240	1	240--	240			✓					
36. Zinc eaves guttering including fixing Sft	1289	1-4--	1611	1289	1-4--	1611	8	5	✓			8	5	✓
37. Galvanized iron 4½" diameter fall pipes Sft	1756	2-8--	4390	1756	2-8--	4389	9		✓			7		✓
38. Cuddapah slabs over cornice Sqft	19	30--	570	19	30--	570			✓					
39. Lifts each	2	150--	300	2	152-4	304	8		✓	2-4	4	8		✓
40. Spiral large 4½ diameter each	2	130--	260	2	130--	260			✓					
41. Fitting for closets each	14	90--	1260	14	90--	1260			✓					
42. Staircase Sqft.	1951	2--	3902	1951	2--	3902			✓					
43. Stair case in record tower Sft	56	9--	504	56	9--	504			✓					
44. Hand railing stairs Sft	168	1-8--	252	168	1-8--	252			✓					
45. Iron columns 12' long each	10	80--	800	10	80--	800			✓					
46. Expenditure on Mules stables			12872 ✓			12872			✓					
Carried over			275,247 ✓			275,364		1	✓		117		1	✓

of Estimate.	As Estimated. Quantity.	Rate. Rs.	Amount Rs.	As executed. Quantity.	Rate. Rs.	Amount. Rs.	A.	P.	Difference.* Quantity.	Rate. Rs.	Amount. Rs.	A.	P.	Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
…t forward			375432	✓		372316	7	7	✓		3115	8	5	✓

Sub-heads of Estimate.	As Estimated. Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	As executed. Quantity.	Rate.	Amount. Rs.	A.	P.	Difference.* Quantity.	Rate.	Amount. Rs.	A.	P.	Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
Brought forward			275247 ✓			275364		1	✓		117		1	✓
47. Making road &c			300			300			✓					
48. Amount paid over to Municipality for diverting drain at Post office			2844			2844			✓					
49. Iron ~~gutters~~ posts Ton	5-11	140--	715	5-11	140--	715	5	9	✓			5	9	✓
50. Contingencies and Supervision			14635			14638	4		✓		3	4		✓
51. Iron Girders Ton	15	250--	3750	15	250--	3750			✓					
52. Iron grating door Sft	568	4--	2272	568	4--	2272			✓					
53. Iron string from door each	1	330--	330	1	330--	330			✓					
54. Sash window with frames brass wheels &c Sft	101	2-12--	278	101	2-12--	278			✓					
55. Venetian windows with frames and iron bars Sft	129	2--	258	129	2--	258			✓					
56. Colored glass in leaden sash Sft	1117	2-14-6	3249	1117	2-14-6	3249	1	6	✓			1	6	✓
57. Windows with double frames over corridors in central Hall Sft.	587	2--	1174	587	2--	1174			✓					
58. Dome work cyd	30	12--	360	30	12--	360			✓					
Carried over			305412 ✓			305532	11	4	✓		120	11	4	✓

2577

26

Name of Work.—
Fund.—
Departmental Head.—
Service Head.—
Main Head of Classification.—
Sub-head of Classification.—
Arm of the Service.—
Reference to last Work Voucher submitted.—No. 1 for the month of November 1886.

242-1
86-7
D 21/1/87

2577

of Estimate.	As Estimated. Quantity.	Rate. RS.	Amount RS.		As executed. Quantity.	Rate. RS.	Amount. RS.	A.	P.	Difference.* Quantity.	Rate. RS.	Amount. RS.	A.		Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
...ht forward . .	.	.	375432	✓			372316	7	7	✓	.	3115	8	5	✓

1 Exca-
-dation
2 Con
3 Bric
4. Do
1
5. Do a
6 Do
7. Do
above.
8. Bric
9 Add
of pate
10 Eart
11 Cuts
12 Cuts
includ
13. Cont
14. Teak
put up
and on
15. Bou
16. Cu
17. D

Sub-heads of Estimate.	As Estimated. Quantity.	Rate.	Amount		As executed. Quantity.	Rate.	Amount. RS.	A.	P.		Difference.* Quantity.	Rate. RS.	Amount. RS.	A.	P.	Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
Brought forward			305412	✓			305532	9	4	✓			120	11	4	✓
59 Paving terrace floor with compressed tiles 6" square sft	252	25-1-7	6325		252	25-1-7	6325	4	2	✓	.	.	.	4	2	✓
60. Sliding doors with brass wheels rails & complete sft	107	2-8--	268		107	2-8-	268	.	.	✓	.		.	.	.	
61 Ventilating wells with railings &c. sft	407	1-.--	407		407	1-.--	407	.	.	✓				.	.	
62 Ventilators in roof of central and slide hall each	25	40-.--	1000		25	40-.--	1000	.	.	✓	.			.	.	
63. Ventilators for roof of 3rd Storey each	14	20-.--	280		14	20	280	.	.	✓	.		.	.	.	
64. Ventilators for Verandah roof of 3rd Storey each	18	15-.--	270		18	15-.--	270	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	.	
65. Cast iron columns 13' long . . each.	4	90-.--	360		4	90-.--	360	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	.	
66. Cast iron columns for upper storey each	5	150-.--	750		5	150-.--	750	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	.	
67. Cast lead sheeting for flashing &c. including laying	13109	0-2-7	2136		13109	0-2-7	2135	15	8	✓	.	.	.	2	4	✓
Carried over			317208	✓			317328	13	2	✓			120	13	2	✓

Brought forward			317208	✓			317328	13	2	✓			120	13	2	✓
68. Cuddapah slabs for galleries 4" thick sft	255	1-.--	255		255	1-.--	255	.	.	✓	.		.	.	.	
69 Finials over angle pavilion . . each.	3	180-.--	540		3	180-.--	540	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	.	
70. Counters in central hall and stamp vendors	8	60-.--	480		8	60-.--	480	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	.	
71. Punkahs with brass furniture &c. complete each sft	300	4-.--	1200		300	4-.--	1200	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	.	
72. Lightning Conductor for Tower each.	1	690-.--	690		1	703-.--	703	.	.	✓	.	13-.--	13	.	.	✓
73 Water supply including cost of tank pumps &c. . . .	.	.	4000		.	.	4000	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	.	
74. Temporary drain	.	.	1082		.	.	1082	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	.	
75. Ventilators in Towers each	4	50-.--	200		4	51-6-1	205	8	6		.	1-6-1	✓ 5	8	6	✓
76. Finials over Towers each	1	335-.--	335		1	335-.--	335	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	.	
77 Lightning Conductor for north west angle pavilion	1	350-.--	350		1	350-.--	350	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	.	
78. Brackets for cast iron columns including bolts &c. each	.	.	500		.	.	500	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	.	
79. Loss on advance of Timber to Messrs. Mansfield Brummer & Co. Vide G. O. No. 3147W d/15-7-85	.	.	1191		.	.	1190	8	1	✓	.	.	.	7	11	✓
Carried over . . .			328031	✓			328169	13	9	✓			138	13	9	✓

2577

NAME OF WORK.—
FUND.—
DEPARTMENTAL HEAD.—
SERVICE HEAD.—
MAIN HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION.—
SUB-HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION.—
ARM OF THE SERVICE.—
Reference to last Work Voucher submitted.—No. 1 for the month of November 1886.

242-1
86-7
~~D 29/1/87~~

2527

Sub-heads of Estimate.	As Estimated.			As executed.					Difference.*					Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.			Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.			
		RS.	RS.		RS.	RS.	A.	P.		RS.	RS.	A.	P.	
Brought forward			328031	✓		328169	13	9	✓		138			✓
80. Wrought iron work in partition and railings ... lbs	3432	0-4-0	858	3432	0-4-	858			✓					
81 Cast iron railings for dividing large hall ... cft	300	5-0-0	1500	300	5-0-0	1500			✓					
82. Sign boards each	12	20-..	240	12	20-..	240			✓					
83. Iron doors to the west end of large hall ... lbs	1514	0-4-0	379	1514	0-4-	379			✓					
84. Providing iron bar partitions in the large hall lower Storey. lbs	2434	0-4-0	609	2434	0-4-0	609			✓					
85. Teakwood punkah ... cft	162	2-8-.	405	162	2-8-	405			✓					
86. Stoneys punkah wheels ... each	24	9-..	216	24	9-..	216			✓					
87. Providing double rattan blinds with cloths ropes & complete ... 15 sft	2116	3-8-.	494	2116	3-8-.	494	.	.	✓					
88. Double canvas blinds including rollers brass fastenings &c. complete sft.	645	0-8-.	323	645	0-8-.	323	.	.	✓					
89. Providing iron partitions for store room in the lower storey ... lbs	2484	0-4-.	621	2484	0-4-.	621	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	
Carried over			333676	✓		333814	13	9	✓		138			✓

Sub-heads of Estimate.	Est. Quantity	Est. Rate	Est. Amount	Exec. Quantity	Exec. Rate	Exec. Amount	A.	P.	Diff. Quantity	Diff. Rate	Diff. Amount	A.	P.	Reference
Brought forward.			333676	✓		333814	13	9	✓		138			✓
90. Single barrel pump each	1	300-..	300	1	300-..	300	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	
91. Brickwork in foundation and basement with stock bricks ... 100 cft	1820	22-4-.	405	1820	22-4-.	404	5	10	✓	.	.	10	2	✓
92. Brickwork in Super-structure ... 100 cft	2321	24-..	557	2321	24-..	557	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	
93. Teakwood wrought and put up ... cft	158	4-4-.	672	158	4-4-.	672	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	
94. Roofing with teak reepers, nails, flat & pan tiles & chamber bands ... sq	15·75	18-8-.	292	15·75	18-8-.	292	.	.	✓	.	.	.	.	
95. Painting with cement ... sft	60	3-8-.	210	60	3-8-.	210	9	7	✓	.	.	.	.	✓
96. Cost of site including compensation ...	.	.	2726	.	.	2661	12	7	✓	.	.	64	3	5 ✓
97. Porch parapet wall cft	454⅓	3-1-1	1395	454⅓	3-1-1	1395	2	10	✓	.	.		2	10 ✓
98. Spiral staircase each	1	225-..	225	1	225-..	225	.	.	✓					
99. Roofing with Willesden paper ...	.	.	.	.	.	375	.	.	✓		375	.	.	2
100. Concrete in Chamber 100 cft	5050	15-1-.	758	4031½	7-10-3	308	2	9	1018½	7-6-9	449	13	3	3
101. Brickwork in chunam for foundation and basement with stock bricks ... 100 cft	8865	22-..	1950	5449¾	23-3-6	1265	6	10	3415¼		684	9	2	4
Carried over ...			343166	✓		342481	6	2	✓		184	9	6	✓

28

of Estimate.	As Estimated.			As executed.					Difference.*					Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.			Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.			
		RS.	RS.		RS.	RS.	A.	P.		RS.	RS.	A.		
…t forward ..	.	.	375432	✓		372316	7	7	✓		3115	8	5	✓

1 Exca-
-dation
2 Cr
3 Bric
4. D
5. D
6 D
7. D
above
8. Bric
9 Add
of pat
10 Ear
11 Cut
12 Cut
inclu
13. Con
14. Teak
put up
and on
15. Bou
16. Cu
17.

NAME OF WORK.—
FUND.—
DEPARTMENTAL HEAD.—
SERVICE HEAD.—
MAIN HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION.—
SUB-HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION.—
ARM OF THE SERVICE.—
Reference to last Work Voucher submitted.—No. 1 for the month of November 1886.

242-1
86-7
D 21/1/87

2527

Sub-heads of Estimate.	As Estimated.			As executed.			Difference.*			Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	
		RS.	RS.		RS.	RS. A. P.		RS.	RS. A.	

1. Exca
-date
2. C.
3. Bu
4. s
5. Do
6. Do
7. Do
above
8. Bu
9. Add
of pa
10. Ea
11. Cuts
12. Cuts
inclu
13. Con
14. Tea
put u
and s
15. Bo
16. Cu
f
17. T

Sub-heads of Estimate.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount. RS.	A.	P.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount. RS.	A.	P.	Reference
Brought forward			343166	✓		342481	6	2	✓	—	684	9	10	✓
102. Brickwork in chunam for super structure with stock bricks 100 cft	6150	23-8-	1445	6233½	20-12-	1297	9	10	83½	2-10-	147	6		5
103. Pointing with cement Sqft				187½	2-7-2	4	9	6	187½	2-7-2	4			
104. Teakwood wrought & put up cft	736	4--	2944	741⅓	3-12-	2825	.	5	5⅓	-3-	118	15	7	11
105. Roofing with teak purlins nails flat and pan tiles & chunam bands Sq	25.51	17--	433	115·69	8-1-2	934	.		[illegible]	8-6-	501			7
106. Flooring with 6" Sq tiles set in cement laid over 6" of	13.50	25--	337	7·34	24--	175	4	5	6·16	2--	161	11	7	8
107. ½ panel and ½ venitian door and frames complete Sqft	175	1-4-	219	148	1-5-5	198	2	.	27		20	14	.	
108. Panelled doors and frames complete Sqft	270	1-2-	304	299⅞	1-3-	356	8	6	29⅞		52			9
109. Laying pipes	.	.	1394	✓							1394	.		
110. Roofing with wooden planks ¾" thick including nails &c complete for new verandah	31.21	26-15-	843	27·70	26-15-	742	.		3·51	2--2	101	.		10
Carried over			351085	✓		349014	8	11	✓		2070	7	1	

of Estimate.	As Estimated.			As executed.					Difference.*				Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount. RS.	A.	P.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount. RS.	A	
at forward	.	.	375432	✓		372316	7	7	✓		3115	8	5

2527

Sub-heads of Estimate.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount. RS.	A.	P.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount. RS.	A.	P.	Reference
Brought forward			351085	✓		349014	8	11	✓		2070	7	1	✓
111. Metalling 3" thick Sq	325	2-3-	711	289·88	1-12-2	570	8	10	35·12	--6-10	200	7	2	11
112. Contingencies at about 5 Percent	.	.	250	.	.	85	15	4			164	.	8	12
113. Petty Supervision at 2½ percent	.	.	300	.	.	255	13	6	.	.	44	2	6	✓
114. Painting doors and windows with 3 coats of carson's paint Sq	150	3--	450	92	2-0-9	188	3	.	58	-15-3	261	13	.	13
115. Plastering with 2 coats of chunam Sq	160	2-8-	400	93·12½	4-3-5	392	8	11	66·87½	1-11-5	7	7	1	✓
116. Wrought iron work lb	3795	0-3-6	830	3320	0-3-4	694	.	6	475	0-0-2	135	15	6	14
117. Pointing with tar Sq	75	5--	375	57·42⅞	4-5-7	262	4	10	17·57⅛	0-10-5	112	11	2	15
118. Flooring latrine with asphalte ... Sq	8	20--	160	8	✓									
119. Gravelling court yard. Sq	43	2-8-	108	43	✓									
120. Cornice exterior Lft	400	0-8-	200	400	✓									
121. Fire place ... each	1	25--	25	1	✓									
122. Spiral small 2½ ft diameter ... each	1	100--	100	1	✓									
123. Frames with plate glass Venetian complete for latrine ... Sqft	80	2--	160	80	✓									
124. Ventilators with wire netting..	72	0-12-	54	72	✓									
Carried over			355208	✓		357403	15	10	✓		2997	.	2	✓

29

Name of Work.—
Fund.—
Departmental Head.—
Service Head.—
Main Head of Classification.—
Sub-head of Classification.—
Arm of the Service.—
Reference to last Work Voucher submitted.—No. 1 for the month of November 1886

242-1
86-6
5/1/87

2527

Sub-heads of Estimate.	As Estimated. Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	As executed. Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Difference.* Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
		Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	
Brought forward			357219	/		357463 15 10	/		2997 2 1	
125. Letter box each	1	50-.-	50	1	✓					
126. Counters in savings bank ... each	1	100-.-	100	1	✓					
127 Drainage	.	.	100	.	✓					
128. Brickwork with stock bricks for closing openings in Cashiers room	86	6-8-.	6	86	✓					
129. Plastering fine finished in Cashiers room ... 100 sft	2.07	6-8-.	13	2.07	✓					
130. Contingencies	.	.	140	✓						
131 Painting with 3 coats of Carmine paint	25	3-.-	75	25	✓	75597 13 4 /	.		788 2 5 10	
132. Notice boards 4 feet square each	2	50-.-	100	2	✓					
133. Spiral stairs 4½ ft diameter leading to Post master's office each	1	130-.-	130	1	✓					
134. Brass bands for one door in the west end of large Hall ...	11/5	3-.-	34	11/5	✓					
135. Panel door .. sft	73½	1-6-.	101	72	✓		1½	1		
136. Wooden rails over counters ... sft	42	1-6-.	58	42	✓					
Carried over				/					3785 2 1	

of Estimate.	As Estimated. Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	As executed. Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Difference.* Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
		Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs. A. P.		Rs.	Rs. A.	
...t forward ..			375432	/		372316 7 7	/		3115 8 5	/

Brought forward			356115			357001 13 2	/		3785 2 10	/
137 Contingencies	.	.	184	✓						
138. Excavating foundation & filling in 100 cft	4019	6-.-	20	4019	✓					
139. Concrete in chunam 100 cft	1005	15-12-	158	1005	✓					
140. Terracotta panels sft	44	2-8-	110	44	✓					
141. Circular & Segmental archwork ... 100 cft	184	26-8-.	49	184	✓					
142. Cut stone work cft	42	2-12-.	116	42	✓					
143. Dental cornice rft	36	0-4-0	9	36	✓					
144. Wood oiling 3 coats of timber sft	23	1-.-.	23	23	✓					
145 Gravelling sft	9	2-8-.	23	9	✓					
146. Wire netting sft	20	0-12-0	15	20	✓					
147 Stone finials 3½ ft long ... each	2	20-.-	40	2	✓					
148. Stone finial 2½ ft do	2	15-.-	30	2	✓					
149 Barge boards each	8	7-.-	56	8	✓					
150 Bamboo 7 ft long do	12	-.2-.	2	✓			12	/		
151 Wooden buttons .. do	8	-.2-.	1	✓			8	/		
152 Iron finials .. do	8	3-8-.	28	✓			8	/		
153 Coloring brick	.	.	18	✓						
154 Contingencies	.	.	140	✓						
155 Petty Supervision	.	.	70	✓						
156. Brickwork in foundation & basement 100 cft	763	22-4-.	170	763	✓					
Carried over ...			357377	/		357001 13 2	/		3785 2 10	/

2527

30

Name of Work.—
Fund.—
Departmental Head.—
Service Head.—
Main Head of Classification.—
Sub-head of Classification.—
Arm of the Service.—
Reference to last Work Voucher submitted.—No. 1 for the month of November 1886.

242-1
86-7
D 29/1/87

2577

Sub-heads of Estimate.	As Estimated.			As executed.			Difference.*			Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	
		RS.	RS.		RS.	RS. A. P.		RS.	RS. A.	
…t forward ..			375,432	(		372,316 7 7	/		3115 ? 5	/

Sub-heads of Estimate.	As Estimated.			As executed.			Difference.*			Reference
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	
Brought forward			357,377	(		357,001 · 2	/		3,785 2 10	/
157. Brickwork in Superstructure 100 cft	657½	24-..	158	657½	✓					
158. Terracotta panels sft	48½	2-8-.	121	48½	✓					
159. Teakwood wrought and put up cft	37	4-4-.	158	37	✓					
160. Excavating foundation and filling in walls 1000 cft ...	1234½	5-..	6	1234½	✓					
161. Concrete in Chunam 100 cft	303	15-12-	47	303	✓					
162. Cutstone in chunam ... cft	20	2-12-	55	20	✓					
163. Flat archwork in chunam .. 100 cft	5	24-...	2	5	✓					
164. Segmental arch work in chunam 100 cft	6½	21-...	1	6½	✓					
165. Asphalte flooring ¾" thick laid over a bed of concrete 100 sft	1·25	25-...	25	1·25	✓					
166. Honeycomb tiled work ... sft	29½	0-3-0	5	29½	✓					
167 Dental cornicework sft	33	0-4-0	9	33	✓					
168. Wrought iron work ... lb	48	0-4-0	12	48	✓					
Carried over			357,976	(		357,001 · 2	/		3,785 2 10	(

Sub-heads of Estimate.	As Estimated.			As executed.			Difference.*			Reference
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	
Brought forward			357,976	(		357,001 10 2	/		3785 2 10	/
169 Roofing with teak rafters nails flat and pan tiles & chunam bands	3·25	18-8-	60	3·25	✓					
170 Pointing with Portland Cement sft	17·25	3-8-.	60	17·25	✓					
171. Plastering with Portland Cement sft	·50	8-...	4	·50	✓					
172 Terracing composed of 1 lb of asphalte to 5 lbs of tar 2 coats sft	4·75	0-12-.	4	4·75	✓					
173. Painting 3 coats of wood oiling for timber sft	4·75	1-...	5	4·75	✓					
174. Trap doors including handle & zinc plastering each	1	10-...	10	1	✓					
175. Iron buckets do	1	2-...	2	1	✓					
176. Wooden buckets do	1	1-..	1	·	✓					
177. Stone finials do	1	20-...	20	1	✓					
178. Laying pipe &c	·	·	10	✓ ·						
179. Petty Supervision	·	·	23	✓ ·						
180 Contingencies	·	·	42	✓ ·						
181. Excavating foundation & filling in 100 cft	409	5-..	2	409	✓					
182 Concrete in chunam 100 cft	163½	15-12-	26	163½	✓					
Carried over			358,335	1		357,001 1 2	/	3	3785 2 10	/

2587

NAME OF WORK.—
FUND.—
DEPARTMENTAL HEAD.—
SERVICE HEAD.—
MAIN HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION.—
SUB-HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION.—
ARM OF THE SERVICE.—
Reference to last Work Voucher submitted.—No. 1 for the month of November 1886.

242-1
86-7
~~2/1/87~~

2527

Sub-heads of Estimate.	As Estimated. Quantity.	As Estimated. Rate.	As Estimated. Amount	As executed. Quantity.	As executed. Rate.	As executed. Amount.	Difference.* Quantity.	Difference.* Rate.	Difference.* Amount.	Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
Brought forward			358335		1	357001 13 2	1		3785 2 10	1
183. Brickwork in foundation with stock bricks ... 100 cft	209	22-4-	46	209	✓					
184. Brickwork in Superstructure 100 cft	149	24-	36	149	✓					
185 Cutstone in chunam ... cft	26	2-2-	72	26	✓					
186. Pointing with Cement ... Sqr.	3	3-8-	10	3	✓					
187. Teakwood wrought and put up ... cft	7½	4-4-	22	7½	✓					
188. Roofing with teak purlins nails flat and pan tiles & chunam bands ... Sqr.	·50	8-8-	10	·50	✓					
189. Painting with 2 coats of wood oiling Sqr.	1	1-	1	1	✓					
190. Teak wood large gate with strong iron hinges fixed with lead iron bolts fixed stones including fastening each	1/2	25-	13	1/2	✓					
Carried over			358555		✓	357001 3 2	✓		3785 2 10	✓

Sub-heads of Estimate.	As Estimated. Quantity.	As Estimated. Rate.	As Estimated. Amount	As executed. Quantity.	As executed. Rate.	As executed. Amount.	Difference.* Quantity.	Difference.* Rate.	Difference.* Amount.	Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
Brought forward			358555		1	357001 13 2	1		3785 2 10	1
191 Teakwood small gate with strong iron hinges fixed with lead & ... each	1/2	15-	7	1/2	✓					
192. Barge board each	1	7-	7	1	✓					
193. Pottery vase over gable 2 feet ... each	1	2-	2	✓						
194. Iron finials do	1	5-	5	✓						
195. Petty Supervision			7	✓						
196. Contingencies			14	✓						
197. Demolishing new foundations 100 cft	1412	13-14-5	20	1412						
198. Excavation for foundations filling in 1000 cft	214052	4-	86	32267	✓					
199. Flat archwork 100 cft	117	24-	28	145⅔	✓		18766			
200. Circular archwork 1 or cubic ft	114	27-	31	✓			28⅔			
201 Cut stone work for steps ... cft	60	1-12-	105	115¼	✓		114			
202 Plastering with portland cement sft	10	7-	70	7·36¼	✓		55¼	1		
203. Flooring with 8" bricks laid over a levelling course sft	10	8-	80	18·97⅞	✓		2·61½			
Carried over			357107		1	357001 13 2	1		3785 2 10	✓

8·97⅞ 1

3✓

2527

of Estimate.	As Estimated. Quantity.	As Estimated. Rate.	As Estimated. Amount	As executed. Quantity.	As executed. Rate.	As executed. Amount.	Difference.* Quantity.	Difference.* Rate.	Difference.* Amount.	Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
		RS.	RS.		RS.	RS. A. P.		RS.	RS. A.	
at forward			375482	1		37231 7 7	1		2115 8 5	1

NAME OF WORK.—
FUND.—
DEPARTMENTAL HEAD.—
SERVICE HEAD.—
MAIN HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION.—
SUB-HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION.—
ARM OF THE SERVICE.—
Reference to last Work Voucher submitted.—No. 1 for the month of November 1886.

242-1
86-7
D 20/1/87

2577

Sub-heads of Estimate.	As Estimated.			As executed.			Difference.*			Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	
Brought forward			346796 1			357001 2 2			3785 2 10	1
228. Boring holes in wall for bolts each	10	0-8-0	5			16 ✓			6	
229 Allow for connecting with Sewer.			10 ✓							
230. Petty Supervision			12 ✓							
231. Contingencies			24 ✓							
232. Wooden bracket										
233. Cuddapah slab for flooring						24 ✓			24	
234. Color washing						·51 ✓			·51	
235. White washing						8·13 ✓			8·13	
236 Open work with tiles &c						6·44 ✓			6·44	
237. Petty alteration						231 ✓			231	
238. Cornice moulding			152 ✓							
239. Fire place			100 ✓							
240. Mango planks for covering cistern						2/4 ✓			2/4	
241. Color plastering						6·54 ✓			6·54	
Carried over			346787 1			357101 8 2			3785 2 10	1

Sub-heads of Estimate.	As Estimated.			As executed.			Difference.*			Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	
		RS.	RS.		RS.	RS. A. P.		RS.	RS. A.	
Brought forward			375432	1		372316 7 7	1		3115 8 5	1

Sub-heads of Estimate.	As Estimated.			As executed.			Difference.			
Brought forward			346787 1			357101 8 2			3785 2 6	1
242. Gravelling										
243. Expenditure incurred in converting Commissariat mule lines in the beach into Stables for Post office ordered to be charged to Post office Vide G. O. No. 661 E of 28 September						2396 1			1839 6	1
244. Constructing mules stables on the Pudupet Maidan Sanctioned in G. O. No. 1623 H of 27 June 84			14445 1			13975 4 0 1			669 11 7	1
Carried over			375432 1			372316 7 7 1			3115 8 5	

2577

34

①

NAME OF WORK.—

FUND.—

DEPARTMENTAL HEAD.—

SERVICE HEAD.—

MAIN HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION.—

SUB-HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION.—

ARM OF THE SERVICE.—

242-1
86-7
~~20/1/87~~

2577

Reference to last Work Voucher submitted.—No. 1 for the month of November 1886.

Sub-heads of Estimate.	As Estimated.			As executed.			Difference.*			Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	
Brought forward			Hosp'l			357,001 2 2			378	
228 [illegible]										
Brought forward			[illegible]			[illegible]				
Carried over			[illegible]			[illegible] 7 7			3 5	

Sub-heads of Estimate.	As Estimated.			As executed.					Difference.*				Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.			Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.		
		RS.	RS.		RS.	RS.	A.	P.		RS.	RS.	A.	
Brought forward ..			375,432			372,316	7	7			3115	8 5	
			372,125 20/1/87										
Total ..			RS. 375,432 ✓			RS. 3,72,316-7-7 ✓					RS. 3115-8-5 ✓		

* Excesses to be entered in red ink.

[N.B.—When any considerable deviations from the original design have occurred the report, specification, drawing, and details of the measurements of the work actually done, in the same form as the estimates, should accompany the Completion Reports.]

CERTIFICATE.

I do hereby certify that, with the exceptions above noted and explained, this work has been fully executed to the extent of the estimate in a substantial and satisfactory manner, as regards materials and workmanship, and that, excluding trifling deviations and those explained over-leaf, it corresponds in all respects with the sanctioned plan and estimate.

35

Dated 8th January 1887

D. Mossington
Offg. Consulting Architect ~~Executive Engineer~~
to Government

Names of Engineers and Subordinates by whom the Work was supervised, giving the dates of their several incumbencies, i.e., the dates of taking and making over charge, in connection therewith.

2527

Names.	Period of Incumbency. From	Period of Incumbency. To
R. F. Chisholm Esquire, Consulting Architect to Govt	1876	June 1881
Major A. T. Fraser R.E. Offg. Consg. Archt to Govt	June 1881	September /81
R. F. Chisholm Esquire Consg. Archt to Govt	October 1881	April 1883
C. Vincent Esquire Offg. Consg. Archt to Govt	April 1883	20 August 1883
Major R. R. E. Drake Brockman R.E. — do —	21st August /83	14th October /83
C. Vincent Esqr. — do —	15th Oct /83	23rd October 1883
Major J. L. L. Morant R.E. — do —	24th Oct /83	22nd April /85
R. F. Chisholm Esqr. Consulting Archt to Govt	23rd April /85	20th Feby /86
Captain H. D. Lindley Asst Engr 1st G.	21st Feby /86	25th March /86
Mr J. H. Stephens Offg: Ex: Engr 4th Grade	26th March /86	3rd April /86
Col: Ross Thompson R.E. Offg: Consg Archt	3rd April /86	22nd November /86
J. W. Brassington Esqr. — do —	23rd November /86	30th November /86
Under subordinates		
Mr. Govindsawmy Sub: Supervisor 2nd Grade	1876	3 May 1881
Conductor R P Lemay Sub Engr 2nd "	3 May 1881	24th Jany 1883
Sergt C. Smith R.E. Supervisor 1st "	25th June /83	16th Feby /85
Mr J. H. Stephens Hon: Asst Engr 2nd "	16 Feby 1885	Nov /86

Explanations of differences and proposals as to disposal of materials at site.

1 Should have been recorded in Item 102
2. This was ordered afterwards to stop leaks.
3. Savings in rates
4 — do —
5 — do —
6 — do —
7 Tiles were used over the wooden planks to Veranda but not provided in Estimate
8 Saving in quantity and rate
9 — do —
10 — do —
11 — do —
12. — do — in Contingencies
13. Saving in quantity and rate
14 — do —
15 — do —
16 — do — in petty items

24

8104

2527

B

If the claim be admitted, how are funds to be provided?

I presume the item will have to be charged to the estimate for the Post & Tel. Office, but an allotment must apparently be made?

Ce
30/8/89

Recd 2/9/89

S.A.W.

The estimate for the Post and Telegraph Office having long ago been completed and the accounts closed, the charge under reference will have to appear as a fresh item and debited to the minor works grant. I have traced from the completion report of the Post Office that the estimated

36

Names of Engineers and Subordinates by whom th
incumbencies i.e. the d

cost for the diversion of the drain viz Rs. 2844 was charged to the postal portion of the combined office vide item No: 48 of the accompanying completion report. The present claim if admitted will therefore have to be charged to the minor works grant under Postal. As the grant under this head is at the disposal of the Director General of the Post Office of India, that officer should be requested to provide the required allotment.

4.9.89

No. 1. P. W. D. 2577

Print

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt,
Chepauk, Madras,
29th August 1889.

No. 783

From

J. W. Brassington, Esq,
Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer
Public works Department

Sir,

Enclosures. 5.

* to be kindly returned.

I have the honor to submit correspondence* which has passed between the President Madras Municipal Commission and this office anent, a claim on Government on account of the diversion of a drain near the General Post & Telegraph Office.

2 According to the Estimate originally furnished by the Municipality, Government were liable for Rs 2844, which amount was sanctioned in G. O. No. 2264 W dated 14th September 1881 and duly disbursed to the Municipality in March 1882.

3. The Municipality now submit a claim of Rs 769 and explain that the excess was owing to the increase in the diameter of a

portion of the drain diversion from 30 to 39 inches, also to certain additional works found necessary during the carrying out of the work in consequence of its proximity to the Post & Telegraph Office.

4. I may mention that in forwarding the original Estimate of the work to this office, in August 1881 the Vice President then stated that "it "must be considered as approximate to some slight "extent"

5. As far as I am able to judge at present, I consider the claim a reasonable one.

6. I enclose a tracing of the drain diversion and solicit the orders of Government in the matter.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

J W Brassington
Consulting Architect
to Government

P. W. No. 547. Enclosure

MUNICIPALITY OF MADRAS.

Public Works Department,
Municipal Office,
Madras 15 August 1889

2527

Print Enclo (A)

From Lieut. Col: G. M. J. Moore
President, Municipal Commission.

To The Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to state that through the site now occupied by the Post and Telegraph Office on the Beach passed a sewer usually called sewer No. 10. In the drainage scheme of Mr. Jones it was proposed to make certain alterations to this sewer and to construct a new sewer No. 10 A to the south of the Post Office in Mucur Kullamuthu Chetty street. The cost of these works were Rs 1945. and Rs 910 respectively or Rs 2,855 in all. At the request of the Consulting architect to Government made in 1881, who was then to build the Post and Telegraph Office, the sewer No. 10 was proposed to be diverted to the west of the Post Office to join 10 A. which had to be sightly larger than intended by the Municipality in the scheme. The estimated cost of the diversion of No. 10 and the Construction of No. 10 A as altered was Rs 5,699. Credit was however given in the estimate for Rs 2,855 referred to above, and the balance was sanctioned in G. O. No. 2264 W. dated 14 September 1881 P.W. and placed at the disposal of the Commissioners on 23 March 1882. From the statement enclosed it will be observed that the revised estimate Costs Rs 759 – 3 – 6 and the work as actually completed Rs 769 – – 4 over the original estimate. The increase is mainly due to an increased

Enclosures.
one

actual length of 39" drain over the estimated length of 43 feet costing Rs 232-1-9, an increase in concrete of 30" drain costing Rs 327-15-4, Cesspool and junction of Harbour sewer costing Rs 371-14-1, and sewage inlet from Surface drain costing Rs 121-2-7 in spite of savings in 24" drain, metalling road and baling water. I request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of H. E. the Governor in Council to the disbursement to the Commissioners of the excess expenditure of Rs 769-"-4 met from the Municipal Fund in 1882.

2. I regret that there has been considerable delay in the submission of this claim. The excess expenditure was not altogether unexpected as appears from Vice President's letter to the Consulting Architect dated 12th August 1881.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedt. Servant
W M Moore Lieut Col
President
Municipal Commission

Print
encl. (2)

2527

3 SP

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT TO GOVT.,
Chepák, ____ 18 .

COPY of a communication from the Consulting Architect to Government to the President, Municipal Commission, Madras

No. 744, dated 20th August 1889.

Before forwarding your letter No 547 dated the 15th August 1889 for the orders of Government, I have the honor to request that you will kindly explain why Government should be liable for the excess expenditure of Rs 769 when it was due to extra length required for the 39" drain (drain 10.A) while the savings effected were on the 24" drain which formed part of the diversion of drain No. 10 to join No 10.A. The Cesspool and harbour junction sewer would, I presume, have been necessary even if drain No. 10 had not been diverted.

I note that the drain 10.A was

made larger than necessary in consequence of the diversion but I cannot see why Government should be liable for the whole amount of excess.

(Initials)

J. W. Brassington
Consulting Architect to Govt.

P. W. No 588

287

MUNICIPALITY OF MADRAS. 458

Public Works Department,
Municipal Office,
Madras 26th August 1889

Print
[illegible] (3)

From Lieut. Col: G. M. J. Moore.
President, Municipal Commission.

To The Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras.

Enclosures.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 744 dated 20th Instt. I have the honor to inform you that the increase in the length of the 39" drain is not due to any increase of length in Drain No 10A. In drain No 10, you will observe, there is a decrease in the length of 24" drain and the size of a Corresponding length of No 10 close to its junction with No 10A was increased to 39" instead of 30". This alteration was found necessary during the progress of the work. The total length of the drains as completed is very nearly equal to the length originally estimated for. The increase is therefore due to the above mentioned alteration in the size of drain No 10.

2. With reference to your second question I have the honor to state the excess of Rs 174-2-1 in Cesspool & overflow was caused by Certain works which would not have been necessary had it not been for the Post & Telegraph Offices then under erection. These additional works, such as a flap door, wing walls & apron to the Cesspool & overflow were done with the object of mitigating the nuisance

40

of the cesspool & overflow which is close to the buildings. The amount is I think therefore fairly Chargeable to Government.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obed^t Servant
E.W. Moore Lieut Col:

President
Municipal Commission

16

Memo No. 370 C d/ 2-2-87
G.O. No. 2264 W d/ 14-9-87
" B " 2090 W d/ 23-6-87
Memo No. 1507 d/ 24-6-87

No. 2527 W d/ 11th Sept. 1889

A

Sent 8th

S P

The Govt. admits the claim of the Madras Municipality and directs the Consulting Architect to disburse it on funds being made available.

2. The former payment of Rs 2844, referred to in para 2 of the Consg. Architect's letter, was debited to the Post office, and the present claim will come under the same head. The Director General of Post Offices will therefore be requested to make an allotment of Rs 769 from the grant at his disposal for minor works.

B

WCB
4.9.89

19/9

6.9.89

Cab. for pub. with 2 enclos.
Copy Pr. A. &
Local & Municipal Depts.
Director Genl. of Post Offices
Postmaster Genl. Madras
7/9

8104

Public Works Department.

(8)

From

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Engineer
P. W. D

No. 783

Dated 29th } August 1889.
Received ~~30~~ }

No. OF ENCLOSURES. 5

Subject.

Submitting correspondence regarding a claim on Government of Rs 769 for diverting a drain near the General Post & Telegraph Office for the orders of Govt.

Despd. 29/9 Exm 7/9 Ing 9/9

With order

2527

6

42

Govt. of 18 Madras

PUBLIC WORKS.

~~42~~ 5/21

Dated

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. 11th Septr 1889. No. 2527 W

Municipal drain near the General Post & Telegraph Office – Madras

Orders on letter from the Consg. Architect to Govt. regarding a claim on Govt. by the Madras Municipality for the cost of diverting a —

100/5