

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 112.

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 17/9/89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/9

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(67)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P. W. D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	2373	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	28-8-1889	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

7 sheets 297.

ஆவண. கா-1-18,000-15-6-87.

2373 Glenview Cottage
Coonor. 23rd September 1886.

Letter from the Executive Engineer C.B.
Targat Esquire dated 23rd September 1886 to
the Chief Engineer P.W.D. Madras.

With reference to G.O. No 1972 W
dated 7th August 1886, I have the honor
to request the favor of your sanction
to the conveyance by rail, of a horse
and trunks to Salem from Coimbatore.

I would also request the favor
of Coimbatore being still considered
my permanent Head Quarters during
the period that my services are loaned
to the Salem Municipality as I shall
be incurring all the expenses of camp
life during the period I shall be
employed there.

No. 332 b. c of 28th September 1886.

Referred through the Financial
Department to the President Municipal
Council.

Council Salem for favor of information
whether Mr. Targat's requests in para
1, Can be granted.

2. In accordance with the G.O.
quoted by Mr. Targat, the Municipality
will meet his travelling expenses.

(Signed) D. M. Campbell
Major & Co.

Under Secretary to Govt.
G.O. No. 11 D.

(True Copy)

M. Targat

Executive Engineer
No. II Perianth

2373

11/11/13 PR/54

No 74/2373

Office of the Executive Engineer,
No II Periar Division
Madura - 31st July 1889.
27-5th Aug/89.

From,

C. B. Targat Esquire
Executive Engineer
No II Periar Division

To,

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D. Madras.

Thrs

The Superintending Engineer
Periar Project Works.

enclosure one.

Sir,

With reference to G.O
No 1465.W. dated 4th Instant, I have the
honor to enclose Copy of G.O No 3326
dated 28th September 1886 which was in
reply to my letter to the Chief Engineer
dated 23-9-86 para 2 (Copy enclosed)
I venture to think that after you
have perused this Correspondence that
I had a just right to presume that
the President, Salem Municipality
had authority to pass the allowance
in

in question

2. The number of days that I went beyond the 5 mile radius are not even allowed me and the Camp at Chalk Hills was within a few yards of the 5 mile radius from Salem and were it not for the Convenience of the Clerks, I would have Camped at a place nearer the work and more convenient to me beyond the five mile radius, and travelling more than 10 miles each day the exact distance not ascertainable, as it was not in the same direction, being Survey work.

3. There being no information as to the Cause of the disallowance, I am left somewhat at a disadvantage in replying but rely on the fact, that I believe my hard work was appreciated, the thanks of the Municipality being voted me at a Special Meeting and had the old Council been asked at

at the time they would I feel sure have voted or obtained permission for me to receive an honorarium for my work in lieu of travelling allowance, but the length of time before I received notice of the disallowance prevented this being done.

4. I believe the Salem Municipality was right in passing my Allowance according to the G.O. quoted above and I cannot understand the Cause of retrenchment after the lapse of two years and I therefore request that you will be good enough to reconsider the matter and cancel the order about the retrenchment of the amount from my Salary.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Yours most obedt Servant
W. J. F. J. J.
Executive Engineer
No. 11 Perin Road

C. D.
H. C.

W

From

C. B. Target Esq
Executive Engineer
Madras Division

To,

The Chief Engineer
M. S. Madras.

Thrs. The Superintending Engineer
Perian Project Works

Dated 3rd July
Recd 5th August } 1889.

No 741

Enclosures one

Subject

Retrenchment of the Dra-
-welling allowance received from
the Salem Municipality.

Requesting that the order
passed for the :— to
be cancelled.

No 1402.P.R. 2373

Submitted to the Chief Engineer
P.W. Department.

2 It certainly appears that Mr.
Target has good grounds for
complaint as to the manner in
which the question has been dealt
with.

3. The original order of retrench-
ment (No 1383 W of 11 May 1888) was
passed upon the report of a
subordinate of the Accountant
General's office, with no enquiry as
to the facts, and without any
audit of Mr. Target's claims by the
P.W. accounts branch, which latter
audit appears absolutely necessary,
Mr. Target having been subject to
departmental rules during his
employment under the Salem
Municipality.

4. It does not appear that Mr.
Target's

Mr Target's remonstrance dated
23rd August 1888, and submitted
with this office to 585 PR of 27th + 629 B 47-9-88
idem has ever been considered;
no order have been passed on this
remonstrance, G. O. no 1756⁶⁵ W of
4th July 1889 making no allusion
to it at all, nor does it seem that
any decision has been given on the
two points raised in this office
endorsement above quoted, on which
the whole question depends, for if
they be answered in the affirmative
the retrenchment is clearly untenable
under the rules in existence at the
time.

5 It must be borne in mind
that both these questions were
raised by Mr Target before he
went to Salem, and do not appear
to have been definitely answered
so far as they were answered at all
(vide C's no 3326c of 28th September 1886)
they were left to the discretion
of

of the Municipal Council, and
this being so Mr Target was certainly
justified by the fact that his
travelling allowances were paid
month after month without demerit
in supposing that his requests
were agreed to.

J. B. J.

Col R. E.

Suptg Engr. S. S. S. S.

Kodakkamul 2
12 August 89 3

01138

To

The Chief Engineer

P. W. Department

80 Buo 1765 W 4-7-89
 " 1703 W 18-7-89
 Memo no 773 C 10-2-89
 J. Buo 814 W 18-8-89
 Memo no 3002 C 22-11-89
 " 641 D 10-9-88
 " 629 D 17-9-88
 P. Buo 2433 W 11-5-88
 " 1383 W 11-5-88
 " 2128 W 25-11-86
 " 3045 W 17-11-86
 Memo no 3977 C 12-11-86
 P. Buo 2699 W 14-10-86
 " 1972 W 7-8-86
 " 1658 W 30-6-86
 Memo no 208 D 6-5-86
 " 208 D 6-5-86

No 1402 P.R.
 (10)

From,
 The Superintending Engineer
 Periyar Project

To,
 The Chief Engineer
 P.W.D.

Dated 12th }
 Received 17 } August/89

Rev. — 1.

No 2373 dt 28th August 1889

Travelling allowance received
 from the Salem Municipality
 submits with recommendation
 letter from Mr C. B. Sargeth
 Executive Engineer requesting
 to cancel the order passed
 for the reimbursement of
 the —

9/1918

No 2373 dt 23rd
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the
 Read the following

From the S. E. Periyar Project No 1402 P.R. of 12.8.89

Recd
 25 Aug 89

The Super Engineer
 Periyar Project is under
 a misapprehension in
 supposing that the points
 brought forward in his
 letter No 585 P.R. dated
 27 August 1888 did not
 receive consideration.

After references to the
 Accountant General & the
 Genl P.W. Accts., it was
 decided by the Govt.
 (1) that Mr Sargeth's
 request that Comptroller
 should be regarded
 as his head quarters
 while he was employed
 under

This seems all right, but I can't
 find the reply to the G.O. put up
 by Mr. Target. It was rather hard
 on him if he was allowed to go on
 for a couple of years without reply.

Recd
 21 Aug 89

The reply to G.O. 3326 C dated
 28th Sept 1886 is Financial Dept.
 G.O. 1156 M dated 20th November 1886
 (P.W. G.O. 3129 W of 25th Sept. 1886) —
 but the question of head
 quarters is not decided here.
 This question was not decided
 till 13 February 1889 — G.O.
 773 C of 16th Feb. 1889.

23.8.86 Recd
 23.8.86

under the municipality
at Salem, was inadmissible,
and (2) that as an officer
of the P.W. Dept. employed
on survey work, he was
exempted from the
limitation under which
halting allowance can
only be drawn for ten
days of a halt away
from headquarters. On
these bases, Mr. Target's
bills and journals were
re-audited by the
P.W. Assts, with the
result that the original
retrenchment against
him of Rs 815-12-5
was reduced to Rs 797-12-5

WCB
19.8.59

Prudh
20.8.59

2/9/59
Cr
Nth 28/8
Asst. 99/10 Km 12/12

Q
S. S. Perina Project