(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 24

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 9/89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(89)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P·W·D துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	2285	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	20·8-1889 ~~19~~.	

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

12 sheets

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

2285

NOTES OF INSPECT BY H. RAINIER ESQR. INSPECTING OFFICER OF THE OFFICE OF TH EXECUTIVE ENGINEER CHINGLEPUT DIVISION AT SAINT THOMAS MOUNT FROM 26TH. TO 29TH. NOVEMBER 1888.

MR. C. H. B. BURLTON EXECUTIVE ENGINEER 3RD. GRADE WHO ASSUMED CHARGE OF THE DIVISION FROM MR. A. M. FOORD ON THE 18TH. OCTOBER 1888, WAS PRESENT, ALSO MR. HURTON ACCOUNTANT 3RD. GRADE WHO TOOK CHARGE OF THE ACCOUNTS FROM M.R.RY. M. A. JEYARAM PILLAI ON THE 26TH. MARCH 1888. THE OFFICE WAS LAST INSPECTED IN SEPTEMBER 1887, VIDE PAPERS PRINTED WITH G.O. NO.3168 W. DATED 22ND. NOVEMBER 1887.

2. THE SUB DIVISIONS REMAIN THE SAME AS LAST REPORTED, EXCEPT THAT MR. SUPERVISOR TAYLOR HAS CHARGE OF THE TRIVELLORE SUB DIVISION. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IS STILL THE ONLY DRAWING OFFICER. THE IMPRESTS ARE AS FOLLOWS;-

MR. E. TAYLOR SUPERVISOR 1ST. GRADE	RS.300-0-0.
CONDUCTOR J. B. SANDERSON SUB ENGINEER 1ST. GRADE	RS.200-0-0.
MR. J. M. BELL EXECUTIVE ENGINEER NO.II PARTY TANK RESTORATION SCHEME	RS.150-0-0.
MR. H. C. BERLIE SUB ENGINEER 2ND. GRADE IN CHARGE OF S CANTONMENT MOUNT	RS.200-0-0.

3. THE BALANCE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEERS DRAWING ACCOUNT AT THE CLOSE OF OCTOBER 1888 WAS STATED AS PER CASH BOOK AS RS.1646-13-0, AS PER PASS BOOK AS RS.6567-13-0, THE

DIFFERENCE RS.4921-0-0 SHOULD BE ACCOUNTED FOR BY THE CHEQUES DRAWN BUT NOT CASHED. CHEQUES THAT IS TO SAY APPEARING IN THE CASH BOOK BUT NOT IN THE PASS BOOKS, BUT THEY AMOUNTED RS.4921-0-5 WHICH WAS FOUND TO BE OWING TO A CHEQUE NO.4 OF AUGUST 1888 ON BANK OF MADRAS HAVING BEEN WRONGLY DRAWN - THE FOIL GIVEN TO THE CONTRACTOR WAS DRAWN FOR RS.63-0-9 OR 5 PIES TOO MUCH., THE COUNTERFOIL SHEWING RS.63-0-4 WHICH WAS THE AMOUNT WHICH SHOULD HAVE BEEN PAID. THE CASH BOOK SHEWS RS.63-0-4 WHICH WAS INCORRECT, THE AMOUNT PAID BEING RS.63-0-9. THE CASH BOOK SHOULD BE CORRECTED AND THE 5 PIES RECOVERED FROM THE CONTRACTOR.

4. IN DRAWING CHEQUE NO.15 B. OF OCTOBER 31ST. 1888, THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER OVERDREW HIS ACCOUNT AT THE BANK OF MADRAS BY RS.86-15-11. IT WOULD BE BETTER TO KEEP CHEQUE BOOK COUNTERFOILS POSTED UP TO DATE SHEWING THE BALANCE REMAINING AT THE TREASURY.

5. A CLERK 4TH. CLASS 3RD. GRADE KEEPS THE CASH BOOK, AND HOLDS CHARGE OF THE CASH, HE IS NOT THE HEAD CLERK (CODE PARA 1638) OR A CASHIER AND GIVES NO SECURITY (CODE PARA 790).

6. THE CASH AND WORKS ACCOUNTS WERE CHECKED FOR TWO MONTHS AND VERY LITTLE FOUND NOTE WORTHY.

7. ON COMPARING WORKS ENTERED IN THE REGISTER OF WORKS WITH WORKS MENTIONED IN THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURE FOR OCTOBER 1888, ONLY A FEW OF THE LATTER WERE FOUND IN THE REGISTER WHICH SHOULD BE COMPLETELY POSTED AT THE TIME OF SUBMISSION OF ACCOUNTS.

2285

8. THE SCHEDULE GIVES MORE DETAIL THAN THE REGISTER OF WORKS RESPECTING THE WORK "CLEARING SILT IN SUPPLY CHANNEL ABOVE AND BELOW CHOLAVERAM TANK ETC." BUT THIS WILL NOW BE RECTIFIED.

2285

9. CONSTRUCTING A LIGHT HOUSE AT THE SEVEN PAGODAS. ESTIMATE RS.8165-0-0. CONTRACTOR SUBROYA SALATH. THE LAST THREE MEASUREMENTS OF THE CONTRACTOR'S WORK WERE AS FOLLOWS;-

DATE OF MEASUREMENT.	DATE OF PAYMENT.
13TH. & 15TH. AUGUST 1887	8TH. SEPTEMBER 1887.
27TH. OCTOBER 1887	30TH. DECEMBER 1887.
21ST. 22ND. & 23RD. JUNE 1888	30TH. NOVEMBER 1888.

10. THE DELAY IN PAYMENT IS SAID TO BE PARTLY DUE TO THE REVISED ESTIMATE NOT HAVING BEEN SANCTIONED AND PARTLY TO THE CONTRACTOR'S MISREPRESENTATION AND CONSEQUENT REFERENCE

11. WITH REFERENCE TO PARA 11 OF LAST REPORT AS IN THE CASE OF THE FOLLOWING WORKS EXECUTED BY CONTRACT, THE FIGURES FROM THE CONTRACT CERTIFICATE CANNOT BE DIRECTLY POSTED INTO THE REGISTER OF WORKS, WITHOUT BEING COLLECTED AND REARRANGED. WORK ABSTRACTS SEEM NECESSARY.

		ESTIMATE	FOR MONTH
1	OTTIAMBAKAM TANK- IMPROVING TANK BUND	RS.9620-0-0	SEPTEMBER 1888.
2	AGARAM TANK - CONSTRUCTING A WEIR AND RAISING THE BUND	RS.4073-0-0	SEPTEMBER 1888.
3	MANIMUNGALUM TANK - CONSTRUCTING MASONRY WORKS	RS.7657-0-0	SEPTEMBER 1888.

2

2

ESTIMATE FOR MONTH

4 STREEPARUMBADUR TANK

REPAIRING BUND.....RS.4525-0-0......OCTOBER 1888.

5 BORING AN ARTESIAN

WELL IN THE

KORTALLIAR.........RS.8745-0-0......JULY 1888.

6 ALTERATIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS TO THE

BARREL RACKS TO THE

RESERVE POWDER MAGAZINE AT THE MOUNT....RS.2505-0-0......AUGUST 1888.

12. THERE ARE ONLY TWO WORKS WHICH ARE DONE BY DEPARTMENTAL AGENCY NAMELY;-

1 REPAIRING THE CONTONMENT ROADS AT

THE MOUNT.........................ESTIMATE RS.2625

2 .....DO.....DO....AT PALLAVARUM......—DO— RS.1163

13. IN THE CASE OF THE FORMER WHICH IS A MAJOR WORK, THE EXPENDITURE IN THE REGISTER OF WORKS IS NOT RECORDED BY ITEMS, THE WHOLE OF THE EXPENDITURE BEING SHEWN IN LUMP, AS VALUE OF WORK DONE, JUST AS IN THE CASE OF MINOR WORKS. IT WAS STATED THAT THE ESTIMATE FOR THE WORK WAS SANCTIONED ONLY IN AUGUST 1888, AND THAT UNTIL THEN, IT WAS NOT KNOWN THAT THE ESTIMATE WOULD EXCEED RS.2500-0-0.

14. TEN DAY REPORTS ARE RECEIVED IN THE DIVISIONAL OFFICE ALONG WITH MUSTER ROLLS, AND NOT IN ADVANCE AS THEY SHOULD BE, THE LIABILITIES CANNOT THEREFORE BE BOOKED IN PART I OF THE WORK ABSTRACTS OF THE MONTH IN WHICH SUCH LIABILITIES WERE INCURRED.

15. WITH REFERENCE TO PARAS 45 AND 47 OF LAST REPORT, THERE HAVE BEEN A FEW INSTANCES OF APPARENT DELAY IN BILLING FOR OR PAYING CONTRACTORS THUS

	Name of work	Estimate Rs.	Name of Contractor	Date of Measurement	Date of Bill	Date of Payment	Delay
1	Improving bund of Pudur Pudupaukum Hissa tank	5965	Muthukrishna Reddy	6th July 1888	26th July 1888	"	20 days
				"	8th June 1888	2nd July 1888	24 "
2	Repairing bund and its masonry works - Streeperumbadur tank	4,525	Venkata Buhata Ragavaloo Reddy	"	15th July 1888	31st July 1888	16 "
				"	29th March 1888	1st May 1888	32 "
3	—do— of Tandari and Perayaukolatur Hissa tank	6200	A. Damodara Moodelliar	"	8th October 1888	24th October 1888	16 "
				"	6th August 1888	8th September 1888	33 "
4	Repairing Perumbadur tank	6,850	Parthasarathy Pillai	"	25th February 1888	28th March 1888	33 "
				"	25th September 1887	20th October 1887	25 "
5	Boring artesian well in the Kortalliyar	8745	Mr. D'Closato	30th May 1888	21st July 1888	"	60 "

16. IYANAMBAUKUM TANK - RAISING AND STRENGTHENING THE BUND. ESTIMATE RS.3670-0-0. THE CONTRACTOR FOR THIS WORK WAS APPAROW MOODELLIAR DIED ABOUT A MONTH AGO. THE MEASUREMENT BOOK SHEWS THAT RS.440-0-4 IS DUE TO CONTRACTOR FOR WORK DONE AND MEASURED ON 24TH. AUGUST AND 6TH. SEPTEMBER 1888. BUT NO FINAL BILL HAS BEEN PASSED, NOR IS THE WORK DONE AS PER LAST MEASUREMENT SHEWN IN THE CONTRACTORS LEDGER. THE BILL FOR THIS MEASUREMENT WAS RECEIVED IN THE DIVISIONAL OFFICE ON THE 10TH. SEPTEMBER 1888. THE WIDOW OF THE CONTRACTOR IS CLAIMING THE AMOUNT DUE TO HER LATE HUSBAND.

17. WITH REFERENCE TO THE EXAMINERS INSTRUCTIONS TO THE ACCOUNTANT ON ITEM 28 OF THE SUMMARY OF THE LAST REPORT, THERE ARE NO ENTRIES IN THE CONTRACTORS LEDGER FOR NOVEMBER 1888

THOUGH

THOUGH SEVERAL BILLS HAVE BEEN PASSED AND PAYMENTS MADE.

18. IN AUDITING UNVOUCHED OUTLAY FOR SEPTEMBER 1888, TWO VOUCHERS AS BELOW WERE NOT PRODUCED BEING IT IS SAID MISLAID.

1 NOMINAL MUSTER ROLL FOR............RS.100-0-0.
1 MISCELLANEOUS RECEIPT..............RS. 0-6-0.

19. THE SCHEDULE OF RATES (SAID TO BE DATED 1885) WAS NOT PRODUCED HAVING BEEN SENT TO A SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER. A CURRENT RATES SCHEDULE WAS UNDERSTOOD TO BE UNDER PREPARATION.

20. CONSTRUCTING SUB REGISTRARS OFFICE AT UTTRAMULLUR. ESTIMATE RS.2800-0-0. TENDERS FOR THIS WORK WERE PUBLICLY INVITED AND THAT OF L. NARAYANASAWMY NAIDU ACCEPTED BY THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER IN HIS NO.779 A. DATED 23RD. MAY 1888 SUBSEQUENT TO THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S APPROVAL OF HIS TENDER, THE CONTRACTOR ~~REFERRED~~ REFUSED TO UNDERTAKE THE WORK, AND THE WORK WAS CONSEQUENTLY GIVEN OUT TO ANOTHER MAN P. NARAYANASAWMY IYER THE PRESENT CONTRACTOR. THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S SANCTION TO THIS NEW ARRANGEMENT SEEMS NECESSARY. IN THE CASE OF THE FIRST CONTRACTOR, NO PENALTY WAS IMPOSED AND IT IS NOT THE PRACTICE IN THIS DIVISION TO REQUIRE EARNEST MONEY FROM CONTRACTORS.

21. IN THE CASE OF THE FOLLOWING WORKS, THE TENDER FOR EXECUTION BY CONTRACT WAS ACCEPTED BY THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER THOUGH BEYOND HIS POWERS UNDER CODE PARA 1350.

	NAME OF WORK	NAME OF CONTRACTOR	AMOUNT OF CONTRACT.
1.	OPENING OUT AN APPROACH CHANNEL TO THE PRESENT HEAD OF UTTRAMULLUR CHANNEL.	P. NARAYANASAWMY IYER.	RS.3943-0-0 2285
2.	CONSTRUCTING HIGH LEVEL SLUICE TO THATTAMUNJI TANK.	T. SUNDRA MOODELLY	RS.2331-0-0 2285

22. THE TOOLS AND PLANT ACCOUNTS ARE IN ORDER. ON THE LIST THERE ARE AS MANY AS 37 MATHEMATICAL INSTRUMENT BOXES, 20 LEVELLING INSTRUMENTS, 16 PRISMATIC COMPASSES, 17 COLOUR BOXES AND 34 MEASURING TAPES, SOME OF WHICH MAY POSSIBLY BE SURPLUS.

23. IN THE CASE OF THE SERVICE BOOK OF G. M. SOOBROYALU NAIDU OVERSEER 2ND. GRADE, THE ATTESTATION OF ENTRIES IN PAGES 6 AND 7 OF THE SERVICE BOOK WAS BY A SUB ENGINEER. IT IS THOUGHT THAT THE ATTESTATION SHOULD BE BY THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER.

24. THE ENTRIES IN THE SERVICE BOOK OF LASCAR SHAIK HUSSAIN WERE FILLED IN ON THE 31ST. JULY 1888, BUT THE ENTRIES HAVE NOT BEEN SIGNED BY THE HEAD OF THE OFFICE.

25. IN THE CASE OF THE FOLLOWING SERVICE BOOKS, THE NECESSARY ENTRIES REGARDING LEAVE, TRANSFER, PROMOTION, INCREMENT ETC. ETC. ARE NOT ATTESTED BY THE HEAD OF THE OFFICE, AS REQUIRED BY CODE PARA 864.

4

	NAME AND RANK.	NATURE OF ENTRIES NOT ATTESTED.
1.	CONDUCTOR J. KELLY SUPERVISOR....	PROMOTION AND REVERSION.
2.	MR. TRUTWEIN SUPERVISOR..........	LEAVE.
3.	M.R.RY. SUBROYALU NAIDU OVERSEER..	TRANSFER AND LEAVE.
4.	„ N. MURUGESA MOODR. DO....	PROMOTION AND REVERSION.
5.	MUNUSAWMY LASCAR.................	LEAVE
6.	VENCATARUNGUM PILLAI CLERK.......	LEAVE
7.	P. V. RUTHNA MOODELLIAR CLERK.....	LEAVE
8.	R. STREENIVASA IYENGAR CLERK.....	LEAVE.

26. A SERVICE BOOK HAS NOT BEEN, BUT SHOULD BE OPENED FOR M. SARUNGAPANY NAIDU CLERK 5TH. CLASS 1ST. GRADE, APPOINTED WITH EFFECT FROM 15TH. OCTOBER 1888, VICE CLERK C. RAJARUTHNA MOODELLIAR RETIRED.

27. ALSO FOR CLERK T. THEVASAPPA PILLAI REFERRED TO IN PARA 64 OF THE LAST INSPECTION REPORT..

28. WITH REFERENCE TO PARA 65 OF THE LAST REPORT SERVICE BOOKS ARE STILL IN CHARGE OF THE STOREKEEPER WHO KEEPS THEM IN THE STORE ROOM IN A TIN BOX WHICH IS SAID TO BE LOCKED EXCEPT WHEN THE BOOKS ARE IN USE.

29. THE CLAIMS FOR TRAVELLING ALLOWANCE OF LOWER SUBORDINATES, OFFICE AND PETTY ESTABLISHMENTS FROM MARCH TO SEPTEMBER 1888 INCLUSIVE WERE AUDITED AND FOUND CORRECT.

30. THE MEMOS OF CLAIMS SIMPLY SHEW THE DATES FROM WHICH BATTA IS CLAIMED AND RAILWAY FARE WHERE A RAILWAY JOURNEY IS PERFORMED, BUT DO NOT SHOW THE HOUR OF ARRIVAL AND DEPARTURE OR THE MOVEMENTS OF THE INDIVIDUALS SO THAT CLAIMS TO BATTA COULD NOT BE SATISFACTORILY AUDITED. THE MEMOS ARE NOT SIGNED BY THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER AS APPROVED OR PASSED FOR THE AMOUNT OF EACH CLAIM.

228

MADRAS SD S. RAINIER

DECEMBER 1888. INSPECTING OFFICER.

No. 78 of 21.1.89

FORWARDED TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT P. W. DEPARTMENT FOR INFORMATION AND ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT WITH THE ACCOMPANYING SUMMARY AND REMARKS.

2. THE RESULT OF THE INSPECTION IS ON THE WHOLE SATISFACTORY.

5

5

13 JUL 69

5392

Executive Engineer's explanation	Supdg: Engineer's Remarks
Item 1 of Summary 3. The recovery has been made from the Contractor and the necessary corrections in the Cash book. 2265	The Cause of not entering the cheque for this difference of Rs 4921 in the PassBook requires to be accounted for. 2285
Item 2 of Summary 4. This I find practically impossible. Contractors come sometimes in large numbers and there is barely time to pay them and attend to other duties as well. Writing up the balance in counterfoils would cause delay under such circumstances. Moreover as far as I remember the overdraft was a mere accident through its not being known at my office that I was about to draw the cheques. and as such it is not likely to occur again I would like to go on as at present. I have no time to write up every counterfoil. Item 5 of Summary 5. The Cash balance never exceeds Rs 40 which is the Clerk's pay. The amount being so small no security is considered necessary. The custody of the Cash chest was given to the Clerk before the Head Clerk joined this division. His rank is Clerk 4th Class 2nd grade & not 3rd grade as stated. He keeps the cash book faultlessly.	4. It will not occupy much time to write the balance at the treasury on each counterfoil. (5) The Cash book and Cash balance should be entrusted to the Head Clerk as per Code para 1638 and not to a common clerk
Item 6 of Summary 7. ~~That~~ Every endeavour is being made to have the Registers of works posted up before submission of accounts. 6	6

Supdg. Engineer's Remarks	Executive Engineer's explanation
	Item 6 of ser 9 & 10. Noted. Payment has since been made. Item 7 of ser 11. Will be attended to.

Executive Engineer's explanation	Supdg. Engineer's Remarks
2285	2285
Item 8 of ser. 13. The details have been posted in the Register of works in February 189.	✓
Item 9 of ser 14. Tenday reports are now being sent regularly.	7 ✓
7 7	

Supdg: Engineer's Remarks	Executive Engineer's explanation
15 ✓ (16) The widow should only be paid in case she holds letters of administration in regard to her husband's estate.	Item 10 of sum 15} 16} The measurement was dated 30th May/88 by Mr De Clossets but it was actually received the office on the 11th June/88 a in sending in the measurement reported that he came across rock while boring and as no pro-vision was made in the estimat for such an item the matter wa reported to the Supdg Engineer wh in reply said that by rock a thin layer of limestone was not meant but gneiss and that on could be paid. This order was received on the 16th July/88 Supdg Engrs No 1627 A. Payment was made on the 31st July/88 the day Mr De Clossets attended the office
	Item 11 of sum 17. Has been attended to

Executive Engineer's explanation	Supdg: Engineer's Remarks
Item 12 of s 18. A duplicate copy for Rs 100 has been obtained and the receipt for annas six has been found.	✓
Item 13 of sum 20. The work was first given with the approval of the Supdg Engr to Narainsawmy Naidu but he having subsequently refused the work, there was no other alternative but to give the work to Narainsawmy Aiyer who was the only one willing to take it up ~~the work~~. The terms of the tenders were slightly different, the latter asking for a longer period to complete the work. The Supdg Engrs sanction in this case was not asked as it seemed unnecessary and P. Narainsawmy Aiyer is now a rejected contractor and so will not have this work.	2285
Item 14 of sum 20. Earnest money is never asked of persons tendering for contracts in this Division and I doubt whether the enforcement of earnest money would be of material advantage. It no doubt reduces the number of applicants but it keeps away some of the best men. The course I have adopted is to keep a book in my personal charge entering the name of the successful tenderer noting the dates of acceptance and completion of work and if earnest money were taken it would be noted in the Book. The present system works well. 8	(20) The payment of Rs. 100 earnest money ensures generally that the man whose tender is accepted will sign a Contract bond and lodge security money. I have no objection to earnest money not being demanded so long as the course works well which it has not in this case. The new Contract should have been reported to this office with all the circumstances. 6
Item 15 of sum 21. As the works were considered urgent I gave them ~~works~~ to men whom I considered respectable and reliable. The formal sanction of the Supdg Engr in these	(21) If Superintending Engineer's or Chief Engineer's authority for a Contract is necessary similarly authority is equally necessary for another Contract to supersede the former.

1094

Supdg. Engineer's Remarks	Executive Engineer's Explanation
	these Cases should however have been applied for subsequently. In future it will in all cases be obtained
Item 16 of insp. 22 All surplus mathematical and other surplus articles should be returned to Public works stores.	22. None of the Surveying and Mathematical Instruments referred to in item 16 are surplus. They include all the instruments of the Dn as well as those of 1st 2 & 5 Parties T. R. Scheme
Item 17 of insp. 23. The Service Book of the overseer should have been sent back to Tinnevelly Division for attestation by Executive Engineer to the entries of the Sub Engineer.	23. The entries in pages 6 & 7 of the Service Book of Overseer G. M. Subrayalu Nayudu were attested by a Sub Engineer of the Tinnevelly Division from which he the Overseer was transferred to this Division. Is it necessary that the entries referred to should be attested by the Ex: Engr of this Dn? The entries made in the Service Book of the Overseer by this Division have been attested by the Ex: Engineer.
	Item 18 of insp. 24. The entries have since been attested by the Ex: Engineer
	Item 19 of insp. 25. They have all been since attested by the Ex: Engineer.

Executive Engineer's explanation	Supdg. Engineer's Remarks
Item 20 of insp. 26} 27} Service books have been opened out for Clerks M. Rangaswamy Naidu and T. Thavarappa Pillay	2 2/85
Item 21 of insp. 28. The Head Clerk will be in charge of all service Books in future and he will be held responsible to the Executive Engr for their being properly posted up as required.	28 ordered. 2 2/85
Item 22 of insp. 9 2/85 30. This matter will receive attention on receipt of Form No. M 152 A from the Examiner's Office - The initialling of memos for Travelling allowance will receive attention in future	

Executive Engineer
Chingleput Dn
1.3.85

Colonel R.E.
Superintending Engineer V Circle
No.
To,
The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department.

9

Supdg: Engineer's Remarks	Executive Engineer's explanation

Supdg: Engineer's Remarks	Executive Engineer's explanation
	6-5-89 2285 10

No 23/6.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V CIRCLE, MADRAS,
Bangalore ~~Chepauk~~, 7 July 1889.

From Colonel W H Burton R.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
V CIRCLE,

To
THE Chief Engineer
P. W. Department.

2285

SIR,

Enclosures
1 Notes of Inspection

With reference to G. O. No. 238 W dated 29th January 1889 I have the honor to retransmit the notes of Inspection of the office of the Executive Engineer Chingleput Division with explanations of the Executive Engineer and my remarks thereon.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
W H Burton Colonel R.E.
Superintending Engineer V Circle

11

11

EXAMINER
No. 901
15 JUL 1889
ENCLOSURES 2
P. W. ACCOUNTS

P.W.C. No. 634 6
7440

From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer
P.W. Department.

Dated 7 }
Recd. 10 } July 1889.

ENCLOSURES. 2

No. 2316

Notes of Inspection of the Office of the Executive Engineer Chingleput Division —

Retransmits the — with explanation of the Ex: Engineer and Supdg: Engineer's remarks thereon.

/20

No. 3182 C d/ 12th July 1889

To
C

For perusal and favour of return.

12.7.89

D.W.

No 1131 d/ 9th Aug 1889

To W. Enc 2.

Returned with the following remarks:-

2. Item 2 of summary. There seems to be no reason why Mr. Burlton should not observe the rule in code para 1620 as other Executive Engineers do. It is to be presumed that overdrafts are always accidental; the object of the rule is to prevent the accident.

3. Item 3 of summary. It is generally desirable that code rules should be observed, but if the clerk who now keeps the Executive Engineer's cash book does it well and the head clerk is not equally able to perform this particular duty, it might be as well, considering the smallness of the balance, to leave matters alone until the issue of the proposed rules under which head clerk will be the recognized cashier, keeping only his own cash book and not the Executive Engineers'.

2285

4. Item 17. The attestation should apparently be done by the Executive Engineer Chingleput Division himself. The attestation being that of the personal marks of identification, it cannot be done by the Executive Engineer Tinnevelly Division unless the overseer is returned to Tinnevelly as well as the service book.

5. Item 22. Forms have been issued. If the Executive Engineer has not received them he should apply for them.

4/8
C.
2/8
9/8

Fr. B No 238 W d/ 29-1-89
" A " 3408 W d/ 3-11-88
" " " 3168 W d/ 22-11-87
" " " 2583 W d/ 4-11-86
" " " 2513 W d/ 13-10-84
" " " 864 W d/ 31-3-84
" " " 1257 W d/ 30-4-83

B No 2285 W d/ 20 Augt/89

The Exr's remarks communicated to the Ex: Engr: Chingleput Dn for attention.

MCR
13.8.89

Recd
14.8.89

Incl. 74805

(3)

Reg 12/8/89
En 2

B. No 2285 W d/ 20-8-89

12

S.E. V Circle
Ex: P.W. Accts:

Despd
28/8

0/13/8 O.G.S. 27/8. D 26/8

with order