

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 8/89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/89

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

60

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD

துறை

அரசாணை எண். 2252 அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். பலவகை

நாள் :

16.8 1889

1989

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

4 sheets

12/11

ஆவண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE.

Camp, Megapattam 18th July 1889
D 19/-

No. 834 T.J.

From Lieut Col. A.C. Smith R.E.
The Superintending Engineer,

6th Circle.

2253

To

The Chief Engineer
D.P.W.

Sir,

Madras.

ENCLOSURES. 1

In continuation of this Office N^o 52715 dated 11th June 189, I have the honor to submit the Copy of Judgment in original & suit N^o 462 of 1887 filed in the Megapattam District Munsiff's Court by Samia Pillai, Contractor for Deputy Collector's Cutcherry at Mannargudi against the Executive Engineer Megapattam Division. The Decree has been retained by the Court Pleader to be filed with the execution petition for recovery of the costs from the Plaintiff.

2 The Pleader states that only Rs. 76-14-0, as noted below being costs mentioned in the Court's decree are recoverable from the Plaintiff:

Expenses to be paid by Plaintiff Rs. 73-8-0

C.O. 73-8-0

B.F. No. 73-8-4

Cost of Execution Petition	3-0-0
" Vakalat	3-0-0
Expenses for Decree	1-7-0
Fees	15-0-0

Total Rupees 76-4-0

3 Rupees 75-7-0 have been paid to the
Govt Pleader for his fees and expenses as
noted below, and charged in the Division
Contingent for May 1899:—

Fee for the Pleader	Rs 70-0-0
Stamp for petition to set aside 4 parts order	8-0-0
Stamp for Power	8-0-0
Process fees for issuing notice	1-0-0
Stamp for Petition for adjournment	8-0-0
Charge for Telegram to the Govt Pleader at Bangalore	1-0-0
Stamp for 2 nd list of documents	8-0-0
Stamp for Copy petition	1-0-0
Copy Stamps	1-6-0

Total Rs 75-7-0

but the Examiner has objected to admit the
outlay in the absence of sanction of Govt.

It would appear that as the overseer
Muthulappa Pillai was not summoned
by the Court, his expenses have not

been

been included by the Court.

2253

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,
M. S. S. with Lieut Col. P. S.
Superintending Engineer III Circle

Recd Cms 5397162247
741041


No. 2253 of 16th August
1899

The Engineer's note
The Govt note
communicated to the
Communicated to the
Superintendent Engineer III Circle
for information &
guidance.

10.8.99
P. S. S.

2

17/8
with 1 enclosure
Copy of 1740 W. P. S.
10/8 5/8
19/8



2-6-107

P. W. D. 6TH CIRCLE.

No. 3355C of 23 July 1889

To
C

The defence of the suit at the public expense was sanctioned in G.O. No. 454 W dated 8th February 1888 - Is any further sanction required?

W.D.
23.7.89

No 1117 of 7th Aug. 1889

To W.

All that the Executive Engineer Negapatam has to do is to pay the costs and debit the same to miscellaneous advances pending adjustment on recovery from the plaintiff in the suit. Any balance not eventually recoverable should be debited to "establishment contingencies" with the sanction of Government vide G.O.No.1740 W.of Ist. July 1889, such sanctions being applied for if, and when, it becomes known that there will be an irrecoverable balance.

26

W.D. Enc 2.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No. 834 T.S.

Dated 18th July 1889
Received 19th July 1889

Enclosure. 1-2

Forward copy of Judgment in the case brought by Samin Pillai Contractor for Dy. Colliery Mannargudi against Exec. Engineer Negapatam & request to sanction the outlay incurred in the suit.

To

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE.

Camp: Kadaitkal 11th June 1889.
Sept 1889

No. 52775

From Lt. Col. A. C. Smith D.E.
The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer.
P.W.D.

Sir,

ENCLOSURES.

With reference to G.O. No. 454 W of the 8th February 1888 authorizing the defence at the public expense of the suit filed by Samin Pillai, Contractor for Deputy Collectors Cutcherry at Mannargudi in the Negapatam Division, against the Exec. Engineer of that Division, I have the honor to report that the Government Pleader, Tanjore, who was engaged to defend the case, has reported in a letter dated 22nd February 1889, that the suit was dismissed with costs.

2. The Copy of the Judgment, which was received in March 1889, was sent back, at his request, to the Government Pleader for the preparation of execution petition for the recovery of the costs. It will be submitted with a further report as soon as it is returned by the Pleader, for which a reminder.

remainder has been sent.

3. It is observed that report of the result of the suit was deferred, pending receipt of the Copy of the Judgment, and of information as to the Amount of costs and steps taken for recovering the same.


I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt. Servant
Albion L. Colne
Superintending Engineer

225

6

6

16-8-07 ✓



P. W. D. 6TH CIRCLE.

From
The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To
The Chief Engineer,
P. W. D.
Madras.
No. 52715

Dated 11th
Dec 13th } June 1889
Received

No. 2253 W of 16-8-1889
Enclosure. hcb

Sent by Collector's Court -
-every all arrangements.

Reporting that Court Pleader
has reported that the —
was dismissed with costs
& stating that the copy of the
Judgment will be submis-
-ted with a further report
as soon as it is returned
by the Pleader.

WOW
15.6.04

G.O. B no 2154 W of 16.7.88
" " 4544 W of 8-2.88
Memo no 1356 W of 17.5.87 } C sent in
" " 118 W of 12-4.87 } P.W.D.
G.O. B no 2297 W of 11-9.88
Memo no 1692 W of 10-9.88 - In P.W.D. (Clerk)
G.O. B no 1293 W of 2.5.88
" " 479 W of 12.2.88
" B - 1740 W of 1-7.87
" " 3260 W of 12.10.88
" A - 2050 W of 7-7.88
" " 418 W of 12.7.88
" " 1676 W of 7-6.88 W of in bundle
" B - 1507 W of 18.6.86 - No
" A - 138 W of 8-1.80

4